

Jaroslav Nešetřil

On uniquely colorable graphs without short cycles

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 98 (1973), No. 2, 122--125

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108481>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1973

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON UNIQUELY COLORABLE GRAPHS WITHOUT SHORT CYCLES

JAROSLAV NEŠETŘIL, Praha

(Received April 9, 1970)

1. INTRODUCTION

A uniquely colorable graph is defined in [3] as a graph X which possesses exactly one partition into n color classes, where $n = \chi(X)$ is the chromatic number of the graph X .

Let $\Delta(X)$ denote the maximal degree of a point of X . We shall characterize uniquely colorable graphs X which satisfy $\Delta(X) \leq n = \chi(X)$. This is related to the problem of the existence of an n -chromatic graph with a large chord in the following way:

A theorem established in [0] states that $\chi(X) \leq \Delta(X)$, with the exception of an odd cycle and K_n (the complete graph with n points). On the other hand, the question if there is an n -chromatic graph without cycles of length $\leq k$ has been solved constructively only recently, see [4], [6].

B. GRÜNBAUM conjectured that for every n, k there is an n -regular n -chromatic graph without cycles of length $\leq k$. (A graph is n -regular if all the points of X have the same degree n). This conjecture is proved to be true for couples $(4, 3)$ and $(4, 4)$, see [1, 2], except the trivial cases.

From our result it will follow that there is no uniquely colorable graph satisfying this conjecture (for $k \geq 3$, i.e. non-trivial), or that every such graph possesses at least two different colorings. For the same reason, the naturally arising question if there is a uniquely n -colorable graph without cycles of length $\leq k$, seems to be harder than for n -chromatic graphs in general; none of the known constructions of n -colorable graphs without short cycles gives uniquely colorable graphs.

Nevertheless, we conjecture that the answer to this question is also affirmative. To support this we give here a construction of a uniquely k -colorable graph (for every $k \geq 1$) without triangles. In fact, we prove that there is a countable number of such graphs for every $k \geq 1$. This generalizes theorems from [3, 4]*).

*) The examples of graphs given in [3] and [4], p 139 do not serve as examples of uniquely 3-colorable graphs.

2. UNIQUELY COLORABLE GRAPHS WITH SMALL DEGREES

Let us denote by UC_n the class of all uniquely n -colorable graphs. Obviously all connected graphs $X \in UC_2$ which satisfy $\Delta(X) \leq 2$ are exactly even cycles and paths. Thus, let be $n > 2$ from now on. Let $X \in UC_n$, $\Delta(X) \leq n$ be a fixed graph, $M = \{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$ the coloring of X . For $x \in V(X)$ denote by $V(x, X)$ the set of all adjacent points to x in X .

We shall need the following:

Lemma. *Let \hat{X} be the subgraph of X induced on the set $\bigcup\{M_i; i \geq 2\}$. Then $\bigcup\{V(x, X); x \in M_1\} = V(\hat{X})$ and if $y \in V(x, X)$ for exactly one $x \in M_1$, then either $y \neq y' \in V(x, X)$ implies $y' \in V(x', X)$ for some $x \neq x' \in M_1$ or $V(x, X) = V(\hat{X})$.*

Proof. $\{V(x, X); x \in M_1\}$ is a covering of $V(\hat{X})$, for if there is a $y \in V(\hat{X})$ such that $y \notin V(x, X)$ for every $x \in M_1$, then the coloring M' defined by $M'_1 = M_1 \cup \{y\}$, $M'_i = M_i \setminus \{y\}$, $i \geq 2$ is different from M . The proof of the second part of the statement proceeds similarly.

Theorem 1. *K_n and $K_{n-1} + \bar{K}_2$ are the only UC_n -graphs X for which it holds $\Delta(X) \leq n$. (Here \bar{X} denotes the complement of the graph X and $X + Y$ denotes the join (Zykov sum) of the graphs X and Y , see [4]).*

Proof. Let $X \in UC_3$, $\Delta(X) \leq 3$, then (in the above notation) $\hat{X} \in UC_2$ and by Lemma $\Delta(\hat{X}) \leq 2$. It is easy to prove that $|\hat{X}| \leq 4$. It can be verified by examining the individual cases that K_3 and $K_2 + \bar{K}_2$ are the only uniquely 3-colorable graphs under consideration.

It is easy to complete the proof of the statement by induction.

