Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Robert L. Madell

Complete distributivity and α-convergence

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 30 (1980), No. 2, 296–301

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101678

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1980

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 30 (105) 1980, Praha

COMPLETE DISTRIBUTIVITY AND α-CONVERGENCE

ROBERT L. MADELL, New York

(Received August 14, 1978)

1. Introduction. A totally ordered group (notation: o-group) is well-known to be a Hausdorff topological group and topological lattice (and thus a *topological o-group*) in its interval topology. The interval topology is *compatible* with the order: i.e., if $\bigwedge_{j \in J} |x_j| = e$ for each cofinal subset J of the directed index set I, then the net $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ converges to e where e denotes the identity. Furthermore, if G is any topological o-group then sets of the form $\{x \in G \mid a < x < b\}$ for $a, b \in G$ are open so that the topology in G lies between the interval and the discrete. As ELLIS [4] has remarked, various authors have attempted to generalize these results to lattice-ordered groups (notation: l-groups) and these attempts have been largely unsuccessful. For example, JAKUBÍK has shown that the interval topology of a representable l-group is Hausdorff group topological if and only if the group is an o-group [8]. On the other hand, order convergence (which in the totally ordered case derives from the interval topology) does not in general derive from a topology and in fact is topological only in rather special cases [5, 6, 7]. We shall here generalize results of PAPANGELOU [11, 12] and Ellis [4] to show that in an arbitrary completely distributive l-group G (and only in a completely distributive l-group) the topology from which α-convergence derives makes G into a Hausdorff topological group and topological lattice (so that G is called a *topological l-group* or tl-group and the topology a *tl-topology*) which reduces to the interval topology in the totally ordered case (Theorem 2, 3). This topology for G has fewer open sets than any other tl-topology for G (Theorem 4). The tl-topology from which α-convergence in G derives is (1) compatible with the order (Theorem 5) and (2) is such that G can be continuously embedded by a one-to-one lattice homomorphism π in the Cartesian product of topological chains of the form G/N where each N is a topologically closed prime convex l-subgroup of G and G/N is the collection of right cosets. In fact, the closed prime convex l-subgroups N may be chosen so that each G/N has precisely the interval topology and $\pi : G \to G\pi$ is a homeomorphism (Theorem 2). Conversely, if an arbitrary l-group G has a tl-topology with properties (1) and (2), then G is completely distributive and the given topology is precisely the topology from which α-convergence derives (Corollary 8). For basic terminology see [1, 7, 9].

2. Preliminaries. A net $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ in a lattice L is said to *α-converge* to $x \in L$ (notation: $\alpha - \lim_{i\in I} x_i = x$) if x is the only element of L which satisfies

$$x = \bigvee_{i \geqq i_0} (x_i \wedge x) = \bigwedge_{i \geqq i_0} (x_i \vee x)$$

for every $i_0 \in I$. We say that α-convergence *derives* from the topology T on L (and thus that α-convergence is *topological*) if a net $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ in L converges to x if and only if $\alpha - \lim_{i\in I} x_i = x$. The lattice L is said to be *completely distributive* if

$$\bigwedge_{k\in K} (\bigvee_{j\in J} x_{kj}) = \bigvee_{f\in F} (\bigwedge_{k\in K} x_{kf(k)})$$

holds whenever $\{x_{kj} \mid k \in K, j \in J\}$ is a doubly-indexed subset of L for which all the indicated joins and meets exist and $F = J^K$. It is well-known and easy to see that if L is totally ordered then L is completely distributive and α-convergence on L derives from the interval topology.

A convex l-subgroup M of an l-group G is called *L-closed* if whenever $\{g_i \mid i \in I\} \subseteq M$ and $\bigvee_{i\in I} g_i$ exists then $\bigvee_{i\in I} g_i \in M$. In that case, the natural map $\pi : G \to G/M$ preserves all suprema and infema [2] and is said to be *regular*. The *distributive radical* $D(G)$ is the intersection of the L-closures of the minimal prime convex l-subgroups of G. It was shown in [3] that G is completely distributive if and only if $D(G) = \{e\}$ where e denotes the identity of G. It was shown in [10] that if G is a *tl*-group and M is L-closed then M is (topologically) closed. Thus, if $T_1(G)$ denotes the intersection of the closures of the minimal prime convex l-subgroups of G then $T_1(G) \subseteq D(G)$.

