

Horst Herrlich

Realizations of topologies and closure operators by set systems and by neighbourhoods

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 25 (1989), No. 1-2, 83--87

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107342>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1989

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

REALIZATIONS OF TOPOLOGIES AND CLOSURE OPERATORS BY SET SYSTEMS AND BY NEIGHBOURHOODS

HORST HERRLICH
(Received May 4, 1988)

Dedicated to the memory of my friend Milan Sekanina

Abstract. Milan Sekanina and his collaborators have investigated the realizability of topologies and of closure operators by set systems. In particular they have shown that Top has precisely two [8] and Clos has no [3, 7, 2] realization by set systems. Moreover Top and Clos have precisely one realization by Conv [10]. In this paper it is shown that Top has a large (even illegitimate) collection of realizations by neighbourhoods, but Clos has only one. Moreover Clos has precisely two realizations by uniform neighbourhoods.

Key words: realizations of constructs, topological space, closure space, (uniform) neighbourhood space.

MS Classification. 18 B 15, 18 B 30, 54 A 05, 54 B 30.

TERMINOLOGY

Constructs are pairs (A, U) consisting of a category A and a faithful functor $U: A \rightarrow \text{Set}$ [1]. A realization of a construct (A, U) by a construct (B, V) is a full embedding $E: A \rightarrow B$ with $U = V \circ E$ [6].

Top is the construct of topological spaces and continuous maps.

Clos is the construct of closure spaces (sets with a closure operation satisfying Kuratowski's axioms except possibly the idempotency axiom) and continuous (= closure-preserving) maps.

Neigh has as objects all *neighbourhood spaces*, i.e. pairs (X, N) where $N: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}X$ is a map, associating with any $x \in X$ a collection $N(x)$ of subsets U of X with $x \in U$; and has as morphisms $f: (X, N) \rightarrow (X', N')$ all maps $f: X \rightarrow X'$ such that $x \in X$ and $U \in N'(f(x))$ imply $f^{-1}[U] \in N(x)$.

UNeigh has as objects all *uniform neighbourhood spaces*, i.e., pairs $(X, <)$, where $<$ is a binary relation on $\mathcal{P}X$ satisfying the

conditions (1) $A < B \rightarrow A \subset B$

and (2) $A \subset B < C \subset D \rightarrow A < D$,

and has as morphisms $f: (X, <) \rightarrow (X', <')$ all maps $f: X \rightarrow X'$ such that $A <' B$ implies $f^{-1}[A] < f^{-1}[B]$.

SSet has as objects all pairs (X, \mathcal{S}) with $S \subset \mathcal{P}X$ and as morphisms $f: (X, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow (X', \mathcal{S}')$ all maps $f: X \rightarrow X'$ such that $A \in \mathcal{S}'$ implies $f^{-1}[A] \in \mathcal{S}$.

RESULTS

Proposition 1 [8]. *Top has precisely two realizations by SSet.*

Proposition 2 [3, 7, 2]. *Clos has no realization by SSet.*

Proof: Assume that $E: \text{Clos} \rightarrow \text{SSet}$ is a realization.

Notation: $E(X, \text{cl}) = (X, \mathcal{S}(\text{cl}))$. Then $\bar{E}: \text{Clos} \rightarrow \text{SSet}$, defined by $\bar{E}(X, \text{cl}) = (X, \mathcal{S}(\text{cl}) \cup \{\emptyset, X\})$, is a realization too. On a 3-element set X there are precisely $4^3 = 64$ closure structures and precisely $2^{(2^3-2)} = 64$ subsets \mathcal{S} of $\mathcal{P}X$ with $\{\emptyset, X\} \subset \mathcal{S}$. Hence \bar{E} induces an order-isomorphism between the ordered sets F_1 of all closure structures on X and F_2 of all subsets \mathcal{S} of $\mathcal{P}X$ with $\{\emptyset, X\} \subset \mathcal{S}$. Since F_1 has precisely 3 atoms and F_2 has 6, this cannot be.

Proposition 3. *Top has a proper class (even an illegitimate collection) of realizations by Neigh.*

Proof: Let C be a strongly rigid proper class of Hausdorff spaces with more than one point. (Such a class exists by [5, 4]; cf. also [11]). For every subclass Γ of C define a realization $E_\Gamma: \text{Top} \rightarrow \text{Neigh}$ by $E_\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}) = (X, N_\Gamma(\mathcal{O}))$ where $U \in N_\Gamma(\mathcal{O})(x)$ provided U is an open neighbourhood of x in (X, \mathcal{O}) or there exists (X', \mathcal{O}') in Γ , a continuous map $f: (X, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow (X', \mathcal{O}')$, and a neighbourhood V of $f(x)$ in (X', \mathcal{O}') with $U = f^{-1}[V]$.

