Aplikace matematiky

Maia Koicheva; Edward Omey

Stability of characterizations of distribution functions using failure rate functions

Aplikace matematiky, Vol. 35 (1990), No. 6, 481--486

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/104430

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1990

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

35 (1990) APLIKACE MATEMATIKY No. 6, 481—486

STABILITY OF CHARACTERIZATIONS OF DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS USING FAILURE RATE FUNCTIONS

MAIA KOICHEVA, EDWARD OMEY

(Received September 20, 1988)

Summary. Let λ denote the failure rate function of the d.f. F and let λ_1 denote the failure rate function of the mean residual life distribution. In this paper we characterize the distribution functions F for which $\lambda_1 = c\lambda$ and we estimate F when it is only known that λ_1/λ or $\lambda_1 - c\lambda$ is bounded.

1. INTRODUCTION

In reliability theory, the failure rate function $\lambda(x)$ associated with a failure rate distribution (d.f.) $F(x)$ is defined by $\lambda(x) := f(x)/\bar{F}(x)$ where $\bar{F}(x) := 1 - F(x)$, $F(0) = 0$ and $f(x)$ is a density of $F(x)$. It is well-known [1] that $\lambda(x)\,\Delta x$ represents the probability that an object of age x will fail in the interval $[x, x + \Delta x]$. If $F(x)$ has a finite mean μ then the mean residual life at time x is defined by $M(x) := \int_x^\infty \bar{F}(t)\,\mathrm{d}t/\bar{F}(x)$. Clearly $\lambda_1(x) := 1/(M(x))$ is the failure rate function of the d.f. $F_1(x) := 1/\mu \int_0^x \bar{F}(t)\,\mathrm{d}t$. It is well-known that each of $\lambda(x)$ and $\lambda_1(x)$ determine the underlying d.f. F. As we will show later, also the ratio $\lambda(x)/\lambda_1(x)$ may be used to characterize $F(x)$.

In our first result we characterize the d.f.'s $F(x)$ for which $\lambda_1(x) = c\,\lambda(x)$. Then we discuss the stability of such a characterization. We discuss bounds for $F(x)$ in the case when it is only known that $\lambda_1(x)/\lambda(x)$ is bounded and in the case when it is known that $|\lambda_1(x) - c\,\lambda(x)|$ is bounded.

2. MAIN RESULTS

In our first result we consider the case when $\lambda_1(x) = c\,\lambda(x)$ holds.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $c > 0$ and suppose $F(x)$ has a density $f(x)$ and a finite mean μ. Assume $\lambda_1(x) = c\,\lambda(x)$ for all $x \geqq 0$ such that $F(x) < 1$.*

(i) *If* $0 < c < 1$, *then* $F(x) = 1 - (1 + ((1 - c)\mu c)\, x)^{1/(c-1)}$, $x \geqq 0$;

(ii) *if* $c = 1$, *then* $F(x) = 1 - \exp(-(1/\mu)\, x)$, $x \geqq 0$;

(iii) *if* $c > 1$, *then* $F(x) = 1 - (1 - ((c - 1)/\mu c)\, x)^{1/(c-1)}$, $0 \leqq x \leqq \mu c/(c - 1)$.

Conversely, for each of the d.f. $F(x)$ *in* (i), (ii) *or* (iii) *we have* $\lambda_1(x) = c\, \lambda(x)$.

Proof. Integrating the relation $\lambda_1(x) = c\, \lambda(x)$ between 0 and y yields $-\log \int_y^\infty \bar{F}(s)\, ds + \log \int_0^\infty \bar{F}(s)\, ds = c(-\log \bar{F}(y) + \log \bar{F}(0))$.

