

Otomar Hájek

Prolongation of sections in local dynamical systems

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 16 (1966), No. 1, 41–45

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100708>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1966

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

PROLONGATION OF SECTIONS IN LOCAL DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

OTOMAR HÁJEK, Praha

(Received June 24, 1964)

This paper is closely connected with [1], and aims to extend some of the results obtained there. The generalisation is as follows:

(i) From the global dynamical systems of [1] to local dynamical systems (cf. [2]). Formally, this is almost trivial – one need only take a little more care in the proofs – but quite useful as far as applications are concerned.

(ii) It is shown that every compact section  $S_0$  may be embedded in another section which then generates a neighbourhood of  $S_0$  (theorem 5). The motivation for this was the special case described in theorem 7. Obviously, if a single noncritical point is taken for  $S_0$ , one obtains the Whitney-Bebutov theorem.

(iii) Finally it is proved that in theorem 1 of [1], local connectedness may be omitted from the assumptions (theorem 8).

Let  $P$  be a completely regular topological space. A *local dynamical system* on  $P$  is a mapping  $\tau$  with the properties 1°–3° (cf. [2]):

1°  $\tau$  is a continuous map of an open subset of  $P \times E^1$  into  $P$  (taking the usual product topology of  $P \times E^1$ ); for each  $x \in P$  there are  $-\infty \leq \alpha_x < 0 < \beta_x \leq +\infty$  such that  $\tau$  is defined at  $(x, \theta)$  iff  $\alpha_x < \theta < \beta_x$  (the value of  $\tau$  at  $(x, \theta)$  will be denoted by  $x\tau\theta$ );

2°  $x\tau 0 = x$ ;

3°  $(x\tau\theta_1)\tau\theta_2 = x\tau(\theta_1 + \theta_2)$  whenever both  $x\tau\theta_1$  and either the left or right side are defined.

If domain  $\tau$  is  $P \times E^1$  itself,  $\tau$  may be called a *global dynamical system*. These form the subject of [1]; see also [3, chap. V]. The difference between local and global dynamical systems may be illustrated by the fundamental application: In vector notation, let

$$\frac{dx}{d\theta} = f(x)$$

denote an autonomous system of differential equations in  $E^n$ . Let  $f: E^n \rightarrow E^n$  be continuous, and assume some local unicity condition. For  $x \in E^n$ ,  $\theta \in E^1$  let  $x\tau\theta$  be the

value at  $\theta$  of that solution which has initial value  $x$  at  $\theta = 0$ . By classical theorems, this defines a local dynamical system; it is global iff each solution can be prolonged over the entire  $\theta$ -axis.

Henceforth we assume that there is given a local dynamical system  $\tau$  on a separated uniformisable space  $P$ .

In the usual manner, if  $X \subset P$  and  $A \subset E^1$ , and if  $x\tau\theta$  is defined for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\theta \in A$ , then  $X\tau A$  will denote the set of all these elements. A point  $x \in P$  is called *critical* iff  $x = x\tau\theta$  for all  $\theta$ ,  $\alpha_x < \theta < \beta_x$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $X \subset P$ ,  $A \subset E^1$ ,  $X\tau A$  defined. If  $\bar{A}$  is compact, then  $\overline{X\tau A} = \bar{X} \tau \bar{A}$

For proof, see [1, lemma 2]. The following are easily proved: If both  $X$ ,  $A$  are compact or both connected then the same holds for  $X\tau A$ . If  $X$  is open then  $X\tau A$  is open if either  $\tau$  is global or  $P$  is locally euclidean.

Next we modify a definition from global dynamical system theory [3, p. 352], [1]:

**Definition 2.** A subset  $S \subset P$  is a *section* if there exists a  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $x\tau\theta$  is defined for  $(x, \theta) \in S \times \langle -\lambda, \lambda \rangle$  and that

$$S \cap (S\tau\theta) = \emptyset \quad \text{for } 0 < |\theta| \leq \lambda.$$

Any such  $\lambda$  may then be called a *length* of  $S$ . Given  $S$  and  $\lambda$ , the set  $S\tau\langle -\lambda, \lambda \rangle$  is said to be *generated* by  $S$ .

The following are immediate:  $S \subset P$  is a section of length  $\lambda > 0$  iff the sets  $S\tau\theta$ ,  $S\tau\theta'$  are disjoint for  $-\lambda/2 \leq \theta < \theta' \leq \lambda/2$ . Any subset of a section is a section. A singleton is a section iff it is noncritical. A compact  $S \subset P$  is a section iff it is a section locally at each  $x \in S$  (or equivalently, at each  $x \in P$ , since  $\emptyset$  is a section).

**Construction 3.** Let there be given a compact nonvoid section  $S_0$ . We shall first construct a mapping  $\varphi$ , then a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $S_0$ , and finally a set  $S$  whose properties will be examined.

