

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

Zuzana Došlá; Ondřej Došlý

A remark on uniqueness criteria for initial value problem

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 30 (1989), No. 3,
447--453

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106766>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1989

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*
<http://project.dml.cz>

A remark on uniqueness criteria for initial value problem

Z. DOŠLÁ, O. DOŠLÝ

Dedicated to the memory of Svatopluk Fučík

Abstract. Relations between the recently published uniqueness criteria for the Cauchy's problem for ordinary differential equations are discussed and their equivalence to the Perron's theorem is proved.

Keywords: Ordinary differential equation, uniqueness criterion

Classification: 34A10

1. Introduction. This paper is motivated by the results of the articles [5] and [2] where the uniqueness criteria for the initial value problem

$$(1) \quad x' = f(t, x) \quad x(t_0) = x_0$$

are considered. Theorem 1 of [2] is the generalization of [10]. In [5] it is shown that this result can be obtained from [4].

The aim of this paper is to insert the results of the above mentioned papers to the framework of Theorem 1 of [8].

We shall show how the criteria of [10], [4] and the recent one of [2] follow from this general theorem. It will be proved that these results are in some sense equivalent to the Perron's theorem [7].

The case of functions with their values in a Banach space as well as the connection of uniqueness theorem on the convergence of sequences of successive approximations will be given elsewhere.

2. Historical background. A. Cauchy was the first one dealing with the problem of the uniqueness for (1). It took place in the second decade of the nineteenth century. He was followed by Lipschitz, Osgood, Nagumo, Perron, Kamke and others whose theorems are contained as usual in monographies on ordinary differential equations. These results guarantee the uniqueness of the solution of (1) under the assumption of the form

$$(2) \quad |f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq g(t, |x - y|) \quad .$$

Here $g(t, u)$ is a nonnegative real-valued function for which $u(t) = 0$ is the unique solution of the initial value problem

$$u' = g(t, u) \quad u(t_0) = 0$$

and which satisfies various additional assumptions.

Since fourtieth of this century the most general of these results - Kamke's theorem - has been generalized in various directions. The first generalization consists in replacing of the left-hand side of (2) by the derivative of a Ljapunov function along the trajectories of (1) (see, e.g. references in [8]). It was motivated by the fact that the Kamke-type criteria fail to prove the uniqueness in the case like $x' = \sqrt{x} + 1$, $x(0) = 0$; in this case the left-hand side of (2) is the same as for the (nonunique) problem $x' = \sqrt{x}$, $x(0) = 0$.

Recently some efforts were made to uniqueness criteria for (1) under some weaker assumptions on f , often without even assuming $f(t, x)$ to be continuous on the line $t = t_0$. This direction of generalization is followed in our article.

3. List of known results. In this section we enlist some known uniqueness criteria in order to show that they are special cases of the general theorem from [8]. Note that this theorem is a slight generalization of [3].

Denote $R^+ = (0, \infty)$, $R^- = (-\infty, 0)$. Let $C[D_1; D_2]$ be the class of all continuous functions $f: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ and let $f(t) = o(g(t))$ as $t \rightarrow t_{0+}$ mean $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_{0+}} f(t)/g(t) = 0$.

Put

$$D = \{(t, x) = t_0 < t \leq T, \quad |x - x_0| \leq b\}$$

$$\hat{D} = \{(t, x, y) : t_0 < t \leq T + \varepsilon, |x - x_0| \leq b + \varepsilon, |y - x_0| \leq b + \varepsilon, \varepsilon > 0\}$$

Theorem A. (Theorem 1 and Remark 1, [8]). Let $f(t, x) \in C[D; R]$ and let the following condition be satisfied:

- (i) there exist a positive function $B(t) \in C[(t_0, T); R^+]$ and a function $g(t, u) \in C[(t_0, T) \times R^+; R]$ such that for every $t_1 \in (t_0, T)$ the function $u(t) \equiv 0$ is the only differentiable function satisfying

$$(3) \quad u'(t) = g(t, u(t)) \quad \text{for } t \in (t_0, t_1)$$

$$(4) \quad u(t) = o(B(t)) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow t_{0+};$$

- (ii) there exists a function $V(t, x, y) \in C[\hat{D}; R^+]$ such that $V(t, x, y)$ is locally Lipschitzian in x, y for $(t, x, y) \in \hat{D}$ and for any two solutions $x(t), y(t)$ of (1)

$$V(t, x(t), y(t)) \equiv 0 \iff x(t) \equiv y(t) \quad \text{on } (t_0, T)$$

$$V(t, x(t), y(t)) = o(B(t)) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow t_{0+} \quad \text{for } x(t) \neq y(t);$$

- (iii) for $(t, x), (t, y) \in D$, $x \neq y$, $t < T$ the inequality

$$D_{+f}V(t, x, y) \leq g(t, V(t, x, y)) \quad \text{holds, where}$$

$$D_{+f}V(t, x, y) = \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0^+} [V(t+h, x+hf(t, x), y+hf(t, y)) - V(t, x, y)]/h.$$

Then the initial value problem (1) has at most one solution.

