

Václav Peterka

A square root filter for real time multivariate regression

Kybernetika, Vol. 11 (1975), No. 1, (53)--67

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/124229>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Information Theory and Automation AS CR, 1975

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these

Terms of use.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*
<http://project.dml.cz>

A Square Root Filter for Real Time Multivariate Regression

VÁCLAV PETERKA

An algorithm is developed which makes it possible to perform multivariate regression in real time. The algorithm belongs into the class of square root filters and exhibits outstanding numerical characteristics, particularly in ill-conditioned problems. Because of these properties the algorithm is well suited for implementation in process computers and special digital devices operating with reduced word length.

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of multivariate regression is well elaborated and may be found in standard textbooks. See e.g. [1]. The purpose of this paper is to follow the algorithmic and numerical point of view. The paper is organized in the following manner.

The multivariate regression model is defined in section 2 where also some known facts are recalled which make the theoretical basis for the rest of the paper. In the theory of regression the parameters of the regression model are assumed to be constants. However, in many practical applications this assumption is fulfilled only approximately. Such situations are often handled by exponential forgetting of old data without increasing the complexity of calculation. This technique is briefly described in section 3 to make the paper self-contained. In section 4 the recursion relations for the estimates of the parameter matrices are derived which are a slight generalization of the recursion formulae commonly used in univariate regression. They are needed in section 5 where the corresponding square root filter is developed and where the main result of this paper may be found. The algorithm derived in section 5 is closely related to square root filters which were developed to improve the numerical characteristics of the Kalman filters. A survey of these techniques is given in [9]. As the problem of regression is somewhat simpler it was possible to bring the final algorithm into a more compact and elegant form compared to filters reported in [9].

The classical problem of multivariate regression which is considered throughout this paper can be stated as follows. It is assumed that the relation between the vector valued variable $y_{(t)}$ of dimension v and the vector-valued variable $z_{(t)}$ of dimension q can be described by the regression model

$$(2.1) \quad y_{(t)} = P^T z_{(t)} + e_{(t)}$$

where P is $q \times v$ - matrix of regression coefficients and $e_{(t)}$ is the stochastic term. The index t may be interpreted as discrete time.

It is assumed that the data $y_{(t)}$, $z_{(t)}$ are given for $t = 1, 2, \dots, t$ and the problem is to find some estimate $\hat{P}_{(t)}$ of the unknown matrix P . If it can be assumed that $\{e_{(t)}; t = 1, 2, \dots, t\}$ is a sequence of mutually uncorrelated normal random vectors with zero mean and covariance matrix R

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{E} e_{(t)} = 0,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{E} e_{(t)} e_{(t)}^T = R,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{E} e_{(t)} e_{(t-i)}^T = 0, \quad i \neq 0,$$

the maximum likelihood estimation method can be applied and the result is [1]

$$(2.5) \quad \hat{P}_{(t)} = [Z_{(t)}^T Z_{(t)}]^{-1} Z_{(t)}^T Y_{(t)}$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad Z_{(t)} = \begin{bmatrix} z_{(1)}^T \\ z_{(2)}^T \\ \vdots \\ z_{(t)}^T \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y_{(t)} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{(1)}^T \\ y_{(2)}^T \\ \vdots \\ y_{(t)}^T \end{bmatrix}.$$

If also the estimate of the covariance matrix R is required the maximum likelihood method gives

$$(2.7) \quad \hat{R}_{(t)} = \frac{1}{t} [Y_{(t)}^T Y_{(t)} - \hat{P}_{(t)}^T Z_{(t)}^T Z_{(t)} \hat{P}_{(t)}].$$

If the random vectors $e_{(t)}$, $t = 1, 2, \dots, t$ are independent of the vectors $z_{(t)}$ for all t the estimate $\hat{P}_{(t)}$ is unbiased, i.e.

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{E}[\hat{P}_{(t)} - P] = 0$$

and the four-dimensional covariance tensor of the estimate is [1]

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{E}[\hat{P}_{(t)} - P]_{ir} [\hat{P}_{(t)} - P]_{js} = R_{rs} C_{(t)ij}$$

where

$$(2.10) \quad C_{(t)} = [Z_{(t)}^T Z_{(t)}]^{-1}.$$

Under rather general assumptions the formulae (8) and (9) hold asymptotically also in the case of autoregression when the vector $z_{(t)}$ contains delayed vectors $y_{(t-i)}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. This was shown by Durbin [2] who considered the univariate case ($v = 1$) but his results can be well generalized also for multivariate case.