Using $F(0) = 0$ and $\int_0^\infty \bar{F}(s)\, ds = \mu$ it follows that $\int_y^\infty \bar{F}(s)\, ds = \mu\, \bar{F}^c(y)$ and hence that

$$\frac{f(y)}{\bar{F}^{2-c}(y)} = \frac{1}{\mu c}. \tag{2.1}$$

If $c \neq 1$ it follows after integrating (2.1) that $x/\mu c = (1 - \bar{F}^{c-1}(x))/(c - 1)$ and the results (i) and (iii) follow. If $c = 1$, integrating (2.1) yields the result (ii). A simple calculation also yields the converse results. ■

In the next results we examine the stability of the relation $\lambda_1(x) = c\, \lambda(x)$. In Theorem 2.2 below we discuss bounds for $F(x)$ in the case when $\lambda_1(x)/\lambda(x)$ is bounded. In Theorem 2.3 we consider the case when $\lambda_1(x) - c\, \lambda(x)$ is bounded.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose* $F(x)$ *has a density* $f(x)$ *and a finite mean* μ. *If there are constants* c *and* d $(0 < c \leqq d < 1)$ *such that* $d\, \lambda(x) \leqq \lambda_1(x) \leqq c\, \lambda(x)$ *holds for* $x \geqq 0$, *then for all* $x \geqq 0$,

$$\left(1 + \frac{1 - c}{\mu c}\, x\right)^{c/d(c-1)} \leqq \bar{F}(x) \leqq \left(1 + \frac{1 - d}{\mu d}\, x\right)^{d/c(d-1)}. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Using $F(0) = 0$, $\int_0^\infty \bar{F}(s)\, ds = \mu$ and $\lambda_1(x) \leqq c\, \lambda(x)$, we obtain after integration that $\mu\, \bar{F}^c(x) \leqq \int_x^\infty \bar{F}(t)\, dt$. Now define $F_1(x) := 1/\mu \int_0^x \bar{F}(s)\, ds$; we have $F_1(0) = 0$, $F_1'(x) = \bar{F}(x)/\mu$ and

$$\mu\, F_1'(x) \leqq (1 - F_1(x))^{1/c} \tag{2.3}$$

or equivalently

$$\mu\, F_1'(x)\, (1 - F_1(x))^{-1/c} \leqq 1.$$

Integrating this relation yields

$$1 - F_1(x) \geqq \left(1 + \frac{1 - c}{\mu c}\, x\right)^{c/(c-1)}. \tag{2.4}$$

In a similar way, from $d\, \lambda(x) \leqq \lambda_1(x)$ we obtain

$$\mu\, F_1'(x) \geqq (1 - F_1(x))^{1/d} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$(2.6) \qquad 1 - F_1(x) \leqq \left(1 + \frac{1-d}{\mu d} x\right)^{d/(d-1)} .$$

Now we use $F_1'(x) = 1/\mu\, \bar{F}(x))$ and (2.3) – (2·6) to obtain (2.2).

Remark. If $\lim_{x\to\infty} \lambda_1(x)/\lambda(x) = c$, $0 < c < 1$, it follows from the results of de Haan [5 p. 100] that $\bar{F}(x)$ is regularly varying with index $1/(c-1)$, i.e. $\lim_{t\to\infty} (\bar{F}(tx)/\bar{F}(t) = x^{1/(c-1)}$ for each $x > 0$. If so, it is well-known that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist constants A, B, C such that

$$Bx^{-\varepsilon} \leqq \bar{F}(x)\, x^{1/(1-c)} \leqq Ax^{\varepsilon}, \quad \forall x \geqq C .$$

In our final result we estimate $F(x)$ in the case when $\varrho := \sup_{x\geqq 0} |\lambda_1(x) - c\,\lambda(x)| < \infty$.

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose $F(x)$ has a density $f(x)$ and a finite mean μ and suppose $F(x) < 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Suppose that for some constant c $(0 < c \leqq 1)$,

$$\varrho := \sup_{x\geqq 0} |\lambda_1(x) - c\,\lambda(x)| < \infty .$$

Then

(i) *if* $c < 1$, $\left|\bar{F}(x) - \left(1 + \frac{1-c}{\mu c} x\right)^{1/(c-1)}\right| \leqq \mu\varrho(1 + c)$;

(ii) *if* $c = 1$, $\left|\bar{F}(x) - \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\mu} x\right)\right| \leqq 2\varrho\mu$.

Proof. For further use we define $\Psi(x) := \int_x^\infty \bar{F}(t)\,\mathrm{d}t$ and $\varphi(x) := \bar{F}(x) - A/(1 + Bx)\,\Psi(x)$ where $A = 1/\mu$ and $B = A((1/c) - 1)$ ($B = 0$ if $c = 1$). Crucial in the proof of the theorem is the following

Proposition $\sup_{x\geqq 0} |\varphi(x)| \leqq \mu\varrho$.

