

Vladimír Rogalewicz

A remark on λ -regular orthomodular lattices

Aplikace matematiky, Vol. 34 (1989), No. 6, 449–452

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/104375>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1989

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A REMARK ON λ -REGULAR ORTHOMODULAR LATTICES

VLADIMÍR ROGALEWICZ

(Received February 25, 1988)

Summary. A finite orthomodular lattice in which every maximal Boolean subalgebra (block) has the same cardinality k is called λ -regular, if each atom is a member of just λ blocks. We estimate the minimal number of blocks of λ -regular orthomodular lattices to be lower than or equal to λ^2 regardless of k .

Keywords: Orthomodular lattice, Greechie diagram.

AMS Classification: 06C15, 03G12, 05C65.

INTRODUCTION

The most powerful tool for constructions of finite orthomodular lattices (abbr. OMLs) are graphical methods — see [1, 2, 3, 5]. Utilization of these methods has brought forth also purely combinatorial problems. Significant classes are formed by OMLs in which every maximal Boolean subalgebra has the same cardinality. Following Köhler [4], we shall call such an OML λ -regular provided every atom is a member of just λ different blocks. It was proved in [4] that, for any cardinality of blocks and any natural number λ , a λ -regular OML always exists. The question of minimal cardinality of λ -regular OMLs was also formulated there, and, using the technique of Greechie diagrams [2], the minimal number of blocks, n , of a λ -regular OML with k atoms in every block was estimated by

$$n \leq \lambda k^{k(\lambda-1)}.$$

In this paper we strengthen this estimate — we show that $n \leq \lambda^2$ for any $k \geq 4$.

NOTIONS. RESULTS

Let \mathcal{B} be a family of Boolean algebras. We denote $[0, a]_{\mathcal{B}} = \{b \in B \mid b \leq a\}$ for $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $a \in B$. The n -cycle in \mathcal{B} is a sequence $((B_0, b_0), (B_1, b_1), \dots, (B_{n-1}, b_{n-1}))$

of (not necessarily distinct) algebras $B_i \in \mathcal{B}$ and (not necessarily distinct) elements $b_i \in B_i \cap B_{i+1}$, $b_i \neq 0$, such that $b_i \leq b'_{i+1}$, $[0, b_i]_{B_i} = [0, b_i]_{B_{i+1}}$ (indices mod n).

Definition 1. \mathcal{B} is pasted if for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$, $A \neq B$ the following conditions hold:

- (i) A is not contained in B ,
- (ii) $A \cap B$ is a subalgebra of A and of B on which the operations of A of B coincide,
- (iii) for each $a \in A \cap B$, $a \notin \{0, 1\}$, there exists a 4-cycle $((A, a), (C_1, a'), (B, a), (C_3, a'))$ (C_1, C_3 arbitrary).

The system of all blocks of an OML is pasted [6]. Dichtl [1] derived conditions for a pasted family of Boolean algebras to form an OML.

Theorem 2. Let \mathcal{B} be pasted. On $L = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$ we define a partial ordering and an orthocomplementation as follows: $a \leq b$ ($a = b'$) if there is $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $a \leq_B b$ ($a = b'^B$). Then L is an OML if and only if the following two conditions hold true:

- (i) for any 3-cycle $((B_i, b_i))_{i=0}^2$ in \mathcal{B} there is a member $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $[0, b_i]_{B_i} \subset B$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$,
- (ii) for any 4-cycle $((B_i, b_i))_{i=0}^3$ in \mathcal{B} there is a 4-cycle $((C_0, a), (C_1, a'), (C_2, a), (C_3, a'))$ in \mathcal{B} such that $b_0, b_2 \leq a \leq b'_1, b'_3$.

Proof. See [1, 3 or 5].

Let $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$ be the class of OMLs whose blocks (maximal Boolean algebras) are formed by the Boolean algebras 2^k .

Definition 3. Let a natural number λ be given. We say that an OML $L \in \mathcal{L}^{(k)}$ is λ -regular if every atom $a \in L$ belongs to exactly λ blocks.

