

Štefan Schwabik

Note on Volterra-Stieltjes integral equations

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 102 (1977), No. 3, 275--279

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108459>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

NOTE ON VOLTERRA-STIELTJES INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

ŠTEFAN SCHWABIK, Praha

(Received May 6, 1976)

This note is a supplement to the paper [2] which is devoted to the Volterra-Stieltjes integral equation in the space  $BV_n[0, 1]$  of  $n$ -vector functions of bounded variation on the interval  $[0, 1]$ .

Assume that  $\mathbf{K}(t, s)$  is an  $n \times n$ -matrix valued function defined on the square  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] = J$  such that

$$(1) \quad v(\mathbf{K}) < \infty$$

and

$$(2) \quad \text{var}_0^1 \mathbf{K}(0, \cdot) < \infty$$

where  $v(\mathbf{K})$  denotes the twodimensional Vitali variation of  $\mathbf{K}$  on the square  $J$  and  $\text{var}_0^1 \mathbf{K}(0, \cdot)$  is the variation of  $\mathbf{K}(0, s)$  in the second variable on the interval  $[0, 1]$ . The notions of variation are defined in the usual way by the norm in the space  $L(R_n)$  of all  $n \times n$ -matrices which is the operator norm for linear operators on  $R_n$  (see [1], [2], [3]).

In [2], Theorem 3.1 asserts the following:

If  $\mathbf{K} : J \rightarrow L(R_n)$  satisfies (1), (2) and for any  $t \in (0, 1]$  the inverse matrix  $[I - (\mathbf{K}(t, t) - \mathbf{K}(t, t-))]^{-1}$  exists then the homogeneous Volterra-Stieltjes integral equation

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{x}(t) - \int_0^t d_s[\mathbf{K}(t, s)] \mathbf{x}(s) = \mathbf{0}$$

possesses only the trivial solution  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  in  $BV_n[0, 1]$ .

This states that the condition

$$(4) \quad I - (\mathbf{K}(t, t) - \mathbf{K}(t, t-)) \text{ is a regular matrix for all } t \in (0, 1]$$

is sufficient for the equation (3) to have only the trivial solution  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \in BV_n$ . Our aim is to prove that (4) is also a necessary condition for the equation (3) to have this property.

Note that the limit  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow t-} \mathbf{K}(t, \tau) = \mathbf{K}(t, t-)$  exists since (1) and (2) hold (see [1]).

**1. Theorem.** If  $K : J \rightarrow L(R_n)$  satisfies (1) and (2) then the homogeneous Volterra-Stieltjes integral equation (3) has only the trivial solution  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  in  $BV_n$  if and only if the condition (4) is satisfied.

**Proof.** The sufficiency of (4) is stated in the above quoted theorem from [2]. It remains to prove the necessity. We show in the sequel that if (4) is not satisfied then (3) has a nonzero solution in the space  $BV_n$ .

It was shown in [2] that for the operator

$$\mathbf{x} \in BV_n \rightarrow \int_0^t d_s[K(t, s)] \mathbf{x}(s) \in BV_n$$

we have

$$(5) \quad \int_0^t d_s[K(t, s)] \mathbf{x}(s) = \int_0^1 d_s[K^\Delta(t, s)] \mathbf{x}(s)$$

where

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} K^\Delta(t, s) &= K(t, s) - K(t, 0) \quad \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ K^\Delta(t, s) &= K(t, t) - K(t, 0) = K^\Delta(t, t) \quad \text{if } 0 \leq t < s \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

For the new "triangular" kernel  $K^\Delta$  we have  $\text{var}_0^1 K^\Delta(0, \cdot) < \infty$ ,  $v(K^\Delta) < \infty$ ,  $K^\Delta(t, 0) = 0$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$  if (1) and (2) is satisfied for the kernel  $K$ . Hence the equation (3) can be written in the Fredholm-Stieltjes form

$$\mathbf{x}(t) - \int_0^1 d_s[K^\Delta(t, s)] \mathbf{x}(s) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Since (1) and (2) hold we have  $\text{var}_0^1 \mathbf{H} < \infty$  for the matrix valued function  $\mathbf{H} : [0, 1] \rightarrow L(R_n)$  defined by the relations

