Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Ladislav Mišík

On one ordered continuum

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 1 (1951), No. 2, 81–86

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100018

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1951

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 1(76) 1951

ON ONE ORDERED CONTINUUM

L. MIŠÍK, Bratislava.

(Received November 29th, 1950.)

In the present paper the construction of the ordered continuum $\mathfrak{P}_7$ is given whose power is $2^{\aleph_0}$ and whose separability is $\aleph_1$. There are three kinds of point-characters in $\mathfrak{P}_7$ viz. c_{00}, c_{01}, c_{10}. Some interesting properties of $\mathfrak{P}_7$ are studied. The article is closely connected with NOVÁK's paper: On some ordered continua of power $2^{\aleph_0}$ containing a dense subset of power $\aleph_1$.

In this paper a continuum $\mathfrak{P}_7$ of power $2^{\aleph_0}$ is constructed by the method of identification of points in certain intervals in certain ordered continuum Q such that $\aleph_1$ is the least power of subsets which are dense in $\mathfrak{P}_7$. The continuum $\mathfrak{P}_7$ contains only points with characters c_{00}, c_{01}, c_{10}. The continuum $\mathfrak{P}_7$ is a quasi-homogeneous continuum, i. e., in every interval $J \subset \mathfrak{P}_7$ there exists a subinterval I similar to $\mathfrak{P}_7$. The continuum $\mathfrak{P}_7$ possesses the property π: Any disjoint uncountable system of intervals in $\mathfrak{P}_7$ contains an uncountable subsystem of intervals whose left end-points form an increasing or a decreasing sequence of points in $\mathfrak{P}_7$. The construction of the continuum $\mathfrak{P}_7$ gives the solution of the problem of J. NOVÁK[1]) introduced in his paper: On some ordered continua of power $2^{\aleph_0}$ containing a dense subset of power $\aleph_1$, i. e. if there exists the ordered continuum $\mathfrak{P}_7$.

Let Q be a lexicographically ordered continuum whose elements x are transfinite sequences of zeros and ones $[x_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1} = x_0 x_1 \dots x_\lambda \dots$ $(\lambda < \omega_1)$ (where $x_\lambda = 0$ or $x_\lambda = 1$ and ω_1 is the least uncountable ordinal), whereby every two neighbouring sequences are identified. According to NOVÁK, we say that the point $x \in Q$ has the property (c), (d), (e), (f), or (g) with the least ordinal $\alpha < \omega_1$ if there exists its development, i. e., the transfinite sequence $[x_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$ satisfying the corresponding property:

(c) there exist two ordinary increasing sequences of indices $\{\tau_n\}_{n=0}^{\omega}$ and $\{\eta_n\}_{n=0}^{\omega}$ converging to ordinal α and such that $x_{\tau_n} = 0$ and $x_{\eta_n} = 1$ for every n,

[1]) *J. Novák*, On some ordered continua of power $2^{\aleph_0}$ containing a dense subset of power $\aleph_1$, Czechosl. math. Journ. **76** (1951), 63—79.

(d) there exists the least ordinal β such that $x_\lambda = 1$ for $\beta \leqq \lambda < \alpha = \beta + \omega$ whereby β is a limit ordinal or 0,

(e) there exists the least ordinal β such that $x_\lambda = 0$ for $\beta \leqq \lambda < \alpha = \beta + \omega$ whereby β is a limit ordinal or 0,

(f) there exists the least ordinal β such that $x_\lambda = 1$ for $\beta \leqq \lambda < \alpha = \beta + \omega$ whereby β is an isolated positive ordinal,

(g) there exists the least ordinal β such that $x_\lambda = 0$ for $\beta \leqq \lambda < \alpha = \beta + \omega$ whereby β is an isolated positive ordinal,

whereby α is, in all these cases, the least ordinal with the mentioned property.

Let $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$ and let $i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda \ldots$ $(\lambda < \alpha)$ be a sequence whereby $i_\lambda = 0$ or $i_\lambda = 1$. All points $x \in Q$ with developments $[x_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$ such that $x_\lambda = i_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \alpha$, form a closed interval $I = I_{i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \alpha) \subset Q$ of order α. We say that $I = I_{i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \alpha)$ has the property (c), (d), (e), (f), or (g) if all points of I have this property with respect to the ordinal α.

