Ján Andres; Vladimír Vlček On four-point regular BVPs for second-order quasi-linear ODEs

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 31 (1992), No. 1, 37--44

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120279

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 1992

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

ACTA UNIVERSITATIS PALACKIANAE OLOMUCENSIS FACULTAS RERUM NATURALIUM

1992

Mathematica XXXI

Vol.105

ON FOUR-POINT REGULAR BVPs FOR SECOND-ORDER QUASI-LINEAR ODEs

JAN ANDRES, VLADIMÍR VLČEK (Received January 12,1991)

Abstract. Sufficient conditions for the existence of a solution to four-point boundary value problems for the second-order quasi-linear ordinary differential equations are given by means of the Schauder fixed point theorem.

Key words: Four-point problem, Green's function.

MS Classification: 34B10

 In this note we will consider the boundary value problem (BVP):

(1) $\mathbf{x}'' = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'), \mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{C}(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \times \mathbb{R}^2),$

(2) x(a)+px(b)=A, x(c)+qx(d)=B,

where $A, B, a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}^1$, $\alpha = \min \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\beta = \max \{a, b, c, d\}$; p, q $\in \{-1, 0, 1\}$.

So far, only multi-point problems for p=1, q=0 and $b\in(a,c)$ (see [4]) or for p=q=1 (see [6],[7]) and for the special case, when a=0, d=b+c, (see [1]) have been studied.

- 37 -

Besides (1)-(2), consider still the linear homogeneous
 BVP:

(3) $\mathbf{x}'' + \mathbf{k}\mathbf{x} = 0$, $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$, (4) $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{a}) = -\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})$, $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{c}) = -\mathbf{q}\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{d})$, where $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$.

It is well-known (cf. e.g. [8]) that (1)-(4) is equivalent to the integral equation

(5)
$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} G(t,s) [\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}(s) + f(s,\mathbf{x}(s),\mathbf{x}'(s))] ds := F(\mathbf{x}(t)),$$

as far as Green's function G(t,s) [related to (3)-(4)] exists. This is true (see e. g. [8] again) if BVP (3)-(4) has only the trivial solution. Furthermore, since integral operators originated from solving the BVPs to ODEs are totally continuous, because Green's functions involved in these problems are continuous (see [3,p.123] and [5,p.25]), it is sufficient to verify that a closed convex subset S of the Banach space E of all continuously differentiable functions x(t) on the interval $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ with the norm

> $\|\mathbf{x}(t)\| := \max \left[|\mathbf{x}(t)| + |\mathbf{x}'(t)| \right]$ t \equiv \lap{a}, \beta \rangle

exists such that [cf.(5)]

(6)

in order to apply the well-known Schauder fixed point theorem (see e.g. [3,p.322]).

3. As we have just pointed out, our problem reduces to the question of

(i) the nonexistence of any nontrivial solution to (3)-(4),and (ii) the verification of (6).

Hence, let us begin with the first requirement.

For k=0 or k<0 or k>0 in (3), substituting

 $\mathbf{x}(t) = C_1 t + C_2$ or $\mathbf{x}(t) = C_1 ch \sqrt{-kt} + C_2 sh \sqrt{-kt}$

or $\mathbf{x}(t) = C_1 \cot \sqrt{k} + C_2 \sin t \sqrt{k}$, $C_{1,2} \in \mathbb{R}^1$, into (4), we obtain the system the determinant of which differs from zero

- 38 -

or ch $\sqrt{-ka+pch}/-kb$ (sh $\sqrt{-kc+gsh}/-kd$) \neq \neq (sh/-ka+psh/-kb)(ch/-kc+gch/-kd). or $(\cos \sqrt{ka + p \cos \sqrt{kb}})(\sin \sqrt{kc + g \sin \sqrt{kd}}) \neq$ \neq (sin / ka+psin / kb)(cos / kc+gcos / kd),

respectively.

or q=-1, $c \neq d$,

Taking into account the values of $p \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, we can easily arrive at the conditions stated below in the form of the following three lemmas.

```
Lemma 1. Problem (3)-(4) has for p = -1(a \neq b) only a trivial solution, provided
```

```
k=0, q≠-1,
or k<0, q≠-1, d=C,
or k<0, q≠-1, a+b=c+d,
or k<0, q=-1, c≠d, a+b≠c+d.</pre>
```

```
Problem (3)-(4) has for k≤0 only a trivial
      Lemma 2.
solution, provided
1) p=0 and
   q\neq -1, c=d, a\neq c,
or q≠0, a=c, a≠d,
or q \neq 1, a = (c+d)/2, a \neq c,
or q \neq 1, a=d, a\neq c,
or q=-1, c\neq d,
or q=0, a\neq c,
or q=1, a\neq(c+d)/2;
2) p=1 and
   q \neq -1, c=d, c\neq (a+b)/2,
or q \neq 0, c = (a+b)/2, d \neq (a+b)/2,
or q \neq 1, c+d=a+b, c\neq (a+b)/2,
or q \neq 1, d = (a+b)/2, c \neq (a+b)/2,
```

- 39 -

or q=0, c≠(**a+b**)/2, or q=1, **a+b≠c+d**.

