

Mircea Puta

De Rham currents and sprays

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 107 (1982), No. 4, 341--345

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118143>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

DE RHAM CURRENTS AND SPRAYS

MIRCEA PUTA, Timișoara

(Received September 20, 1980)

1. ALMOST – TANGENT STRUCTURE

1.1. Notations. Let M be a smooth ($= C^\infty$) orientable differentiable manifold of dimension n . We shall denote by $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ the space of vector fields on M , by $A^p(M)$ and $\mathcal{D}'_p(M)$ the spaces of p -forms and p -currents on M , respectively. We recall that a p -current on M is an alternating p -linear and continuous map $T: \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \dots \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_0(M)$ ([2]), where $\mathcal{D}'_0(M)$ is the space of O -currents on M ([3]). In a local chart, $\mathcal{D}'_0(M)$ can be identified with the space of L. Schwartz distributions.

If $p_M: TM \rightarrow M$ and $p_{TM}: TTM \rightarrow TM$ is the tangent bundle and the second tangent bundle of M , respectively, and $p^T: TTM \rightarrow TM$ is the linear tangent map of p , then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TTM & \xrightarrow{p^T} & TM \\ p_{TM} \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ TM & \xrightarrow{p} & M \end{array}$$

is commutative.

If (U, φ) is a local chart on M , $TU[TTU]$ the corresponding chart on $TM[TTM]$, then a point $x \in M[z \in TU, Z \in TTU]$ can be represented as in [1] by $x \stackrel{\equiv}{U} (x^1, \dots, x^n)$ $z \stackrel{\equiv}{U} (x^1, \dots, x^n, y^1, \dots, y^n)$ or briefly $z \stackrel{\equiv}{U} (x, y)$, $Z \stackrel{\equiv}{U} (x^1, \dots, x^n, y^1, \dots, y^n, X^1, \dots, X^n, Y^1, \dots, Y^n)$, or briefly $Z \stackrel{\equiv}{U} (x, y, X, Y)$, respectively. We have $p'(Z) \stackrel{\equiv}{U} (x, y)$, $p^T(Z) \stackrel{\equiv}{U} (x, X)$ provided $p' = p_{TM}$. If we denote by \bar{y} the vector fields on TM which satisfy $p'\bar{y} = p^T\bar{y}$, then $\bar{y} \stackrel{\equiv}{U} (x, y, y, Y)$.

1.2. Fundamental sequences. The fundamental sequence on TM is an exact sequence

$$O \rightarrow TM \times_M TM \xrightarrow{\lambda} TTM \xrightarrow{\mu} TM \times_M TM \rightarrow O,$$

where $\mu = (p', p^T)$. If $y \stackrel{\equiv}{U} (x, y)$; $z \stackrel{\equiv}{U} (x, Z)$, then $\lambda(y, z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (x, y, o, z)$.

1.3. Canonical fields. Let $\sigma : y \in TM \rightarrow \sigma(y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (y, y) \in TM \times TM$, so that σ is a smooth section. Then we define:

- 1) the canonical vector-field $C \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda \circ \sigma \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$;
- 2) the canonical (1.1) tensor-field $J \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda \circ \mu$.

1.4. Remarks.

- 1) From the exactness of the fundamental sequence it follows that $J^2 = 0$.
- 2) In a local chart one obtains:

$$C(x, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (x, y, o, y) \quad \text{and} \quad JZ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (x, y, o, X).$$

3) For the canonical tensor-field J we can introduce the operators of Frölicher-Nijenhuis d_J, i_J which are derivatives of degree zero:

- a) $i_J : f \in C^\infty(M) \rightarrow i_J(f) = 0$
 $i_J : \Omega \in A^p(TM) \rightarrow i_J(\Omega) \in A^p(TM)$
 $i_J(\Omega)(X_1, \dots, X_p) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^p \Omega(X_1, \dots, JX_i, \dots, X_p),$
- b) $d_J \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} i_J d - d i_J.$

For any $f \in C^\infty(TM)$, $d_J f = (\partial f / \partial y^i) dx^i$. These operators can be extended to the space of De Rham currents in the following manner:

- a') $i_J : T \in \mathcal{D}'_p(TM) \rightarrow i_J(T) \in \mathcal{D}'_p(TM)$
 $i_J(T)(X_1, \dots, X_p) = \sum_{i=1}^p T(X_1, \dots, JX_i, \dots, X_p),$
- b') $d_J T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} i_J dT - d i_J T.$

As for the differential forms [1], for any $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$, $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$, $\bar{y} \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$, $p'\bar{y} = p^T \bar{y}$, we have also for currents:

- i₁) $[J, C] = -[C, J] = J,$
- i₂) $[i_J, i_Z] = -i_{JZ}; [i_J, L_C] = i_J,$
- i₃) $[i_C, d_J] = i_J; [d_J, L_C] = d_J; [i_J, d_J] = 0, [d, d_J] = 0,$
- i₄) $[d_J, [i_Z, d_J]] = 0,$
- i₅) $[i_{\bar{y}}, d_J] = L_C - i_{[\bar{y}, J]}.$

2. SEMI-BASIC AND HOMOGENEOUS CURRENTS

We shall denote by $T_0(M)$ the space of all nonzero vectors of TM .