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathfrak{S}_7$ be a system of all intervals $I_{i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \alpha) \subset Q$ with the property (c) or (f) but such that no interval $I_{i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \alpha')$ where $\alpha' < \alpha$ has either property (c) or property (f). Then the system $\mathfrak{S}_7$ is a disjoint system of intervals in Q.*

Proof. Let $I_{i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \alpha) \in \mathfrak{S}_7$ and $I_{j_0 j_1 \ldots j_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \beta) \in \mathfrak{S}_7$ be two different intervals in Q. Then there exists an ordinal $\delta < \min(\alpha, \beta)$ such that $i_\lambda = j_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \delta$ and $i_\delta + j_\delta = 1$. Let $x \in I_{i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \alpha) \cap \cap I_{j_0 j_1 \ldots j_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \beta)$. The point x is the point with two developments $[x_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$ and $[y_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$ whereby $x_\lambda = i_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \alpha$, $y_\lambda = j_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \beta$. From the last assertion it follows that $x_\lambda = y_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \delta$, $x_\delta + y_\delta = 1$ and $x_\lambda \neq x_\delta$, $y_\lambda \neq y_\delta$ for $\lambda > \delta$. Consequently $i_\lambda \neq i_\delta$ for $\delta < \lambda < \alpha$ and $j_\lambda \neq j_\delta$ for $\delta < \lambda < \beta$. Further $I_{i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \delta)$ and $I_{j_0 j_1 \ldots j_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \delta)$ have neither property (c) nor (f) and it is for $\delta < \lambda < \alpha$: $i_\lambda = 0$ if $i_\delta = 1$ or $i_\lambda = 1$ if $i_\delta = 0$, and for $\delta < \lambda < \beta$: $j_\lambda = 1$ if $i_\delta = 1$ or $j_\lambda = 0$ if $i_\delta = 0$. From that it follows that the interval $I_{i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \alpha)$ fails to have property (c) or, in the case $i_\delta = 1$, property (f) and $I_{j_0 j_1 \ldots j_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \beta)$ fails to have property (c) or, in the case $i_\delta = 0$, property (f). In any case one of these two intervals does not belong to the system $\mathfrak{S}_7$; that is a contradiction. Therefore the system $\mathfrak{S}_7$ is a disjoint system of intervals in Q.

Lemma 2. *Every interval $I_{i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \alpha) \in \mathfrak{S}_7$ has the character c_{00} in Q, if it has property (c), or the character c_{01} in Q, if it has property (f). Let $\mathbf{U}\mathfrak{S}_7$ be the set of all points of Q belonging to some intervals of $\mathfrak{S}_7$. Suppose that $x \in Q - \mathbf{U}\mathfrak{S}_7$ and that x is no end-point in Q. Then the character of the point x is c_{01} or c_{10} in Q.*

Proof. Let $I = I_{i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda \ldots} (\lambda < \alpha) \in \mathfrak{S}_7$. Let $x \in I$ be the left end-point with the development $i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda \ldots 000 \ldots = [x_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$ and let $y \in I$ be the right end-point with the development $i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda \ldots 111 \ldots = [y_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$. Let I have property (c); then there exists a sequence $\{{}^\xi x\}_{\xi=0}^{\alpha}$ of points in Q, the development of the point ${}^\xi x$ being $[{}^\xi x_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$ where ${}^\xi x_\lambda = x_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \omega_1$ and $\lambda \neq \xi$, and ${}^\xi x_\xi = 0$. Since – according to the property (c) — there exists an infinite number of different indices such that $\eta_n \to \alpha$ and $i_{\eta_n} = 1$, it follows therefore that there exists an ordinary increasing sequence $\{{}^{\xi_n} x\}_{n=0}^{\omega}$ left converging to the point x in Q. The character of the point x is $c_{0\sigma}$ in Q. Likewise, it can be proved that the character of the point y is $c_{\varrho 0}$ in Q. The character of I is therefore c_{00} in Q. Let I have the property (f), i. e., there exists an isolated ordinal β such that $i_{\beta-1} = 0$ and $i_\lambda = 1$ for $\beta \leq \lambda < \alpha = \beta + \omega$; then there exists an ordinary increasing sequence $\{{}^n x\}_{n=0}^{\omega}$ left converging to the point x in Q, the development of the point ${}^n x$ being $[{}^n x_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$ where ${}^n x_\lambda = x_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \omega_1$ and $\lambda \neq \beta + n$, and ${}^n x_{\beta+n} = 0$. In this case, the point x has the character $c_{0\sigma}$ in Q. The point y has two developments in Q viz. $i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda \ldots 111$ and $[j_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$ where $j_\lambda = i_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \beta - 1$, $j_{\beta-1} = 1$ and $j_\lambda = 0$ for $\lambda \geq \beta$. Therefore there exists in Q a decreasing sequence of points $\{y^\xi\}_{\xi=}^{\omega_1}$ right converging to y whereby the development of y^ξ is $[y_\lambda^\xi]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$ where $y_\lambda^\xi = j_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \omega_1$ and $\lambda \neq \beta + \xi$, and $y_{\beta+\xi}^\xi = 1$. Consequently the point y has the character $c_{\varrho 1}$ in Q and so the interval I has the character c_{01} in Q.

