Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Roman Frič

Cauchy sequences in $\mathcal{L}$-groups

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 40 (1990), No. 1, 25–30

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102356

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1990

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 40 (115) 1990, Praha

CAUCHY SEQUENCES IN $\mathscr{L}$-GROUPS

ROMAN FRIČ, Košice

(Received January 4, 1988)

The relationship between Cauchy sequences in an $\mathscr{L}$-group G and Cauchy filters in the first countable filter modification γG of G (introduced by R. Beattie and H.-P. Butzmann in [4]) is investigated. In particular, an $\mathscr{L}$-group G (without the Urysohn axiom of convergence) and a Cauchy sequence S in G such that the corresponding elementary filter of sections of S fails to be a Cauchy filter in γG is constructed.

1.

In what follows, N denotes the positive integers, MON the set of all strictly monotone mappings of N into N and $FTON$ the set of all finite-to-one mappings of N into N (i.e., $\{n \in N;\ s(n) = k\}$ is a finite set whenever $s \in FTON$ and $k \in N$). Let G be a nonempty set; a sequence $S = \langle S(n) \rangle$ of points of G is a mapping of N into G, and for $s \in MON$ the composition $S \circ s$ denotes the subsequence of S the n-th term of which is $S(s(n))$; for $x \in G$, $\langle x \rangle$ denotes the constant sequence each term of which is x; if S, T are sequences in G, then $S \wedge T$ is defined by $(S \wedge T) \,.\, (2n - 1) = S(n)$ and $(S \wedge T)(2n) = T(n)$, $n \in N$; if S is a sequence in G then the sets $\{S(n);\ n > k\}$, $k \in N$, form a base of the so-called *elementary (Fréchet) filter* $\mathscr{F}(S)$ of sections of S; by a sequential convergence on G we understand a subset $\mathfrak{G} \subset G^N \times G$ satisfying certain axioms of convergence (throughout the paper we assume that every constant sequence $\langle x \rangle$ converges to x, each subsequence of a convergent sequence converges to the same limit and, with the exception of Proposition 1 and Proposition 2, every convergent sequence has a unique limit), $(S, x) \in \mathfrak{G}$ means that S converges (i.e. $\mathfrak{G}$-converges) to x, and for $x \in G$ the set of all sequences converging to x is denoted by $\mathfrak{G}^{\leftarrow}(x)$. Let G be a group equipped with a sequential convergence $\mathfrak{G}$ such that $(ST^{-1}, xy^{-1}) \in \mathfrak{G}$ whenever $(S, x) \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $(T, y) \in \mathfrak{G}$. Then $(G, \mathfrak{G})$, or simply G, is said to be an *$\mathscr{L}$-group* (cf. [7]). We are mainly interested in abelian groups and in such cases the additive notation will be used.

Besides the basic axioms of convergence, we consider the following ones (cf. [6]):

($\mathscr{F}\mathscr{L}$) if $(S, x) \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $\mathscr{F}(S) = \mathscr{F}(T)$, then $(T, x) \in \mathfrak{G}$;

($\mathscr{M}\mathscr{L}$) if (S, x), $(T, x) \in \mathfrak{G}$, then $(S \wedge T, x) \in \mathfrak{G}$.

Starting with a filter convergence λ on a set X (we assume that for each $x \in X$ the ultrafilter $\dot{x}$ converges to x, and if a filter converges to x, then each finer filter converges to x), the most natural way to define a sequential convergence on X is to let a sequence S converge to a point x whenever the elementary filter $\mathscr{F}(S)$ λ-converges to x; denote by $\mathscr{L}(\lambda)$ the resulting sequential convergence. As shown in [4], [2], [1] and [3], among all known opposite functors (assigning to suitable sequential convergences certain filter convergences) the one introduced by R. Beattie and H.-P. Butzmann plays a fundamental role: starting with a sequential convergence $\mathfrak{L}$ on X, a filter $\mathscr{F}$ on X converges to a point x whenever there is a finer filter $\mathscr{G}$ with a countable basis such that every sequence $\mathfrak{L}$-converges to x whenever $\mathscr{F}(S) \supset \mathscr{G}$; denote by $\gamma(\mathfrak{L})$ the resulting filter convergence.

