

D. Hardy; Francis J. Pastijn

The maximal regular ideal of the semigroup of binary relations

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 31 (1981), No. 2, 194–198

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101736>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1981

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

THE MAXIMAL REGULAR IDEAL OF THE SEMIGROUP  
OF BINARY RELATIONS

D. HARDY<sup>1</sup>, Ft. Collins, and F. PASTUN\*, Gent

(Received March 19, 1979)

If a semigroup contains a right [left, two-sided] ideal which is also a regular sub-semigroup, then there is a maximal right [left, two-sided] such ideal which we shall call the maximal regular right [left, two-sided] ideal of the semigroup. This is the case for example when the semigroup under consideration contains a kernel, or more in particular, a zero. This leads to the question of characterizing the elements of the maximal regular right [left, two-sided] ideal of the semigroup of all binary relations  $B_X$  on the set  $X$ .

For any  $x \in X$  and any  $\varrho \in B_X$ , let

$$x\varrho = \{y \in X \mid x \varrho y\}, \quad \varrho x = \{y \in X \mid y \varrho x\}.$$

For any  $A \subseteq X$  and any  $\varrho \in B_X$ , let

$$A\varrho = \bigcup_{x \in A} x\varrho, \quad \varrho A = \bigcup_{x \in A} \varrho x;$$

let  $V(\varrho) = \{A\varrho \mid A \subseteq X\}$ ,  $V(\varrho)' = \{\varrho A \mid A \subseteq X\}$ . Clearly  $V(\varrho)$  and  $V(\varrho)'$  form complete lattices under the usual set-inclusion. It is well-known that  $V(\varrho)$  and  $V(\varrho)'$  are anti-isomorphic [10], and that the binary relation  $\varrho$  is a regular element of  $B_X$  if and only if  $V(\varrho)$  (or  $V(\varrho)'$ ) is a completely distributive lattice [9]. Another characterization of the regular elements of the semigroup  $B_X$  may be found in [7].

Let  $R_X[L_X, M_X]$  denote the maximal regular right [left, two-sided] ideal of  $B_X$ . Clearly  $M_X \subseteq L_X \cap R_X$ . We shall show that  $L_X = R_X = M_X$ , and we shall characterize the elements of  $B_X$  which belong to  $M_X$ .

It can be readily verified that  $M_X$  is non-trivial. An easy computation shows that  $M_X$  contains all elements  $\varrho$  for which  $V(\varrho)$  is a complete chain. In particular,  $M_X$  contains

<sup>1</sup>) The first author's research was done while he was a visiting professor at the University of Nebraska.

\*) The second author's research was done while he was a visiting professor at the University of Nebraska, supported by a Fulbright-Hays Award.

the elements  $\varrho$  for which  $V(\varrho)$  is a two-element chain; such elements  $\varrho$  are called the *rectangular binary relations*, and it can be shown that they form the least non-trivial ideal of  $B_X$  ([6], [8]).

**Theorem 1.** *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\alpha \in R_X$ ,
- (ii)  $V(\alpha)$  is a completely distributive lattice which does not contain a sublattice



- (iii)  $V(\alpha)$  is isomorphic to a lattice  $L$  which is a subdirect product of a complete chain  $C$  with itself such that

- (a)  $(x, x) \in L$  for all  $x \in C$ ,
- (b) if  $(x, y) \in L$  and  $x \neq y$ , then either  $x$  covers  $y$  or  $y$  covers  $x$  in  $C$  and  $(y, x) \in L$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $\alpha \in R_X$ , and let us suppose that  $V(\alpha)$  contains a sublattice of the form (1). Then there exist subsets  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  of  $X$ , and elements 1, 2, 3 of  $X$  such that  $1 \in A_1\alpha \setminus A_2\alpha$ ,  $2 \in A_2\alpha \setminus A_1\alpha$ ,  $A_2\alpha \subset A_3\alpha$  and  $3 \in A_3\alpha \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2)\alpha$ . Let  $\gamma = \{(1, 1), (1, 3), (2, 2), (3, 2), (3, 3)\}$ . Then  $A_1\alpha\gamma = \{1, 3\}$ ,  $A_2\alpha\gamma = \{2\}$  and  $A_3\alpha\gamma =$



$B_X$  which contradicts  $\alpha \in R_X$ . Thus  $V(\alpha)$  cannot contain a sublattice of the form (1).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Let us suppose that  $V(\alpha)$  is a completely distributive lattice which does not contain a sublattice of the form (1). Let  $C$  be the set which consists of all elements of  $V(\alpha)$  which are comparable to every other element of  $V(\alpha)$ . Let  $T$  be a maximal chain in  $V(\alpha)$ . Clearly  $C$  is a subchain of  $T$ .