For completeness we shall present a somewhat different proof of one direction of a fundamental result of Ellis. We shall use the following result of [12].

Theorem 1 (Papangelou). *Let G be an l-group. If $\alpha - \lim_{i\in I} x_i = e$ then for each cofinal subset J of I, $\bigwedge_{j\in J} |x_j| = e$. If G is completely distributive the converse also holds.*

Theorem 2 (Ellis [4]). *If α-convergence in an l-group G is topological then G is completely distributive. Conversely, let G be a completely distributive l-group and let $\{N_\beta \mid \beta \in B\}$ be any collection of L-closed prime convex l-subgroups of G with $\bigcap_{\beta\in B} N_\beta = \{e\}$. For $\beta \in B$ let G/N_β denote the chain of right cosets of N_β and give G/N_β the interval topology. Let the full product $\prod_{\beta\in B} (G/N_\beta)$ be ordered componentwise and be given the Cartesian topology T. Then α-convergence derives from the topology that G inherits from T via the natural one-to-one lattice homomorphism $\pi : G \to \prod_{\beta\in B} (G/N_\beta)$. Thus, G is a topological lattice and if representable even a topological group.*

Proof. If $(g_i)_{i\in I}$ is a net in G with $\alpha - \lim_{i\in I} g_i = e$ then for each cofinal subset J of I and for each L-closed N_β, $\beta \in B$, $\bigwedge_{j\in J} N_\beta|g_j| = N_\beta$. To show by way of contradiction that the net $(g_i)_{i\in I}$ convergences to e in the topology G inherits from T suppose there exists $\beta \in B$ and an interval $U = \{N_\beta z \mid N_\beta y < N_\beta z < N_\beta y'\}$ about N_β in G/N_β such that it is not true that $(N_\beta g_i)_{i\in I}$ is eventually in U. Then there exists a cofinal subset J of I with say $N_\beta g_j \leqq N_\beta y$, $j \in J$. Since for $j \in J$, $N_\beta y < N_\beta \leqq N_\beta y g_j^{-1}$ we have

$$N_\beta y g_j < N_\beta g_j \leqq N_\beta y < N_\beta y g_j^{-1}$$

so that $N_\beta y = N_\beta y(\bigwedge_{j\in J} |g_j|) = \bigwedge_{j\in J} N_\beta y|g_j| = \bigwedge_{j\in J} N_\beta y g_j^{-1} \geqq N_\beta > N_\beta y$ for the desired contradiction. Since $\alpha - \lim_{i\in I} g_i = g$ is equivalent to $\alpha - \lim_{i\in I} g_i g^{-1} = e$, it follows immediately that $\alpha - \lim_{i\in I} g_i = g$ implies that the net $(g_i)_{i\in I}$ converges to g in the topology G inherits from T. If on the other hand $(g_i)_{i\in I}$ is eventually in each T-neighborhood of g so for $\beta \in B$, $\alpha - \lim_{i\in I} N_\beta g_i = N_\beta g$, the fact that each $\pi_\beta : G \to G/N_\beta$ is regular guarantees that $\alpha - \lim_{i\in I} g_i = g$.

3. A compatible group topology. We now present a proof that every completely distributive l-group is a tl-group in the topology from which α-convergence derives.

Theorem 3. *An l-group G is completely distributive if and only if α-convergence derives from a topology with which G is a tl-group.*

Proof. By Theorem 2, if α-convergence is topological then G is completely distributive. Now suppose G is completely distributive. By Theorem 2, G is a topological lattice in the topology which derives from α-convergence. Since it follows from the definitions that $\alpha - \lim_{i\in I} x_i = x$, $\alpha - \lim_{i\in I} x_i^{-1} = x^{-1}$, $\alpha - \lim_{i\in I} x_i c = xc$ and $\alpha - \lim_{i\in I} c x_i = cx$ are equivalent for $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ an arbitrary net in G and $x, c \in G$, it only remains to show that if $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ and $(y_j)_{j\in J}$ are nets in G with $\alpha - \lim_{i\in I} x_i = \alpha - \lim_{j\in J} y_j = e$ then $\alpha - \lim_{(i,j)\in I\times J} x_i y_j = e$ where $I \times J$ is ordered component-wise. The results from [12] used below carry over to the non-Abelian case.