Corollary. *Odd cycles are exactly 2-regular elements of UC_2 . There are no n -regular elements of UC_n , $n > 2$.*

Remark. Adding two suitable edges to the graph described in [4], p. 139, one obtains a graph X from UC_3 which has no triangles and for which $\Delta(X) = 4$ holds.

3. UNIQUELY COLORABLE GRAPHS WITHOUT TRIANGLES

Let X be a graph, $M \subseteq V(X)$. The set M is called an *independent subset* if $x, y \in M \Rightarrow [x, y] \notin E(X)$.

Let P_n be the path of length n (i.e. $V(P_n) = \{1, \dots, n+1\}$, $[i, i+1] \in E(P_n)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$). Define by induction the graphs P_n^i , $i > 0$.

Let $\mathcal{M}^1 = \{M_i^1; i \leq k^1(n)\}$ be the set of all independent sets $M \subseteq V(P_n)$ with $|M| = 3$ such that there are $i \neq j \in M$ with $|i - j|$ odd.

Let P_n^1 be the graph defined by: $V(P_n^1) = V(P_n) \cup \mathcal{M}^1$,
 $[x, y] \in E(P_n^1)$ iff either $x, y \in V(P_n)$ and $[x, y] \in E(P_n)$ or $x = M_i^1 \in \mathcal{M}^1$ and $y \in M_i^1$.

Let P_n^j be defined for all $j \leq i, i \geq 1$.

Let $\mathcal{M}^{i+1} = \{M_i^{i+1}; i \leq k^{i+1}(n)\}$ be the set of all independent sets $M \subseteq V(P_n^i)$ such that $|M| = i + 3, M \cap \mathcal{M}^j \neq \emptyset$ for every $j \leq i$ and there are $k \neq m \in M \cap V(P_n), |k - m|$ being odd.

Define the graph P_n^{i+1} by: $V(P_n^{i+1}) = V(P_n^i) \cup \mathcal{M}^{i+1}$ $[x, y] \in E(P_n^{i+1})$ iff either $x, y \in V(P_n^i)$ and $[x, y] \in E(P_n^i)$ or $x = M_i^{i+1} \in \mathcal{M}^{i+1}$ and $y \in M_i^{i+1}$. By the definition, the graph does not contain a triangle for every $i \geq 1$. Further, it is obvious that $\chi(P_n^i) \leq i + 2$.

We shall prove

Theorem 2. Let $k \geq 1$. Let $n > 16(k + 2)(2k)^{2k+3}$. Then $P_n^k \in UC_{k+2}$.

Proof. Let $C = \{C_1, \dots, C_{k+2}\}$ be a coloring of P_n^k . We distinguish two cases.

1) There are three classes, say C_1, C_2, C_3 such that $|C_i \cap V(P_n)| \geq n/(k + 2)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. We prove first that there are $(2k)^k$ pairwise disjoint sets M_i^1 from \mathcal{M}^1 such that all of them are colored exactly by 3 different colors (not necessarily 1, 2, 3). Suppose to the contrary that there are no such sets from \mathcal{M}^1 . Then $|C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3| \leq \frac{1}{2}n + 3(2k)^k$ (since $|C_i \cap V(P_n)| \geq n/(k + 2)$, C_1, C_2 and C_3 cannot contain "too many" couples i, j with $|i - j|$ odd, and the same argument shows that there is a set $A \subset C_1 \cup C_2$ such that $|A \cap C_i| \geq (2k)^k, i = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j \in A$ implies $|i - j|$ even. Thus there is at least $\frac{1}{2}n - 3(2k)^k/4 > (k - 1)(2k)^k$ elements $i \in V(P_n)$ such that $|i - j|$ is odd for every $j \in A$. From these facts a contradiction easily follows).

Now we shall construct an $M_i^k \in \mathcal{M}^k$ such that $M_i^k \cap C_i \neq \emptyset$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, k + 2$. This will contradict the assumption that C is a coloring.