The realizations E_Γ are pairwise different, since, if (X, \mathcal{O}) belongs to $\Gamma \setminus \Gamma'$, then for any $x \in X$, $N_\Gamma(\mathcal{O})(x)$ consists of all neighbourhoods of x in (X, \mathcal{O}) and $N_{\Gamma'}(\mathcal{O})(x)$ consists of all open neighbourhoods of x in (X, \mathcal{O}) .

Proposition 4. *Clos has precisely one realization by Neigh.*

Proof: For every closure space (X, cl) define a map $N_{\text{cl}}: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}X$ by $N_{\text{cl}}(x) = \{U \subset X \mid x \notin \text{cl}(X \setminus U)\}$. Then $E: \text{Clos} \rightarrow \text{Neigh}$, defined by $E(X, \text{cl}) = (X, N_{\text{cl}})$ is a realization.

For uniqueness, consider an arbitrary realization $\tilde{E}: \text{Clos} \rightarrow \text{Neigh}$.

Notation: $\tilde{E}(X, \text{cl}) = (X, \tilde{N}_{\text{cl}})$. Let (X, cl) be a closure space. Then the following hold:

(a) $\tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(x) \neq \emptyset$ for every $x \in X$.

Proof: Assume $\tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(x_0) = \emptyset$ for some $x_0 \in X$. Let (X', cl') be an arbitrary closure space, let x be an arbitrary element of X' , and let $f: X \rightarrow X'$ be the constant

map with value x . Then continuity of $f: (X, \text{cl}) \rightarrow (X', \text{cl}')$ implies $\tilde{N}_{\text{cl}'}(x) = \emptyset$. This in turn implies that every map between closure spaces is a morphism. Contradiction.

(b) $X \in \tilde{N}(x)$ for every $x \in X$.

Proof: This follows from (a), since every constant map between closure spaces is continuous

(c) $X = \{1, 2\}$:

(c1) if $\text{cl}\{1\} = \text{cl}\{2\} = X$, then $\tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(1) = \tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(2) = \{X\}$,

(c2) if $\text{cl}\{1\} = \{1\}$ and $\text{cl}\{2\} = \{2\}$, then $\tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(1) = \{\{1\}, X\}$ and $\tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(2) = \{\{2\}, X\}$,

(c3) if $\text{cl}\{1\} = X$ and $\text{cl}\{2\} = \{2\}$, then one of the following two cases holds:

Case A: $\tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(1) = \{\{1\}, X\}$ and $\tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(2) = \{X\}$,

Case B: $\tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(1) = \{X\}$ and $\tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(2) = \{\{2\}, X\}$.

Proof: follows immediately from the fact that, there are only 4 neighbourhood structures on $\{1, 2\}$, which satisfy (b).

(d) $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$: if $\text{cl}\{1\} = \text{cl}\{2\} = X$ and $\text{cl}\{3\} = \{2, 3\}$, then one of the following two cases holds:

Case A: $\tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(1) = \{\{1, 2\}, X\}$ and $\tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(2) = \tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(3) = \{X\}$,

Case B: $\tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(1) = \tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(2) = \{X\}$ and $\tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(3) = \{X, \{2, 3\}\}$.

Proof: Let (X', cl') be the indiscrete closure space with underlying set $X' = \{1, 2\}$. Then the maps $f: (X', \text{cl}') \rightarrow (X, \text{cl})$, defined by $f(x) = x$, and $g: (X', \text{cl}') \rightarrow (X, \text{cl})$, defined by $g(x) = x + 1$, are continuous. Hence, by (c1), we obtain:

if $U \in \tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(1)$, then $2 \in U$,

if $U \in \tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(2)$, then $1 \in U$,

if $U \in \tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(2)$, then $3 \in U$,

if $U \in \tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(3)$, then $2 \in U$.

Next, let $(\bar{X}, \bar{\text{cl}})$ be the closure space, defined by $\bar{X} = \{1, 3\}$, $\bar{\text{cl}}\{1\} = \bar{X}$ and $\bar{\text{cl}}\{3\} = \{3\}$. Then the map $h: (\bar{X}, \bar{\text{cl}}) \rightarrow (X, \text{cl})$, defined by $h(x) = x$, is continuous. Hence, by (c3), one of the following cases must hold:

Case A: $U \in \tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(3) \rightarrow 1 \in U$,

Case B: $U \in \tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(1) \rightarrow 3 \in U$.

Since (X, cl) is not indiscrete, $\tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(1) = \tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(2) = \tilde{N}_{\text{cl}}(3) = \{X\}$ cannot hold. This implies (d).

(e) Case B cannot hold.