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be any pair of incomparable elements of  $V(\alpha)$  and suppose that  $D < A$ .  $V(\alpha)$  contains a sublattice which consists of the elements  $A \vee B, A \wedge B, A, B, B \vee D$  and  $D \vee (A \wedge B) = A \wedge (B \vee D)$ . We know that  $A \vee B, A \wedge B, A, B$  are four distinct elements of  $V(\alpha)$ . Since  $V(\alpha)$  cannot contain a sublattice of the form (1), we have either  $B \vee D = A \vee B$  or  $B \vee D = B$ . If  $B \vee D = A \vee B$ , then  $A = D \vee (A \wedge B) = A \wedge (B \vee D)$  and in this case  $V(\alpha)$  would contain a sublattice of the form (1) consisting of the six distinct elements  $A, B, A \vee B, A \wedge B, D, B \wedge D$ ; this is impossible, and thus  $B \vee D = B$ ; in other words  $D \leq A \wedge B$ . In a dual way we can show that if  $A$  and  $B$  are incomparable in  $V(\alpha)$  and  $D > A$ , then  $D \geq A \vee B$ .

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be any pair of incomparable elements of  $V(\alpha)$ , and let  $D$  be any element of  $V(\alpha)$ . If  $D$  were not comparable to  $A$  nor  $B$ , then the foregoing reasoning shows that  $A \vee B = A \vee D = B \vee D$  and  $A \wedge B = A \wedge D = B \wedge D$ : this is

obviously impossible since the distributive lattice  $V(\alpha)$  cannot contain a sublattice

of the form . Thus  $D$  is comparable to  $A$  or  $B$ . From the above reasoning

it now follows that  $D$  is comparable to  $A, B, A \wedge B$  and  $A \vee B$ . We conclude that  $A \wedge B, A \vee B \in C$ , where  $[A \wedge B, A \vee B]$  consists of the four elements  $A, B, A \wedge B, A \vee B$ , and that  $A \vee B$  covers  $A \wedge B$  in  $C$ . Furthermore, either  $A$  or  $B$  belongs to  $T$ .

It is easy to see that  $C$  is a closed sublattice of  $V(\alpha)$ . Hence  $C$  is a complete chain. For any  $A \in T \setminus C$  let  $A'$  be the unique element of  $V(\alpha)$  which is not comparable to  $A$ . Let  $L$  be the subdirect product of  $C$  with itself which consists of the elements

$$\begin{aligned} (D, D), & \quad D \in C, \\ (A \vee A', A \wedge A'), & \quad A \in T \setminus C, \\ (A \wedge A', A \vee A'), & \quad A \in T \setminus C. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} V(\alpha) \rightarrow L, \quad D &\rightarrow (D, D), & D \in C, \\ A &\rightarrow (A \vee A', A \wedge A'), & A \in T \setminus C, \\ A' &\rightarrow (A \wedge A', A \vee A'), & A' \in V(\alpha) \setminus T \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism. Thus (iii) is satisfied.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $R$  denote the set of the elements  $\alpha \in B_X$  which satisfy condition (iii). From (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) it follows that  $R_X \subseteq R$ . Let  $\alpha$  be any element of  $R$ . Then  $V(\alpha)$  is isomorphic to a lattice  $L$  which is a subdirect product of a complete chain  $C$  with itself where the conditions (a) and (b) are satisfied. Since  $L$  is a closed sublattice of the direct product of  $C$  with itself it follows that  $V(\alpha)$  and  $L$  are completely distributive ([1], V. 5 and [5]). It follows from Zaretskii's characterization of the regular elements that  $R$  consists of elements which are regular in  $B_X$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be any element of  $R$  and let  $\beta$  be any element of  $B_X$ . From the fact that  $V(\alpha\beta)$  is a complete lattice and the fact that  $V(\alpha) \rightarrow V(\alpha\beta), Y\alpha \rightarrow Y\alpha\beta$  is an order-preserving mapping it easily follows that  $V(\alpha\beta)$  can be constructed in the way described by (iii). Thus  $\alpha\beta \in R$ , and so  $R$  is a right ideal of  $B_X$ .

If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are  $\mathcal{D}$ -related elements of  $B_X$ , then  $V(\alpha) \cong V(\beta)$  ([4], [10]). Thus  $R$  is a union of  $\mathcal{D}$ -classes of  $B_X$ , and we can now conclude that  $R$  is also a regular subsemigroup of  $B_X$ . Consequently  $R = R_X$ .