Theorem B. (Witte [10]). Let $f(t, x) \in C[D; R]$ and let the following assumptions be satisfied:

$$(5) \quad |f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq h(t)|x - y| \quad \text{on } D$$

where $h(t) \in C[(t_0, T); R^+]$;

$$|f(t, x)| \leq \varrho(t)h(t) \exp\left\{\int_t^t h(s)ds\right\} \quad \text{on } D$$

where $\varrho(t) \in C[(t_0, T); R]$, $\varrho(t_0) = 0$.

Then (1) has at most one solution.

Theorem C. (Lemmert [4]). Let $f(t, x) \in C[D; R]$, (5) holds and let

$$(6) \quad \text{the function } H(t, x) := f(t, x) \exp\left\{\int_t^T h(s)ds\right\}/h(t)$$

is uniformly continuous on D .

Then (1) has at most one solution.

For completeness' sake we introduce the following unpublished generalization of Witte's theorem presented by the first author in the Czechoslovak student competition in 1978.

Theorem D. Let $f(t, x) \in C[D; R]$, (5) hold and let

$$(7) \quad |f(t, x)| = \alpha(H(t)) \quad \text{for } t \rightarrow t_0+ \text{ uniformly with respect to } x$$

where $H(t)$ is a non-negative function defined for $t \in (t_0, T)$ and satisfying

$$(8) \quad \int_{t_0}^T H(s)ds < \infty,$$

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow t_0+} \left[\int_{t_0}^t H(s)ds \exp \int_t^T h(s)ds \right] < \infty.$$

Then (1) has at most one solution.

Remark 1. Theorem D can be obtained as a corollary of Theorem A (see proof of Theorem 2). However, its original proof was based on the usual method for uniqueness.

Putting $H(t) = h(t) \exp \int_t^T h(s)ds$ one can easily verify that Witte's theorem is a corollary of Theorem D.

Theorem E. (Banas'-Rivero [2]). Let $f(t, x) \in C[(t_0, T) \times R; R]$ satisfying

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} |f(t, x) - f(t, y)| &\leq h(t)|x - y| \\ |f(t, x) - f(t, y)| &= o(h(t)\exp(A(t))) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow t_0+ \end{aligned}$$

uniformly with respect to $x, y \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$, $\delta > 0$ arbitrary, where $h(t) \in C[(t_0, T); R^+]$ and $A(t) : (t_0, T) \rightarrow R$ such that $A'(t) = h(t)$ for almost all $t \in (t_0, T)$ and there exists a limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0+} A(t)$ (finite or not).

Then (1) has at most one solution.

Remark 2. Note that the criteria given above could be formulated also for vector-valued functions. Some further uniqueness criteria have been obtained as corollaries of Theorem A in [8].

4. Application of Theorem A. The main goal of this section is to prove Theorems B-E as corollaries of Theorem A. In all proofs which follow, we use the same choice of $V(t, x, y) = |x - y|$ and $g(t, u) = h(t)u$. In case of the first function we have according to (5)

$$\begin{aligned} D_{+f}V(t, x, y) &= \frac{1}{|x - y|}(x - y) \cdot (f(t, x) - f(t, y)) \leq h(t)|x - y| = \\ &= g(t, |x - y|) \end{aligned}$$

for $(t, x), (t, y) \in D$, $t \neq t_0$, $x \neq y$. In case of the function $g(t, u)$ observe that the differential equation $u'(t) = h(t)u$ has the general solution $u(t) = u(t_1) \exp[-\int_{t_1}^t h(s)ds]$ for arbitrary fixed $t_1 \in (t_0, T)$. Thus, to obtain a uniqueness criterion as a corollary of Theorem A it remains to find a function $B(t)$ such that

- (i) $u(t) \equiv 0$ is the only differentiable solution of $u' = h(t)u$ satisfying (4) and
- (ii) $|x(t) - y(t)| = o(B(t))$ for every two solutions $x(t), y(t)$ of (1).

Theorem 1. Theorem C is the corollary of Theorem A.