Let  $S_0$  have length  $2\lambda_0 > 0$ . Since sets  $S_0\tau\theta$  with distinct  $\theta$ 's are disjoint, we may define a map  $\psi_0: S_0 \tau \langle -\lambda_0, \lambda_0 \rangle \rightarrow E^1$  by  $\psi_0(x\tau\theta) = \theta$  for  $x \in S_0$ ,  $|\theta| \leq \lambda_0$ . Obviously  $\psi_0$  is continuous on a compact domain (lemma 1), so that there is a continuous extension  $\psi, \psi_0 \subset \psi: P \rightarrow E^1$ . Now define, wherever possible,  $\varphi(x) = \int_{-\lambda_0}^{\lambda_0} \psi(x\tau\theta) d\theta$ . Obviously  $\varphi(x)$  is defined at least for  $x \in S_0$ , and then

$$(1) \quad \varphi(x) = \int_{-\lambda_0}^{\lambda_0} \psi_0(x\tau\theta) d\theta = \int_{-\lambda_0}^{\lambda_0} \theta d\theta = 0.$$

From this point on, the construction parallels that of [4].

Our next step is to obtain neighbourhoods of  $S_0$  of a special type. Merely for the purpose of this construction, a subset of  $P \times E^1$  of the form  $X \times \langle -\alpha, \alpha \rangle$  with  $X \subset P$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  will be termed *cartesian*; it is compact iff  $X$  is compact.

From definition 2,  $\tau$  is defined on  $S_0 \times \langle -2\lambda_0, 2\lambda_0 \rangle$ , so that it is also defined on a cartesian neighbourhood of  $S_0 \times \langle \lambda_0, \lambda_0 \rangle$ . Hence  $\varphi$  is defined and continuous on a neighbourhood of  $S_0$ ; therefore  $\varphi(x\tau\theta)$  (i.e., the composition of  $\varphi$  with  $\tau$ ) is defined and continuous on a cartesian neighbourhood of  $S_0 \times \{0\}$ . Then

$$\varphi(x\tau\theta) = \int_{\theta-\lambda_0}^{\theta+\lambda_0} \psi(x\tau\vartheta) d\vartheta, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \varphi(x\tau\theta) = \psi(x\tau\theta + \lambda_0) - \psi(x\tau\theta - \lambda_0),$$

so that  $(\partial/\partial\theta) \varphi(x\tau\theta)$  is also defined and continuous on a cartesian neighbourhood of  $S_0 \times \{0\}$ . Furthermore, by construction of  $\psi$ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \varphi(x\tau\theta) = 2\lambda_0 \quad \text{for } (x, \theta) \in S_0 \times \{0\};$$

by continuity, then,

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \varphi(x\tau\theta) > 0 \quad \text{for } (x, \theta) \in U_1 \times \langle -2\lambda, 2\lambda \rangle,$$

some cartesian neighbourhood of  $S_0 \times \{0\}$  (this  $\lambda$  will be important later).

In particular,  $\varphi(x\tau\lambda) > \varphi(x) = 0 > \varphi(x\tau - \lambda)$  for  $x \in S_0$ . Hence one may take a neighbourhood  $U_2$  of  $S_0$  with the property that

$$(3) \quad \varphi(x\tau\lambda) > 0 > \varphi(x\tau - \lambda) \quad \text{for } x \in U_2.$$

Now take any neighbourhood  $U$  of  $S_0$  with  $\bar{U} \subset U_1 \cap U_2$  (particular choices of this  $U$  will, subsequently, determine various properties of the section to be constructed).

The final step in the construction is to set

$$S = \{x : \varphi(x) = 0\} \cap (\bar{U}\tau\langle -\lambda, \lambda \rangle), \quad F = S\tau\langle -\lambda, \lambda \rangle.$$

**Lemma 4.** *Both  $S, F$  are closed, and*

$$S_0 \subset S \subset F, \quad S_0 \subset \text{Int } U \subset \bar{U} \subset F.$$

*The relations*

$$x \in \bar{U}, \quad p(x) = x\tau\theta \in S, \quad |\theta| \leq \lambda$$

*define a continuous closed map  $p$  of  $\bar{U}$  onto  $S$ .*

For proof, see that of lemma 6 in [1].

**Theorem 5.** *To any compact section  $S_0$  there exists a closed section  $S \supset S_0$  which generates arbitrarily small neighbourhoods of  $S_0$ .*

For proof, see that of theorem 2 in [1].

**Proposition 6.** *In theorem 5,*

1° *if  $P$  is locally compact, then  $S$  may be chosen compact,*

2° *if  $P$  is locally connected and  $S_0$  connected, then  $S$  may be chosen connected,*

3° *if  $P$  is metrisable with property  $\mathcal{S}$ , then  $S$  may be chosen locally connected;*

*Furthermore, if  $P$  has any combination of these properties, then  $S$  may be taken with the corresponding combination of properties.*

For proof, see that of theorem 2 in [1]; one only needs the additional easily established fact that a connected set in a locally connected space has small connected neighbourhoods.