The importance of γ follows, for instance, from the fact that the Novák completion of an abelian sequential convergence (the convergence is maximal, i.e., satisfies the Urysohn axiom) group G (cf. [11], [8]) can be constructed via the completion of the filter convergence group γG (see Corollary 3.16 in [1]) and, for every sequentially determined filter convergence group H (i.e. $H = \gamma\mathscr{L}H$) with a maximal sequential convergence, the completion of H can be constructed via the Novák completion of $\mathscr{L}H$ (see Corollary 3.18 in [1], cf. Theorem 8 in [3]). This is partly due to the fact that in case of a maximal sequential convergence a sequence S is Cauchy in G iff $\mathscr{F}(S)$ is a Cauchy filter in γG. In view of Proposition 3.11 in [1], if the sequential convergence in G is not maximal then this might be not true any more. Indeed, answering a question by R. Beattie and H.-P. Butzmann, we construct an $\mathscr{L}$-group G and a Cauchy sequence S such that $\mathscr{F}(S)$ fails to be a Cauchy filter in γG.

Our construction is based on the fact that in a group G every compatible sequential convergence on G can be identified with a certain subgroup of G^N. The straightforward proofs of the next two propositions are omitted. Similar propositions (with different axioms of convergence) can be found in [9] and [12].

Proposition 1. *Let $(G, \mathfrak{G})$ be an $\mathscr{L}$-group and let e be the neutral element of G. Then $\mathfrak{G}^{\leftarrow}(e)$ has the following properties:*

(i) *$\mathfrak{G}^{\leftarrow}(e)$ is a subgroup of G^N;*

(ii) *$\mathfrak{G}^{\leftarrow}(x) = \langle x\rangle\, \mathfrak{G}^{\leftarrow}(e) = \mathfrak{G}^{\leftarrow}(e)\, \langle x\rangle$ for all $x \in G$;*

(iii) *if $S \in \mathfrak{G}^{\leftarrow}(e)$ and $s \in MON$, then $S \circ s \in \mathfrak{G}^{\leftarrow}(e)$;*

(iv) *$\mathfrak{G}$ has unique limits iff $\langle e\rangle$ is the only constant sequence in $\mathfrak{G}^{\leftarrow}(e)$;*

(v) *$\mathfrak{G}$ satisfies axiom $(\mathscr{M}\mathscr{L})$ iff the following implication holds: if $S \in \mathfrak{G}^{\leftarrow}(e)$, then $S \wedge \langle e\rangle \in \mathfrak{G}^{\leftarrow}(e)$;*

(vi) *$\mathfrak{G}$ satisfies axiom $(\mathscr{F}\mathscr{L})$ iff the following implication holds: if $S \in \mathfrak{G}^{\leftarrow}(e)$, $T \in G^N$ and $\mathscr{F}(S) = \mathscr{F}(T)$, then $T \in \mathfrak{G}^{\leftarrow}(e)$.*

Let G be a group. Identifying $x \in G$ with $\langle x\rangle \in G^N$, we can consider G to be a subgroup of G^N. A subgroup H of G^N is said to be *normal with respect to* G if $gSg^{-1} = \langle g\, S(n)\, g^{-1}\rangle \in H$ whenever $g \in G$ and $S \in H$. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a subset of G^N. Let $\mu\mathscr{A}$ be the set of all sequences $S \wedge \langle e\rangle$ such that $S \in \mathscr{A}$, let $\delta\mathscr{A}$ be the set of all sequences

$S \circ s$ such that $S \in \mathscr{A}$ and $s \in MON$, and let $\varphi\mathscr{A}$ be the set of all sequences $T \in G^N$ such that $\mathscr{F}(T) = \mathscr{F}(S)$ for some $S \in \mathscr{A}$. Consider the set of all subgroups of G^N containing $\mathscr{A}$ and normal with respect to G. Denote by $[\mathscr{A}]_G$ the intersection of all such subgroups. Then G^N is the largest and $[\mathscr{A}]_G$ the smallest element of the set.