Since $\alpha - \lim_{i\in I} x_i = e$ and $\alpha - \lim_{j\in J} y_j = e$ we have $\alpha - \lim_{i\in I} |x_i| = e$ and $\alpha - \lim_{j\in J} |y_j| = e$ [12, Corollary 3.5]. By [12, Proposition 3.3], $\alpha - \lim_{(i,j,k)\in I\times J\times I} |x_i| \cdot |y_j|\,|x_k| = e$ so by [12, Proposition 3.1], $\alpha - \lim_{(i,j)\in I\times J} |x_i|\,|y_j|\,|x_i| = e$. We show that this implies $\alpha - \lim_{(i,j)\in I\times J} |x_i y_j| = e$ so by [12, Proposition 3.6], $\alpha - \lim_{(i,j)\in I\times J} x_i y_j = e$ as desired.

According to [12, Proposition 3.2], if $(z_k)_{k\in K}$ is a net in G with $z_k \geqq e$ for every k then $\alpha - \lim_{k\in K} z_k = e$ if and only if for each $z > e$ there exist $k_0 \in K$ and $u_0 \in G$ such that

$$z > u_0 \geqq z_k \wedge z$$

for all $k \geqq k_0$. Now $\alpha - \lim_{(i,j)\in I\times J} |x_i|\,|y_j|\,|x_i| = e$ so if $z > e$ there exist $i_0 \in I$, $j_0 \in J$, $u_0 \in G$ such that

$$z > u_0 \geqq |x_i|\,|y_j|\,|x_i| \wedge z$$

for all $(i, j) \geqq (i_0, j_0)$. But $|x_i y_j| \leqq |x_i|\,|y_j|\,|x_i|$ so

$$z > u_0 \geqq |x_i y_j| \wedge z$$

so we do in fact have $\alpha - \lim_{(i,j)\in I\times J} |x_i y_j| = e$.

Theorems 4 and 5 make it still more clear that the topology of α-convergence is a suitable generalization to completely distributive l-groups of the interval topology in o-groups.

Theorem 4. *Let G be a completely distributive tl-group. Then the topology for G lies between the discrete and the topology from which α-convergence derives.*

Proof. Let $\{N_\beta \mid \beta \in B\}$ be a collection of L-closed and so topologically closed prime convex l-subgroups of G with $\bigcap_{\beta\in B} N_\beta = \{e\}$. If each G/N_β is given the projection topology and $\prod_{\beta\in B}(G/N_\beta)$ the Cartesian topology, the natural map $\pi : G \to \prod_{\beta\in B}(G/N_\beta)$ is continuous. Since each G/N_β has at least the open sets of the interval topology the result follows from Theorem 2.

Theorem 5. *Let G be a completely distributive l-group. Then any topology (not necessarily a tl-topology) for G whose convergence is implied by α-convergence is compatible with the order on G. In particular, the topology from which α-convergence derives is compatible.*

Proof. Suppose $\bigwedge_{j\in J} |g_j| = e$ for each cofinal subset J of the directed index set I. By Theorem 1, $\alpha - \lim_{i\in I} g_i = e$ so by hypothesis $(g_i)_{i\in I}$ converges to e.

The next result provides a converse for an arbitrary l-group leaving open the question of the necessity of complete distributivity in Theorem 5.

Theorem 6. *Let G be a compatible tl-group. If $(g_i)_{i\in I}$ is a net in G with $\alpha - \lim_{i\in I} g_i = g$ then the net converges to g.*

Proof. Since $\alpha - \lim_{i\in I} g_i g^{-1} = e$, $\bigwedge_{j\in J} |g_j g^{-1}| = e$ for every cofinal subset J of I. By compatibility $(g_i g^{-1})_{i\in I}$ converges to e so $(g_i)_{i\in I}$ converges to g.