PROOF : Put $B(t) = \exp \int_T^t h(s)ds$. Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0+} \frac{u(t)}{B(t)} = u(t_1) \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0+} \frac{\exp(-\int_t^{t_1} h)}{\exp(\int_T^t h)} = u(t_1) \exp \int_{t_1}^T h(s)ds$$

and $u(t) = o(B(t))$ if and only if $u(t_1) = 0$. So $u(t) \equiv 0$ because $t_1 \in (t_0, T)$ is arbitrary.

Further, it follows from (6) that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for $(t_1, x), (t_2, y) \in D$, $|t_1 - t_2| + |x - y| < \delta(\varepsilon)$ it holds

$$(10) \quad |f(t_1, x)/[h(t_1) \exp \int_T^{t_1} h] - f(t_2, y)/[h(t_2) \exp \int_T^{t_2} h]| < \varepsilon.$$

Let $x(t), y(t)$ be arbitrary two solutions of (1). With respect to the initial condition there exists $\tau \in (t_0, T)$ such that $|x(t) - y(t)| < \delta$ for $t_0 < t < \tau$. Thus, from (10) it follows

$$(11) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0^+} \frac{|f(t, x(t)) - f(t, y(t))|}{h(t) \exp \int_{t_0}^t h} = 0.$$

If $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0^+} \int_{t_0}^T h = K$ is finite then $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0^+} B(t) = e^{-K}$ and $|x(t) - y(t)| = o(B(t))$ as $t \rightarrow t_0^+$.

If $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0^+} \int_{t_0}^T h = \infty$ then $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0^+} B(t) = 0$ then, using l'Hospital rule, we get from (11) $|x(t) - y(t)| = o(B(t))$ as $t \rightarrow t_0^+$. ■

Theorem 2. *Theorem D is the corollary of Theorem A.*

PROOF : Put $B(t) = \int_{t_0}^t H(s) ds$. According to (8) there exists a sequence $\{t_n\}$, $\lim t_n = t_0$, $t_n \in (t_0, t_1)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^{t_n} H(s) \exp \int_{t_n}^{t_1} h(s) ds = K > 0.$$

If a solution $u(t)$ of $u' = h(t)u$ satisfies $u(t) = o(B(t))$ as $t \rightarrow t_0^+$ then also $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(t_n)/B(t_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(t_1) \exp(-\int_{t_n}^{t_1} h) / \int_{t_n}^{t_1} H = u(t_1)/K = 0$. As $K > 0$ we get $u(t_1) = 0$ and thus $u(t) \equiv 0$ on (t_0, t_1) .

Now we have from (7) $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0^+} \int_{t_0}^t |f(s, x(s))| ds / \int_{t_0}^t H(s) ds = 0$ and thus for every two solutions $x(t), y(t)$ of (1) it holds

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0^+} \frac{|x(t) - y(t)|}{B(t)} \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0^+} \frac{|x(t) - x_0|}{B(t)} + \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0^+} \frac{|-y(t) + x_0|}{B(t)} = 0.$$

Theorem 3. *Theorem E is the corollary of Theorem A.*

PROOF : Let $B(t) = \exp A(t)$. Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0^+} \frac{u(t)}{B(t)} = u(t_1) \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0^+} \exp \left[\int_{t_1}^t h - A(t) \right] = u(t_1) e^{\text{const}}$$

since $A' = h$ a.e. on (t_0, t_1) . Thus (4) holds iff $u(t_1) = 0$, i.e. $u(t) \equiv 0$.

In the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1 (starting from the relation (11)) we get from (9) $|x(t) - y(t)| = o(B(t))$ as $t \rightarrow t_0^+$. ■

5. Uniqueness criteria and Perron theorem. In [6] and [9] it was shown that several uniqueness theorems (among them the theorem of Kamke) - formally more general than the following Perron's theorem - are actually equivalent to this criterion.

We are going to show that Theorems B-E are also (in some sense) equivalent to the following criterion.

Theorem F. (Perron [7]). Let $\bar{D} : t_0 \leq t \leq T, |x - x_0| \leq b$. Let $f(t, x) \in C[\bar{D}; R]$ and let

$$|f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq h(t, |x - y|) \quad \text{in } \bar{D}$$

hold, where $h(t, u) \in C[(t_0, T) \times R^+, R^+]$ and for every $t_1 \in (t_0, T)$ the function $u(t) \equiv 0$ is the only differentiable function on (t_0, t_1) such that

$$(12) \quad u' = h(t, u) \quad u(t_0) = 0.$$

Then (1) has only one solution.

The function $h(t, u)$ from Theorem F will be called the Perron function of (1).