2.1. Definition. We shall say that $T \in \mathcal{D}'_p(T_0M)$ is homogeneous of degree r (we shall write $h(r)$) if

$$L_C T = rT.$$

2.2. Proposition. *If $T \in \mathcal{D}'_p(T_0M)$ is $h(r)$, then $i_J T, d_J T$ are $h(r - 1)$.*

2.3. Definition. *We shall say that $T \in \mathcal{D}'_p(T_0M)$ is semibasic if for any vertical vector-field v on T_0M we have*

$$i_v(T) = 0.$$

Remark. If T is a semi-basic current, then $d_J T$ is a semi-basic current and $i_J T = 0$. Now we can prove:

2.4. Proposition. *Let $T \in \mathcal{D}'_p(T_0M)$ be $h(r)$ and semibasic. Then for any $\bar{y} \in \mathfrak{X}(T_0M)$ for which $J\bar{y} = C$, we have $i_{\bar{y}} d_J T + d_J i_{\bar{y}} T + (p + r) T$.*

Proof. From 1.3 we obtain $i_{\bar{y}} d_J T + d_J i_{\bar{y}} T = L_C T - i_{[\bar{y}, J]} T$. But $L_C T = rT$ and thus it is sufficient to verify the equality $i_{[\bar{y}, J]} T = -pT$. For any $Z_1, \dots, Z_p \in \mathfrak{X}(T_0M)$ we have

$$i_{[\bar{y}, J]} T(Z_1, \dots, Z_p) = \sum_{i=1}^p T(Z_1, \dots, Z_{i-1}, [\bar{y}, J], Z_i, \dots, Z_p).$$

But $[\bar{y}, J] Z = -JZ$. Indeed, $[\bar{y}, J] Z = [\bar{y}, JZ] - J[\bar{y}, Z]$. Because of $J^2 = 0$ we obtain $J[\bar{y}, J] Z = [C, JZ] - J[C, Z] = -JZ$. Q.E.D.

Corollary. *Let $T \in \mathcal{D}'_p(T_0M)$ be $h(r)$, semi-basic and $d_J T = 0$. Then T has the form*

$$T = \frac{1}{p + r} d_J i_{\bar{y}} T.$$

3. TWO – CURRENTS AND SPRAYS

First we shall recall the definition of a spray:

3.1. Definition. [1]. *We say that a vector field G on T_0M is a spray if $[C, G] = 0$ and $J(G) = C$. In a local chart, $G \equiv \frac{1}{U}(x, y, y, X)$.*

3.2. Definition. *We say that $T \in \mathcal{D}'_2(T_0M)$ is a generalized Finsler structure if*

f₁) $L_C T = T,$

f₂) $i_J T = 0,$

f₃) if for any $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(T_0M)$, $T(X, Y) = 0$, then $X = 0$.

3.3. Proposition. *Let $\omega \in E_p(T_0M)$ and let $T_\omega \in \mathcal{D}'_p(T_0M)$ be the current defined by ω ([3]). Then*

$$i_J T_\omega = T_{i_J \omega}.$$

Proof. For any $X_1, \dots, X_p \in \mathfrak{X}(T_0M)$ we can write $i_J T_\omega(X_1, \dots, X_p) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} T_\omega(X_1, \dots, JX_i, \dots, X_p) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \omega(X_1, \dots, JX_i, \dots, X_p) = T_{i_J \omega}(X_1, \dots, X_p)$. Q.E.D.

Remark. From Proposition 3.3 and from the equality $L_X T_\omega = T_{L_X \omega}$ we deduce that the space of Finsler structures $\mathcal{F}(T_0M)$ is a subspace of the space of generalized Finsler structures $\mathcal{F}'(T_0M)$. We can also prove

3.4. Proposition. *Let M be a two-dimensional smooth differentiable manifold. Then the space $\mathcal{F}(T_0M)$ is dense in the space $\mathcal{F}'(T_0M)$.*

Proof. The space $\mathcal{F}(T_0M)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{F}'(T_0M)$ and consequently, it is a reflexive space. If $\omega \in \mathcal{F}(T_0M)$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{F}(T_0M)$, then $\omega = 0$. Indeed, if ω is orthogonal to $\mathcal{F}(T_0M)$ then ω is orthogonal to ω ($\dim M = 2$) and then $\omega = 0$. This together with the Hahn-Banach theorem implies that $\mathcal{F}(T_0M)$ is dense in $\mathcal{F}'(T_0M)$. Q.E.D.