Proof of the Proposition. Clearly $\varphi(x)$ is continuous, differentiable and bounded. Also $\varphi(\infty) = 0$ and $\varphi(0) = 1 - A\mu = 0$ by the choice of A. Let x_0 denote a point at which $|\varphi(x)|$ attains its maximum. Clearly $\varphi'(x_0) = 0$ and $\sup_{x\geqq 0} |\varphi(x)| = |\varphi(x_0)|$. Straightforward calculation yields

$$(2.7) \qquad \varphi(x) = \Psi(x)\left(\lambda_1(x) - \frac{A}{1 + Bx}\right)$$

and

$$(2.8) \qquad \varphi'(x) = \bar{F}(x)\left\{-\lambda(x) + \frac{A}{c(1 + Bx)} + \frac{B\left(-\lambda_1(x) + \frac{A}{1 + Bx}\right)}{(1 + Bx)\,\lambda_1(x)}\right\} .$$

Replacing x by x_0 in (2.8), we obtain

$$\lambda(x_0) - \frac{A}{c(1 + Bx_0)} = \frac{B\left(-\lambda_1(x_0) + \dfrac{A}{(1 + Bx_0)}\right)}{(1 + Bx_0)\,\lambda_1(x_0)}. \tag{2.9}$$

Now by assumption $-\varrho \leqq \lambda_1(x) - c\,\lambda(x) \leqq +\varrho$ and hence also

$$-\varrho \leqq \lambda_1(x) - \frac{A}{1 + Bx} + c\left(\frac{A}{c(1 + Bx)} - \lambda(x)\right) \leqq +\varrho\,. \tag{2.10}$$

Now replace x by x_0 in (2.10) and use (2.9) to obtain

$$-\varrho \leqq \left(\lambda_1(x_0) - \frac{A}{1 + Bx_0}\right)\left(1 + \frac{cB}{(1 + Bx_0)\,\lambda_1(x_0)}\right) \leqq +\varrho\,.$$

It follows that

$$\left|\lambda_1(x_0) - \frac{A}{1 + Bx_0}\right| \leqq \varrho\,. \tag{2.11}$$

Now use (2.7) and (2.11) to obtain

$$|\varphi(x_0)| \leqq \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \bar{F}(s)\,\mathrm{d}s\,.\,\varrho = \mu\varrho.$$

Proof of the Theorem. The remainder of the proof of the theorem now follows easily. From the definition of Ψ it follows that $\Psi'(x) = \bar{F}(x)$ and then it follows that

$$\Psi'(x) + \frac{A}{1 + Bx}\,\Psi(x) = -\varphi(x)\,.$$

First consider the case $c < 1$.

Since $\Psi(0) = \mu$, the solution to this differential equation is given by

$$\Psi(x) = \mu(1 + Bx)^{-A/B} - (1 + Bx)^{-A/B} \int_0^x \varphi(t)\,(1 + Bt)^{A/B}\,\mathrm{d}t\,.$$

Hence

$$\bar{F}(x) - \frac{A}{(1 + Bx)^{1+A/B}} = \varphi(x) - \frac{A}{(1 + Bx)^{1+A/B}} \int_0^x \varphi(t)\,(1 + Bt)^{A/B}\,\mathrm{d}t\,.$$

Using the proposition it follows that

$$\left|\bar{F}(x) - \frac{A\mu}{(1 + Bx)^{1+A/B}}\right| \leqq$$

$$\leqq \sup_{x \geqq 0} |\varphi(x)| \left\{1 + \frac{A}{(1 + Bx)^{1+A/B}} \int_0^x (1 + Bt)^{A/B}\,\mathrm{d}t\right\} \leqq$$

$$\leqq \mu\varrho \left\{1 + \frac{A}{A + B}\right\} = \mu\varrho(1 + c)\,.$$

This proves the result (i).