Proposition 2. *Let G be a group and let $\mathscr{A}$ be a subset of G^N.*

(i) *$[\mathscr{A}]_G$ consists precisely of the finite products of sequences of the form $gS^\varepsilon g^{-1} = \langle g\, S(n)^\varepsilon\, g^{-1} \rangle$, where $g \in G$, $S \in \mathscr{A}$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.*

(ii) *$[\varphi\delta\mathscr{A}]_G$ is the smallest subgroup of G^N containing $\mathscr{A}$, normal with respect to G and closed with respect to δ and φ.*

(iii) *There is a sequential convergence $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathscr{A}}$ on G satisfying axiom $(\mathscr{F}\mathscr{L})$ such that $(G, \mathfrak{H}_{\mathscr{A}})$ is an $\mathscr{L}$-group and $\mathscr{A} \subset [\varphi\delta\mathscr{A}]_G = \mathfrak{H}_{\mathscr{A}}^{\leftarrow}(e)$.*

(iv) *If $(G, \mathfrak{H})$ is an $\mathscr{L}$-group such that $\mathfrak{H}$ satisfies axiom $(\mathscr{F}\mathscr{L})$ and $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathfrak{H}^{\leftarrow}(e)$, then $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathscr{A}} \subset \mathfrak{H}$.*

(v) *$\mathfrak{H}_{\mathscr{A}}$ has unique limits iff $[\varphi\delta\mathscr{A}]_G$ contains no constant sequence except $\langle e \rangle$.*

(vi) *$[\varphi\delta\mu\mathscr{A}]_G$ is the smallest subgroup of G^N containing $\mathscr{A}$, normal with respect to G and closed with respect to μ, δ and φ.*

(vii) *There is a sequential convergence $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathscr{A}}$ on G satisfying axioms $(\mathscr{F}\mathscr{L})$ and $(\mathscr{M}\mathscr{L})$ such that $(G, \mathfrak{G}_{\mathscr{A}})$ is an $\mathscr{L}$-group and $\mathscr{A} \subset [\varphi\delta\mu\mathscr{A}]_G = \mathfrak{G}_{\mathscr{A}}^{\leftarrow}(e)$.*

(viii) *If $(G, \mathfrak{G})$ is an $\mathscr{L}$-group such that $\mathfrak{G}$ satisfies axioms $(\mathscr{F}\mathscr{L})$ and $(\mathscr{M}\mathscr{L})$ and $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathfrak{G}^{\leftarrow}(e)$, then $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathscr{A}} \subset \mathfrak{G}$.*

(ix) *$\mathfrak{G}_{\mathscr{A}}$ has unique limits iff $[\varphi\delta\mu\mathscr{A}]_G$ contains no constant sequence except $\langle e \rangle$.*

2.

Cauchy sequences in $\mathscr{L}$-groups have been studied, e.g., in [5] and [10]. Recall that a sequence S in an $\mathscr{L}$-group is Cauchy if $S \circ s - S \circ t$ converges to 0 for all $s, t \in$ $\in MON$.

Definition. Let G be an $\mathscr{L}$-group. A sequence S of points of G is said to be *FTON-Cauchy* if $S \circ s - S \circ t$ converges to 0 for all $s, t \in$ FTON.

By Proposition 3.11 in [1], in an $\mathscr{L}$-group G a sequence S is FTON-Cauchy iff $\mathscr{F}(S)$ is a Cauchy filter in γG. Further (cf. Corollary 3.12 in [1]), if the sequential convergence in G is maximal, then each Cauchy sequence in G is FTON-Cauchy. In this section we construct (Example 1) an $\mathscr{L}$-group G satisfying axiom $(\mathscr{F}\mathscr{L})$ in which a Cauchy sequence need not be FTON-Cauchy. The construction is then modified (Example 2) so that G satisfies axioms $(\mathscr{F}\mathscr{L})$ and $(\mathscr{M}\mathscr{L})$.

