

Partha Guha

A note on bidifferential calculi and bihamiltonian systems

*Archivum Mathematicum*, Vol. 40 (2004), No. 1, 17--22

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107886>

## Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## A NOTE ON BIDIFFERENTIAL CALCULI AND BIHAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS

PARTHA GUHA

ABSTRACT. In this note we discuss the geometrical relationship between bi-Hamiltonian systems and bi-differential calculi, introduced by Dimakis and Möller–Hoissen.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

It is known that practically all the classical integrable systems may be described in terms of a pair of compatible Poisson structures on the phase space. Such a pair is called a bihamiltonian structure. Several interesting features of integrable systems can be described in terms of bihamiltonian structure.

In this note we will establish a link between the bi-differential calculi and bi-Hamiltonian systems. The proximity between these subjects has long been legendary, yet little has been written about this. Here I hope to shed some light on this issue.

In a series of paper Dimakis and Müller–Hoissen [2,3] and the references therein, have shown how to generate conservation laws in completely integrable systems by using a bi-differential calculus. Their papers are quite interesting. But the mathematical foundation of these papers are not clear, for example, they never considered the geometry behind their bi-differential formalism. Some attempts have been made by Crampin et. al [1]. They clarified the geometry behind the formalism of Dimakis and Müller–Hoissen.

In this article, I further investigate the geometrical structure of the bidifferential calculi and bicomplex formalism.

The paper is organized as follows. In next section we discuss about background material. In section 3 we discuss about the bidifferential calculi and its connection to bi-Hamiltonian systems [4].

**Acknowledgement.** I gratefully acknowledge Professors Folkert Möller–Hoissen Aristophanes Dimakis, Marc Hanneaux, Ian Soibelman and Maxim Kontsevich

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 37J35, 53D17.

*Key words and phrases*: Frölicher–Nijenhuis, Lenard scheme, bidifferential calculi.

Received October 23, 2000.

for several stimulating discussions. I would like to thank the staffs of Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques at Bures-sur-Yvette for their hospitality during my visit, when the essential part of the work was done. I am grateful to referee for his constructive suggestion.

## 2. BACKGROUND

Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. The cotangent bundle of a manifold  $M$  is a vector bundle  $T^*M := (TM)^*$ , the (real) dual of the tangent bundle  $TM$ .

A differential form or an exterior form of degree  $k$  is a section of the vector bundle  $\wedge^k T^*M$ , the space of all  $k$ -forms, will be denoted by  $\Omega^k(M)$ . We put  $\Omega^0(M) = C^\infty(M, \mathbf{R})$ , then the space

$$\Omega(M) := \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \Omega^k(M)$$

is a graded commutative algebra. Let  $\text{Der}_k \Omega(M)$  the space of all (graded) derivation of degree  $k$ , so that  $D \in \text{Der}_k \Omega(M)$  satisfies  $D : \Omega(M) \longrightarrow \Omega(M)$  with  $D(\Omega^l(M)) \subset \Omega^{k+l}(M)$ . For  $k = 1$  we obtain the ordinary exterior derivative  $d$ .

We consider the space  $\Omega(M, TM) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^m \Omega^k(M, TM)$  of all tangent bundle valued differential form on  $M$ . Also  $\Omega(M, TM)$  is a graded Lie algebra with the Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket

$$(1) \quad [\cdot, \cdot] : \Omega^k(M, TM) \times \Omega^l(M, TM) \longrightarrow \Omega^{k+l}(M, TM).$$

The Frölicher-Nijenhuis operator  $\delta$  is given by

$$(2) \quad \delta : \Omega^k(M, TM) \longrightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(M, TM).$$

If  $d : \Omega^k(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(M)$  be the exterior derivative the operator  $\delta(K)$  for  $K \in \Omega^k(M, TM)$  can be expressed as

$$\delta(K) := (-1)^{k-1} dc(K) \wedge A$$

where  $c$  is the contraction map

$$(3) \quad c : \Omega^k(M, TM) \longrightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(M),$$

such that  $c(\phi \otimes X) = i_X \phi$ , and  $A \in \Omega^1(M, TM)$ .

## 3. BIDIFFERENTIAL CALCULI AND BIHAMILTONIAN STRUCTURE

In this section we will address our recipe. We will build an inductive scheme with the help of the exterior derivative  $d$  and another degree 1 derivation operator  $d_A$ , this is given below:

Construction of  $d_A$ : Let us consider an action of  $\wedge A$ :

$$(4) \quad \wedge A : C^\infty(\wedge^k T^*M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(\wedge^{k+1} T^*M \otimes TM).$$

Combining (3) and (4) we define a new degree 0 operator

$$(5) \quad A(c) := c \circ \wedge A,$$

so that  $A(c) : C^\infty(\wedge^k T^*M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(\wedge^k T^*M)$ .

