

Ivan Netuka

The Robin problem in potential theory (Preliminary communication)

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 12 (1971), No. 1, 205--211

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105338>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1971

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

THE ROBIN PROBLEM IN POTENTIAL THEORY

(Preliminary communication)

Ivan NETUKA, Praha

Let G be an arbitrary open set in E_m , the Euclidean space of dimension $m > 2$ and suppose that the boundary B of G is non-void and compact. We denote by \mathcal{L} the Banach space of all finite signed Borel measures with support in B ; total variation is taken as a norm in \mathcal{L} . In what follows, λ will be a fixed non-negative element of \mathcal{L} . With each $\mu \in \mathcal{L}$ we associate its potential

$$U_\mu(x) = \int_B r(x-y) d\mu(y)$$

corresponding to the Newtonian kernel $r(x) = |x|^{2-m}/(m-2)$ as well as the class \mathcal{D}_μ of those infinitely differentiable functions φ with compact support in E_m for which the integral

$$I_\mu(\varphi) = \int_{B \times B} \varphi(x) r(x-y) d\lambda(x) d\mu(y)$$

converges. Let \mathcal{T}_μ denote the functional over \mathcal{D}_μ defined by

$$\langle \varphi, \mathcal{T}_\mu \rangle = I_\mu(\varphi) + \int_G \text{grad } \varphi(x) \cdot \text{grad } U_\mu(x) dx.$$

If B is a smooth surface with the exterior normal n and

λ is absolutely continuous with respect to the area measure H on B then, under appropriate assumptions on u_μ , $\langle \varphi, \mathcal{T}\mu \rangle$ transforms into

$$\int_B \varphi \left(\frac{\partial u_\mu}{\partial m} + \frac{d\lambda}{dH} u_\mu \right) dH,$$

which shows that $\mathcal{T}\mu$ is a natural characterization of

$$\frac{\partial u_\mu}{\partial m} + \frac{d\lambda}{dH} u_\mu.$$

For $\lambda = 0$, $\mathcal{T}\mu$ reduces to the generalized normal derivative Nu_μ of u_μ as investigated in [1]. For the case when G is a complementary domain of a simple closed surface in E_3 submitted to some further restrictions, the third boundary value problem (sometimes called the Robin problem) with a weak characterization of boundary values was treated in [3]. Making no a priori restrictions on B we establish a necessary and sufficient geometric condition guaranteeing, for each $\mu \in B$, the representability of $\mathcal{T}\mu$ by means of a unique element of \mathcal{B} . As in [1], we call x a hit of an open segment or a half-line $S \subset E_m$ on G provided $x \in S$ and each open ball containing x meets both $S \cap G$ and $S - G$ in a set of positive linear measure. Given $y \in E_m$, $0 < \kappa \leq +\infty$ and $\theta \in \Gamma = \{x \in E_m; |x| = 1\}$ consider the number $n_\kappa(\theta, y)$ (possibly zero or infinite) of all the hits of $\{y + \varphi\theta; 0 < \varphi < \kappa\}$ on G . For fixed y and κ , $n_\kappa(\theta, y)$ appears to be a Baire function of the variable $\theta \in \Gamma$ and we may define

$$r_\kappa(y) = \int_\Gamma n_\kappa(\theta, y) dH_{m-1}(\theta),$$

where H_{m-1} is the Hausdorff $(m-1)$ -measure.

Results of [1] permit one to obtain the following

Theorem I. The following conditions (1) and (2) are equivalent to each other:

$$(1) \sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{B}} [\nu_{\infty}(\gamma) + U\lambda(\gamma)] < \infty.$$

(2) For each $\mu \in \mathcal{B}$, there is a unique $\nu \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\langle \varphi, \nu \rangle = \langle \varphi, \mathcal{T}\mu \rangle$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$.

Let us now assume (1). In view of Theorem I, $\mathcal{T}\mu$ can be identified with a unique element of \mathcal{L} . The operator $\mathcal{T}: \mu \mapsto \mathcal{T}\mu$ is bounded on \mathcal{B} .

It is natural to investigate the applicability of the Riesz-Schauder theory to the third boundary value problem in the following formulation: Given $\nu \in \mathcal{B}$, determine a $\mu \in \mathcal{L}$ with $\mathcal{T}\mu = \nu$. For this purpose it is useful to consider the decomposition

$$\mathcal{T} = \alpha A\mathcal{J} + \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$$

where α is a real number, $A = H_{m-1}(\Gamma)$ and \mathcal{J} is the identity operator on \mathcal{L} , and investigate the quantity

$$\omega_{\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}} = \inf_{\mathcal{Q}} \|\mathcal{T}_{\alpha} - \mathcal{Q}\|$$

where \mathcal{Q} runs over all operators acting on \mathcal{L} of the form

$$\mathcal{Q} \dots = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle f_j, \dots \rangle m_j,$$

where n is an integer, $m_j \in \mathcal{L}$ and f_j are bounded Baire functions on \mathcal{B} . In a similar way as in [2] it is possible to determine the optimal value γ of the parameter α and evaluate the quantity

$$(3) \quad a = \frac{\omega \mathcal{I}_x}{A|\gamma|} = \inf_{\alpha \neq 0} \frac{\omega \mathcal{I}_\alpha}{A|\alpha|}$$

in geometric terms connected with G and λ .