If $x \in Q - \mathbf{U}\mathfrak{S}_7$ with the development $[x_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$ is not an end-point in Q, it has not property (c) and its development cannot contain uncountably many 0's and uncountably many 1's simultaneously. There must exist the least ordinal β such that $x_\lambda = 0$ for $\beta \leq \lambda < \omega_1$ or $x_\lambda = 1$ for $\beta \leq \lambda < \omega_1$. The ordinal β cannot be an isolated ordinal since (the first case) the point x would have two developments and x would be the right end-point of the interval $I_{i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda \ldots} (\lambda < \beta + \omega) \in \mathfrak{S}_7$ whereby $i_\lambda = x_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \beta - 1$, $i_{\beta-1} = 0$ and $i_\lambda = 1$ for $\beta \leq \lambda < \beta + \omega$; or (the second case) the point x would belong to the interval $I_{i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda \ldots} (\lambda < \beta + \omega) \in \mathfrak{S}_7$ as the right end-point whereby $i_\lambda = x_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \beta + \omega$. Let us consider the first case. The development $[x_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega}$ must contain at least one 1 because x is not the left end-point in Q. There must be an infinite number of indices $\lambda < \beta$ such that $x_\lambda = 1$; otherwise the ordinal β could not be the least ordinal with the prescribed property and the limit ordinal at the same time. Therefore, we can choose from the sequence $\{{}^\xi x\}_{\xi=0}^{\beta}$, whereby ${}^\xi x$ has the development $[{}^\xi x_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$, ${}^\xi x_\lambda = x_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \omega_1$ and $\lambda \neq \xi$, and ${}^\xi x_\xi = 0$, an ordinary increasing sequence $\{{}^{\xi_n} x\}_{n=0}^{\omega}$ left converging to the point x in Q. The sequence $\{y^\xi\}_{\xi=0}^{\omega_1}$ of points of Q, whereby y^ξ has the development $[y_\lambda^\xi]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$, $y_\lambda^\xi = x_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \omega_1$ and $\lambda \neq \beta + \omega$, and $y_{\beta+\xi}^\xi = 1$, is an uncountable decreasing sequence right converging to the point x in Q. Therefore, the character of the point x is c_{01} in Q. In the second case, because

the point x is not the right end-point in Q and the ordinal β is the least ordinal with the above mentioned property, the development of the point x must contain an infinite number of $x_\lambda = 0$, $\lambda < \beta$. The sequence $\{{}^\xi x\}_{\xi=0}^{\omega_1}$ of points of Q, whereby ${}^\xi x$ has the development $[{}^\xi x_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$ and ${}^\xi x_\lambda = x_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \omega_1$ and $\lambda \neq \beta + \xi$, and ${}^\xi x_{\beta+\xi} = 0$, is an uncountable sequence left converging to the point x in Q. Further we can choose from the sequence $\{y^\xi\}_{\xi=0}^{\beta}$ of points of Q whereby y^ξ has the development $[y_\lambda^\xi]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$, $y_\lambda^\xi = x_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \omega_1$ and $\lambda \neq \xi$, and $y_\xi^\xi = 1$, an ordinary decreasing sequence of points right converging to the point x in Q. Therefore, in this case, the character of the point x is c_{10} in Q and the proof of Lemma is completed.