Now we shall obtain consequences of the extension theorem in the case that the carrier space  $P$  is a 2-manifold. We recall a former result applying to this situation: every locally connected continuum section is either a simple arc or a simple closed curve [1, theorem 1]. It is easily established that the proof [1] again carries over bodily to our case of local dynamical systems.

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $S_0$  be a simple arc section of a local dynamical system on a 2-manifold. Then there exists a second simple arc section  $S \supset S_0$  such that neither end-point of  $S_0$  is an end-point of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* First use proposition 6 to obtain a compact connected locally connected section  $S \supset S_0$ , of length say  $\lambda$ , which generates a neighbourhood  $F$  of  $S_0$ . Since  $S_0$  contains at least two points, so does  $S$ ; thus  $S$  is a locally connected continuum, and [1, theorem 1] applies.

Therefore there is a homeomorphism  $q : Q \approx S$  (a "parametrisation" of  $S$ ) where  $Q$  is either the interval  $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$  in  $E^1$  or the unit circle in  $E^2$  (according as  $S$  is or not an arc).

Now,  $S$  is a section of length  $\lambda$ ; it is then easily verified that the map  $h$ ,

$$h(\theta, \sigma) = q(\sigma) \tau \theta, \quad (\theta, \sigma) \in \langle -\frac{1}{2}\lambda, \frac{1}{2}\lambda \rangle \times Q,$$

is 1 - 1. Obviously  $h$  is continuous, and maps its compact domain onto  $F$ . Thus  $h$  is a homeomorphism, in fact an extension of  $q$ . The set  $F$  is a neighbourhood of  $S_0$ , and hence neither end-point of  $S_0$  can be an end-point of  $S$  - this is quite obvious in the image set under  $h^{-1}$ .

Finally, if  $S$  is a closed curve, then omission of a suitable open subarc of  $S - S_0$  results in a simple arc section as required. This completes the proof.

An interesting detail may be noticed in proposition 6 - that, under certain conditions, one obtains a locally connected  $S$  even though local connectedness was not assumed of  $S_0$ . We shall now exploit this to eliminate the local connectivity assumption of [1, theorem 1]:

**Theorem 8.** *Given, a local dynamical system on a 2-manifold  $P$ . Then every continuum section is locally connected and thus is a simple arc or a simple closed curve.*

*Proof.* Let  $S_0$  be a continuum and a section. Apply proposition 6, obtaining a locally connected continuum section  $S \supset S_0$ . From [1, theorem 1],  $S$  is a simple arc or simple closed curve; in either case,  $S$  is hereditarily locally connected, so that  $S_0 \subset S$  is locally connected.

Our method of proof of this latter result was rather roundabout, using theorem 1 of [1] (and hence dendrite theory) as an intermediate step. A more direct proof would be most satisfactory.

#### References

- [1] *Hájek O.*: Sections of dynamical systems in  $E^2$ , this journal, 15 (90) 1965, 205—211.
- [2] *Hájek O.*: Critical points of local dynamical systems, *Comm. Math. Univ. Carol.*, 5,3 (1964), 121—124.
- [3] *Němyckij V. V., Stěpanov V. V.*: *Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations* (in Russian), 2nd ed., Gostechizdat, Moscow—Leningrad, 1949.

*Author's address:* Praha 8 - Karlín, Sokolovská 83, ČSSR (Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta UK).

#### Резюме

### ПРОДОЛЖЕНИЕ СЕЧЕНИЙ В ЛОКАЛЬНЫХ ДИНАМИЧЕСКИХ СИСТЕМАХ

Отомар Гаек (Otomar Hájek), Прага

Главные результаты: Пусть  $S_0$  — компактное сечение лок. дин. системы в тихоновском пространстве  $P$ ; тогда существует сечение  $S \supset S_0$ , которое порождает окрестность сечения  $S_0$ . (Классическая теорема Витней-Бебутова соответствует случаю, когда  $S_0$  — единственная не критическая точка.) Если, далее,  $P$  лок. компактное и лок. связное, и  $S_0$  связное, то существует континуум  $S$ . Если  $P$  метризуемо и обладает свойством  $\mathcal{S}$ , то существует лок. связное  $S$  (теоремы 5 и 6).

Другие результаты относятся к случаю, когда  $P$  — многообразие размерности 2. Всякое сечение — континуум является простой дугой или простой замкнутой кривой (обобщение теоремы 1 из [1]). Пусть  $S_0$  — простая дуга и сечение; тогда существует  $S \supset S_0$ , являющееся простой дугой и сечением таким, что концевые точки  $S_0$  не являются концевыми для  $S$ .