Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Bohdan Zelinka

Derivatives of hypergraphs

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 28 (1978), No. 3, 394–399

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101544

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 28 (103) 1978, Praha

DERIVATIVES OF HYPERGRAPHS

BOHDAN ZELINKA, Liberec

(Received February 2, 1976)

In [1] the following problem of D. K. RAY-CHAUDHURI is proposed:

Let $H = (X, \mathscr{E})$, $\mathscr{E} = (E_i : i \in I)$ be a hypergraph such that $|E_i| \geqq r$, $\forall i \in I$. Let $H_r = (P_r(X), E^r)$, $E^r = (E_i^r : i \in I)$, where $P_r(X)$ is the set of r-element subsets of X and E_i^r is the set of r-element subsets of E_i, $i \in I$. H_r will be called the *r-th derivative of H*. Note that $|E_i^r| = \binom{|E_i|}{r}$. Find necessary and sufficient conditions under which a hypergraph K is the r-th derivative of a hypergraph H.

This is Problem 27 from [1]. We shall solve this problem in a special case when the intersection closure of K is intersecting. A hypergraph is called *intersecting*, if any two of its edges have a non-empty intersection.

We shall introduce some notions. The r-th derivative of a hypergraph H will be denoted by $\partial^r H$. If H is a finite hypergraph, then the intersection closure of H is the hypergraph $J(H)$ with the same vertex set as H which is the minimal hypergraph with the property that it contains all edges of H and with any two edges it contains their intersection as an edge, provided this intersection is non-empty.

Lemma 1. *Let H be a hypergraph whose edges have cardinalities greater than or equal to r, where r is a positive integer. Then*

$$\partial^r J(H) = J(\partial^r H) .$$

Proof. Any edge F of $J(H)$ is of the form $\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} F_i$, where $F_1, \ldots, F_k$ are edges of H. Let $F_1^r, \ldots, F_k^r, F^r$ be the edges of $\partial^r J(H)$ corresponding to $F_1, \ldots F_k, F$ respectively. Each set of the cardinality r which is a subset of each F_i for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ is a subset of F and vice versa. Therefore $F^r = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} F_i^r$. As this is true for each r, the assertion is proved.

The edges of $J(H)$, possibly with the empty set added form a semilattice $\mathfrak{S}(H)$ with respect to the set intersection.

A hypergraph is called *r-intersecting*, if any two of its edges have an intersection of a cardinality at least r.

Lemma 2. *Let H be a hypergraph whose edges have cardinalities greater than or equal to r, where r is a positive integer. Then $\partial^r J(H)$ is intersecting if and only if $J(H)$ is r-intersecting.*

Proof. If $J(H)$ is r-intersecting, then for any two edges F_1, F_2 of $J(H)$ we have $|F_1 \cap F_2| \geqq r$, therefore there exists at least one r-element subset of $F_1 \cap F_2$ and $F_1^r \cap F_2^r \neq \emptyset$. As F_1, F_2 were chosen arbitrarily, the graph $\partial^r J(H)$ is intersecting. If $J(H)$ is not r-intersecting, there exist edges F_1, F_2 of $J(H)$ such that $|F_1 \cap F_2| < r$. Then there exists no r-element set which is a subset of both F_1 and F_2, thus $F_2^r \cap F_1^r = \emptyset$ and $\partial^r J(H)$ is not intersecting.

Lemma 3. *Let H be an r-intersecting hypergraph. Then $\mathfrak{S}(H) \cong \mathfrak{S}(\partial^r H)$.*

Proof. Let α be a mapping of the edge set of $J(H)$ onto the edge set of $\partial^r J(H)$ such that $\alpha(F) = F^r$ for each edge F of $J(H)$; this is evidently a bijection. As we have shown, for any edges $F, F_1, \ldots, F_k$ we have $F^r = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} F_i^r$ if and only if $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} F_i$. Therefore α is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{S}(H)$ onto $\mathfrak{S}(\partial^r H)$.

This assertion is not true in the case when H is not r-intersecting. Let H be a hypergraph with the vertex set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ and with the edges $\{1, 2, 3\}$, $\{3, 4, 5\}$, $\{5, 6, 1\}$, let $r = 2$. The hypergraph H is not r-intersecting. The semilattice $\mathfrak{S}(H)$ consists of the elements $\{1, 2, 3\}$, $\{3, 4, 5\}$, $\{5, 6, 1\}$, $\{1\}$, $\{3\}$, $\{5\}$, $\emptyset$. The semilattice $\mathfrak{S}(\partial^r H)$ consists of the elements $\{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$, $\{\{3, 4\}, \{3, 5\}, \{4, 5\}\}$, $\{\{5, 6\}, \{5, 1\}, \{6, 1\}\}$, $\emptyset$. These semilattices are not isomorphic, which is seen from the fact that they have not the same number of elements.