Let $\mathfrak{P}_7$ be a system containing all intervals $X \in \mathfrak{S}_7$ and all one-point sets (x) such that $x \in Q - \mathbf{U}\mathfrak{S}_7$. We are going to arrange the points of $\mathfrak{P}_7$ as follows: $X, Y \in \mathfrak{P}_7$ and $X < Y$ if and only if there is $x < y$ for all points $x \in X$ and for all points $y \in Y$ in Q.

Theorem 1. *The set $\mathfrak{P}_7$ is a quasi-homogeneous ordered continuum of the pover $2^{\aleph_0}$ containing no countable dense subset. $\mathfrak{P}_7 = A_{00}^{(7)} \cup A_{01}^{(7)} \cup \cup A_{10}^{(7)} \cup E^{(7)}$ where $A_{\varrho\sigma}^{(7)}$ are disjoint subsets dense in $\mathfrak{P}_7$ for $\varrho, \sigma = 0, 1$ and the set $A_{\varrho\sigma}^{(7)}$ is the set of all points with the character $c_{\varrho\sigma}$ in $\mathfrak{P}_7$. The sets $A_{01}^{(7)}$ and $A_{10}^{(7)}$ have the power $\aleph_1$ and $E^{(7)} = \{(a), (b)\}$ whereby a and b are the endpoints in Q.*

Proof. The sets $A_{00}^{(7)}$, $A_{01}^{(7)}$ and $A_{10}^{(7)}$ are not empty. As a matter of fact the points $I_{i_0 i_1 \ldots i_n \ldots}$ $(n < \omega)$, $I_{j_0 j_1 \ldots j_n \ldots}$ $(n < \omega)$ and x with the development $[x_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$ whereby $i_{2k} = 0$ and $i_{2k+1} = 1$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, $j_0 = 0$, $j_n = 1$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, and $x_\lambda = 0$ for $\lambda < \omega$ and $x_\lambda = 1$ for $\omega \leqq \leqq \lambda < \omega_1$, belong to $\mathfrak{P}_7$. From this fact, according to Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 of the above cited paper of J. Novák[2]) and according to our Lemma 2, it follows that $\mathfrak{P}_7$ is an ordered continuum with points of character c_{00}, c_{01}, c_{10}. In $\mathfrak{P}_7$ there cannot exist a countable dense subset, $\mathfrak{P}_7$ containing points with character c_{01} and c_{10}.

Let $J \subset \mathfrak{P}_7$ be any interval with the end-points $p < q$, $p \in \mathfrak{P}_7$ and $q \in \mathfrak{P}_7$. If p and q are common points in $\mathfrak{P}_7$, then let $[p_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$ and $[q_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$ be their developments; if one of them or both are the interval-points in $\mathfrak{P}_7$, then let $[p_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$ be the development of the right end-point of the interval p in Q and $[q_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$ the development of the left end-point of the interval q in Q. As $p < q$ there must exist an index δ such that $p_\lambda = q_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \delta$, $p_\delta = 0 < q_\delta = 1$ and the least index $\gamma > \delta$ such that there is $p_\gamma = 0$ or $q_\gamma = 1$. If $\gamma \geqq \delta + \omega$, the point p has the property (f). In this case $[p_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$ is the development of the right end-point of the interval p in Q and consequently $p_\lambda = 1$ for $\lambda > \delta$ and $p_\gamma = 1$. If $p_\gamma = 0$, then evidently $\gamma < \delta + \omega$. If $p_\gamma = 0$ we put $e_\lambda = p_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \gamma$, $e_\gamma = e_{\gamma+2} = 1$ and $e_{\gamma+1} = 0$ and if $q_\gamma = 1$, we put $e_\lambda = q_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \gamma$ and $e_\gamma = e_{\gamma+1} = 0$,

---

[2]) l. c. s.