Example 1. Let X be a countably infinite set arranged into a one-to-one sequence $S = \langle S(n) \rangle$. Let G be the free abelian group generated by X. Denote by $\mathscr{A}$ the set of all sequences of the form $S \circ s - S \circ t$, where $s, t \in MON$. Observe that for each $T \in \mathscr{A}$ and each $s \in MON$ we have $-T \in \mathscr{A}$ and $T \circ s \in \mathscr{A}$. We shall define a sequential convergence $\mathfrak{H}$ on X satisfying axiom $(\mathscr{F}\mathscr{L})$ in such a way that, first, each sequence

in $\mathscr{A}$ will $\mathfrak{H}$-converge to 0 (hence S will be a Cauchy sequence), and, secondly, for $u \in FTON$ defined by $u(1) = 1$, $u(2) = u(3) = 2$, $u(4) = u(5) = u(6) = 3, \ldots$, the sequence $S \circ u$ will not $\mathfrak{H}$-converge to 0 (hence S will not be be a FTON-Cauchy sequence).

In view of Proposition 2 it suffices to construct $\mathscr{N} \subset G^N$ such that:

(i) $\mathscr{N}$ is a subgroup of G^N;
(ii) $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{N}$;
(iii) $T \circ s \in \mathscr{N}$ whenever $T \in \mathscr{N}$ and $s \in MON$;
(iv) if $T \in \mathscr{N}$, $U \in G^N$ and $\mathscr{F}(T) = \mathscr{F}(U)$, then $U \in \mathscr{N}$;
(v) $\langle x \rangle \notin \mathscr{N}$ whenever $x \neq 0$;
(vi) $S - S \circ u \notin \mathscr{N}$;

and then put $(T, x) \in \mathfrak{H}$ iff $T - \langle x \rangle \in \mathscr{N}$. Observe that $\mathscr{N} = \mathfrak{H}^{\leftarrow}(0)$.

Define $\mathscr{N}$ as follows: $T \in \mathscr{N}$ iff there are $k \in N$, $T_i \in \mathscr{A}$, $s_i \in FTON$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, such that $T(n) = (T_1 \circ s_1 + \ldots + T_k \circ s_k)(n)$ for all but finitely many $n \in N$.

Claim. *$\mathscr{N}$ satisfies all conditions* (i)–(vi).

Proof. Clearly, $\mathscr{N}$ satisfies conditions (i), (ii) and (iii). Condition (iv) follows immediately from Proposition 2 in [2] which asserts that (in sequential convergence spaces in which the convergence of a sequence does not depend on finitely many terms of the sequence) axiom $(\mathscr{F}\mathscr{L})$ is equivalent to the fact that a sequence $T \circ t$ converges to x whenever T converges to x and $t \in FTON$. Since G is a free group over the set $\{S(n); n \in N\}$, $\langle x \rangle \notin \mathscr{N}$ for all $x \in G$, $x \neq 0$, and hence $\mathscr{N}$ satisfies condition (v). Finally, given $k \in N$ and $s_i, t_i \in MON$, $u_i \in FTON$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, consider for each $n \in N$ the following proposition:

$$(S - S \circ u)(n) = ((S \circ s_1 - S \circ t_1) \circ u_1)(n) + \ldots + ((S \circ s_k - \\ - S \circ t_k) \circ u_k)(n) ;$$

denote it by $P(n, (s_1, \ldots, s_k), (t_1, \ldots, t_k), (u_1, \ldots, u_k))$ or, simply by $P(n)$. To prove condition (vi) it suffices to prove that for each $p \in N$ there exists $q \in N$, $q > p$, such that proposition $P(q)$ is false. The proof is based on the so called box principle (if we place more than n objects into n boxes, then one of the boxes contains at least two objects) and the following observations.

(O_1) For each $j \in N$ there exists $m \in N$ such that $j < |\{p \in N;\ u(p) = m\}|$ and $j < m < \min\{p \in N;\ u(P) = m\}$; hence the sequence $S \circ u$ has arbitrarily long (finite) constant segments, while $\langle (S - S \circ u)(n + 2) \rangle$ is a one-to-one sequence.

(O_2) For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ we have $(S \circ s_i - S \circ t_i) \circ u_i = S \circ s_i \circ u_i - S \circ t_i \circ u_i$ and $(S \circ s_i \circ u_i)(n) = (S \circ s_i \circ u_i)(m)$ iff $(S \circ t_i \circ u_i)(n) = (S \circ t_i \circ u_i)(m)$, i.e., the sequences $S \circ s_i \circ u_i$ and $S \circ t_i \circ u_i$ are constant on the same segments of N.