$$\mathbf{H}(t) = K(t, t) - K(t, t-) \quad \text{for } t \in (0, 1], \quad \mathbf{H}(0) = \mathbf{0}$$

and there exists a sequence  $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ ,  $t_i \in (0, 1]$  such that  $\mathbf{H}(t) = \mathbf{0}$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $t \neq t_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  (see Lemma 3.1 in [2]). Hence  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|\mathbf{H}(t_i)\| < \infty$  because  $\text{var}_0^1 \mathbf{H} = 2 \sum_{t_i \in (0, 1)} \|\mathbf{H}(t_i)\| + \|\mathbf{H}(1)\|$ . This implies that  $\|\mathbf{H}(t)\| < \frac{1}{2}$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$  except for a finite set of points in  $(0, 1)$ . Hence the matrix  $I - \mathbf{H}(t)$  can be singular only at a finite set of points  $T_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $0 < T_1 < T_2 < \dots < T_k \leq 1$ .

Let us assume that the condition (4) is not satisfied. Then by the facts shown above there is a point  $T_1 \in (0, 1]$  such that  $I - \mathbf{H}(t) = I - (K(t, t) - K(t, t-))$  is a regular matrix for  $t \in [0, T_1)$  but  $I - \mathbf{H}(T_1) = I - (K(T_1, T_1) - K(T_1, T_1-))$  is not regular. Hence there exists  $\mathbf{z} \in R_n$  such that the linear algebraic equation

$$(7) \quad [I - (K(T_1, T_1) - K(T_1, T_1-))] \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{z}$$

has no solution in  $R_n$ . If we define the function  $\mathbf{y}^\wedge : [0, 1] \rightarrow R_n$  by the relations  $\mathbf{y}^\wedge(t) = \mathbf{0}$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $t \neq T_1$  and  $\mathbf{y}^\wedge(T_1) = \mathbf{z}$  then  $\mathbf{y}^\wedge \in BV_n$ . Let us now consider the Volterra-Stieltjes integral equation

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{x}(t) - \int_0^t d_s[\mathbf{K}(t, s)] \mathbf{x}(s) = \mathbf{y}^\wedge(t).$$

Since  $I - (\mathbf{K}(t, t) - \mathbf{K}(t, t-))$  is regular for  $t \in [0, T_1)$ , every solution  $\mathbf{x}$  of (8) vanishes on the interval  $[0, T_1)$  by the first part of the theorem and for  $t = T_1$  we have

$$\mathbf{x}(T_1) - \int_0^{T_1} d_s[\mathbf{K}(T_1, s)] \mathbf{x}(s) = \mathbf{z}.$$

Using the relation

$$\int_0^{T_1} d_s[\mathbf{K}(T_1, s)] \mathbf{x}(s) = (\mathbf{K}(T_1, T_1) - \mathbf{K}(T_1, T_1-)) \mathbf{x}(T_1)$$

(see [1]) we get

$$\mathbf{x}(T_1) - (\mathbf{K}(T_1, T_1) - \mathbf{K}(T_1, T_1-)) \mathbf{x}(T_1) = \mathbf{z}$$

but the value  $\mathbf{x}(T_1)$  cannot be determined since the linear algebraic equation (7) has no solution. Hence there is no  $\mathbf{x} \in BV_n[0, 1]$  satisfying the equation (8), i.e. the range of the operator

$$\mathbf{x} \in BV_n \rightarrow \mathbf{x}(t) - \int_0^t d_s[\mathbf{K}(t, s)] \mathbf{x}(s) \in BV_n$$

is a proper subspace in  $BV_n[0, 1]$ .

Since the Volterra-Stieltjes integral equation is a special case of the Fredholm-Stieltjes integral equation we obtain by the Fredholm Theorem (see Theorem 6 in [3]) that there exists in  $BV_n$  a nonzero solution of the homogeneous equation (3) and our theorem is completely proved.

**2. Corollary.** Let  $\mathbf{K} : J \rightarrow L(R_n)$  satisfy (1) and (2). Then the nonhomogeneous Volterra-Stieltjes integral equation

$$(9) \quad \mathbf{x}(t) - \int_0^t d_s[\mathbf{K}(t, s)] \mathbf{x}(s) = \mathbf{y}(t)$$

has a unique solution  $\mathbf{x} \in BV_n[0, 1]$  for any  $\mathbf{y} \in BV_n[0, 1]$  if and only if the condition (4) is satisfied.

