

Małgorzata Wójcicka

A remark on the weak topology of the Hilbert space

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 28 (1987), No. 3, 437--440

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106557>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A REMARK ON THE WEAK TOPOLOGY  
OF THE HILBERT SPACE

Małgorzata WÓJCICKA

Abstract: V.V. Uspenskii [A] asked if every  $\chi_0$ -space can be embedded in an  $\chi_0$ -space with property  $k_R$ . It is shown that the Hilbert space  $l_2$  endowed with the weak topology provides a negative answer to this question.

Key words: Hilbert space, weak topology,  $\chi_0$ -space,  $k_R$ -space.

Classification: 46C05, 54E20, 54D50, 54C25

---

1. Introduction. Let us recall that a regular space  $X$  is an  $\chi_0$ -space if  $X$  has a countable  $k$ -network  $\mathcal{R}$ , i.e. a collection of subsets (not necessarily open) such that whenever  $K \subset U$  with  $K$  compact and  $U$  open in  $X$ , then  $K \subset P \subset U$  for some  $P \in \mathcal{R}$ ; the class of  $\chi_0$ -spaces was introduced by E. Michael [M1], where we refer the reader for the basic properties. A completely regular space  $X$  is a  $k_R$ -space if arbitrary function  $f: X \rightarrow R$ , whose restriction to every compact  $K \subset X$  is continuous on  $X$ , see [M2].

V.V. Uspenskii [A] asked if every  $\chi_0$ -space can be embedded in an  $\chi_0$ -space with property  $k_R$ . In this note we shall show that the Hilbert space  $l_2$  endowed with the weak topology (which is an  $\chi_0$ -space, see [M1, Cor. 7.10]) provides a negative answer to this question:

Theorem 1. The infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space equipped with the weak topology cannot be embedded into any  $\chi_0$ -space being a  $k_R$ -space.

Let us notice that our reasoning shows also that a well-known space  $V$  considered by Varadarajan [V, p.98]: the natural numbers extended by the filter of the complements of density 0 sets, provides another example of this kind.<sup>x)</sup>

---

x) This example was considered also by P. Uryson (see P.S. Aleksandrov, P.S. Uryson: *Memuar o kompaktnych topologičeskich prostranstvach*, 3rd edition, Moscow 1971 (pp. 119-120)). (Referee's remark)

We shall denote by  $N$  the natural numbers and by  $|A|$  the cardinality of the set  $A$ .

2. The Fernique's space  $F$ . We shall denote by  $l_2$  the Hilbert space of the square-summable sequences of the real numbers. Let  $e_1, e_2, \dots$  be the standard orthonormal basis in  $l_2$ . Following Fernique [H], p.268] we shall consider the following subspace of  $l_2$ :

$$F = \bigcup_{i,j \in N} \{ie_j\} \cup \{0\}$$

equipped with the topology induced by the weak topology of  $l_2$ , i.e. the points  $ne_j$  are isolated in  $F$  and basic neighbourhoods of the point 0 in  $F$  are of the form:

$$(*) \quad V = \{ne_i : |\alpha_i| < 1\} \cup \{0\}, \text{ where } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i^2 < \infty.$$

We shall need the following observation about the space  $F$ :

Lemma 2. Let  $W_1 \supset W_2 \supset \dots$  be a sequence of open sets in the space  $F$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} W_i = \{0\}$ . Then there exists a set  $Y \subset F$  satisfying the conditions:  $0 \in \bar{Y}$ ,  $|Y - W_i| < \infty$ , for  $i=1, 2, \dots$  and no sequence of points of the set  $Y$  converges to 0.

Proof: Choose inductively for each  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , pairwise disjoint sets  $A_n \subset N$  such that  $|A_n| = n^2$  and  $Y_n = \{ne_i : i \in A_n\} \subset W_n$ . We shall show that  $Y = \bigcup Y_n$  has the required property. Each set  $Y - W_n \subset Y_1 \cup \dots \cup Y_{n-1}$  is finite and obviously no sequence from  $Y$  converges to 0, so it is enough to show that  $0 \in \bar{Y}$ . Aiming at a contradiction, assume that there exists a neighbourhood  $V$  of the form  $(*)$  with  $Y \cap V = \emptyset$ . Then, for each  $i \in A_n$ ,  $|\alpha_i| \geq 1$ , but then  $\sum_{i \in A_n} \alpha_i^2 \geq |A_n| \frac{1}{n^2} = 1$ , which contradicts the fact that the sequence  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$  is square summable.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Let  $X$  be any  $\chi_0$ -space containing the space  $F$  defined in sec. 2. We shall show that  $X$  is not a  $k_R$ -space.

The point 0 is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $X$  hence there exist sets in  $X$  such that

$$W_1 \supset W_2 \supset W_3 \supset \dots \text{ and } \{0\} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} W_i.$$

By Lemma 2 we can find a set  $Y \subset F$  such that  $0 \in \bar{Y}$ ,  $|Y - W_i| < \infty$  for  $i \in N$  and no sequence of points of  $Y$  converges to 0.

Let  $y_1, y_2, \dots$  be an enumeration of the elements of  $Y$ . We shall choose an open neighbourhood  $V_i$  in  $X$  of the points  $y_i$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$(i) \quad V_i \cap F = \{y_i\}$$

$$(ii) \quad \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{V_i} \cup \{0\},$$

$$(iii) \quad \overline{V_i} \cap \bigcup_{j \neq i} V_j = \emptyset.$$

(iv) no sequence of points of the set  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i$  converges to 0.

To this end we define inductively open sets  $U_1, U_2, \dots$  in  $X$  such that  $U_i \cap F = \{y_i\}$ ,  $U_i \cap \overline{U_j} = \emptyset$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\overline{U_i} \cap \overline{U_j} = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$  and if  $y_i \in W_m$  then  $U_i \subset W_m$ . It is easy to check that  $\overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{U_i} \cup \{0\}$ . Indeed, if  $q \neq 0$  then there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $q \in W_m$  and the open neighbourhood  $X - \overline{W_m}$  of the point  $q$  intersects only finitely many sets  $U_i$ . In a similar way one can verify that  $\overline{U_i} \cap \bigcup_{j \neq i} U_j = \emptyset$ .

Let us consider a  $k$ -network in  $X$  consisting of closed sets, let  $S_1, S_2, \dots$  be an enumeration of the elements of the  $k$ -network containing 0 and let

$$V_i = U_i - \bigcup \{S_j : j \leq i \text{ and } y_i \notin S_j\}.$$

Obviously, the conditions (i)-(iii) are satisfied. We shall check that (iv) holds as well. Assume on the contrary that there exists a compact set

$Z \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i$  homeomorphic with a convergent sequence, 0 being the limit point,

and let  $P = \{y_i \in Y : V_i \cap Z \neq \emptyset\}$ ; since  $0 \notin \overline{V_i}$ , the set  $P$  is infinite. By the choice of  $Y$ , no sequence from  $Y$  converges to 0, hence there exists a neighbourhood  $W$  of 0 such that  $P - W$  is infinite. The set  $Z - W$  is finite, so  $Z - W \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{j_0} V_i$  for some  $i_0$ , and the set  $Z \cap W$  is compact, so  $Z \cap W \subset S_{j_0} \subset W$  for some  $j_0$ .

Consider  $y_{n_0} \in P - W$  with  $n_0 > \max(i_0, j_0)$ . Then

$$V_{n_0} \cap (Z - W) = \emptyset \text{ and}$$

$$V_{n_0} \cap (Z \cap W) \subset V_{n_0} \cap S_{j_0} = \emptyset \text{ as } y_{n_0} \notin S_{j_0}.$$

Therefore  $V_{n_0} \cap Z = \emptyset$ , a contradiction with the definition of the set  $P$ .

Now, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define a continuous function  $f_n: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  equal to 0 on the set  $X - V_n$ , and 1 on  $\{y_n\}$ . Put  $f = \max f_n$ . In particular,  $f$  equals 1 on  $Y$  and  $f(0) = 0$  and since  $0 \in \overline{Y}$ ,  $f$  is not continuous at 0. By conditions (i)-(iii) it follows that 0 is the unique point of discontinuity of  $f$ .

We shall show that  $f$  is continuous on each compact set  $K \subset X$ , just violating the  $k_R$ -property. Let  $K \subset X$  be a compact set containing 0. Since com-

compact sets in any  $\alpha_0$ -space are metrizable, condition (iv) implies that  $0 \notin \overline{K \cap \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V}$ . It follows that for some neighbourhood  $W$  of  $0$ , the function  $f$  vanishes on the set  $W \cap K$ . Hence the restriction  $f|_K$  is continuous at  $0$  and  $f$  being continuous at any other point in  $X$ ,  $f|_K$  is continuous.

#### References

- [A] A.V. ARHANGELSKIĬ: On  $R$ -factor mappings of spaces with countable base, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 287(1986), 14-17.
- [HJ] J. HOFFMANN-JØRGENSEN: The theory of analytic spaces, Aarhus Various Publ. Series, no. 10, 1970.
- [M1] E. MICHAEL:  $\alpha_0$ -spaces, J. Math. Mech. 15(1966), 983-1002.
- [M2] E. MICHAEL: On  $k$ -spaces,  $k_R$ -spaces and  $k(X)$ , Pacific J. Math. 47(1973), 487-498.
- [V] V.S. VARADARAJAN: Measures on topological spaces, Mat. Sbornik 55(97) (1961), 35-100. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2)48(1965), 161-228.

Warszawa 00-149, ul. Karmelicka 3c m. 16, Poland

(Oblatum 17.3. 1987)