For any $k \geq 3$ and any $\lambda \geq 1$ there exists a λ -regular OML $L \in \mathcal{L}^{(k)}$ [4]. A natural question arises to optimize its cardinality. We denote by $N_\lambda^{(k)}$ the minimal number of atoms of a λ -regular OML $L \in \mathcal{L}^{(k)}$ and by $n_\lambda^{(k)}$ the minimal number of blocks of such an OML. It is obvious that $\lambda N_\lambda^{(k)} = kn_\lambda^{(k)}$. Köhler [4] proved that

$$n_\lambda^{(k)} \leq \lambda k^{k(\lambda-1)}$$

for any $k \geq 3$ and any $\lambda \geq 1$. We improve this result as follows.

Proposition 4. Let $\lambda \geq 1$ be given. Then $n_\lambda^{(k)} \leq \lambda^2$ for any $k \geq 4$.

Proof. Let $\lambda \geq 1$ and $k \geq 4$ be given. Let $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_\lambda$ be copies of the Boolean algebra 2^k . We denote the atoms of B_i by $b_{i1}, b_{i2}, \dots, b_{ik}$ and put $x_i = b_{i1} \vee b_{i2}$ (and $x'_i = b_{i3} \vee b_{i4} \vee \dots \vee b_{ik}$) for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda$. Let us unify all x'_i 's $i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda$, and denote this element by x . Put $\mathcal{B} = \{[0, x]_{B_i} \times [0, x']_{B_j} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda, j = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda\}$. Then \mathcal{B} is pasted. Indeed, if $C, D \in \mathcal{B}$, $C \neq D$, then C is not contained in D and $C \cap D$ equals either $\{0, 1, x, x'\}$ or $\{0, 1\} \cup [0, x]_C \cup$

$\cup [x', 1]_C$ or $\{0, 1\} \cup [0, x']_C \cup [x, 1]_C$. Hence $C \cap D$ is a subalgebra of C and of D and the operations of C and of D coincide on $C \cap D$. As for (iii) of Definition 1, denote $[0, x]_C \times [0, x']_D = A_1$, $[0, x]_D \times [0, x']_C = A_2$. Trivially, $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{B}$, and if $a \in C \cap D$, then $a \in A_1 \cap A_2$. If $a = x$ then $((C, x), (A_1, x'), (D, x), (A_2, x'))$ forms a 4-cycle. If $a \leq x$, $a \notin \{0, x\}$, then $[0, x]_C = [0, x]_D$ and $((C, a), (A_1, a'), (D, a), (A_2, a'))$ is a 4-cycle. The same result can be obtained if $x \leq a$. If $a \leq x'$ or $x' \leq a$, then, analogously, there is a 4-cycle $((C, a'), (A_1, a), (D, a'), (A_2, a))$. We have proved that \mathcal{B} is pasted.

