Aplikace matematiky

Jaroslav Hrouda

On a classification of stationary points in nonlinear programming

Aplikace matematiky, Vol. 14 (1969), No. 1, 23--28

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/103205

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1969

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

ON A CLASSIFICATION OF STATIONARY POINTS IN NONLINEAR PROGRAMMING

JAROSLAV HROUDA

(Received April 20, 1967)

§ 1

We will deal with a constrained extremum problem (that of nonlinear programming)

$$\max_x \{F(x) \mid f_i(x) \leqq a_i,\ i = 1, \ldots, m;\ \varphi_k(x) \leqq b_k,\ k = 1, \ldots, n\}\,. \tag{1}$$

Here x is a point of Banach space E; F and f_i are nonlinear functionals continuously differentiable in the sense of Fréchet;[1]) $F'(x), f_i'(x)$ are their derivatives at the point x; φ_k are linear functionals; a_i, b_k real numbers. Let R stand for the set of E (called the feasible domain of the problem) defined by the inequalities in (1); R is a closed set.

Let us now briefly mention the terms introduced by ALTMAN in [1].[2])

Definition 1. *$s \in E$, $s \neq 0$ is called a feasible direction of the point $x \in R$ if there exists a number $\bar{t} > 0$ such that*

$$x + ts \in R \quad \textit{for all} \quad 0 < t \leqq \bar{t}\,. \tag{2}$$

We denote by $A(x)$ the set of all feasible directions of the point x.

Definition 2. *$x \in R$ is called an R-stationary point of the functional F if $A(x) \neq \emptyset$ and*

$$\sup_s \{F'(x)\, s \mid s \in A(x)\} = 0\,. \tag{3}$$

Let us denote by M_x, N_x the sets of indices

$$M_x = \{i \mid f_i(x) = a_i,\ 1 \leqq i \leqq m\}\,, \tag{4}$$

$$N_x = \{k \mid \varphi_k(x) = b_k,\ 1 \leqq k \leqq n\} \tag{5}$$

[1]) F is not assumed to be concave nor f_i convex.

[2]) Keeping his original notation.

and by $S(x)$ the set of vectors

$$S(x) = \{s \in E \mid f_i'(x)\, s \leqq 0,\ i \in M_x;\ \varphi_k(s) \leqq 0,\ k \in N_x\}\,.^{3)} \tag{6}$$

Definition 3. *$s \in E$ is called a regular direction of the point x if $s \in S(x)$ and*

$$f_i'(x)\, s < 0\,, \quad i \in M_x\,. \tag{7}$$

For the set of all regular directions of the point x the symbol $S_R(x)$ will be used. Obviously, $0 \notin S_R(x)$ if $M_x \neq \emptyset$. If $M_x = \emptyset$, then $S_R(x) = S(x)$.

Definition 4. *$x \in R$ is called a regular stationary point of the functional F if $S_R(x) \neq \emptyset$ and*

$$\sup_s \{F'(x)\, s \mid s \in S_R(x)\} = 0\,. \tag{8}$$

The condition $S_R(x) \neq \emptyset$ can be formulated equivalently as follows: For any numbers u_i, v_k the relations

$$\sum_{i \in M_x} u_i f_i'(x) + \sum_{k \in N_x} v_k \varphi_k = 0\,, \quad u_i \geqq 0\,, \quad v_k \geqq 0$$

imply $u_i = 0$ $(i \in M_x)$. Usually, this condition is required to be fulfilled for all the points of the domain R as the so-called *regularity condition.*[4])

§ 2

In this paragraph we will derive some properties of the concepts given by Definitions 1 through 4. It will be shown that the regular stationary point is an R-stationary point; under the regularity condition the concepts given by Definitions 2 and 4 are equivalent.

Lemma 1. *For each $x \in R$ the inclusions*

$$S_R(x) \subset A(x) \subset S(x) \tag{9}$$

hold.