The regression model (1) may have various special forms according to the structure of the vector $z_{(t)}$. Of particular interest for control purposes is the linear regression model of a multivariate stochastic dynamic system

$$(2.11) \quad y_{(t)} + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i y_{(t-i)} = \sum_{i=0}^n B_i u_{(t-i)} + e_{(t)}$$

where $y_{(t)}$ is the v -vector of outputs and $u_{(t)}$ is the μ -vector of inputs. The corresponding vector $z_{(t)}$ in (2.1) depends on the way the matrix coefficients A_i and B_i are arranged in P . One possible arrangement is

$$(2.12) \quad P^T = [B_0, -A_1, B_1, -A_2, \dots, -A_n, B_n],$$

$$(2.13) \quad z_{(t)}^T = [u_{(t)}^T, y_{(t-1)}^T, u_{(t-1)}^T, y_{(t-2)}^T, \dots, y_{(t-n)}^T, u_{(t-n)}^T].$$

However, in general the vector $z_{(t)}$ may be any — possibly nonlinear but known — vector valued function of $u_{(t)}$, $y_{(t-1)}$, \dots , $u_{(t-n)}$.

The classical formula (2.5) may be interpreted by different ways. For instance, it is an easy exercise to prove that (2.5) is an estimate minimising the criterion

$$J = \sum_{\tau=1}^t e_{(\tau)}^T W e_{(\tau)}$$

for any positive definite matrix W and without any assumptions concerning the statistical properties of random vectors $e_{(\tau)}$.

On the other hand also the bayesian probabilistic interpretation may be given to the formulae listed above. In the theory of control of systems with unknown parameters the evolution of the conditional probability density function

$$(2.14) \quad p(P | z_{(t)}, z_{(t-1)}, \dots, z_{(1)})$$

plays a fundamental role. The right hand sides of the formulae (2.5) and (2.10) determine the mean value and the covariances of the distribution (2.14). This was

56 shown for univariate case by Åström and Wittenmark [3]. Under mild assumptions concerning the a priori distribution $p(P)$ this holds also in the multivariate case. The details will be reported elsewhere. This facts are briefly mentioned here only to outline the scope of possible applications of the algorithms developed in next sections.

3. EXPONENTIAL FORGETTING

To make the final algorithm more flexible without increasing its complexity the exponential forgetting will be introduced in this section.

The theory of linear regression briefly mentioned in the previous section assumes that the parameter matrix P and the covariance matrix R are unknown constants. The model (2.1) is well suited for many practical situations, however, only seldom it can be guaranteed that the parameters are precisely time-invariant even for a very long time period. If the statistical law for the possible variations of the parameter matrix P were a priori known the Kalman filtering could be applied. Unfortunately this a priori information is often not available and usually all what can be a priori assumed is that the parameters vary relatively slowly. Such a situation can be heuristically handled by the technique which is sometimes referred to as "exponential forgetting" or "overweighting of last data" [4]. The principle idea is very simple and can be explained as follows.

Let t be the current time while τ denotes the past time instant in which the data $y_{(\tau)}$ and $z_{(\tau)}$ were obtained. The estimates are calculated from a set of equations (2.1) for $\tau = t, t - 1, t - 2, \dots$. If the parameters may vary in time a very old equation in this set is less reliable than the most recent one. This fact can be respected by the assumption that the variances of the stochastic term $e_{(\tau)}$ in the old equations (2.1) are larger then those in the last one. Specifically

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{E}e_{(\tau)}e_{(\tau)}^T = \varphi^{-2(t-\tau)}R$$

where φ is a scalar factor, $\varphi \leq 1$. By this way the stochastic equation (2.1) is modified into the form

$$(3.2) \quad y_{(t)} = P^T z_{(t)} + \varphi^{-(t-\tau)}v_{(t)}$$

or equivalently

$$(3.3) \quad \varphi^{(t-\tau)}y_{(t)} = P^T[\varphi^{(t-\tau)}z_{(t)}] + v_{(t)}$$

where the random vector $v_{(t)}$ has a constant covariance matrix

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{E}v_{(t)}v_{(t)}^T = R.$$

Notice that the only difference between the original regression model (2.1) and the stochastic equation (3.3) is in the factor $\varphi^{(t-\tau)} \leq 1$ by which the old data are weighted.

