

Ivan L. Reilly; Mavina K. Vamanamurthy  
Connectedness and strong semicontinuity

*Časopis pro pěstování matematiky*, Vol. 109 (1984), No. 3, 261--265

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108442>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## CONNECTEDNESS AND STRONG SEMI-CONTINUITY

IVAN L. REILLY\*), M. K. VAMANAMURTHY, Auckland

(Received April 20, 1983)

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $S$  be a subset of a topological space  $(X, T)$ . We denote the closure of  $S$  and the interior of  $S$  with respect to  $T$  by  $T\text{cl } S$  and  $T\text{int } S$  respectively, although we may suppress the  $T$  when there is no possibility of confusion.

**Definition 1.** A subset  $S$  of  $(X, T)$  is called

- (i) an  $\alpha$ -set if  $S \subset T\text{int } (T\text{cl } (T\text{int } S))$ ,
- (ii) a *semi-open set* if  $S \subset T\text{cl } (T\text{int } S)$ ,
- (iii) a *preopen set* if  $S \subset T\text{int } (T\text{cl } S)$ .

These three concepts were introduced by Njåstad [6], Levine [3], and Mashhour et al [5], respectively. Njåstad used the term  $\beta$ -set for a semi-open set. Any open set in  $(X, T)$  is an  $\alpha$ -set, and each  $\alpha$ -set is semi-open and preopen, but the separate converses are false. Lemma 1 below shows that a subset of  $(X, T)$  is an  $\alpha$ -set if and only if it is semi-open and preopen.

Following Njåstad [6] we denote the family of all  $\alpha$ -sets in  $(X, T)$  by  $T^\alpha$ , rather than by the notation  $\alpha(X)$  of [4] and [7]. The families of all semi-open sets and of all preopen sets in  $(X, T)$  are denoted by  $SO(X)$  and  $PO(X)$ , respectively. Njåstad [6, Proposition 2] proved that  $T^\alpha$  is a topology on  $X$ . It is unusual for either  $SO(X)$  or  $PO(X)$  to be a topology on  $X$ . Proposition 7 of Njåstad [6] shows that  $SO(X)$  is a topology on  $X$  if and only if  $(X, T)$  is extremally disconnected. The complement of an  $\alpha$ -set in  $(X, T)$  is called an  $\alpha$ -closed set, and semi-closed and preclosed subsets of  $(X, T)$  are similarly defined.

Recently, Noiri [7] has introduced the concept of strong semi-continuity of functions between topological spaces.

**Definition 2.** A function  $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, U)$  is called *strongly semi-continuous* (abbreviated hereafter as s.s.c.) if the inverse image  $f^{-1}(V)$ , of any open set  $V$  in  $(Y, U)$ , is an  $\alpha$ -set in  $(X, T)$ .

---

\*) The first author acknowledges the support of the University of Auckland Research fund.

One purpose of this paper is to indicate that the distinction made by Noiri [7] between the concepts of continuity and strong semi-continuity, must be interpreted strictly. In fact, we observe (in Theorem 1 below) that if the domain space of an s.s.c. function  $f$  is retopologized in an obvious way, then the function  $f$  is simply a continuous mapping.

Our main result, Theorem 2, shows that connectedness is a topological property which is shared by any space and its  $\alpha$ -topology. Together with Theorem 1, this enables us to see Noiri's work in its proper setting, namely as a particular case of the preservation of connectedness by continuous functions. We are also able to extend Noiri's result [7, Theorem 3.6] for open connected subsets to the class of semi-open connected subsets.

## 2. RELATIONSHIPS

**Theorem 1.** *The function  $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, U)$  is s.s.c. if and only if  $f : (X, T^\alpha) \rightarrow (Y, U)$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* We have  $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, U)$  is s.s.c. if and only if  $f^{-1}(V) \in T^\alpha$  for all  $V \in U$ , that is if and only if  $f : (X, T^\alpha) \rightarrow (Y, U)$  is continuous. □

The observation of Noiri [7] that s.s.c. is a weak form of continuity, that is that continuity implies s.s.c., is immediate from the containment  $T \subset T^\alpha$ . Taking the topology on  $X$  as fixed, Example 2.3 of [7] shows that the notions of continuity and s.s.c. are distinct. Theorem 1 shows that these concepts coincide if one is willing to change the topology on  $X$  in the appropriate fashion. Then [7, Example 2.3] can be regarded as showing that the set  $C((X, T), Y)$  of continuous functions from  $(X, T)$  to  $Y$  is properly contained in  $C((X, T^\alpha), Y)$ .

**Lemma 1.** For any topological space  $(X, T)$ ,  $SO(X) \cap PO(X) = T^\alpha$ .

*Proof.* One implication, namely  $T^\alpha \subset SO(X) \cap PO(X)$ , is clear since closure and interior respect inclusion.