The multiplication in a semilattice will be denoted by $\circ$ or $\prod$. Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be a finite semilattice, let φ be a mapping of $\mathfrak{S}$ into the set N of all non-negative integers. Let A be a non-empty subset of $\mathfrak{S}$, $|A| = m$. We define an operator $INEX\ (\varphi; A)$ as follows.

For each positive integer j such that $1 \leqq j \leqq m$ let $\mathscr{A}_j$ be the set of all j-element subsets of A. Then

$$INEX\ (\varphi; A) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{B \in \mathscr{A}_j} \varphi\Big(\prod_{x \in B} x\Big).$$

If $\mathfrak{S}$ is a finite semilattice whose elements are sets and in which the multiplication is the intersection of sets and if $\varphi(a)$ denotes the cardinality of the element a of $\mathfrak{S}$, then $INEX\ (\varphi; A)$ is the cardinality of the set union of all elements of A. This is the well-known Inclusion-Exclusion Principle and this is also the reason of the notation $INEX\ (\varphi; A)$.

Lemma 4. *Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be a finite semilattice, let φ be a mapping of $\mathfrak{S}$ into the set N of all non-negative integers. Let A be a subset of $\mathfrak{S}$ with at least two elements, let $a \in A$. Then*

$$INEX(\varphi; A) = INEX(\varphi; A - \{a\}) + \varphi(a) - INEX(\varphi; a \circ (A - \{a\})).$$

Remark. By $a \circ (A - \{a\})$ we denote the set of all elements of $\mathfrak{S}$ of the form $a \circ x$, where $x \in A - \{a\}$.

Proof. Let j be an integer, $1 \leqq j \leqq m$, where m is the cardinality of A. Let $\mathscr{A}_j$ (or $\mathscr{A}'_j$) be the set of all j-element subsets of A (or $A - \{a\}$ respectively). Denote $A' = A - \{a\}$. Further, let $\mathscr{A}''_j = \mathscr{A}_j - \mathscr{A}'_j$. Each element of $\mathscr{A}''_j$ for $j \geqq 2$ is obtained from an element of A'_{j-1} by adding a for $j = 1$ we have $\mathscr{A}''_1 = \{\{a\}\}$. Thus for $j \geqq 2$ we have

$$\sum_{B \in \mathscr{A}_j} \varphi(\prod_{x \in B} x) = \sum_{B \in \mathscr{A}_j'} \varphi(\prod_{x \in B} x) + \sum_{C \in \mathscr{A}_j''} \varphi(\prod_{x \in C} x) = \sum_{B \in \mathscr{A}_j'} \varphi(\prod_{x \in B} x) + \sum_{C \in \mathscr{A}'_{j-1}} \varphi(\prod_{x \in C} (a \circ x)).$$

For $j = 1$ we have

$$\sum_{B \in \mathscr{A}_1} \varphi(\prod_{x \in B} x) = \sum_{x \in A} \varphi(x) = \sum_{x \in A - \{a\}} \varphi(x) + \varphi(a).$$

Thus

$$INEX(\varphi, A) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{B \in \mathscr{A}_j} \varphi(\prod_{x \in B} x) =$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} (-1)^{j+1} \Big(\sum_{B \in \mathscr{A}_j'} \varphi(\prod_{x \in B} x) + \sum_{C \in \mathscr{A}'_{j-1}} \varphi(\prod_{x \in C} (a \circ x)) \Big) =$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{B \in \mathscr{A}_j'} \varphi(\prod_{x \in B} x) + \sum_{j=2}^{m} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{C \in \mathscr{A}'_{j-1}} \varphi(\prod_{x \in C} (a \circ x)) + \varphi(a) =$$

$$= INEX(\varphi, A') + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{j} \sum_{C \in \mathscr{A}'_{j-1}} \varphi(\prod_{x \in C} (a \circ x)) + \varphi(a) =$$

$$= INEX(\varphi, A') - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{C \in \mathfrak{A}_j'} \varphi(\prod_{x \in C} (a \circ x)) + \varphi(a).$$