Let us now put $L = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$. We use Theorem 2 to prove that L is an OML. As for (i), observe that if $((A_1, a_1), (A_2, a_2), (A_3, a_3))$ is a 3-cycle in \mathcal{B} , then $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in C$ for some $C \in \{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ – otherwise there would be a block $[0, x]_{B_k} \times [0, x]_{B_l}$ or $[0, x']_{B_k} \times [0, x']_{B_l}$ in \mathcal{B} (for some $k, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lambda\}$). To prove (ii), suppose that $((A_1, a_1), (A_2, a_2), (A_3, a_3), (A_4, a_4))$ is a 4-cycle in \mathcal{B} . Suppose first that $A_1 \neq A_2 \neq A_3 \neq A_4 \neq A_1$. Then $A_1 = [0, x]_{A_1} \times [0, x']_{A_1}$, $A_2 = [0, x]_{A_1} \times [0, x']_{A_3}$, $A_3 = [0, x]_{A_3} \times [0, x']_{A_3}$, $A_4 = [0, x]_{A_3} \times [0, x']_{A_1}$ (if necessary, the role of A_2 and A_4 is interchanged). Now $a_1 \in A_1 \cap A_2$ implies that $a_1 \leq x$ or $a_1 = x' \vee c_1$ for some $c_1 \leq x$. Similarly, $a_2 \leq x'$ or $a_2 = x \vee c_2$, $c_2 \leq x'$, $a_3 \leq x$ or $a_3 = x' \vee c_3$, $c_3 \leq x$, and $a_4 \leq x'$ or $a_4 = x \vee c_4$, $c_4 \leq x'$. If $a_1, a_3 \leq x \leq a'_2, a'_4$, then $((A_1, x), (A_2, x'), (A_3, x), (A_4, x'))$ is the desired 4-cycle. If $a_1 \not\leq x$ then $a_1 = x' \vee c_1$, $c_1 \leq x$. Since $a_1 \leq a'_2$, we have $a_2 \leq x \wedge c'_1$ which is possible only if $a_2 = x$. Therefore $c_1 = 0$, $a_1 = x'$ and $a_2 = x$. Moreover, $a_3 \leq a'_2 = x'$ and $a_4 \leq a'_1 = x$. Hence $a_1, a_3 \leq x' \leq a'_2, a'_4$. The 4-cycle is constructed similarly as above. The same result can be obtained also if $a_2 \not\leq x'$, if $a_3 \not\leq x$ or if $a_4 \not\leq x'$. Finally, we shall analyze the case $A_1 = A_2$ (due to the symmetry, it solves also the other cases with an equality). Now $a_1, a_2, a_4 \in A_1$. Therefore $a_2, a_4 \leq a_2 \vee a_4 \leq a'_1, a'_3$ and there is a 4-cycle in \mathcal{B} , namely $((A_1, (a_2 \vee a_4)'), (A_1, a_2 \vee a_4), (A_1, (a_2 \vee a_4)'), (A_1, a_2 \vee a_4))$.

Since each block of the logic $L = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$ can be identified with some B , $B \in \mathcal{B}$, the logic L has λ^2 blocks (see eg. [3], Lemma 14, p. 51). It is easily seen that each atom from L belongs to exactly λ blocks. The proof is complete.

References

- [1] *M. Dichtl*: Astroids and pastings. Algebra Universalis 18 (1984), 380–385.
- [2] *R. J. Greechie*: Orthomodular lattices admitting no states. J. Combinatorial Theory 10 (1971), 119–132.
- [3] *G. Kalmbach*: Orthomodular Lattices. Academic Press, London, 1984.
- [4] *E. Köhler*: Orthomodulare Verbände mit Regularitätsbedingungen, J. of Geometry 119 (1982), 130–145.
- [5] *M. Navara, V. Rogalewicz*: The pasting constructions for orthomodular posets. Submitted for publication.
- [6] *V. Rogalewicz*: Any orthomodular poset is a pasting of Boolean algebras. Comment.Math. Univ. Carol. 29 (1988), 557–558.

Souhrn

POZNÁMKA O λ -REGULÁRNÍCH ORTOMODULÁRNÍCH SVAZECH

VLADIMÍR ROGALEWICZ

Konečný ortomodulární svaz, v němž každá maximální Booleova podalgebra (blok) má stejnou kardinalitu k , se nazývá λ -regulární, jestliže každý atom leží právě v λ blocích. Dokážeme, že nejmenší počet bloků λ -regulárního ortomodulárního svazu je menší nebo roven λ^2 bez ohledu na k .

Резюме

ЗАМЕЧАНИЕ О λ -РЕГУЛЯРНЫХ ОРТОМОДУЛЯРНЫХ РЕШЕТКАХ

VLADIMÍR ROGALEWICZ

Конечная ортомодулярная решетка, в которой каждая максимальная булевская подалгебра (блок) имеет одинаковую кардинальность k , называется λ -регулярной, если каждый атом лежит точно в λ блоках. В статье доказано, что наименьшее число блоков λ -регулярной ортомодулярной решетки меньше или равно λ^2 независимо от k .

Author's address: Vladimír Rogalewicz, CSc., Ústav pro hydrodynamiku ČSAV, Podbabská 13, 166 12 Praha 6.