Conversely, let  $S$  be semi-open and preopen. Then since  $S$  is semi-open we have  $S \subset \text{cl}(\text{int } S)$ , so that  $\text{cl } S \subset \text{cl}(\text{cl}(\text{int } S)) = \text{cl}(\text{int } S)$ , and hence  $\text{int}(\text{cl } S) \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int } S))$ . But since  $S$  is preopen,  $S \subset \text{int}(\text{cl } S)$  so that  $S \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int } S))$ , that is,  $S$  is an  $\alpha$ -set. □

**Definition 3.** A function  $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, U)$  is called

- (i) *semi-continuous* [3] (abbreviated as s.c.) if the inverse image of each open set in  $Y$  is semi-open in  $X$ ,
- (ii) *precontinuous* [5] (abbreviated as p.c.) if the inverse image of each open set in  $Y$  is preopen in  $X$ .

It is worth noting that the concept of precontinuity has been in the literature for some considerable time. In 1922, Blumberg [1] defined the notion of a real valued function on a Euclidean space being *densely approached* at a point in its domain. More recently, Husain [2] has generalized this idea to arbitrary topological spaces. The function  $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, U)$  is said to be *almost continuous at*  $x \in X$  if for each open set  $V$  in  $Y$  containing  $f(x)$ , the  $T$  closure of  $f^{-1}(V)$  is a neighbourhood of  $x$ . If  $f$  is almost continuous at each point of  $X$ , then  $f$  is called *almost continuous* in the sense of Husain. This is clearly equivalent to the condition that for each open set  $V$  in  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(V) \subset \text{int cl } f^{-1}(V)$ .

Noiri [7] has observed that s.s.c. implies s.c. but not conversely. Lemma 1 allows us to provide the answer as to when the converse holds.

**Proposition 1.** *The function  $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, U)$  is s.s.c. if and only if it is s.c. and p.c.*

*Proof.* That  $f$  is s.s.c. implies  $f$  is s.c. and  $f$  is p.c. follows immediately from the definitions.

Conversely, let  $f$  be s.c. and p.c., and let  $V$  be an open set in  $Y$ . Then  $f^{-1}(V) \in SO(X) \cap PO(X)$ , so that  $f^{-1}(V) \in T^\alpha$  by Lemma 1 and hence  $f$  is s.s.c.

**Definition 4.** The function  $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, U)$  is called

- (i) *irresolute* if the inverse image of each semi-open set in  $Y$  is semi-open in  $X$ ,
- (ii)  $\alpha$ -*irresolute* [4] if the inverse image of every  $\alpha$ -set in  $Y$  is an  $\alpha$ -set in  $X$ .

From this definition it is clear that  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -irresolute (irresolute) implies  $f$  is s.s.c. (s.c.), and that  $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, U)$  is  $\alpha$ -irresolute if and only if  $f : (X, T^\alpha) \rightarrow (Y, U^\alpha)$  is continuous.

**Example 1.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $Y = \{x, y, z\}$ , and define topologies  $T = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$  and  $U = \{\phi, Y, \{x\}\}$ . We define  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  by  $f(a) = x$ ,  $f(b) = y$ ,  $f(c) = f(d) = z$ . Note that  $T^\alpha = T$  and  $U^\alpha = \{\phi, X, \{x\}, \{x, y\}, \{x, z\}\}$ . Then  $f$  is s.s.c., but not  $\alpha$ -irresolute since  $f^{-1}(\{x, y\}) = \{a, b\} \notin T^\alpha$ . Define  $j : (Y, U) \rightarrow (X, T)$  by  $j(x) = b$ ,  $j(y) = c$  and  $j(z) = d$ . Then  $j$  is s.s.c. since it is  $\alpha$ -irresolute, but  $j$  is not irresolute since  $j^{-1}(\{a, d\}) = \{z\} \notin SO(Y)$ .

### 3. CONNECTEDNESS

Here we prove that the property of connectedness is shared by any topological space and its  $\alpha$ -topology.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $(X, T)$  is a topological space, then  $(X, T)$  is disconnected if and only if  $(X, T^\alpha)$  is disconnected.*

*Proof.* If  $(X, T)$  is disconnected, then  $T \subset T^\alpha$  implies that  $(X, T^\alpha)$  is disconnected.

Conversely, suppose  $(X, T^\alpha)$  is disconnected. Then  $X = A \cup B$  where  $A$  and  $B$  are non-empty,  $T^\alpha$  open sets such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Hence  $\text{int } A \cap \text{int } B = \emptyset$ , so that  $\text{int } A \cap \text{cl}(\text{int } B) = \emptyset$ . [All closures and interiors are in  $(X, T)$ .] Therefore  $\text{int } A \cap \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int } B)) = \emptyset$  which implies that  $\text{cl}(\text{int } A) \cap \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int } B)) = \emptyset$ , so that we have  $\text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int } A)) \cap \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int } B)) = \emptyset$ . But  $A, B \in T^\alpha$  so that  $A \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int } A))$  and similarly for  $B$ . Thus  $X = A \cup B = \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int } A)) \cup \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int } B))$ , and hence  $(X, T)$  is disconnected.  $\square$

As a corollary we have Noiri's main result [7, Theorem 3.1].