Theorem 4. Let $B(t) \in C^1[(t_0, T); R^+]$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0+} B(t) = B(t_0+)$ exist (finite or not), $f(t, x) \in C[\bar{D}; R]$ and

$$|f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq g(t, |x - y|) \quad \text{on } \bar{D},$$

where $g(t, u)$ satisfies the assumption (i) of Theorem A. Suppose

$$(13) \quad |f(t, x) - f(t, y)| = \alpha(B'(t)) \quad t \rightarrow t_0+$$

uniformly with respect to $x, y \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$, $\delta > 0$ arbitrary.

Let

$$(14) \quad h(t, u) = \begin{cases} \sup |f(t, x) - f(t, y)| & \text{for } 0 \leq u \leq \delta \\ |x - y| = u \\ x, y \in [x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta] \\ h(t, \delta) & \text{for } u > \delta. \end{cases}$$

Then $h(t, u)$ is the Perron function of (1).

PROOF: From (13) we get $h(t, u) = \alpha(B'(t))$, $t \rightarrow t_0+$, uniformly for $0 \leq u \leq \delta$. Let $u(t)$ be a solution of (12). Then $u'(t) = h(t, u(t)) = \alpha(B'(t))$, $t \rightarrow t_0+$. If $B(t_0+) = 0$, using l'Hospital rule $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0+} u(t)/B(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0+} u'(t)/B'(t) = 0$. If $B(t_0+) > 0$, then also obviously $u(t) = \alpha(B(t))$, $t \rightarrow t_0+$.

On the other hand, suppose that $u(t_1) > 0$ for some $t_1 \in (t_0, t_0 + \kappa)$. According to the continuity of $u(t)$ we can assume, without loss of generality, that $u(t_1) < \delta$. Denote by $v(t)$ the left minimal solution of $v' = g(t, v)$, $v(t_1) = u(t_1)$. Since $h(t, u) \leq g(t, u)$ for $t \in (t_0, t_0 + \kappa)$, $0 \leq u \leq \delta$, we have $v(t) \neq \alpha(B(t))$, $t \rightarrow t_0+$. Hence also $u(t) \neq \alpha(B(t))$, $t \rightarrow t_0+$ and thus, in view of (4), $u(t_0) > 0$. Consequently, $u(t) \equiv 0$ is the only solution of (12) on $(t_0, t_0 + \kappa)$. From [9, Folgerung A] it follows that the same holds for $t \in (t_0, T)$, i.e., $h(t, u)$ is the Perron function of (1). ■

Corollary. If $f(t, x) \in C[\bar{D}; R]$ and the assumptions of Theorem X, $X \in \{B, C, D, E\}$ are satisfied then $h(t, u)$ given by (14) is the Perron function of (1).

PROOF: Put $g(t, u) = h(t)u$ and $B(t) = \exp \int_T^t h$ in case of Theorems B, C, $B(t) = \int_{t_0}^t H(t)$ in case of Theorem D, $B(t) = \exp A(t)$ for Theorem E. The conclusion follows from Theorem 4. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] Banas' J., Hajnosz A., Wedrychowicz S., *Relations among various criteria of uniqueness for ordinary differential equations*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **22** (1981), 59-70.
- [2] Banas' J., Rivero J., *Remarks concerning I. Witte's theorem and its applications*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **28** (1987), 23-31.
- [3] Bernfeld S.R., Driver R.D., Lakshmikantham V., *Uniqueness for ordinary differential equations*, Math. Systems Theory **9** (1975/6), 359-367.
- [4] Lemmert R., *Über einen Satz von Witte*, Math. Z. **145** (1975), p. 289.
- [5] Lemmert R., *Zur Eindeutigkeitsbedingung von Nagumo*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **29** (1988), 293-294.
- [6] Olech C., *Remarks concerning criteria for uniqueness of solutions of ordinary differential equations*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. sci math., astr. et phys. **8** (1960), 661-666.
- [7] Perron O., *Über Ein- und Mehrdeutigkeit des Integrals eines Systems von Differentialgleichungen*, Math. Ann. **95** (1926), 98-101.
- [8] Tesařová Z., Došlý O., *General uniqueness theorems for ordinary differential equations*, Arch. Math. **4**, **16** (1980), 217-224.
- [9] Walter W., *Bemerkungen zu verschiedenen Eindeutigkeitskriterien für gewöhnliche Differentialgleichungen*, Math. Z. **84** (1964), 222-227.
- [10] Witte J., *Uniqueness theorem for ordinary differential equations $y' = f(x, y)$* , Math. Z. **140** (1974), 281-287.

Department of Mathematics, J.E.Purkyně University, Janáčkovo nám. 2a, 662 95 Brno, Czechoslovakia

(Received March 22, 1989)