Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Gábor Révész

A simple proof of Vinogradov's theorem on the orderability of the free product of o-groups

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 37 (1987), No. 2, 310–312

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102156

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 37 (112) 1987, Praha

A SIMPLE PROOF OF VINOGRADOV'S THEOREM ON THE ORDERABILITY OF THE FREE PRODUCT OF o-GROUPS

GABOR REVESZ, Lawrence

(Received October 29, 1985)

Let H and G be groups and let k be a field of characteristics not equal to 2. Denote by $\mathrm{Tr}_2(k[H \times G])$ the group of 2×2 upper triangular, invertible matrices over the group algebra $k[H \times G]$ of the direct product $H \times G$ of H and G. We prove:

Theorem A. *The homomorphism of the free product $H * G$ into $\mathrm{Tr}_2([H \times G])$, induced by*

$$h \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1-h \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix} (h \in H) \quad \textit{and} \quad g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix} (g \in G)\,,$$

is an embedding.

This embedding is then used to give a simple proof of

Theorem B. ([2], [3], [4]) *The free product of o-groups is an o-group.*

Essentially, what we show is that the construction on $\omega \times \omega$ upper triangular matrices used by Johnson in [2] in proving Vinogradov's theorem, can be adapted in the context of 2×2 matrices. Once Theorem A is established, Theorem B follows by simply restricting Johnson's ordering of infinite matrices to an ordering of the corresponding top-left-corner 2×2 ones.

1. Proof of Theorem A. Denote the homomorphism in question by α. In proving that α is injective we may assume that both H and G contain non-torsion elements. For if $H \subset H'$ and $G \subset G'$, then $\alpha' \colon H' * G' \to \mathrm{Tr}_2(k[H' \times G'])$ extends α and hence if α' is injective, so is α. We claim that $\ker \alpha = 1$. Suppose to the contrary that $1 \neq a \in \ker \alpha$. Every element of $H * G \setminus 1$ can be written as an alternating product of elements of H and G. By taking a suitable conjugate of a is necessary, we may assume that

$$a = h_{n+1} g_n h_n \ldots g_2 h_2 g_1 h_1$$

where $h_i \neq 1$ and $g_i \neq 1$. Further we may choose a so that n, which we shall call the length of a, is minimal. The minimality of n now implies that $h_{n+1} \neq h_1^{-1}$. For otherwise

$$h^{-1} g_1 h_1 a h_1^{-1} g_1^{-1} h$$

is also in ker α and is of length $n - 1$ for any $1 \neq h \in H$. A straightforward induction on n shows that

$$a^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & f(a) \\ 0 & h_{n+1}h_n \dots h_1 g_n \dots g_1 \end{pmatrix}'$$

where

$$f(a) = 1 - \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} h_{r+1}h_r \dots h_0 g_r g_{r-1} \dots g_0 +$$

$$+ \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} h_{r+1}h_r \dots h_0 g_{r+1} g_r \dots g_0 - h_{n+1}h_n \dots h_1 g_n g_{n-1} \dots g_1$$

($h_0 = 1$ and $g_0 = 1$ are introduced merely to simplify presentation). This is in fact true for any alternating product of $H * G$ bordered by elements of $H \setminus 1$. Since $a \in \ker \alpha$, the (2,2) entry of a^α is 1 and hence the first and the last summands of $f(a)$ cancel out. Moreover, since the remaining summands are $\pm$ basis elements of the k-space $k[H \times G]$, they pairwise coincide. In particular, for each r between 0 and $n - 1$

$$h_{r+1}h_r \dots h_0 g_r g_{r-1} \dots g_0 =$$

$$= h_{s+1}h_s \dots h_0 g_{s+1} g_s \dots g_0 \quad \text{for some} \quad 0 \leqq s \leqq n - 1 .$$

Now let $h \in H \setminus \{1, h_1^{-1}\}$ and $g \in G \setminus \{1, g_1^{-1}\}$ and consider

$$a' = (h^{-1}h_1^{-1})\, g^{-1}(h_1 h_{n+1})\, g_n h_n \dots g_2 h_2 (g_1 g)\, (h_1 h) ;$$

clearly, $a' \in \ker \alpha$ and is of length $n + 1$. Write $h'_1 = h_1 h$, $g'_1 = g_1 g$; $h'_i = h_i$ and $g'_i = g_i$ for all $2 \leqq i \leqq n$; $h'_{n+1} = h_1 h_{n+1}$, $g'_{n+1} = g^{-1}$ and $h'_{n+2} = (h_1 h)^{-1} = (h'_1)^{-1}$. Now for each $2 \leqq r \leqq n$ we have

$$h'_r \dots h'_1 = h_r \dots h_1 h \quad \text{and} \quad g'_r \dots g'_1 = g_r \dots g_1 g ;$$

in particular: $g'_n \dots g'_1 = g_n \dots g_1 g = g$. Furthermore, $h'_{n+1}h'_n \dots h'_1 = h_1 h_{n+1} h_n \dots \dots h_1 h = h_1 h = h'_1$ and $h'_{n+2}h'_{n+1} \dots h'_1 = 1$, $g'_{n+1}g'_n \dots g'_1 = 1$. By assumption H has a non-torsion element so we can pick h so that $h'_r \dots h'_1 \neq h'_1$ for all $2 \leqq r \leqq n$ (the non-torsion element of G is needed to make sure that there exists an element outside $\{1, g_1^{-1}\}$). The fact that $a' \in \ker \alpha$ implies that

$$0 = f(a') = -h'_1 + h'_1 g'_1 - \dots - h'_{n+1} \dots h'_1 g'_n \dots g'_1 + h'_{n+1} \dots h'_1 g'_{n+1} \dots g'_1 .$$

By what has been said it is clear that for some $2 \leqq r \leqq n + 1$

$$h'_1 g'_1 = h'_r \dots h'_1 g'_{r-1} \dots g'_1 ;$$

the choice of h ensures that $r = n + 1$. But then $g'_1 = g_1 g = g'_n \dots g'_1 = g$ whence $g_1 = 1$, a contradiction.

2. Proof of Theorem B. Let H and G be o-groups, by Theorem A, $H * G$ embeds in $\mathrm{Tr}_2(k[H \times G])$ and the image of $H * G$ is clearly contained in

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & k[H \times G] \\ 0 & H \times G \end{pmatrix}.$$

It will suffice to verify that if k is an ordered field then T can be ordered. So let k be an ordered field and let $\leqq$ be one of the lexic orders on $H \times G$ induced by the orderings of H and G. Write $\preceq$ for the lexicographic order on $k[H \times G]$ induced by $\leqq$ (cf. [1; p. 108]). The order on T can now be defined setting

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & f \\ 0 & hg \end{pmatrix} \geqq' 1 \quad \text{if} \quad \begin{cases} hg > 1 & \text{or} \\ hg = 1 & \text{and} \quad f \geqq 0 \end{cases}$$

In order to prove that $\leqq'$ is preserved by multiplication one has to use the fact that all elements of $H \times G$ are positive with respect to $\preceq$. (As it turns out, every ordering with this property gives rise to an ordering to T.) The resulting order, in fact, extends the orders on H and G we started with.

References

[1] *Fuchs, L.:* Partially ordered algebraic structures, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1963.

[2] *Johnson, R. E.:* Free products of ordered semigroups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *19* (1968), 697—700.

[3] *Mura, R. B.* and *Rhemtulla, A.:* Orderable groups, Dekker, New York, 1977.

[4] *Vinogradov, A. A.:* On the free product of ordered groups (in Russian), Mat. Sborník, *25* (1949), 163—168.

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Ks. 66045, U.S.A.