$e_{\gamma+2} = 1$. If $I_{e_0 e_1 \ldots e_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \delta)$ would have the property (c) or (f) it would be: $p, q \in I_{e_0 e_1 \ldots e_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \delta) \in \mathfrak{S}_7$ and consequently $p = q$. Therefore with respect to the fact that $e_\lambda = 0$ or $e_\lambda = 1$ for $\delta \leqq \lambda < \gamma$ whereby in the last case $\gamma < \delta + \omega$ we can assert that the interval $I_{e_0 e_1 \ldots e_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \gamma + 3)$ has neither the property (c) nor (f); consequently $[\bar{x}_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$ and $[\bar{y}_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$ where $\bar{x}_\lambda = \bar{y}_\lambda = e_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \gamma + 3$ and $\bar{x}_\lambda = \bar{y}_\lambda = 0$ for $\gamma + 3 \leqq \lambda < \gamma + \omega$, $\bar{x}_\lambda = \bar{y}_\lambda = 1$ for $\gamma + \omega \leqq \lambda < \gamma + \omega \,.\, 2$ and $\bar{x}_\lambda = 0$, $\bar{y}_\lambda = 1$ for $\gamma + \omega \,.\, 2 \leqq \lambda$ are developments of the common points x and $\bar{y}$ and it is $p < \bar{x} < \bar{y} < q$ in $\mathfrak{P}_7$. Let I be the interval in $\mathfrak{P}_7$ with the end-points $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$ in $\mathfrak{P}_7$. Then $I \subset J$.

Let $z \in \mathfrak{P}_7$, $z = I_{i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \alpha)$ be an interval-point. We put $z' = f(z) = I_{j_0 j_1 \ldots j_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \gamma + \omega \,.\, 2 + \alpha)$ whereby $j_\lambda = \bar{x}_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \gamma + \omega \,.\, 2$ and $j_{\gamma+\omega.2+\lambda} = i_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \alpha$. If z has the property (c) or (f) then z' has the same property. Evidently $z' \in I \subset J$. Now, let z be a common point of $\mathfrak{P}_7$ with the development $[z_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$. Then $z' = f(z)$ with the development $[z'_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$ whereby $z'_\lambda = \bar{x}_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \gamma + \omega \,.\, 2$ and $z'_{\gamma+\omega.2+\lambda} = z_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \omega_1$, is a common point as well and $z' \in I$. It is easy to verify that the correspondence $z' = f(z)$ is a similarity. Therefore $\mathfrak{P}_7$ is a quasi-homogeneous continuum.

All sets $A^{(7)}_{00}, A^{(7)}_{01}, A^{(7)}_{10}$ are dense in $\mathfrak{P}_7$ because they are not empty and $\mathfrak{P}_7$ is a quasi-homogeneous continuum. Now, let us notice that the power of the system $\mathfrak{S}_7$ does not exceed the power of the set of all intervals in Q, viz. $2^{\aleph_0}$. From the property (c) it follows that any interval $I_{i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \omega)$ whereby $i_\lambda = 0$ for an infinite number of $\lambda < \omega$ and $i_{\lambda'} = 1$ for an infinite number of $\lambda' < \omega$ belongs to $\mathfrak{S}_7$. Thus the power of $A^{(7)}_{00}$ must be $2^{\aleph_0}$. If $x \in \mathfrak{P}_7 - A^{(7)}_{00}$, then the development $[x_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$ of x fails to have the property (c) and consequently there is a finite increasing sequence of indices $\alpha_0 < \beta_0 < \alpha_1 < \beta_1 < \ldots < \alpha_\nu < \beta_\nu < \ldots$, whereby $0 \leqq \alpha_\nu < \beta_\nu < \omega_1$ or $0 \leqq \alpha_\nu < \beta_\nu < \alpha$ such that $x_\lambda = 0$ for and only for $\alpha_\nu \leqq \lambda < \beta_\nu$ for every ν. Because we can attach one and at most one point $x \in \mathfrak{P}_7 - A^{(7)}_{00}$ to every sequence like this the power of the set $\mathfrak{P}_7 - A^{(7)}_{00}$ cannot exceed the cardinal number $\aleph_1$ of all finite increasing sequences of ordinals $< \omega_1$. The power of $A^{(7)}_{01}$ and $A^{(7)}_{10}$ is $\aleph_1$, $A^{(7)}_{01}$ and $A^{(7)}_{10}$ being dense in $\mathfrak{P}_7$ and because there cannot exist a countable set which would be dense in $\mathfrak{P}_7$. It remains to verify that the one-point-sets (a) and (b) are the common points in $\mathfrak{P}_7$ where a and b are the end-points in Q. This follows from the fact, that neither the point a nor the point b has property (c) or (f).