Theorems 4 and 6 together show that every completely distributive compatible tl-group has precisely the topology from which α-convergence derives. The following lemma allows us to strengthen that result.

Lemma 7. *In a compatible tl-group each closed l-subgroup is L-closed.*

Proof. Let N be a closed l-subgroup and let $g = \bigvee_{i \in I} g_i$ with $S = \{g_i \mid i \in I\}$ a set of elements in N. Let the set of all elements which can be written in the form hg^{-1} where each h is the supremum of finitely many elements of S be indexed by itself and thus be considered to be a net in N. By compatibility this net converges to e so the net of elements h converges to g forcing $g \in N$.

Corollary 8. *Let G be a compatible tl-group. Then $T_1(G) = D(G)$. Thus if $T_1(G) = \{e\}$, then G is completely distributive and α-convergence derives from the topology on G.*

4. Additional Remarks. Let G be an l-group and define a set X to be closed in G if X contains with every α-convergent net the α-limit. If we call the resulting topology the *α-topology*, then in general, every α-convergent net converges in the α-topology and in particular, if G is completely distributive then α-convergence derives from the α-topology. It is natural to enquire about the α-topology in the case where G is not completely distributive. Unfortunately, an example of FLOYD [6] shows that we cannot in general expect the α-topology to be a tl-topology, for his l-group has no σ-compatible tl-topology and the α-topology is easily seen to satisfy this weaker form of compatibility.

One may also try to topologize non-completely distributive l-groups by observing that every l-group may be embedded in a completely distributive l-group and thus inherits a tl-topology. But in addition to the limitations of Corollary 8 the following example shows that the resulting topology depends upon the embedding. It also shows that a completely distributive l-subgroup of a completely distributive l-group need not be a regular sublattice, thus answering a question raised in [4].

Let G be the l-group of all those integer valued functions f on the set $\{1, 2, \ldots\}$ which have the property that for some integer c, $f(n) = c$ for all but finitely many n. These functions are to be added and ordered component-wise. Then G is completely distributive and so inherits from itself the α-topology. But if $N_i = \{f \mid f(i) = 0\}$ $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ and $N_0 = \{f \mid f(n) = 0$ except for finitely many $n\}$ then G may be embedded in the completely distributive l-group $\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (G/N_i) \equiv H$. But the α-topology on H does not cut down to the α-topology on G and if the functions $f_i \in G$ are defined by $f_i(j) = \delta_{ij}$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta then $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i$ in G is not the same as $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i$ in H.

Bibliography

[1] *G. Birkhoff:* Lattice Theory (third edition), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1967.

[2] *R. D. Byrd:* "Complete distributivity in lattice-ordered groups," Pac. J. Math. *20* (1967), 423—432.

[3] *R. D. Byrd* and *J. T. Lloyd:* "Closed subgroups and complete distibrutivity in lattice-ordered groups," Math. Zeitschr. *101* (1967), 123—130.

[4] *J. Ellis:* Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Tulane University, New Orleans, Louisiana, 1968.

[5] *J. Flachsmeyer:* "On Dini convergence in function spaces," DAN SSSR. *152* (1963), 1071—74.

[6] *E. E. Floyd:* "Boolean algebras with pathological order properties," Pac. J. Math. *5* (1965), 687—689.

[7] *L. Fuchs:* Partially Ordered Algebraic Systems, Pergamon Press (Addison-Wesley), New York, 1963.

[8] *J. Jakubík:* "The interval topology of an *l*-group," Colloq. Math. *XI* (1963), 65—72.

[9] *J. L. Kelly:* General Topology, Van Nostrand Press, Princeton, 1955.

[10] *R. L. Madell:* "Embeddings of topological lattice ordered groups," Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. *146* (1969), 447—455.

[11] *F. Papangelou:* "Order convergence and topological completion of commutative lattice groups," Math. Annalen *155* (1964), 81—107.

[12] *F. Papangelou:* "Some considerations on convergence in abelian lattice groups," Pacific J. Math. *15* (1965), 1347—65.

Author's address: 120 Franklin Avenue, Yonkers, New York 10705, U.S.A.