Lemma 3. Problem (3)-(4) has for k>0 only a trivial solution, provided 1) p=-1, $b\neq a+2m\pi/k$ and $q \neq -1$, $d = c + 2 i \pi / k$, $c \neq (a+b)/2 + (2n+1) \pi / k$, or $q \neq 0$, $c = (a+b)/2 + (2j+1)\pi/2 \sqrt{k}$, $d \neq (a+b)/2 + (2n+1)\pi/2 / k$. or $q \neq 1$, $d = c + (2j+1)\pi/k$, $c \neq (a+b)/2 + (2n+1)\pi/2k$, or $q \neq 1$, $c \neq (a+b)/2 + (2i+1)\pi/2 \sqrt{k}$, $d = (a+b)/2 + (2n+1)\pi/2 \sqrt{k}$. or q=-1, $c+d\neq a+b+2j\pi/k$, $d\neq c+2n\pi/k$, or q=0, $c \neq (a+b)/2 + (2j+1)\pi/2 \sqrt{k}$, or q=1, $c+d\neq a+b+(2j+1)\pi/k$, $d\neq c+(2n+1)\pi/k$; 2) p=0 and $q \neq 1$, $d = c + 2 j \pi / k$, $c \neq a + n \pi / k$, or $q \neq 0$, $c = a + i\pi / k$, $d \neq a + n\pi / k$. or $q \neq 1$, $a = (c+d)/2 + i\pi/\sqrt{k}$. $d \neq a + n\pi / k$. or $q \neq 1$, $c = d + (2j+1)\pi/k$, $d \neq a + n\pi / k$. or $q \neq 1$, $a = (c+d)/2 + 2j\pi/V k$, $c \neq d + 2n\pi/V k$ or q=-1, $a=(c+d)/2+(2j+1)\pi/V$ k, $d\neq c+2n\pi/V$ k, or q=0, $c\neq a+i\pi/k$, or q=1, $a\neq (c+d)/2+j\pi/k$, $d\neq c+(2n+1)\pi/k$; 3) p=1, $b\neq a+(2m+1)\pi/V$ k and $q \neq -1$, $d = c + 2 j \pi / k$, $c \neq (a+b)/2 + n \pi / k$, or $q \neq 0$, $c = (a+b)/2 + j\pi/\sqrt{k}$, $d \neq (a+b)/2 + n\pi/\sqrt{k}$, or $q \neq 1$, $c + d = a + b + 2 j \pi / k$, $d \neq (a + b) / 2 + n \pi / k$, or $q \neq 1$, $c = d + (2j+1)\pi/\sqrt{k}$, $d \neq (a+b)/2 + n\pi/\sqrt{k}$, or $q \neq 1$, $(a+b)/2 = (c+d)/2 + 2j\pi/\sqrt{k}$, $d\neq c+n\pi/k$ k. or q=1, $c+d\neq a+b+(2j+1)\pi/k$, $d\neq c+2n\pi/k$, or q=0, $c \neq (a+b)/2 + j\pi/\sqrt{k}$.

- 40 -

or q=1, $c+d\neq a+b+2j\pi \sqrt{k}$, $d\neq c+(2n+1)\pi \sqrt{k}$, where $j,m,n\in\{0,\pm 1,\pm 2,\ldots\}$.

4. Denoting (see Section 2)

 $S:=\{x(t)\in B: ||x(t)|| \le D, D\in R^+\},\$

it is obvious that S is closed convex set. Thus, it is sufficient to prove that $||F(x(t))|| \le D$ with a suitable D for all $x(t) \in S$ in order to satisfy (ii) (see Section 3).

Assuming that suitable function F(t,r) exists which is piece-wise continuous in $t \in \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$, $r \ge 0$, and nondecreasing (for fixed t) with respect to r such that

(7) $|\mathbf{kx}+\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})| \leq \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{t},|\mathbf{x}|+|\mathbf{y}|)$ for $\mathbf{t} \in \langle \alpha,\beta \rangle$, $(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we can give the following

Lemma 4. Let the assumptions of Lemma 1 or Lemma 2 or Lemma 3 be satisfied. If a nonnegative constant D exists such that

(8) max $F(t,D) \leq D/(\beta-\alpha)G$ ($\alpha \neq \beta$), t $\in <\alpha, \beta >$

^

where $G:=\max_{t \in \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \{\max_{s \in \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} ||G(t,s)|+ \left| \frac{\partial G(t,s)}{\partial t} \right| \} (>0),$

G(t,s) is Green's function related to (3)-(4), then

 $||F(x(t))|| \leq D$ for all $x(t) \in S$.