3.5. Conjecture. *Proposition 3.4 is true for M of any dimension.*

3.6. Proposition. *Let $T \in \mathcal{F}'(T_0M)$, $Z, Z' \in \mathfrak{X}(T_0M)$. If $t = i_Z T$, $t' = i_{Z'} T$ then $Z = JZ'$ if and only if $t = -Jt'$.*

Proof. $i_J i_{Z'} - i_Z i_J = -i_{JZ'}$, so that $i_J i_{Z'} T = -i_{JZ'} T$, or $i_{Jt'} = -i_{JZ'} T$. If $Z = JZ'$, then $i_{Jt'} = -i_Z T = -t$ and conversely, if $i_{Jt'} = -t$ it follows that $i_Z T = t = i_{JZ'} T$. Then $T(JZ', Y) = T(Z, Y)$ for any $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(T_0M)$ and by Definition 3.2, the equality $JZ' = Z$ holds. Q.E.D.

Now it is easy to prove

3.7. Proposition. *Let $T \in \mathcal{F}'(T_0M)$ and $t_C \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} i_C T$. Then for any $t' \in \mathcal{D}'_2(T_0M)$ with the properties $h(2)$ and $Jt' = -t_C$, there exists $G \in \mathfrak{X}(T_0M)$ such that $J(G) = C$, and $i_G T = t'$.*

Proof. We have $Jt' = -t_C$ and by Proposition 3.6 there exists $G \in \mathfrak{X}(T_0M)$ such that $J(G) = C$ and $i_G T = t'$. Q.E.D.

3.8. Definition. *Let $T \in \mathcal{F}'_2(T_0M)$. Then the Finsler energy of T is defined by*

$$E \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} i_{\bar{y}} i_C T,$$

where $\bar{y} \in \mathfrak{X}(T_0M)$ and $J\bar{y} = C$.

3.9. Proposition. *Let $T \in \mathcal{F}'(T_0M)$ be such that $d_J i_C T = 0$. Then the vector field G defined by the equality $i_G T = -dE$ is a spray on M (the canonical spray).*

Proof. Since $d_J i_C T = 0$, for any $\bar{y} \in \mathfrak{X}(T_0M)$ such that $J\bar{y} = C$ we obtain

$$i_C T = \frac{1}{2} d_J (i_{\bar{y}} i_C T) \quad \text{or} \quad i_C T = d_J E.$$

But $d_J E = -i_J(-dE) = -J(-dE)$, so that there exists $G \in \mathfrak{X}(T_0M)$ such that $JG = C$ and $i_G T = -dE$. Q.E.D.

We also have

3.10. Proposition. *The energy E is constant on the trajectories of the canonical spray.*

Proof. In fact $i_G T = -dT$, hence $i_G dT = 0$ or $L_G E = 0$. Q.E.D.

4. EXAMPLE

A very interesting case in mechanics is that for which T has the decomposition $T = dd_J E + S$, where S is a semi-basic two-current.

In this situation we can establish

4.1. Proposition. *Let $T \in \mathcal{F}'(T_0M)$ and let E be the energy of T . Then $T - dd_J E$ is a semi-basic current if and only if $d_J E$ is a semi-basic current.*

Proof. If $S = T - dd_J E$ is semi-basic, then $d_J S = d_J T$ is semi-basic. Now we shall suppose that $d_J T$ is semi-basic, i.e. $i_J d_J T = 0$. For any $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(T_0M)$, $dT(JX, JY, Z) + dT(X, JY, JZ) + dT(JX, Y, JZ) = 0$. Let $X = \bar{y}$, where $J(\bar{y}) = C$. Then $dT(C, JY, Z) + dT(C, Y, JZ) + dT(\bar{y}, JY, Z) = 0$. But $i_J dT$ is semi-basic, therefore $i_J dT(\bar{y}, JY, Z) = 0$, so that we obtain

$$dT(C, JY, Z) + dT(y, JY, JZ) = 0.$$

Then for any $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(T_0M)$, $dT(C, Y, JZ) = 0$ which implies that $i_J dT$ is semi-basic. Q.E.D.

Acknowledgement. I want to thank Professor Joseph Klein who proposed this problem to me at the International Symposium on Differential Geometry (Budapest, 2–5 September, 1979).

References

- [1] *J. Klein, A. Voutier:* Formes extérieures génératrices de sprays. Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, 18, 2 (1968), 241–260.
- [2] *J. Marsden:* Generalized Hamiltonian Mechanics. A mathematical exposition of Non-Smooth Dynamical Systems and classical Hamiltonian Mechanics, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis Vol. 28, nr. 5 (1968), 324–361.
- [3] *G. De Rham:* Variétés différentiables, Formes, courants, formes harmoniques, Herman, Paris, 1960.

Author's address: Seminarul de Geometrie—Topologie, Universitatea din Timișoara, Romania.