Proof. Let $s \in S_R(x)$. According to the generalized Lagrange's formula we can write

$$f_i(x + ts) = f_i(x) + t f_i'(x + \Theta_i ts)\, s\,, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m\,.^{5)} \tag{10}$$

---

[3]) If $M_x = \emptyset$, $N_x = \emptyset$, then $S(x) = E$.
[4]) In [1] it is denoted by R_3, in [3, sect. 7.7] by Cl.
[5]) $0 < \Theta_i < 1$.

Assuming f_i' to be continuous, it follows from (4) and (7) that there exist sufficiently small numbers $t_i > 0$ such that

$$f_i(x + ts) \leqq a_i \quad \text{for all} \quad 0 < t \leqq t_i\,, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m\,.$$

Further, for the linear functionals according to (5) and (6) there exist sufficiently small $t_k > 0$ such that

$$\varphi_k(x + ts) = \varphi_k(x) + t\,\varphi_k(s) \leqq b_k\,, \quad 0 < t \leqq t_k\,, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n\,.$$

Then the demand (2) can be fulfilled by putting $\bar{t} = \min\limits_{i,k} \{t_i, t_k\}$, hence $s \in A(x)$, and the first inclusion in (9) is proved.

Let now $s \notin S(x)$. This means that $f_i'(x)\,s > 0$ for some $i \in M_x$ or $\varphi_k(s) > 0$ for some $k \in N_x$. (Following footnote 3, $M_x = \emptyset$, $N_x = \emptyset$ cannot hold simultaneously.) In the former case the continuity of f_i', (10), and (4) imply

$$f_i(x + ts) > a_i \quad \text{for all sufficiently small} \quad t > 0\,,$$

i.e. $s \notin A(x)$. The same conclusion can be reached also in the latter case. Thus $A(x) \subset \subset S(x)$ holds.

Lemma 2. *If $S_R(x) \neq \emptyset$, then $S_R(x)$ is dense in $S(x)$ for each x.*

Proof. Let $\bar{s} \in S_R(x)$. To each $s \in S(x)$ there exists an arbitrarily close regular direction

$$s^t = s + t\bar{s}\,, \quad t > 0 \quad \text{arbitrary}\,. \tag{11}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} f_i'(x)\,s^t &= f_i'(x)\,s + t\,f_i'(x)\,\bar{s} < 0\,, \quad i \in M_x\,, \\ \varphi_k(s^t) &= \varphi_k(s) + t\,\varphi_k(\bar{s}) \leqq 0\,, \quad k \in N_x\,. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3. *If $x \in R$, $S_R(x) \neq \emptyset$, the conditions* (3) *and* (8) *are equivalent to*

$$\sup_s \{F'(x)s \mid s \in S(x)\} = 0\,. \tag{12}$$

Proof. Let us denote by m_A, m_R, and m_S the left-hand sides of (3), (8), and (12), respectively.[6]) With regard to (9) it holds

$$m_R \leqq m_A \leqq m_S\,. \tag{13}$$

According to Lemma 2 there exist regular directions arbitrarily close to element $0 \in S(x)$, thus

$$m_R \geqq 0\,. \tag{14}$$

[6]) Clearly, either $m_A \leqq 0$ or $m_A = +\infty$; the same is true for other two symbols.

Now,

$$m_R = 0 \Rightarrow m_S = 0 \tag{15}$$

for if there were an $s \in S(x)$ such that $F'(x) \cdot s > 0$, a regular direction s^t formed like that in (11) with a sufficiently small $t > 0$ would satisfy the (impossible) inequality

$$F'(x)\, s^t = F'(x)\, s + t\, F'(x)\, \bar{s} > 0\,.$$

Then it follows from (13), (14), and (15)

$$m_A = 0 \Leftrightarrow m_R = 0 \Leftrightarrow m_S = 0\,.$$

§ 3

In this paragraph we will propose a generalization of the concept of the R-stationary point.

Definition 5. *$x \in R$ is called an R-quasistationary point of the functional F if either*

$$S_R(x) = \emptyset \tag{16}$$

or

$$\sup_s \{F'(x)\, s \mid s \in S_R(x)\} = 0\,. \tag{17}$$



Fig. 1.