Put $m = (2k)^k$. Without loss of generality, let M_1^1, \dots, M_m^1 be sets from \mathcal{M}^1 such that $M_i^1 \cap M_j^1 = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j \leq m$ and $M_i^1 \cap C_j \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, 2, 3$. Since $m = (2k)^k$ there is $2(2k)^{k-1} = 2m_1$ elements of the set $\{M_1^1, \dots, M_m^1\}$ which are colored by the same color ≥ 4 , without loss of generality let us assume that $M_i^1 \in C_4$ for $i = 1, \dots, 2m_1$. Define $M_{2i}^2 \in \mathcal{M}^2, i = 1, \dots, m_1$ by $M_{2i}^2 = \{M_{2i-1}^1\} \cup M_{2i}^1$. (It is $M_{2i}^2 \in \mathcal{M}^2$ since M_i^1 are pairwise disjoint.) Further $M_{2i}^2 \cap C_j \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, \dots, m_1$ and $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Now, without loss of generality, we can find again $M_j^2, j = 1, \dots, 2m_2 = 2(2k)^{k-2}$ such that $\{M_1^2, \dots, M_{2m_2}^2\} \subseteq C_i$ for an $i \geq 5$, say for $i = 5$. We can define $M_{2i}^3 = \{M_{2i-1}^2\} \cup M_{2i}^2, i = 1, \dots, m_2$. It is $M_{2i}^3 \in \mathcal{M}^3$ and $M_{2i}^3 \cap C_j \neq \emptyset, j = 1, \dots, 5$. This procedure can be continued inductively and finally we get an $M_i^k \in \mathcal{M}^k$ for which $M_i^k \cap C_j \neq \emptyset, j = 1, \dots, k + 2$.

2) There are exactly two classes, say C_1, C_2 such that

$$|C_i \cap V(P_n)| \geq \frac{n}{k + 2}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Then one can easily prove that there are two color classes, say C_1, C_2 , for which there is a set of pairs $N^1 \subseteq C_1 \times C_2$ such that it holds:

$$(i, j) \in N^1 \Rightarrow 1 < |i - j| \text{ is an odd number ;}$$

$$(i, j) \neq (i', j') \in N^1 \Rightarrow i \neq i' \text{ and } j \neq j' ; |N^1| = (2k)^{2k} .$$

Now we can go on similarly as in the above procedure:

The set of all M_i^1 for which $M_i^1 \supset \{i, j\}$, where $(i, j) \in N^1$, cannot be colored by less than three colors; thus there are again $2m_1 \cdot m$ sets M_i^1 which are colored by the same color and which are pairwise disjoint (this can be easily managed). Define analogously as above $N_{2i}^2 = \{M_{2i-1}^1\} \cup \{a_{2i}, b_{2i}\}$, $i = 1, \dots, m_1 \cdot m$. (Here $(a_{2i}, b_{2i}) \in N^1$, $a_{2i}, b_{2i} \notin M_{2i-1}^1$, which can be done by a suitable numbering of sets under consideration.) From the sets M_i^2 containing an N_{2i}^2 we can again choose $2m_2 \cdot m$ disjoint sets which are colored by the same color. We can then define N_{2i}^2 and so on. Finally we can define m pairwise disjoint sets N_i^k such that $|N_i^k| = k + 1$ and $N_i^k \cap C_i \neq \emptyset$, $i = 1, \dots, k + 1$. Now there are two possible cases. Let first $x \in V(P_n^{k-1}) \cap C_{k+2} \neq \emptyset$. Since we have m pairwise disjoint N_i^k with the above properties, there is N_i^k with $N_i^k \cap x = \emptyset$. Thus $N^k \cup \{x\} \in \mathcal{M}^k$, a contradiction. Let $V(P_n^{k-1}) \cap C_{k+2} = \emptyset$. Then it can be easily proved by induction that C is uniquely determined by $C_1 \cup C_2 = V(P_n)$, $C_{i+2} = \mathcal{M}^i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.

References

- [0] *R. L. Brooks*: On coloring the nodes of a network. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 37 (1941), 194–197.
- [1] *V. Chvátal*: The smallest triangle free 4-chromatic 4-regular graph (To appear).
- [2] *B. Grünbaum*: A problem in graph coloring (To appear).
- [3] *F. Harary, S. T. Hedetniemi and R. W. Robinson*: Uniquely colorable graphs. J. Comb. Th. (1969), 260–270.
- [4] *F. Harary*: Graph theory. Addison Wesley, Reading 1969.
- [5] *L. Lovász*: On chromatic number of finite set-systems, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 19 (1968), 59–67.
- [6] *J. Nešetřil*: k -chromatic graphs without cycles of length ≤ 7 (in Russian) Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 7 (1966), 373–376.

Author's address: 180 00 Praha 8 - Karlín, Sokolovská 83 (Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta UK).