The scalar $\varphi \leq 1$ is sometimes called the factor of exponential forgetting and its value has to be chosen intuitively. A reasonable choice usually lies in the interval $0.9 < \varphi \leq 1$.

The introduction of exponential forgetting requires only a slight modification of the formulae listed in section 2. The matrices $Z_{(t)}$ and $Y_{(t)}$ have to be redefined in the following way

$$(3.5) \quad Z_{(t)} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi^{(t-1)} & z_{(1)}^T \\ \varphi^{(t-2)} & z_{(2)}^T \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \varphi & z_{(1)}^T \\ & z_{(t)}^T \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y_{(t)} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi^{(t-1)} & y_{(1)}^T \\ \varphi^{(t-2)} & y_{(2)}^T \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \varphi & y_{(t-1)}^T \\ & y_{(t)}^T \end{bmatrix}$$

and the formula for the estimate $\hat{R}_{(t)}$ gets the form

$$(3.6) \quad \hat{R}_{(t)} = \frac{1}{\varkappa_{(t)}} [Y_{(t)}^T Y_{(t)} - \hat{P}_{(t)}^T Z_{(t)}^T Z_{(t)} \hat{P}_{(t)}]$$

where

$$(3.7) \quad \varkappa_{(t)} = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \varphi^{2i} = 1 + \varphi^2 \varkappa_{(t-1)}.$$

Notice that the choice $\varphi = 1$ corresponds to the regular regression (no forgetting).

4. RECURSIVE RELATIONS FOR THE ESTIMATES

In this section the recursive relations for the real-time updating of the estimates $\hat{P}_{(t)}$, $\hat{R}_{(t)}$ and the matrix $C_{(t)}$ will be derived. This recursive relations are a generalization of similar relations which are well known for the univariate case. See e.g. [5; 6; 7]. They will serve us as the basis for the development of the corresponding square root filter in section 5.

We will now consider the situation when the estimates $\hat{P}_{(t)}$, $\hat{R}_{(t)}$ and the matrix $C_{(t)}$ are known and new data $y_{(t+1)}$ and $z_{(t+1)}$ are obtained. The problem is how to calculate most effectively the new estimates $\hat{P}_{(t+1)}$, $\hat{R}_{(t+1)}$ and $C_{(t+1)}$. In other words, the last estimates have to be updated to incorporate the new data.

From the definition of the matrices Z and Y (3.5) it follows

$$(4.1) \quad Z_{(t+1)}^T Z_{(t+1)} = \varphi^2 Z_{(t)}^T Z_{(t)} + z_{(t+1)} z_{(t+1)}^T,$$

$$(4.2) \quad Z_{(t+1)}^T Y_{(t+1)} = \varphi^2 Z_{(t)}^T Y_{(t)} + z_{(t+1)} y_{(t+1)}^T,$$

$$(4.3) \quad Y_{(t+1)}^T Y_{(t+1)} = \varphi^2 Y_{(t)}^T Y_{(t)} + y_{(t+1)} y_{(t+1)}^T.$$

58 Using the definition of the matrix C (2.10) the following relation is obtained from (4.1)

$$(4.4) \quad C_{(t+1)}^{-1} = \varphi^2 C_{(t)}^{-1} + z_{(t+1)} z_{(t+1)}^T.$$

Assuming that the inverse of the matrix $C_{(t)}^{-1} = Z_{(t)}^T Z_{(t)}$ exists the well known matrix identity [8]

$$(4.5) \quad [A + BDB^T]^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}B[D^{-1} + B^T A^{-1}B]^{-1} B^T A^{-1}$$

may be applied to (4.4)

$$(4.6) \quad C_{(t+1)} = \frac{1}{\varphi^2} [C_{(t)} - C_{(t)} z_{(t+1)} (\varphi^2 + z_{(t+1)}^T C_{(t)} z_{(t+1)})^{-1} z_{(t+1)}^T C_{(t)}].$$