In the case when $c = 1$, in a similar way it follows that $\bar{F}(x) - \mu A \exp(-Ax) = \varphi(x) - A/\exp(Ax) \int_0^x \varphi(t) \exp(At)\, dt$ where $A = 1/\mu$. Using the proposition we obtain

$$|\bar{F}(x) - \mu A \exp(-Ax)| \leqq \mu \varrho\, 2 .$$

This proves case (ii) and the theorem. ■

3. CONCLUDING REMARKS

3.1 In [4] the length biased d.f. is defined by its density $g(x) := 1/\mu x f(x)$. The failure rate function associated with it is given by $\lambda_g(x) = x f(x)/\int_x^\infty t f(t)\, dt$. It is easily seen that $\lambda_g(x) = [x \lambda(x) \lambda_1(x)]/[x \lambda_1(x) + 1]$. Obviously λ_g uniquely determines the d.f. $F(x)$. Since λ_1 uniquely determines $F(x)$, also $\lambda_g(x)/\lambda(x)$ uniquely determines $F(x)$. In [4] such characterizations are carried out.

3.2 The problem of characterizing the exponential d.f. and its stability has been studied by many authors (see e.g. [3], [6]). In [2] the authors characterize the gamma d.f. via exponential mixtures. Let $F_t(x) = 1 - \exp(-tx)$ $(x \geqq 0)$ denote the family of exponential d.f. with a parameter $t > 0$. If t has d.f. G then the mixture F_G of F_t with the mixing d.f. G is given by

$$F_G(x) := \int_0^\infty (1 - \exp(-tx))\, dG(t) \quad (x \geqq 0) . \tag{3.1}$$

Clearly $\bar{F}_G(x)$ is the Laplace-Stieltjes transform of G and therefore uniquely determines G. In the case when G is gamma $\gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ with parameters $\alpha > 1$ and $\beta > 0$ (i.e. $dG(t) = [(\beta^\alpha \exp(-\beta t)\, t^{\alpha-1})/\Gamma(\alpha)]\, dt$), (3.1) reduces to $\bar{F}_G(x) = (1 + (1/\beta x))^{-\alpha}$ $(x \geqq 0)$ so that F_G is Pareto distributed. For the d.f. F_G, let λ and λ_1 be defined as in Section 1. From Theorem 2.1 we obtain the following characterization of the gamma d.f.

Corollary. *Let $\alpha > 1$ and let F_G and G be related by* (3.1). *Suppose $\mu := \int_0^\infty t^{-1} \cdot dG(t) < \infty$. Then $G = \gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if $\lambda_1(x) = (\alpha - 1/\alpha)\, \lambda(x)$ where β, α and μ are related by $\beta = (\alpha - 1)\, \mu$.* ■

References

[1] *R. Barlow, F. Proshan:* Mathematical Theory of Reliability. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1965.

[2] *Manish C. Bhattarcharjee:* On a characterization of a gamma distribution via exponential mixtures. J. Appl. Prob. 17 (2) 574—576, 1980.

[3] *J. Galambos, S. Kotz:* Characterization of probability distributions. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 675, 1978.

[4] *R. C. Gupta, J. P. Keating:* Relations for reliability measures under length biased sampling. Scand. J. Statist. 13, 49—56, 1986.
[5] *L. de Haan:* On regular variation and its application to the weak convergence of sample extremes. Math. Centre Tracts 32, Amsterdam, 1970.
[6] *V. V. Kalashnikov, S. T. Rachev:* Characterization problems in queueing and their stability. Adv. Appl. Prob. 17, 868—886, 1985.

Souhrn

STABILITY CHARAKTERIZACÍ DISTRIBUČNÍCH FUNKCÍ POUŽÍVAJÍCÍCH FUNKCE INTENZIT PORUCH

MAIA KOICHEVA, EDWARD OMEY

Nechť F je distribuční funkce doba do poruchy a $M(x)$ příslušná podmíněná střední hodnota za podmínky, že doba do poruchy je rovna alespoň x. Označme λ funkci intenzita poruch odpovídající distribuční funkci F a $\lambda_1(x) = 1/(M(x))$ pro všechna reálná x. V článku jsou charakterizovány distribuční funkce F, pro které platí $\lambda_1 = c\lambda$, a je odhadnuto F, když je známo pouze, že λ_1/λ nebo $\lambda_1 - c\lambda$ je omezené.

Authors' addresses: Prof. *Maia Koicheva*, Institute of Mathematics, P.B.O. 373, 1090 Sofia, Bulgaria; Prof. *Edward Omey*, E.H.S.A.L., Stormstraat 2, 1000 Brussel, Belgium.