Now assume that, on the contrary, for some $k \in N$ and for some $s_i, t_i \in MON$, $u_i \in FTON$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, proposition $P(n)$ holds for all but finitely many $n \in N$. We claim that then for each $p \in N$ there exist $j_1, j_2 \in N$ such that $p < j_1 < j_2$ and

proposition (Pj_1) is of the form

$$x_1 - x = (y_1 - z_1) + \cdots + (y_k - z_k),$$

and at the same time proposition $P(j_2)$ is of the form

$$x_2 - x = (y_1 - z_1) + \cdots + (y_k - z_k),$$

where x, x_1, x_2 and also y_i, z_i, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, are generators of the free group G and $x_1 \neq x_2$. Since this is clearly impossible, either $P(j_1)$ or $P(j_2)$ is a false proposition. However, the claim is a straightforward consequence of (O_1) and (O_2) and the box principle. Indeed, using (O_1), start with a sufficiently large set $M \subset N$ such that propositions $P(j)$, $j \in M$, have the form

$$x_j - x = (y_{j1} - z_{j1}) + \ldots + (y_{jk} - z_{jk}),$$

where x and x_j, $y_{j1}, \ldots, y_{jk}$, $z_{j1}, \ldots, z_{jk}$, $j \in M$, are generators of the free group G and $x_j \neq x_m$ whenever $j, m \in M$ and $j \neq m$; using repeatedly (O_2) and the box principle, we find a subset $\{j_1, j_2\}$ of M such that for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ we have $y_{j_1 i} = y_{j_2 i}$ and $z_{j_1} = z_{j_2 i}$. This complete the proof.

Example 2. Let X, G, S and $\mathscr{A}$ be the same as in Example 1. Let $\mu\mathscr{A}$ be the set of all sequences T in G such that $T = U \wedge \langle 0 \rangle$ for some $U \in \mathscr{A}$. Define $\mathfrak{G}^{\leftarrow}(0) \subset G^N$ as follows: T belongs to $\mathfrak{G}^{\leftarrow}(0)$ iff there are $k \in N$, $T_i \in \mu\mathscr{A}$, $s_i \in FTON$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, such that $T(n) = (T \circ s_1 + \ldots + T \circ s_k)(n)$ for all but finitely many $n \in N$. Finally, define $\mathfrak{G} \subset G^N \times G$ by putting $(T, x) \in \mathfrak{G}$ iff $(T - \langle x \rangle) \in \mathfrak{G}^{\leftarrow}(0)$. In a similar way as in Example 1 it can be proved that G equipped with $\mathfrak{G}$ is an $\mathscr{L}$-group satisfying axioms $(\mathscr{F}\mathscr{L})$ and $(\mathscr{M}\mathscr{L})$ in which S is a Cauchy sequence but fails to be FTON-Cauchy.

Corollary 1. *There exists an $\mathscr{L}$-group G satisfying axioms $(\mathscr{F}\mathscr{L})$ and $(\mathscr{M}\mathscr{L})$, and a Cauchy sequence S in G such that $\mathscr{F}(S)$ fails to be a Cauchy filter in γG.*

The following result has been announced in [3].

Corollary 2. *There exists an incomplete $\mathscr{L}$-group H satisfying axioms $(\mathscr{F}\mathscr{L})$ and $(\mathscr{M}\mathscr{L})$ such that γH is complete.*

Proof. Consider the $\mathscr{L}$-group $(G, \mathfrak{G})$ from Example 2. Then G equipped with $\lambda = \gamma(\mathfrak{G})$ is a sequentially determined convergence group. Let $(\hat{G}, \hat{\lambda}, e_G)$ be the categorical completion of (G, λ). By Theorem 3.9 in [1], $(\hat{G}, \hat{\lambda})$ is sequentially determined. Put $H = (\hat{G}, \mathscr{L}(\hat{\lambda}))$. Then $\gamma H = (\hat{G}, \hat{\lambda})$. Clearly, $\mathscr{L}(\hat{\lambda})$ satisfies axioms $(\mathscr{F}\mathscr{L})$ and $(\mathscr{M}\mathscr{L})$, and $\mathscr{L}(\hat{\lambda})$ restricted to G equals $\mathfrak{G}$. Then S is a Cauchy sequence in H but fails to converge. Otherwise, $\hat{\lambda}$ being sequentially determined, $\mathscr{F}(S)$ would be $\hat{\lambda}$-convergent and hence $\hat{\lambda}$-Cauchy. But Proposition 3.11 in [1] would imply that S is FTON-Cauchy in $(G, \mathfrak{G})$, a contradiction.