**Theorem 2.**  $R_X = L_X = M_X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha$  be any element of  $B_X$ . By the dual of Theorem 1 we have that  $\alpha \in L_X$  if and only if  $V(\alpha')$  does not contain a sublattice of the form (1). Since  $V(\alpha)$  and

$V(\alpha')$  are isomorphic, we have by Theorem 1 that  $\alpha \in L_X$  if and only if  $\alpha \in R_X$ . Thus  $L_X = R_X$  is a two-sided ideal, and so  $L_X = R_X \subseteq M_X$ . Since obviously  $M_X \subseteq L_X \cap R_X$  the equality holds.

**Theorem 3.** *The automorphism group of  $M_X$  is isomorphic to the symmetric group  $\text{Sym } X$ .*

*Proof.* The semigroup  $M_X$  contains the relations of the form  $\{(x, x)\}$ ,  $x \in X$ :  $M_X$  is a  $r$ -semigroup. Furthermore, for every  $\mu \in \text{Sym } X$  and every  $\alpha \in M_X$  we must have  $\mu^{-1}\alpha\mu \in M_X$ . It then follows from [2], Corollary 7, that the automorphism group of  $M_X$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Sym } X$ .

**Theorem 4.**  *$M_X$  is a subdirectly irreducible regular semigroup. The equality is the greatest idempotent-separating congruence on  $M_X$ .*

*Proof.* From [2], Proposition 2, it follows that a congruence  $\pi$  on  $M_X$  is trivial if and only if the  $\pi$ -class containing the empty relation is trivial. Therefore there exists a least non-trivial congruence on  $M_X$  if and only if there exists a least non-trivial ideal of  $M_X$ , and if this is the case, then the least non-trivial congruence on  $M_X$  is precisely the Rees congruence which is associated with this least non-trivial ideal. Since  $M_X$  is an ideal and a regular subsemigroup of  $B_X$ , every ideal of  $M_X$  must also be an ideal of  $B_X$ . The ideal of  $B_X$  which consists of the rectangular binary relations is contained in  $M_X$ , and we know that this ideal is the least non-trivial ideal of  $B_X$ . Thus the rectangular binary relations constitute the least non-trivial ideal of  $M_X$ . We conclude that  $M_X$  is subdirectly irreducible ([3], I. 3.7).

Remarks.

1. If  $|X| = 2$ , then the identity mapping  $\Delta_X$  belongs to  $M_X$  since  $V(\Delta_X)$  satisfies (ii) of Theorem 1. Thus we know without any computation that  $M_X = B_X$  is regular in this case ( $B_X$  contains 16 elements, 11 of which are idempotents).
2.  $M_X$  is contained in the intersection of all maximal regular subsemigroups of  $B_X$ . If  $|X| > 2$ , then  $M_X$  is properly contained in this intersection since the identity mapping  $\Delta_X$  belongs to every maximal regular subsemigroup of  $B_X$ .

#### References

- [1] *Birkhoff, G.*: Lattice Theory, Providence (1967).
- [2] *McAlister, D. B.*: Homomorphisms of semigroups of binary relations, *Semigroup Forum* 3 (1971), 185—188.
- [3] *Petrich, M.*: Lectures in Semigroups, London (1977).
- [4] *Plemmons, R. J.*, and *M. T. West*: On the semigroup of binary relations, *Pac. J. Math.* 35 (1970), 743—753.
- [5] *Raney, G. N.*: A subdirect union representation for completely distributive complete lattices, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 4 (1953), 518—522.

- [6] *Schein, B. M.*: Semigroups of binary relations, Mini-Conference on Semigroup Theory, Szeged (1972), 17—24.
- [7] *Schein, B. M.*: Regular elements of the semigroup of all binary relations, *Semigroup Forum* 13 (1976), 95—102.
- [8] *Zaretskii, K. A.*: Abstract characterization of the semigroup of all binary relations, *Proc. Leningrad Pedagogical Inst.* 183 (1958), 251—263 (in Russian).
- [9] *Zaretskii, K. A.*: Regular elements of the semigroup of all binary relations, *Usp. Mat. Nauk* 17 (1962), 177—179 (in Russian).
- [10] *Zaretskii, K. A.*: The semigroup of binary relations, *Mat. Sbornik* 61 (1963), 291—305 (in Russian).

*Authors' addresses:* D. Hardy, Department of Mathematics, Colorado State University, Ft. Collins, CO. 80523; F. Pastijn, Dienst Hogere Meetkunde, Rijksuniversiteit Gent, Krijgslaan 271 B-9000 Gent, Belgium.