Hence, we think  $A(c)$  as a homomorphism of the module of differential forms. Also, from the definition  $A(c)$  can be identified with a tensor field of rank  $(1, 1)$ .

**Definition 3.1.**

$$(6) \quad d_A := A(c)d.$$

It is clear that  $d_A$  is a degree 1 operator.

The basic step in the construction of Dimakis and Müller–Hoissen is to define inductively a sequence of  $(l - 1)$ -th forms

$$\{\mu^k\} \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

for which closed  $l$ -forms are exact by the rule given by

**Lemma 3.2.**

$$(7) \quad d\mu^{k+1}(M) = d_A\mu^k(M) \quad \mu^k \in C^\infty(\wedge^l T^*M).$$

According to Frölicher-Nijenhuis theory, an operator  $d_A$  associated to some  $(1, 1)$  tensor  $A$ , anticommutes with  $d$ . The necessary and sufficient condition for  $d_A$  to satisfy  $d_A^2 = 0$  is that the Nijenhuis tensor must be zero.

**Claim 3.3.**

$$d^2 = d_A^2 = 0.$$

$$dd_A + d_Ad = 0.$$

It is easy to see that

$$(8) \quad dd_A\mu^k = -d_Ad\mu^k = -d_Ad_A\mu^{k+1} = -d_A^2\mu^{k+1} = 0.$$

This scheme is consistent provided  $dd_A\mu^0 = -d_Ad\mu^0 = 0$ .

Thus all the  $\mu^k$ s are defined on the space  $\Omega(M)/B(M)$  of differential forms modulo exact forms. These defined a generalized Poisson structure, the graded Poisson bracket. In the case of one form, entire picture coincides with the Poisson geometry.

**3.1 Connection to the Poisson-Nijenhuis manifold and bi-Hamiltonian systems.**

In this section we will state the correspondence with the bi-Hamiltonian systems. Let us consider a manifold  $M$  with symplectic structures  $\omega_0$ . Then  $\omega_0$  induces a nondegenerate Poisson structure from the following canonical identification:

$$\omega_0(X_f, X_g) = \Lambda_0^{-1}(df, dg).$$

Our basic structure  $(\omega_0, A(c))$  induces a second Poisson structure on  $M$ . This is given by

$$(9) \quad \Lambda_1(df, dg) = \Lambda_0(A(c)df, dg),$$

where  $A(c) : T^*M \longrightarrow T^*M$ .

Given two vector bundle morphisms

$$J_{\Lambda_0}, J_{\Lambda_1} : T^*M \longrightarrow TM,$$

we can determine the mixed  $(1, 1)$  tensor (recursion operator)

$$A = J_{\Lambda_0}J_{\Lambda_1}^{-1}.$$

By abusing notation, let us denote the adjoint of  $A(c)$  by  $A$ , it acts on the vector fields.

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $A$  be a tensor field of type  $(1, 1)$  on a manifold  $M$ . The Nijenhuis torsion of  $A$  is a tensor field  $N(A)$  of type  $(1, 2)$  given, for any pair  $(X, Y)$  of vector fields on  $M$ , by

$$(10) \quad N(A)(X, Y) = [AX, AY] - A([AX, Y] + [X, AY] - A[X, Y]),$$

$N(A) = \frac{1}{2}[A, A]$  for the Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket.

The tensor field  $A$  would be called Nijenhuis operator if its Nijenhuis torsion  $N(A)$  vanishes.

The torsion of  $A$  vanishes as a consequence of the assumption that  $\Lambda_0$  and  $\Lambda_1$  are a pair compatible Poisson tensors.

Thus we obtain two Poisson bivectors  $\Lambda_0(df, dg)$  and  $\Lambda_1(df, dg)$ , satisfying  $[\Lambda_i, \Lambda_j] = 0$ , where  $[\ , \ ]$  is the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket. In this way we construct a Poisson-Nijenhuis manifold. A Poisson-Nijenhuis manifold is a bihamiltonian manifold.

Thus we define two symplectic structures

$$\omega_0(X_f, X_g) = \Lambda_0^{-1}(df, dg) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_1(X_f, X_g) = \Lambda_1^{-1}(df, dg) \quad \text{on } M.$$

We have the following exact sequence

$$(11) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H^0(M, \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M, \mathbf{R}) \xrightarrow{H} \mathfrak{V}(M) \xrightarrow{\gamma} H^1(M, \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow 0$$

Here  $\gamma(\eta)$  is the cohomology class of  $i_\eta\omega$ , and  $\mathfrak{V}(M)$  consists of all vector fields  $\xi$  with  $\mathcal{L}_\xi\omega = 0$ .

Thus we have two Poisson structures.