If $C \in \mathfrak{A}'_j$, then there may exist $x \in C$, $y \in C$ such that $x \neq y$, $a \circ x = a \circ y$. Nonetheless, we shall prove that in spite of it

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{C \in \mathfrak{A}_j'} \varphi(\prod_{x \in C} (a \circ x)) = INEX(\varphi; a \circ A').$$

Let the cardinality of A' be p, let the cardinality of $a \circ A'$ be q. Let $a \circ A' = \{c_1, \ldots, c_q\}$. Let $D_i = \{x \in A' \mid a \circ x = c_i\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, q$. The elements of D_i will be denoted by $b_1^{(i)}, \ldots, b_{r(i)}^{(i)}$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, q$. Let $\{c_{s(1)}, \ldots, c_{s(t)}\}$ be a subset of $a \circ A'$. There are $u = \prod_{l=1}^{t} (2^{r(s(l))} - 1)$ subsets C of A' such that $\{a \circ x \mid x \in C\} =$

$= \{c_{s(1)}, \ldots, c_{s(t)}\}$. But, as well-known, $\frac{1}{2}(u + 1)$ of them have cardinalities congruent with t modulo 2 and $\frac{1}{2}(u - 1)$ have cardinalities congruent with $t + 1$ modulo 2. As the expressions $\varphi(\prod_{x \in C} (a \circ x))$ occur in the expression $\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{C \in \mathfrak{A}_j'} \varphi(\prod_{x \in C} (a \circ x))$ with the plus (or minus) sign if C has an odd (or even respectively) cardinality, we see that really

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{C \in \mathfrak{A}_j'} \varphi(\prod_{x \in C} (a \circ x)) = INEX\,(\varphi, a \circ A')$$

and thus the assertion is proved.

Now let a finite semilattice $\mathfrak{S}$ and a mapping φ of $\mathfrak{S}$ into the set N be given. In the semilattice $\mathfrak{S}$ we put $a \prec b$ for $a \in \mathfrak{S}$, $b \in \mathfrak{S}$ if and only if $a \neq b$, $a \circ b = a$. We say that the semilattice $\mathfrak{S}$ with the mapping φ is representable by a system of subsets of a set M, if there exists a system of subsets of M which forms a semilattice isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}$ with respect to the set inclusion and the cardinality of the set from this system which corresponds in the isomorphism to the element $x \in \mathfrak{S}$ is equal to $\varphi(x)$.

Lemma 5. *Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be a finite semilattice, let φ be a mapping of $\mathfrak{S}$ into the set N of all non-negative integers, let q be a positive integer. The following two assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *$INEX\,(\varphi; \mathfrak{S}) \leqq n$, $INEX\,(\varphi; \mathfrak{S}(x)) \leqq \varphi(x)$ for each $x \in \mathfrak{S}$ and $\varphi(x) < \varphi(y)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{S}$, $y \in \mathfrak{S}$, $x \prec y$ (here $\mathfrak{S}(x)$ denotes the subsemilattice of $\mathfrak{S}$ consisting of the elements $y \prec x$.*

(ii) *There exists a set M of the cardinality n such that the semilattice $\mathfrak{S}$ with the mapping φ is representable by a system of subsets of M.*

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). We shall proceed according to the number of elements of $\mathfrak{S}$. If this number is 1, the assertion holds trivially. Let $k \geqq 2$; suppose that the assertion holds for each $\mathfrak{S}$ with at most $k - 1$ elements. Let $\mathfrak{S}$ have k elements. Choose a maximal element a of $\mathfrak{S}$; as $\mathfrak{S}$ is finite, such an element exists. The set $\mathfrak{S}' = \mathfrak{S} - \{a\}$ is a subsemilattice of $\mathfrak{S}$, because a, being maximal, cannot be a product of two elements different from a. From Lemma 4 we have

$$INEX\,(\varphi; \mathfrak{S}) = INEX\,(\varphi; \mathfrak{S}') - INEX\,(\varphi; a \circ \mathfrak{S}') + \varphi(a)\,.$$