According to J. Novák we denote by $\mathfrak{P}_3$ the ordered continuum which contains all intervals $I_{i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \alpha) \subset Q$ with the property (c) and all one-point-sets (x) whereby $x \in Q$ fails to have property (c). He has proved that $\mathfrak{P}_3$ has the property π.

Theorem 2. *There exists a subset P of $\mathfrak{P}_3$ which is similar to $\mathfrak{P}_7$. $\mathfrak{P}_7$ has the property π.*

Proof. Let $z = I_{i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \alpha) \in \mathfrak{P}_7$ be an interval-point with the property (c); then $z' = f(z) = z$ is an interval-point in $\mathfrak{P}_3$. If $z \in \mathfrak{P}_7$ is an interval-point with property (f), then $[z'_\lambda]_{\lambda<\omega_1}$ whereby $z'_\lambda = i_\lambda$ for $\lambda < \alpha$ and $z_\lambda = 0$ for $\lambda \geqq \alpha$ is a development of the point $z' = f(z) \in \mathfrak{P}_3$. Let z be a common point in $\mathfrak{P}_7$. Then the point $z' = f(z) = z$ is a common point in $\mathfrak{P}_3$, too. It is easy to verify that f is a similarity of $\mathfrak{P}_7$ on the set $P \subset \mathfrak{P}_3$ of all points $f(z)$. Now $\mathfrak{P}_7$ has the property π because $\mathfrak{P}_3$, and consequently P, has it as well.

J. Novák has constructed the continua $\mathfrak{P}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{P}_6$ using the following properties: $\mathfrak{P}_3$: (c); $\mathfrak{P}_4$: (c) and (d); $\mathfrak{P}_5$: (c) and (e); $\mathfrak{P}_6$: (c), (d) and (e); $\mathfrak{P}_1$: (c), (d) and (f); $\mathfrak{P}_2$: (c), (e) and (g).

In this paper, I have constructed the continuum $\mathfrak{P}_7$ by using properties (c) and (f). All remaining combinations of the properties (d), (e), (f) and (g) with the property (c) are as follows:

(c) and (g); (c), (d) and (g); (c), (e) and (f); (c), (f) and (g); (c), (d), (e) and (f); (c), (d), (e) and (g); (c), (e), (f) and (g); (c), (d), (e) and (g); (c), (d), (e), (f) and (g).

It is easy to see that we get a continuum which is similar to $\mathfrak{P}_7$ reversed using the properties (c) and (g). Remaining combinations don't lead to any ordered continuum because the corresponding systems of intervals $\mathfrak{S}$ are not disjoint. For instance the intervals $I_{i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda}$ $(\lambda < \omega \,.\, 2)$ and $I_{j_0 j_1 \ldots j_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \omega)$, $i_0 = 0$, $i_\lambda = 1$ for $1 \leqq \lambda < \omega \,.\, 2$ and $j_0 = 1$, $j_\lambda = 0$ for $1 \leqq \lambda < \omega$ defined by means of the combination (c), (d) and (g) have a common point. The intervals $I_{i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \omega)$ and $I_{j_0 j_1 \ldots j_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \omega \,.\, 2)$, $i_0 = 0$, $i_\lambda = 1$ for $1 \leqq \lambda < \omega$ and $j_0 = 1$ and $j_\lambda = 0$ for $1 \leqq \lambda < \omega \,.\, 2$, defined by (c), (e) and (f), have also a common point and $I_{i_0 i_1 \ldots i_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \omega)$ and $I_{j_0 j_1 \ldots j_\lambda \ldots}$ $(\lambda < \omega)$, $i_0 = 1$, $j_0 = 0$, $i_\lambda = 0$ and $j_\lambda = 1$ for $1 \leqq \lambda < \omega$, defined by (c), (f) and (g), have a common point as well. It is easily seen, that further combinations define systems, which are not disjoint.