Notice that the expression which is to be inverted in (4.5) is a single positive number. Thus we have the following recursion formula for the updating of the matrix $C_{(t)}$

$$(4.7) \quad C_{(t+1)} = \frac{1}{\varphi^2} \left[C_{(t)} - \frac{1}{\sigma_{(t+1)}^2} C_{(t)} z_{(t+1)} z_{(t+1)}^T C_{(t)} \right]$$

where

$$(4.8) \quad \sigma_{(t+1)}^2 = \varphi^2 + z_{(t+1)}^T C_{(t)} z_{(t+1)}.$$

From the recursive equation (4.7) the following relation can be derived

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} C_{(t+1)} z_{(t+1)} &= \frac{1}{\varphi^2} \left[C_{(t)} z_{(t+1)} - \frac{1}{\sigma_{(t+1)}^2} C_{(t)} z_{(t+1)} (\sigma_{(t+1)}^2 - \varphi^2) \right] = \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi^2} \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_{(t+1)}^2 - \varphi^2}{\sigma_{(t+1)}^2} \right) C_{(t)} z_{(t+1)}, \\ C_{(t+1)} z_{(t+1)} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_{(t+1)}^2} C_{(t)} z_{(t+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This useful relation will be exploited for the derivation of the updating equations for $\hat{P}_{(t)}$ and $\hat{R}_{(t)}$. Consider $\hat{P}_{(t)}$ first. From (2.5) and (2.10) follows

$$(4.10) \quad C_{(t)}^{-1} P_{(t)} = Z_{(t)}^T Y_{(t)}$$

and similarly

$$(4.11) \quad C_{(t+1)}^{-1} P_{(t+1)} = Z_{(t+1)}^T Y_{(t+1)}.$$

Applying the relation (4.2) we have

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} C_{(t+1)}^{-1} \hat{P}_{(t+1)} &= \varphi^2 Z_{(t)}^T Y_{(t)} + z_{(t+1)} y_{(t+1)}^T = \\ &= \varphi^2 C_{(t)}^{-1} \hat{P}_{(t)} + z_{(t+1)} y_{(t+1)}^T. \end{aligned}$$

The equation (4.4) gives

$$(4.13) \quad \varphi^2 C_{(t)}^{-1} = C_{(t+1)}^{-1} - z_{(t+1)} z_{(t+1)}^T.$$

The substitution of (4.13) into (4.12) and a simple rearrangement gives one of possible alternatives of the recursion formula

$$(4.14) \quad \hat{P}_{(t+1)} = \hat{P}_{(t)} + C_{(t+1)} z_{(t+1)} \hat{e}_{(t+1)}^T$$

where

$$(4.15) \quad \hat{e}_{(t+1)} = y_{(t+1)} - P_{(t)}^T z_{(t+1)}.$$

Using (4.9) the recursion (4.14) can be written also in the form

$$(4.16) \quad \hat{P}_{(t+1)} = \hat{P}_{(t)} + \frac{1}{\sigma_{(t+1)}^2} C_{(t)} z_{(t+1)} \hat{e}_{(t+1)}^T.$$

This form of the formula for updating of $\hat{P}_{(t)}$ is most commonly used in univariate regression [5; 6; 7] and in such a case is closely related to the Kalman filter [6].

Now the updating of the estimate $\hat{R}_{(t)}$ will be considered. Rewrite the formula (3.6) in the following way

$$(4.17) \quad \varkappa_{(t)} \hat{R}_{(t)} = Y_{(t)}^T Y_{(t)} - \hat{P}_{(t)}^T C_{(t)}^{-1} \hat{P}_{(t)}.$$

Similarly we have

$$(4.18) \quad \varkappa_{(t+1)} \hat{R}_{(t+1)} = Y_{(t+1)}^T Y_{(t+1)} - \hat{P}_{(t+1)}^T C_{(t+1)}^{-1} \hat{P}_{(t+1)}$$

and using the equalities (4.3) and (4.17)

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \varkappa_{(t+1)} \hat{R}_{(t+1)} &= \varphi^2 Y_{(t)}^T Y_{(t)} + y_{(t+1)} y_{(t+1)}^T - \hat{P}_{(t+1)}^T C_{(t+1)}^{-1} \hat{P}_{(t+1)} = \\ &= \varphi^2 \varkappa_{(t)} \hat{R}_{(t)} + \hat{P}_{(t)}^T C_{(t)}^{-1} \hat{P}_{(t)} + y_{(t+1)} y_{(t+1)}^T - P_{(t+1)}^T C_{(t+1)}^{-1} \hat{P}_{(t+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The substitution of (4.4) and (4.16) into (4.19) and a cumbersome but straightforward rearrangement leads to the following simple result

$$(4.20) \quad \varkappa_{(t+1)} \hat{R}_{(t+1)} = \varphi^2 \left[\varkappa_{(t)} \hat{R}_{(t)} + \frac{1}{\sigma_{(t+1)}^2} \hat{e}_{(t+1)} \hat{e}_{(t+1)}^T \right].$$