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \{f, g\}_0 &= \Lambda_0(df, dg), \\ \{f, g\}_1 &= \Lambda_1(df, dg) = \Lambda_0(A^*(df), dg) \\ &= \Lambda_0(df, A^*(dg)) = -A(X_g)f = -d_A f(X_g). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we say, a bi-differential calculus endows  $M$  with a Poisson-Nijenhuis structure, and  $A$  plays the role of recursion tensor [5].

### 3.2 Graded Poisson Structure.

In our case all the  $\mu^k$ -s are graded objects, differential forms. Now, if we replace  $f$  by  $\mu^{k+1}$  in equation (11), then from the inductive definition of the function  $\mu^k$ , we obtain

$$(13) \quad \{\cdot, \mu^{k+1}\}_1 = \{\cdot, \mu^k\}_0.$$

The graded Poisson bracket for differential forms in the context of generalized Hamiltonian systems has been studied extensively by Peter Michor [6]. He extended the Poisson exact sequence to

$$(14) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^0(M, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow \Omega(M)/B(M) \xrightarrow{H} \Omega_\omega(M; TM) \xrightarrow{\gamma} H^{*+1}(M, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow 0.$$

**Theorem 3.5** (Michor). *Let  $(M, \Lambda)$  be a Poisson manifold. Then the space  $\Omega(M)/B(M)$  of differential forms modulo exact forms there exists a unique graded Poisson bracket  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{gr}$ , which is given the quotient modulo  $B(M)$  of*

$$\{\phi, \psi\}_{gr} = i_{H_\phi} d\psi,$$

or

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \{f_0 df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_k, g_0 dg_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_l\}_{gr} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j} \{f_i, g_j\} df_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{df_i} \cdots \wedge df_k \wedge dg_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dg_j} \cdots \wedge dg_k, \end{aligned}$$

such that  $H : \Omega(M)/B(M) \longrightarrow \Omega(M; TM)$  is a homomorphism of graded Lie algebras.

The functions  $\mu^k$  form a Lenard scheme.

There is an alternative bihamiltonian approach to dynamical systems. In this approach one starts with two compatible Poisson brackets  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_1$  and  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_2$  on  $M$ . The two Poisson brackets are compatible if the bracket  $\lambda_1 \{\cdot, \cdot\}_1 + \lambda_2 \{\cdot, \cdot\}_2$  is Poisson for  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ . One can construct based on these brackets a dynamical systems which is Hamiltonian with respect to any one of these brackets. The construction of dynamical systems based on the brackets is called *Lenard Scheme*. It provides a family of function in involution (w.r.t. any linear combination of the brackets).

**Proposition 3.6.** *The functions  $\mu^k$  which obey the Lenard scheme are in involution with respect to both Poisson brackets.*

**Proof.** By using repeatedly the recursion relation we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mu^j, \mu^k\}_1 &= \{\mu^j, \mu^{k-1}\}_0 \\ &= -\{\mu^{k-1}, \mu^j\}_0 \\ &= -\{\mu^{k-1}, \mu^{j+1}\}_1 \\ &= \{\mu^{j+1}, \mu^{k-1}\}_1 = \cdots = \{\mu^{j+k+1}, \mu^{-1}\}_1 = 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Hence their property of being in involutions then follows from the general argument (explained in the third lecture in [5]).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Crampin, M., Sarlet, W. and Thompson, G., *Bi-Differential Calculi and bi-Hamiltonian systems*, J. Phys. A **33** (2000), 177–180.
- [2] Dimakis, A. and Müller–Hoissen, F., *Bi-differential calculi and integrable models*, J. Phys. A **33** (2000), 957–974.
- [3] Dimakis, A. and Müller–Hoissen, F., *Bicomplex formulation and Moyal deformation of 2+1-dimensional Fordy–Kulish systems*, nlin.SI/0008016, and the references therein.
- [4] Magri, F., *A simple model of the integrable Hamiltonian equation*, J. Math. Phys. **19**, No. 5 (1978), 1156–1162.

- [5] Magri, F., *Eight lectures on integrable systems. Integrability of nonlinear systems*, Proceedings Pondicherry, 1996, Edited by Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach et. al., Lecture Notes in Phys. **495**, Springer, Berlin, 1997, 256–296,.
- [6] Michor, P., *A generalization of Hamiltonian mechanics*, J. Geom. Phys. **2**, No. 2 (1985), 67–82.

S. N. BOSE NATIONAL CENTRE FOR BASIC SCIENCES  
JD BLOCK, SECTOR-3, SALT LAKE  
CALCUTTA-700091, INDIA

AND

INSTITUT DES HAUTES ETUDES SCIENTIFIQUES  
35, ROUTE DE CHARTRES , 91440-BURES-SUR-YVETTE, FRANCE  
*E-mail:* partha@bose.res.in