Proof: Assume that case B holds. Let (X, cl) be as in (d), let (X', cl') be an arbitrary closure space, let x be an element of X' , let U be a subset of X' with $x \in U$, and let $f: X' \rightarrow X$ be defined by

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } y = x, \\ 2, & \text{if } y \in U \setminus \{x\}, \\ 1, & \text{if } y \in X' \setminus U. \end{cases}$$

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $U \in \tilde{N}_{cl'}(x),$
- (2) $f: (X', \tilde{N}_{cl'}) \rightarrow (X, \tilde{N}_{cl})$ is a morphism in Neigh,
- (3) $f: (X', cl') \rightarrow (X, cl)$ is continuous,
- (4) $cl'\{x\} \subset U.$

Hence in particular, if (X', cl') is a topological T_1 -space, then $\tilde{N}_{cl'}(x) = \{U \subset X \mid x \in U\}$ for every $x \in X'$. Since there exist different T_1 -topologies on an infinite set, \tilde{E} is not injective on objects. Contradiction.

(f) $\tilde{E} = E.$

Proof: In view of (e), Case A must hold. Again, let (X, cl) be as in (d) let (X', cl') be an arbitrary closure space, let x be an element of X' , let U be a subset of X' with $x \in U$, and let $f: X' \rightarrow Y$ be defined by

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } y = x, \\ 2, & \text{if } y \in U \setminus \{x\}, \\ 3, & \text{if } y \in X' \setminus U. \end{cases}$$

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $U \in \tilde{N}_{cl'}(x),$
- (2) $f: (X', \tilde{N}_{cl'}) \rightarrow (X, \tilde{N}_{cl})$ is a morphism in Neigh,
- (3) $f: (X', cl') \rightarrow (X, cl)$ is continuous,
- (4) $x \notin cl'(X \setminus U).$

Thus $\tilde{N}_{cl} = N_{cl}$, i.e., $\tilde{E} = E.$

Proposition 5. *Clos has precisely two realizations by UNeigh.*

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 4, two cases arise. Case A leads to the realization $E_1: \text{Clos} \rightarrow \text{UNeigh}$, defined by $E_1(X, cl) = (X, <_1(cl))$, where $A <_1(cl) B$ iff $A \cap cl(X \setminus B) = \emptyset$, i.e., iff B is a neighbourhood of A in the familiar sense. Case B does not lead to a contradiction but to the realization $E_2: \text{Clos} \rightarrow \text{UNeigh}$, defined by $E_2(X, cl) = (X, <_2(cl))$ where $A <_2(cl) B$ iff $(X \setminus B) \cap cl A = \emptyset$, i.e., iff $X \setminus A$ is a neighbourhood of $X \setminus B$ in the familiar sense.

Remark. Since the construct Rere of reflexive relations has a realization $E: \text{Rere} \rightarrow \text{Clos}$, given by

$$x \in cl A \leftrightarrow \exists a \in A aqx,$$

since the restriction of E to objects with finite underlying sets is an isomorphism, and since the proof of Proposition 5 depends only on finite closure space, Rere has precisely two realizations in UNeigh (resp. in Neigh).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Adámek, *Theory of Mathematical Structures*, D. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1983.
- [2] J. Chvalina, *Concerning a non-realizability of connected, compact semi-separated closure operations by set-systems*, Arch. Math. (Brno) 12 (1976) 45–51.
- [3] J. Chvalina and M. Sekanina, *Realizations of closure spaces by set systems*, Gen. Topol. Rel. Mod. Anal. Algebra III (Proc. III. Prague Top. Symp. 1971), Academia, Prague, 1972, 85–87.
- [4] V. Kannan and M. Rajagopalan, *Constructions and applications of rigid spaces I*, Advances Math. 29 (1978) 89–130.
- [5] V. Koubek, *Each concrete category has a representation by T_2 paracompact topological spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 15 (1975) 655–664.
- [6] A. Pultr, *On selecting of morphisms among all mappings between underlying sets of objects in concrete categories and realizations of these*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 8 (1967) 53–83.
- [7] J. Rosický, *Full embeddings with a given restriction*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 14 (1973) 519–540.
- [8] J. Rosický and M. Sekanina, *Realizations of topologies by set systems*, Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, 8. Topics in Topology (Proc. Cong. Keszthely 1972), North Holland, New York, 1974, 535–555.
- [9] M. Sekanina, *Embeddings of the category of partially ordered sets into the category of topological spaces*, Fund. Math. 66 (1969/70) 95–98.
- [10] S. Švarc, *Realizations of metrizable spaces by convergence structures*, Knížnice odborných a vědeckých spisů VUT v Brně, svazek B-56 (1975) 95–99.
- [11] V. Trnková, *Non-constant continuous mappings of metric or compact Hausdorff spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Caroline 13 (1972) 283–295.

Horst Herrlich
Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik
Universität Bremen
Postfach 33 04 40
2800 Bremen 33
BRD