Summarizing we thus find that the estimates of the matrix P and the covariance matrix R can be computed recursively using the following equations

$$(4.21) \quad g_{(t+1)} = C_{(t)} z_{(t+1)},$$

$$(4.22) \quad \sigma_{(t+1)}^2 = \varphi^2 + z_{(t+1)}^T g_{(t+1)},$$

$$(4.23) \quad \hat{e}_{(t+1)} = y_{(t+1)} - \hat{P}_{(t)}^T z_{(t+1)},$$

$$(4.24) \quad \hat{P}_{(t+1)} = \hat{P}_{(t)} + \frac{1}{\sigma_{(t+1)}^2} g_{(t+1)} \hat{e}_{(t+1)}^T,$$

$$(4.25) \quad \kappa_{(t+1)} \hat{R}_{(t+1)} = \varphi^2 \left[\kappa_{(t)} \hat{R}_{(t)} + \frac{1}{\sigma_{(t+1)}^2} \hat{e}_{(t+1)} \hat{e}_{(t+1)}^T \right],$$

$$(4.26) \quad \kappa_{(t+1)} = 1 + \varphi^2 \kappa_{(t)},$$

$$(4.27) \quad C_{(t+1)} = \frac{1}{\varphi^2} \left[C_{(t)} - \frac{1}{\sigma_{(t+1)}^2} g_{(t+1)} g_{(t+1)}^T \right].$$

The four-dimensional covariance tensor of the estimate $\hat{P}_{(t+1)}$ gives the formula

$$(4.28) \quad \mathcal{E}[\hat{P}_{(t+1)} - P]_{ir} [\hat{P}_{(t+1)} - P]_{js} = R_{rs} C_{(t+1)ij}.$$

As the entries R_{rs} are rarely a priori known they have to be replaced by their estimates obtained from (4.25).

The starting values $P_{(0)}$ and $C_{(0)}$ may be interpreted as an a priori estimate of P the accuracy of which is characterized by $C_{(0)}$ according to (4.28). If no a priori estimate is available $C_{(0)}$ may be a diagonal matrix with sufficiently large numbers on the main diagonal. The error caused by this approximation is usually removed very fast. This is a standard trick often used also in the univariate case [6].

5. SQUARE ROOT FILTER

Numerical difficulties may be encountered when the recursion equations are applied to ill-conditioned estimation problems. Theoretically the matrix $C_{(t)}$ must be always positive semidefinite. However, due to the rounding errors caused by the finite word length of the computing device the matrix $C_{(t)}$ calculated by means of the recursion equations (4.21), (4.22) and (4.27) may lose this property. This may occur when some components of the vectors $z_{(t)}$ are linearly dependent. As an example may serve the situation when the algorithm is used as on-line identifier in a self-tuning digital regulator and the feed-back converges to a constant control law. Similar

problems were encountered in the Kalman filtering and motivated the development of the square root filtering techniques [9]. The regression is somewhat special and simpler in comparison to the Kalman filter which makes it possible to bring the square root filter to a more compact and elegant form than the filters reported in [9]. This will be shown in the sequel.

The positive definite matrix $C_{(t)}$ can be factored into the product

$$(5.1) \quad C_{(t)} = G_{(t)} G_{(t)}^T$$

where $G_{(t)}$ is an upper triangular matrix. The factorization (5.1) is equivalent to the Cholesky decomposition [8] of the inversed matrix

$$(5.2) \quad C_{(t)}^{-1} = G_{(t)}^{-T} G_{(t)}^{-1}.$$

The notation $[\cdot]^{-T} = [(\cdot)^{-1}]^T = [[(\cdot)^T]^{-1}]$ is used for convenience.

Notice that the matrix $C_{(t)}$ never can become indefinite if its square root $G_{(t)}$ is propagated instead of $C_{(t)}$ itself. This is the fundamental idea of square root filtering.