*Proof.* Since (5) holds the equation (9) can be written in the Fredholm-Stieltjes form

$$\mathbf{x}(t) - \int_0^1 d_s[\mathbf{K}^\Delta(t, s)] \mathbf{x}(s) = \mathbf{y}(t)$$

where  $\mathbf{K}^\Delta : J \rightarrow L(R_n)$  is given by (6). By Theorem 1 the corresponding homogeneous

equation has only the trivial solution  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  in  $BV_n$  and consequently by the Fredholm Theorem (see Theorem 6. in [3]) we obtain the statement of the corollary.

**3. Theorem.** Let  $\mathbf{K} : J \rightarrow L(R_n)$  satisfy (1) and (2). If the condition (4) is satisfied then for every  $\mathbf{y} \in BV_n[0, 1]$  the unique solution of the equation (9) is given by the formula

$$(10) \quad \mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{y}(t) + \int_0^t d_s[\Gamma(t, s)] \mathbf{y}(s) \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

where  $\Gamma(t, s)$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$  is a uniquely determined  $n \times n$  - matrix valued function such that

$$(11) \quad \Gamma(t, s) = \mathbf{K}(t, s) - \mathbf{K}(t, 0) + \int_0^t d_r[\mathbf{K}(t, r)] \Gamma(r, s)$$

if  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$ . If we define  $\Gamma(t, s) = \Gamma(t, t)$  for  $0 \leq t < s \leq 1$  then  $v(\Gamma) < \infty$  and  $\text{var}_0^1 \Gamma(t, \cdot) < \infty$  for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* Since the equation (9) can be rewritten in the form of a Fredholm-Stieltjes integral equation

$$\mathbf{x}(t) - \int_0^1 d_s[\mathbf{K}^\Delta(t, s)] \mathbf{x}(s) = \mathbf{y}(t)$$

we obtain by Theorem 8. from [3] that the unique solution of this equation can be given by the formula

$$(12) \quad \mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{y}(t) + \int_0^1 d_s[\Gamma(t, s)] \mathbf{y}(s)$$

where  $\Gamma : J \rightarrow L(R_n)$  satisfies the equality

$$\Gamma(t, s) = \mathbf{K}^\Delta(t, s) - \mathbf{K}^\Delta(t, 0) + \int_0^1 d_r[\mathbf{K}^\Delta(t, r)] \Gamma(r, s)$$

for all  $t, s \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\text{var}_0^1 \Gamma(0, \cdot) < \infty$ ,  $\Gamma(t, 0) = \mathbf{0}$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and  $v(\Gamma) < \infty$ . Using the definition (6) of the "triangular" kernel  $\mathbf{K}^\Delta$  and the relation (5) we obtain

$$\int_0^1 d_r[\mathbf{K}^\Delta(t, r)] \Gamma(r, s) = \int_0^t d_r[\mathbf{K}(t, r)] \Gamma(r, s)$$

and this yields the relation (11) for  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$ . Further, evidently  $\Gamma(t, s) = \Gamma(t, t)$  for  $0 \leq t < s \leq 1$  and also

$$\int_0^1 d_s[\Gamma(t, s)] \mathbf{y}(s) = \int_0^t d_s[\Gamma(t, s)] \mathbf{y}(s)$$

for every  $\mathbf{y} \in BV_n$ . Hence by (12) we obtain the representation (10) for the solution of the equation (7). Let us finally mention that by Theorem 8. in [3] the matrix valued function  $\Gamma(t, s)$  is uniquely determined on the square  $J$ .

### *References*

- [1] *Schwabik Š.*: On an integral operator in the space of functions with bounded variation. *Časopis pěst. mat.* 97 (1972), 297—330.
- [2] *Schwabik Š.*: On Volterra-Stieltjes integral equations. *Časopis pěst. mat.* 99 (1974), 255—278.
- [3] *Schwabik Š.*: On an integral operator in the space of functions with bounded variation, II. *Časopis pěst. mat.* 102 (1977), 189—202.

*Author's address:* 115 67 Praha 1, Žitná 25 (Matematický ústav ČSAV).