Proof. Let x(t) be a continuously differentiable function from S. Applying (7), (8), we obtain that

$$\begin{split} \|F(\mathbf{x}(t))\| &= \|\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} G(t,s) [k\mathbf{x}(s) + f(s,\mathbf{x}(s),\mathbf{x}'(s))] ds\| \leq \\ &\leq \max_{t \in \langle \alpha,\beta \rangle} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left\{ |G(t,s)[k\mathbf{x}(s) + f(s,\mathbf{x}(s),\mathbf{x}'(s))]| + \\ &+ \left| \frac{\partial G(t,s)}{\partial t} [k\mathbf{x}(s) + f(s,\mathbf{x}(s),\mathbf{x}'(s))] \right| \right\} ds \leq \max_{t \in \langle \alpha,\beta \rangle} F(t,D)(\beta - \alpha) G \leq D. \end{split}$$
This completes the proof.

Remark 1. The same can be proved, when applying directly Bihari's theorem in [2].

- 41 -

Remark 2. Conditions (7), (8) are evidently fulfilled, provided the existence of nonnegative constants M_0 , M such that $|\mathbf{kx}+\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})| \leq M_0 + \mathbf{M}(|\mathbf{x}|+|\mathbf{y}|)$ for $\mathbf{t} \in \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$, $(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

where $M < (\beta - \alpha)^{-1} G^{-1}$.

Remark 3. One can already easily deduce that under the assumptions of Lemma 1 or Lemma 2 or Lemma 3 problem (1)-(4) admits a solution, provided

(9) $\lim_{\|(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\| \to \infty} \frac{\|\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\|}{\|(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\|} = 0 \quad \text{uniformly to } \mathbf{t} \in \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ with the appropriate norm $\| \cdot \|$.

5. It can be readily checked that $\mathbf{x}_{o}(t)$ satisfies equation

$$x'' = f(t, x-P(t), x'-P'(t))$$

with conditions (4) iff $\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{x}_0(t) + P(t)$, where P(t) is a suitable polynomial, is a solution of (1)-(2). Therefore, (1)-(2) is certainly solvable under the restrictions of Lemma 4, but (7), which reads here

(10) $|\mathbf{k}[\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{P}(t)]+f(t,\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{P}(t),\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{P}'(t))| \le F(t,|\mathbf{x}|+|\mathbf{y}|),$

where $t \in \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$, $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and P(t) is such that $P(a) + pP(b) = \mathbf{A}$, $P(c) + qP(d) = \mathbf{B}$.

It is evident that (10) is satisfied, when

(10)
$$|\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}+\varepsilon_1)+\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x}+\varepsilon_1,\mathbf{y}+\varepsilon_2)| \leq \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{t},|\mathbf{x}|+|\mathbf{y}|)$$

holds for all te(α, β), (x,y)eR², ε_1 e(-P,P) and ε_2 e(-P',P'), where

 $P:=\max_{t \in \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} |P(t)|, \quad P':=\max_{t \in \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} |P'(t)|.$

According to monotonicity of F(t,r) in r, it is, furthermore, obvious that (11) can be still replaced by

(12)
$$|\mathbf{kx}+\mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})| \leq \mathbf{F}(t, |\mathbf{x}|+|\mathbf{y}|-\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{P}')$$

where $t \in \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$, $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

- 42 -

Remark 4. For the function [kx+f(t,x,y)] bounded in a linear way (see Remark 2), and all the better in a sublinear way (see Remark 3), the same conclusion can be certainly done (i. e. without any modification of the growth restrictions) with respect to (1)-(2).

Therefore, we can give the main result.

Theorem. Let the assumptions of Lemma 1 or Lemma 2 or Lemma 3 be satisfied. If condition (9) is still fulfilled, then problem (1)-(2) admits a solution.

Remark 5. Knowing the explicid form of Green's function to (3)-(4), we can qualitatively improve the above assertion by means of (9) replaced by (12) [cf.(8)].

Remark 6. Another improvement consists of the application of the a priori estimates technique.

REFERENCES

- J. Andres: A four-point boundary value problem for the second-order ordinary differential equations, Arch. Math. (Basel) 53 (1989), 384-389.
- [2] I. Bihari: Notes on a nonlinear integral equation, Stud. Sci. Math. Hung. 2 (1967), 1-6.
- [3] L. Collatz: Funkcionální analýza a numerická matematika, SNTL, Praha 1970.
- [4] A. G. Lomtatidze: On a singular three-point boundary value problem, Trudy IPM Tbilisi 17 (1986), 122-134 (Russian).
- [5] M. A. Neumark: Lineare Differentialoperatoren, VEB DVW, Berlin. 1960.

- [6] I. Rachunková: A four-point problem for ordinary differential equations of the second order, Arch. Math. (Brno) 25, 4 (1989), 175-184.
- [7] I. Rachúnková: Existence and uniqueness of solutions of four-point boundary value problems for 2nd order differential equations, Czech. Math. J. 39 (1989), 692-700.
- [8] G. F. Roach: Green's functions. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1982.

Author's address: Department of Math. Analysis Palacký University Vídeňská 15, 771 46 Olomouc Czechoslovakia

Acta UPO, Fac.rer.nat. 105, Mathematica XXXI (1992)

- 44 -