With the factorization (5.1) the recursion equation (4.4) can be rewritten in the following way

$$(5.3) \quad G_{(t+1)} G_{(t+1)}^T = [\varphi^2 G_{(t)}^{-T} G_{(t)}^{-1} + z_{(t+1)} z_{(t+1)}^T]^{-1} = \\ = \frac{1}{\varphi^2} G_{(t)} \left[I + f_{(t+1)} \frac{1}{\varphi^2} f_{(t+1)}^T \right]^{-1} G_{(t)}^T$$

where

$$(5.4) \quad f_{(t+1)} = G_{(t)}^T z_{(t+1)}.$$

The inner matrix in (5.3) may be inverted by means of the matrix identity (4.5)

$$(5.5) \quad G_{(t+1)} G_{(t+1)}^T = \frac{1}{\varphi^2} G_{(t)} \left[I - f_{(t+1)} \frac{1}{\sigma_{(t+1)}^2} f_{(t+1)}^T \right] G_{(t)}^T$$

where in agreement with (4.8)

$$(5.6) \quad \sigma_{(t+1)}^2 = \varphi^2 + f_{(t+1)}^T f_{(t+1)}.$$

The following rearrangement of the equation (5.5) is the crucial trick in the whole procedure.

$$(5.7) \quad G_{(t+1)} G_{(t+1)}^T = \frac{1}{\varphi} G_{(t)} \begin{bmatrix} I, j f_{(t+1)} \\ \sigma_{(t+1)} \end{bmatrix} U U^T \begin{bmatrix} I \\ j \frac{f_{(t+1)}^T}{\sigma_{(t+1)}} \end{bmatrix} G_{(t)}^T \frac{1}{\varphi}$$

where $j = \sqrt{-1}$ and U is any orthogonal matrix

$$(5.8) \quad U U^T = I.$$

where only nonzero entries are indicated. The only difference between the ordinary elementary matrix of rotation [10] and the matrix (5.11) is that the offdiagonal elements of (5.11) are imaginary. The condition of orthogonality (5.8) requires that

$$(5.12) \quad c_e^2 - s_e^2 = 1.$$

Consider the first product

$$(5.13) \quad \left[I, j \frac{f}{\sigma} \right] U^{(e)}$$

in the left-hand side of (5.9). Notice that by this matrix multiplication only the last two columns of the left-hand factor in (5.13) are changed. It means that in this first stage it is fully sufficient to consider the transformation

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} j \frac{f^{(e)}}{\sigma_e} \begin{bmatrix} c_e & js_e \\ -js_e & c_e \end{bmatrix}$$

where the notation

$$(5.15) \quad f^{(e)} = f = G_{(t)}^T z_{(t+1)}$$

$$(5.16) \quad \sigma_e = \sqrt{\varphi^2 + f^{(e)T} f^{(e)}} = \sqrt{\varphi^2 + f^T \Lambda}$$

was used to indicate the first stage of the procedure. The two parameters of the transformation (5.14) are bounded by the orthogonality condition (5.12). Our goal is to annul the column $j \sigma_e^{-1} f^{(e)}$. Therefore the second condition for the determination of c_e and s_e will be chosen so that the last component of this vector, namely $j \sigma_e^{-1} f_e^{(e)}$, be zeroed. This requirement is met when

$$(5.17) \quad s_e + c_e \frac{f_e^{(e)}}{\sigma_e} = 0.$$

The equations (5.17) and (5.12) for s_e and c_e give

$$(5.18) \quad c_e = \frac{\sigma_e}{\sqrt{\sigma_e^2 - (f_e^{(e)})^2}} = \frac{\sigma_e}{\sigma_{e-1}},$$

$$(5.19) \quad s_e = -\frac{f_e^{(e)}}{\sigma_e} c_e = -\frac{f_e^{(e)}}{\sigma_{e-1}}$$

where

$$(5.20) \quad \sigma_{e-1} = \sqrt{\sigma_e^2 - (f_e^{(e)})^2} = \sqrt{\varphi^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{e-1} f_k^2}.$$