Denote by I_B the set of all isolated points of B and put $E = B - I_B$ or $E = B$ according as I_B is finite or not and write B_1 for the set of all points $y \in E$ that have a neighborhood $\Omega(y)$ such that $\Omega(y) - G$ has Lebesgue measure zero. Let B_2 stand for the set of those $y \in B$ at which the m -dimensional density of G equals $\frac{1}{2}$. Then B_2 is a Borel set with $H_{m-1}(B_2) < \infty$ and one may consider the Lebesgue decomposition $\lambda = \lambda_0 + \hat{\lambda}$ with respect to the restriction \hat{H} of H_{m-1} to B_2 ; here λ_0 is absolutely continuous (\hat{H}) and $\hat{\lambda}$ and \hat{H} are mutually singular. For each $\kappa > 0$ and $y \in E_m$, denote by $\Omega_\kappa(y)$ the open ball of radius κ and center y and put

$$\hat{v}_\kappa(y) = \frac{\hat{\lambda}[\Omega_\kappa(y)]}{(m-2)\kappa^{m-2}} + \int_0^\kappa \rho^{1-m} \hat{\lambda}[\Omega_\rho(y)] d\rho.$$

(Note that $\hat{v}_\kappa(y)$ is just the value of the potential induced at y by the restriction of $\hat{\lambda}$ to $\Omega_\kappa(y)$.)

For $j = 1, 2$ set

$$k_j = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{y \in B_j} [v_\kappa(y) + \hat{v}_\kappa(y)]$$

if $B_j \neq \emptyset$; in the opposite case define $k_j = 0$.

With this notation we have the following theorem which we state here for the simplest case when $U\lambda_0$ is continuous.

Theorem II. If α and γ are defined by (3), then $\alpha < 1$ if and only if, simultaneously,

$$(4) \quad k_1 < A, \quad k_2 < \frac{1}{2} A.$$

If (4) holds then one of the following cases must take place:

$$(i^*) \quad B_1 = \emptyset,$$

$$(ii) \quad B_2 = \emptyset \quad \text{or} \quad k_1 \geq \frac{1}{2} A + k_2,$$

$$(iii) \quad B_1 \neq \emptyset \neq B_2 \quad \text{and} \quad |k_1 - k_2| < \frac{1}{2} A.$$

In the case (i*)

$$\alpha = 2k_2/A, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{2};$$

if (ii) occurs then

$$\alpha = k_1/A, \quad \gamma = 1,$$

while in the case (iii)

$$\alpha = \frac{k_1 + k_2 + \frac{1}{2} A}{k_1 - k_2 + \frac{3}{2} A}, \quad \gamma = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{k_1 - k_2}{2A}.$$

Under suitable conditions the corresponding theorem for discontinuous $u \lambda_0$ is the same, only the definition of the constants k_1, k_2 must be generalized and becomes more complicated. On the other hand, if $u \lambda$ happens to be continuous on B (especially if $\lambda = 0$) then $\hat{v}_\kappa(\psi)$ can be omitted in the definition of k_1, k_2 .

Using some ideas of J. Radon, we are in a position to prove the following

Theorem III. Let α, β be real numbers, $A|\beta| > \omega \mathcal{T}_\alpha$ and denote by $d(y)$ the m -dimensional density of G at y . Suppose that

$$d(y) \neq \beta - \alpha$$

for every $y \in B$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{L}$ satisfies

$$[A\beta\mathcal{J} + \mathcal{T}_\alpha] \mu = 0$$

then the corresponding potential $U\mu$ is quasi-everywhere bounded (and thus possesses finite Dirichlet integral).

This proposition is a basic tool for the proof of the following theorem that is stated only for the case of continuous $U\lambda$, here.

Theorem IV. Assume G to be a domain (= connected and open set) satisfying (4). Then

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}$$

with the only exception which occurs if G is bounded and $\lambda = 0$. In this case the range of \mathcal{T} consists precisely of those $\nu \in \mathcal{L}$ with $\nu(B) = 0$.

The proof of the announced theorems together with further related results and details and the corresponding bibliography will be given elsewhere.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] KRÁL J.: The Fredholm method in potential theory, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc.125(1966),511-547.
- [2] KRÁL J.: Flows of heat and Fourier problem, Czech. Math.Journ.20(1970), 556 - 598 .

- [3] Sapožnikova V.D.: Rešenije tret'ej krajevoj zadači metodami teorii potenciala dlja oblastej s nereguljarnymi granicami, Problemy Mat. Analiza, Izd.Leningradskogo un.,1966.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta
Karlova universita
Malostranské nám.25,Praha 1
Československo

(Oblatum 11.12.1970)