The extent of the new concept is schematically illustrated in Figure 1. The logical circle represents the set R and its dashed part the R-quasistationary points.

The quasi-stationarity of a point in the sense of Definition 5 can be proved by means of a criterion identical with that of Altman [2, Theorem 1]:

Theorem 1. *$x \in R$ is an R-quasistationary point of the functional F if and only if*

$$(18) \qquad \max_{(s,\sigma)} \{\sigma \mid F'(x)\, s \geqq \sigma; f_i'(x)\, s \leqq -\sigma,\ i \in M_x;\ \varphi_k(s) \leqq 0,\ k \in N_x\} = 0\,.$$

Proof. Let $\bar{\sigma}$ denote the left-hand side of (18). Let $\bar{\sigma} = 0$. Let us admit that the point x is not R-quasistationary, i.e. there exists a vector $\tilde{s} \in S_R(x)$ for which $F'(x)\, \tilde{s} > 0$. If we put down

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \min \{F'(x)\, \tilde{s};\ -f_i'(x)\, \tilde{s},\ i \in M_x\}\,,$$

the vector $\tilde{s}$ and the number $\tilde{\sigma}$ will fulfil the inequalities in (18) and at the same time $\tilde{\sigma} > 0$; but this contradicts our assumption. Conversely, let us suppose that the point x is R-quasistationary. If there were some vector $\tilde{s}$ satisfying the inequalities in (18) with $\tilde{\sigma} > 0$, then $\tilde{s}$ would be a regular direction of the point x and $F'(x)\, \tilde{s} > 0$. This is impossible, however, and therefore $\bar{\sigma} = 0$ must hold (this value of $\bar{\sigma}$ is realized, e.g., by $s = 0$).

A constructive way of getting R-quasistationary points is provided by the well-known *method of feasible directions.* Altman's theorem [2, Theorem 2] on convergence of this method remains valid even if the regularity condition is omitted;[7]) then the limit point of the method will be an R-quasistationary point. The usefulness of the new concept is now apparent: The regularity condition is a strong requirement when applied to general (non-convex) regions and is difficult to verify in practice. The method of the feasible directions can be used without it, however.

Remark. The terms from Definitions 2, 4, and 5 are essentially related to the *maximization-type* problem (1), although this is not explicitly worded in them. Evidently, the corresponding terms for the minimization-type problem could be obtained by means of infimum.

The author wishes to express his thanks to Mr. Josef Nedoma for help in correcting some mistakes.

References

[1] *Altman, M.:* Stationary points in non-linear programming. Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., math., astr., phys. 12 (1964), No 1, 29–35.

[2] *Altman, M.:* A feasible direction method for solving the non-linear programming problem. Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., math., astr., phys. 12 (1964), No 1, 43–50.

[3] *Zoutendijk, G.:* Methods of feasible directions. Elsevier, Amsterdam 1960.

[4] *Kirchgässner, K., Ritter, K.:* On stationary points of nonlinear maximum-problems in Banach spaces. J. SIAM Control 4 (1966), No 4, 732–739.

[7]) The regularity condition enters the proof of the theorem only through Theorem 1.

Souhrn

K JEDNÉ KLASIFIKACI STACIONÁRNÍCH BODŮ V NELINEÁRNÍM PROGRAMOVÁNÍ

JAROSLAV HROUDA

M. Altman v práci „Stationary points in non-linear programming" popsal třídy R-stacionárních a regulárních stacionárních bodů (R je přípustná oblast úlohy nelineárního programování v Banachově prostoru — obecně nekonvexní). V našem článku ukazujeme, že na množinách R splňujících tzv. podmínku regularity jsou obě tyto třídy totožné. Definujeme širší třídu stacionarit zahrnující všechny body, k nimž může (slabě) konvergovat Zoutendijkova metoda přípustných směrů, je-li použita bez ohledu na podmínku regularity.

Author's address: Jaroslav Hrouda, Výzkumný ústav technicko-ekonomický chemického průmyslu, Štěpánská 15, Praha 2.