**Theorem 3.** *If  $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, U)$  is a s.s.c. surjection and  $(X, T)$  is connected, then  $(Y, U)$  is connected.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2,  $(X, T^\alpha)$  is connected. Thus by Theorem 1,  $(Y, U)$  is the image of the connected space  $(X, T^\alpha)$  under the continuous function  $f : (X, T^\alpha) \rightarrow (Y, U)$ , and so is connected.  $\square$

The other major result of Noiri's paper [7, Theorem 3.6] is that the s.s.c. images of open connected sets are connected. We provide a significant generalization of this theorem by replacing open sets by semi-open sets. First we need a lemma.

**Lemma 2.** *If  $A$  is semi-open and  $B$  is an  $\alpha$ -set in  $(X, T)$ , then  $A \cap B$  is an  $\alpha$ -set in the subspace  $(A, T|A)$ .*

*Proof.* We note that

(i) If  $M \subset A$  then  $T|A \text{cl } M = (T \text{cl } M) \cap A$  and  $T|A \text{int } M \supset T \text{int } M$ , and (ii) if  $G$  is  $T$  open then  $G \cap T \text{cl } H \subset T \text{cl}(G \cap H)$  for any  $H \subset X$ .

We have that  $A \subset T \text{cl } T \text{int } A$  and  $B \subset T \text{int } T \text{cl } T \text{int } B$ , and we want to establish  $A \cap B \subset T|A \text{int } T|A \text{cl } T|A \text{int}(A \cap B)$ . Note that we suppress many of the parentheses we could use in this proof. Now  $A \cap B \subset A \cap T \text{int } T \text{cl } T \text{int } B$ , which being open in  $A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &= T|A \text{int}(A \cap T \text{int } T \text{cl } T \text{int } B), \\ &\subset T|A \text{int}(T \text{cl } T \text{int } A \cap T \text{int } T \text{cl } T \text{int } B), \text{ which by (ii),} \\ &\subset T|A \text{int } T \text{cl}(T \text{int } A \cap T \text{int } T \text{cl } T \text{int } B), \text{ which by (i),} \\ &= T|A \text{int } T|A \text{cl}(T \text{int } A \cap T \text{int } T \text{cl } T \text{int } B), \text{ which by (i) and the equality} \\ &\quad T \text{int } T \text{int } A = \text{int } A, \\ &\subset T|A \text{int } T|A \text{cl } T|A \text{int}(T \text{int } A \cap T \text{cl } T \text{int } B), \text{ which by (ii) and (i)} \\ &\subset T|A \text{int } T|A \text{cl } T|A \text{int } T|A \text{cl}(T \text{int } A \cap T \text{int } B), \text{ which by (i)} \\ &\subset T|A \text{int } T|A \text{cl } T|A \text{int } T|A \text{cl } T|A \text{int}(A \cap B) \\ &= T|A \text{int } T|A \text{cl } T|A \text{int}(A \cap B), \text{ since } \text{int cl int cl } W = \text{int cl } W \end{aligned}$$

for any subset  $W$  of an arbitrary topological space.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *If  $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, U)$  is s.s.c. and  $A \in SO(X)$ , then  $f|A : (A, T|A) \rightarrow (Y, U)$  is s.s.c.*

**Proof.** If  $V$  is open in  $(Y, U)$ , then  $f^{-1}(V) \in T^\alpha$ . Now  $(f|A)^{-1}(V) = A \cap f^{-1}(V)$ , which is an  $\alpha$ -set in  $(A, T|A)$  by Lemma 2. Hence  $f|A : (A, T|A) \rightarrow (Y, U)$  is s.s.c.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *If  $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, U)$  is s.s.c., then  $f(A)$  is connected for any semi-open connected subset  $A$  of  $X$ .*

**Proof.** By Proposition 2,  $f|A : (A, T|A) \rightarrow (Y, U)$  is s.s.c. Hence  $f|A : (A, T|A) \rightarrow (f(A), U|f(A))$  is a s.s.c. surjection and  $A$  is connected so that  $f(A)$  is connected by Theorem 3.  $\square$

#### References

- [1] *H. Blumberg:* New properties of all real functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 24 (1922), 113–128.
- [2] *T. Husain:* Almost continuous mappings. *Prace Mat.* 10 (1966), 1–7.
- [3] *N. Levine:* Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces. *Amer. Math. Monthly* 70 (1963), 36–41.
- [4] *S. N. Maheshwari, S. S. Thakur:* On  $\alpha$ -irresolute mappings. *Tamkang J. Math.* 11 (1980), 209–214.
- [5] *A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. El-Debb:* On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings. *Proc. Math. and Phys. Soc. Egypt* 51 (1981).
- [6] *O. Njåstad:* On some classes of nearly open sets, *Pacific J. Math.* 15 (1965), 961–970.
- [7] *T. Noiri:* A function which preserves connected spaces. *Čas. pěst. mat.* 107 (1982), 393–396.

*Authors' address:* Department of Mathematics & Statistics, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand.