Aleš Drápal On a class of commutative groupoids determined by their associativity triples

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 34 (1993), No. 2, 199--201

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118571

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ*: *The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

On a class of commutative groupoids determined by their associativity triples

Aleš Drápal

Abstract. Let $G = G(\cdot)$ be a commutative groupoid such that $\{(a, b, c) \in G^3; a \cdot bc \neq ab \cdot c\} = \{(a, b, c) \in G^3; a = b \neq c \text{ or } a \neq b = c\}$. Then G is determined uniquely up to isomorphism and if it is finite, then $\operatorname{card}(G) = 2^i$ for an integer $i \geq 0$.

Keywords: commutative groupoid, associative triples

Classification: 20N02, 05E99

For a groupoid $G = G(\cdot)$ denote by $\mathbf{Ns}(G)$ the set of its non-associative triples, i.e. $\mathbf{Ns}(G) = \{(a, b, c) \in G^3; a \cdot bc \neq ab \cdot c\}$. If \mathcal{V} is a variety of groupoids and Sa non-empty set, then it can be a non-trivial problem to determine all such $N \subseteq S^3$ that $N = \mathbf{Ns}(G)$ for a groupoid $G = S(\cdot) \in \mathcal{V}$. For example, it is known [1], [2] that $\mathbf{Ns}(G) \neq \{(a, a, a); a \in G\}$ for any non-empty groupoid G.

In the present short note we investigate the case when \mathcal{V} is the variety of the commutative groupoids and $\mathbf{Ns}(G) = \{(a, b, c) \in G^3; a = b \neq c \text{ or } a \neq b = c\}$. We shall show that all such non-trivial groupoids can be obtained by a slight modification of a 2-elementary Abelian group and that these groupoids are determined up to isomorphism by $\operatorname{card}(G)$. Moreover, whenever G is finite and non-trivial, then $\operatorname{card}(G) = 2^i$ for an integer $i \geq 1$.

Note that $a \cdot ba = ab \cdot a$ for any $a, b \in G$ whenever G is a commutative groupoid. The set $\{(a, b, c) \in G^3; a = b \neq c \text{ or } a \neq b = c\}$ thus covers all $(a, b, c) \in G^3$ such that $\operatorname{card}\{a, b, c\} \leq 2$ and $a \cdot bc \neq ab \cdot c$ can occur.

Theorem 1. For an Abelian group G(+) and each $0 \neq e \in G$ define on the set G a commutative groupoid G_e by $0 \cdot 0 = e$, $a \cdot b = a + b$ and $a \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot a = 0$ for any $a, b \in G \setminus \{0\}$. If G(+) is 2-elementary, then $\mathbf{Ns}(G_e) = \{(a, b, c) \in G^3; a = b \neq c \text{ or } a \neq b = c\}$. Conversely, if $G(\cdot)$ is a commutative groupoid where $a \cdot bc \neq ab \cdot c$ if and only if $a = b \neq c$ or $a \neq b = c$, and $\operatorname{card}(G) > 1$, then there exist a 2-elementary Abelian group G(+) and an element $0 \neq e \in G$ such that $G(\cdot) = G_e$. Moreover, G_e is isomorphic to G_f for any choice of $e, f \in G, e \neq 0 \neq f$.

PROOF: Only the converse part of the theorem requires a proof. Let us hence assume that $G(\cdot)$ is a commutative groupoid, $\operatorname{card}(G) > 1$ and $\operatorname{Ns}(G(\cdot)) = \{(a, b, c) \in G^3; a = b \neq c \text{ or } a \neq b = c\}$. As G is commutative, we have

(1) $a \cdot ba = ab \cdot a$ for any $a, b \in G$.

A. Drápal

Let a = bc, where $a, b, c \in G$ are pair-wise distinct. If $c \neq ab$, then $aa \cdot b = (bc \cdot a) \cdot b = (b \cdot ca) \cdot b = b(ca \cdot b) = b(c \cdot ab) = bc \cdot ab = a \cdot ab$. Hence c = ab and we have

(2) If a = bc, $b \neq a \neq c$ and $b \neq c$, then b = ac and c = ab.

Further, we shall prove

(3) If a = bc, $b \neq a \neq c$ and $b \neq c$, then $a^2 = b^2 = c^2$ and $a^2 \notin \{a, b, c\}$.

To see this, observe that $c^2 = ab \cdot c = a \cdot bc = a^2$ by (2) and that $a^2 = a$ implies $a \cdot bb = a \cdot aa = a = cb = ab.b$.

If $a \in G$ is such that a, a^2, a^3 are pair-wise distinct, we obtain from (3) $a^2 \notin \{a^3, a^2, a\}$, a contradiction. Therefore it holds

(4) $a = a^2$ or $a^2 = a^3$ or $a = a^3$ for any $a \in G$.

Let $a, b, c \in G$ be again pair-wise distinct and a = bc. Then $a \neq a^2$ by (3), and $a^3 = a$ implies $a \cdot bb = a \cdot aa = a^3 = a = c \cdot b = ab \cdot b$. Hence we have

(5) If a = bc, $b \neq a \neq c$ and $b \neq c$, then $a \cdot a^2 = b \cdot a^2 = c \cdot a^2 = a^2 = b^2 = c^2$.

We shall now order the set G by a < b iff ab = b and $a \neq b$. From a < b and b < a it follows b = ab = ba = a and from a < b < c we obtain $ac = a \cdot bc = ab \cdot c = bc = c$. Therefore < really is a (sharp) ordering of G.

Let again $a, b, c \in G$ be pair-wise distinct and with a = bc. If e < a, then $ec = e \cdot ab = ea \cdot b = ab = c$. Consequently, we have

(6) Let a = bc, $b \neq a \neq c$ and $b \neq c$. If e < a, then e < b and e < c.

Conversely, suppose that a < e. Then $b \neq e \neq c$, $eb = ea \cdot b = e \cdot ab = ec$ and $eb \cdot c = e \cdot bc = ea = e$. From eb = c it follows ec = c, e < c and by (2) and (6) e < a. Therefore $eb \neq c$. If eb, c, e are pair-wise distinct, then a < e implies by (6) that a < c, a contradiction. It follows eb = e and we obtain

(7) Let a = bc, $b \neq a \neq c$ and $b \neq c$. If a < e, then b < e and c < e.

For $a, b \in G$ put $(a, b) \in r$ iff $a \neq b$ and $a \neq ab \neq b$, and denote by \sim the least equivalence containing the relation r. From (6) and (7) we get by induction immediately

(8) Let $a, b, e \in G$ and let $a \sim b$. Then a < e iff b < e, and e < a iff e < b.

Denote by \mathcal{E} the set of equivalence classes of \sim . By the definitions of \sim and < we have either $a \sim b$, or a < b, or b < a for any $a, b \in G$. Hence it follows from (8) that < induces a linear ordering of \mathcal{E} . Suppose that $(\mathcal{E}, <)$ has no maximum element. Then for $a \in G$ we can choose $b \in G$ with a < b, $a^2 < b$. Then $b \cdot aa = b \cdot a^2 = b = ba = ba \cdot a$, a contradiction.

Let $U \in \mathcal{E}$ be the maximum element of $(\mathcal{E}, <)$ and suppose that $a, b \in U$, $a \neq b$. Then $a \neq ab \neq b$, and we obtain $a^2 > a$ by (3) and (5). This is a contradiction, and hence U contains exactly one element, say u.

For $u \neq a \in G$ we have ua = u, and thus $u = ua \cdot a \neq u \cdot a^2$ provides $a^2 = u$. Therefore a < b < u would imply $a \cdot bb = au = u = bb = ab \cdot b$, which contradicts our hypothesis. It follows that the equivalence \sim has exactly two classes and by (7) we have $ab \notin \{a, b, u\}$ for any $a, b \in G$, $a \neq b$, $a \neq u \neq b$. Moreover, $u^2 = aa \cdot u \neq a \cdot au = u$. Put now u = 0 and define G(+) by a+0 = 0+a = a for any $a \in G$ and a+b = ab for $a, b \in G, a \neq 0 \neq b$. Clearly, a + (b+c) = (a+b) + c whenever $0 \in \{a, b, c\}$, and by (2) also when a = b or b = c. Similarly, a + (b+ab) = a+a = ab+ab = ab + (a+b) for $a, b \in G, a \neq b, a \neq 0 \neq b$. Finally, $a + (b+c) = a + bc = a \cdot bc = ab \cdot c = ab + c = (a+b) + c$ when $a, b, c \in G$ are pair-wise distinct and $c \neq ab$. It follows that G(+) is a 2-elementary Abelian group and we see that $G(\cdot) = G_{u^2}$.

References

- [1] Drápal A., Kepka T., Sets of associative triples, Europ. J. Combinatorics 6 (1985), 227–231.
- [2] Drápal A., Groupoids with non-associative triples on the diagonal, Czech. Math. Journal 35 (1985), 555-564.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 00 PRAHA 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

(Received September 11, 1992)