3.

Motivated by Example 2 let us consider the following problem. Let $(G, \mathfrak{G})$ be an $\mathscr{L}$-group, let $\mathscr{C}$ be the set of all Cauchy sequences in G and let $\sim$ be the usual equivalence for $\mathscr{C}$, i.e., $S \sim T$ iff $S - T$ converges to 0. Let f be a mapping of $\mathscr{C}$ into the set $\mathscr{P}(G^N)$ of all subsets of G^N. Under what conditions is $f(S)$ a set of Cauchy sequences each of which is equivalent to S, $S \in \mathscr{C}$? For instance, if $f(S) = \{T \in G^N; \mathscr{F}(S) = \mathscr{F}(T)\}$ and $\mathfrak{G}$ is a maximal sequential convergence, then each $T \in f(S)$ is a Cauchy sequence equivalent to S. Is this true if $\mathfrak{G}$ satisfies axioms $(\mathscr{F}\mathscr{L})$ and $(\mathscr{M}\mathscr{L})$ but fails to be maximal?

A similar question can be asked for general Cauchy structures, namely, given a Cauchy structure and an equivalence relation, under what conditions what operations on Cauchy objects preserve the equivalence classes?

References

[1] *Beattie, R.* and *Butzmann, H.-P.:* Sequentially determined convergence spaces, Czechoslovak Math. J. *37* (1987), 231—247.

[2] *Beattie, R., Butzmann, H.-P.* and *Herrlich, H.:* Filter convergence via sequential convergence, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. *27* (1986), 69—81.

[3] *Butzmann, H.-P.:* Sequentially determined convergence spaces. General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra, VI (Proc. Sixth Prague Topological Sympos., 1986), Heldermann Verlag, Berlin 1988, 61—68.

[4] *Butzmann, H.-P.* and *Beattie, R.:* Some relations between sequential and filter convergence, Convergence Structures 1984 (Bechyně, 1984), Math. Res., *24*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin 1985, 65—70.

[5] *Ferens, C.* and *Mikusiński, J.:* Urysohn's condition and Cauchy sequences, Proceedings of the Seminar of S. L. Sobolev No 1, Novosibirsk 1978, 122—124.

[6] *Frič, R.* and *Kent, D. C.:* On *c*-embedded sequential convergence spaces, Convergence Structures and Applications to Analysis, Abh. Akad. Wiss. DDR, Abt. Math.-Naturwiss.-Technik N4 (1979), 33—36.

[7] *Frič, R.* and *Koutník, V.:* Sequential structures, Convergence Structures and Applications to Analysis, Abh. Akad. Wiss. DDR, Abt. Math.-Naturwiss.-Technik N4 (1979), 37—56.

[8] *Frič, R.* and *Koutník, V.:* Completions of convergence groups. General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra, VI (Proc. Sixth Prague Topological Sympos., 1986), Heldermann Verlag, Berlin 1988, 187—201.

[9] *Frič, R.* and *Zanolin, F.:* Sequential convergence in free groups. Rend. Ist. Matem. Univ. Trieste 18 (1986), 200—218.

[10] *Koutník, V.:* Completeness of sequential convergence groups, Studia Math. *77* (1984), 455—464.

[11] *Novák, J.:* On completions of convergence commutative groups, General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra III (Proc. Third Prague Topological Sympos., 1971), Academia, Praha 1972, 335—340.

[12] *Zanolin, F.:* Solution of a problem of Josef Novák about convergence groups. Bolletino Un. Mat. Ital. (5) *14*-A (1977), 375—381.

Author's address: 040 01 Košice, Grešákova 6, Czechoslovakia (Matematický ústav SAV).