If the condition (i) holds for $\mathfrak{S}$, it holds also for $\mathfrak{S}'$. As $a \succ a \circ x$ for each $x \in \mathfrak{S}'$, we have $\mathfrak{S}(a) = a \circ \mathfrak{S}'$ and $INEX\,(\varphi; a \circ \mathfrak{S}') \leqq \varphi(a)$. Thus $INEX\,(\varphi; \mathfrak{S}) \geqq$ $\geqq INEX\,(\varphi; \mathfrak{S}')$. As $INEX\,(\varphi; \mathfrak{S}) \leqq n$, we have $INEX\,(\varphi; \mathfrak{S}') \leqq n + INEX\,.$ $.\,(\varphi; a \circ \mathfrak{S}') - \varphi(a)$; denote it by n'. Obviously $\mathfrak{S}'$ and $a \circ \mathfrak{S}'$ satisfy the other conditions from (i), because they are subsemilattices of $\mathfrak{S}$. According to the induction assumption there exists a representation of $\mathfrak{S}'$ by a system of subsets of a set M_1

of the cardinality n' (where the corresponding mapping is the restriction of φ onto $\mathfrak{S}'$). Also there exists a representation of $a \circ \mathfrak{S}'$ by a system of subsets of a set M_2 of the cardinality $\varphi(a)$. Take both these representations and identify the elements of M_1 and M_2 so that the sets which represent the same element from $a \circ \mathfrak{S}'$ coincide. The result is the required representation of $\mathfrak{S}$ by subsets of a set M with the cardinality $n' + \varphi(a) - INEX\,(\varphi;\, a \circ \mathfrak{S}') = n$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). This follows from the Inclusion-Exclusion Principle.

Theorem. *Let r be a positive integer, let N be the set of all positive integers. Let $N(r)$ be the set of all positive integers which can be written in the form $\binom{m}{r}$, where $m \in N$. Let ψ be defined so that $\psi\left(\binom{m}{r}\right) = m$ for each $m \in N$. Let K be an intersecting hypergraph. Then $K \cong \partial^r H$ for a hypergraph H, if and only if:*

(α) *the number n_0 of vertices of K is in $N(r)$;*

(β) *the cardinality of each edge of $J(K)$ is in $N(r)$,*

(γ) *if $\varphi(F) = \psi(|F|)$ for each edge F of $J(K)$, then $INEX\,(\varphi;\, \mathfrak{S}(K)) \leqq n_0$, $INEX\,(\varphi;\, \mathfrak{S}(K)\,(F)) \leqq \varphi(F)$ for each edge F of $J(K)$ and $\varphi(F_1) < \varphi(F_2)$ for $F_1 \subset F_2$, $F_1 \neq F_2$.*

If these conditions are fulfilled, the hypergraph H is r-intersecting and is determined by K up to isomorphism.

Remark. The symbol $\mathfrak{S}(K)\,(F)$ has an analogous meaning as $\mathfrak{S}(x)$ in Lemma 5.

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that there exists H such that $\partial^r H \cong K$. As $J(K)$ is intersecting, $J(H)$ must be r-intersecting according to Lemma 2. The condition (α) follows from the definition of the r-th derivative, the condition (β) follows from Lemma 1. Now $\mathfrak{S}(H) \cong \mathfrak{S}(K)$, thus H is a representation of the semilattice $\mathfrak{S}(K)$ with the mapping φ by a system of subsets of a set with n vertices, where n is such an integer that $\binom{n}{r}$ is the number of vertices of K. Thus (γ) follows from Lemma 5.

Sufficiency. It follows from Lemma 5.

The hypergraph H is determined up to isomorphism, because from the proof of Lemma 5 we see that the construction of the representation of $\mathfrak{S}$ with φ by sets gives a unique result up to isomorphism.

In the case when K is not intersecting, the hypergraph H is not determined uniquely up to isomorphism. This is caused by the fact that if two edges of $J(K)$ are disjoint, we cannot determine uniquely the cardinality of the intersection of the corresponding edges of $J(H)$; it may be equal to an arbitrary integer between zero and $r - 1$.

Let H_1 be a graph with the vertex set $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and with the edges $\{1, 2\}$ $\{3, 4\}$, let H_2 be a graph with the same vertex set and with the edges $\{1, 2\}$, $\{1, 3\}$. We see that H_1 non $\cong H_2$, $\partial^2 H_1 \cong \partial^2 H_2$.

This fact and the fact that for H which is not intersecting the semilattices $S(H)$ and $S(\partial^r H)$ need not be isomorphic complicate considerably the situation and thus the problem for such graphs remains open.

Reference

[1] Hypergraph Seminar. Ohio State University 1972. Ed. by C. Berge and D. K. Ray-Chaudhuri. Springer Verlag Berlin—Heidelberg—New York 1974.

Author's address: 460 01 Liberec, Komenského 2, ČSSR (Katedra matematiky VŠST).