64 It is convenient for further use to generalize this notation

$$(5.21) \quad \sigma_i = \sqrt{\varphi^2 + \sum_{k=1}^i f_k^2}.$$

Notice that

$$(5.22) \quad \sigma_i = \sqrt{\sigma_{i-1}^2 + f_i^2}$$

and

$$(5.23) \quad \sigma_0 = \varphi.$$

The transformation (5.14) with the parameters c_e and s_e determined by (5.18) and (5.19) gives the result

$$(5.24) \quad \begin{bmatrix} H_{1,e} & & & & \\ H_{2,e} & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & \\ H_{e-1,e} & & & & \\ H_{e,e} & & & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{l} \left. \begin{array}{l} j \\ \sigma_{e-1} \end{array} \right\} \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \\ \\ 0 \end{array} \right\} \end{array}$$

where

$$(5.25) \quad f_k^{(e-1)} = f_k^{(e)} = f_k \quad \text{for } k < e,$$

$$(5.26) \quad H_{k,e} = \frac{f_k^{(e)}}{\sigma_e} s_e = -\frac{f_k f_e}{\sigma_e \sigma_{e-1}} \quad \text{for } k < e,$$

$$(5.27) \quad H_{e,e} = c_e + \frac{f_e^{(e)}}{\sigma_e} s_e = \frac{\sigma_{e-1}}{\sigma_e}.$$

The following elementary matrix $U^{(e-1)}$ is exploited to annul the last component of the vector $j \sigma_{e-1}^{-1} f^{(e-1)}$ (with the index $e-1$) and simultaneously the next column of the upper triangular matrix H is obtained. By this way the whole matrix can be successively generated operating only with the norms (5.22). In the general i -th step of this procedure we have

$$(5.28) \quad H_{ki} = -\frac{f_k f_i}{\sigma_i \sigma_{i-1}} \quad \text{for } i < k,$$

$$(5.29) \quad H_{ii} = \frac{\sigma_{i-1}}{\sigma_i}.$$

The general formulae (5.28) and (5.29) make it possible to calculate the matrix product (5.10) directly. 65

$$(5.30) \quad G_{(t+1)ij} = \frac{1}{\varphi} \sum_{k=i}^j G_{(t)ik} H_{kj},$$

$$(5.31) \quad G_{(t+1)ij} = \frac{1}{\varphi} \frac{\sigma_{j-1}}{\sigma_j} \left(G_{(t)ij} - \frac{f_j}{\sigma_{j-1}^2} \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} G_{(t)ik} f_k \right).$$

If the vector $g^{(m)}$ is introduced defined by

$$(5.32) \quad g_i^{(m)} = \sum_{k=i}^m G_{(t)ik} f_k; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

$$(5.33) \quad g_i^{(m)} = 0; \quad i > m,$$

the recursion formula (5.31) gets the form

$$(5.34) \quad G_{(t+1)ij} = \frac{1}{\varphi} \frac{\sigma_{j-1}}{\sigma_j} \left(G_{(t)ij} - \frac{f_j g_i^{(j-1)}}{\sigma_{j-1}^2} \right).$$

Summing up it is seen that the equations (5.4), (5.22), (5.23), (5.32) and (5.34) describe the whole updating procedure. The sequence of calculation may be chosen so that the updating $G_{(t)} \rightarrow G_{(t+1)}$ is performed operating only on one triangular matrix and that only one component of each of the vectors σ_i , σ_i^2 and f_i has to be stored in the memory. This possibilities are respected in the algorithm REFIL.

ALGORITHM REFIL

$$\sigma_0 = \varphi$$

$$\sigma_0^2 = \varphi^2$$

$$j = 1, 2, \dots, \varrho$$

$$f_j = \sum_{i=1}^j G_{(t)ij} z_{(t+1)i}$$

$$a = \sigma_{j-1} / \varphi$$

$$b = f_j / \sigma_{j-1}^2$$

$$\sigma_j^2 = \sigma_{j-1}^2 + f_j^2$$

$$\sigma_j = \sqrt{\sigma_j^2}$$

$$c = a / \sigma_j$$

$$g_j = G_{(t)jj} f_j$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{(t+1)jj} &= c\bar{G}_{(t)jj} \\
 i &= 1, 2, \dots, j-1 \\
 d &= G_{(t)ij} \\
 G_{(t+1)ij} &= c(d - bg_i) \\
 g_i &= df_j + g_i
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the variables f_j , σ_j^2 and σ_j do not need to be indexed. They may be considered as scalars in the computer program. The variables a and c may have the same identifier and the time indices of the matrix G are superfluous. They are given only for better understanding of the algorithm.

Notice also that the updating algorithm $[G_{(t)}, z_{(t+1)}] \rightarrow G_{(t+1)}$ returns the vector g and the scalar σ^2 which are required for the updating of the estimates $\hat{P}_{(t)}$ and $\hat{R}_{(t)}$ according to the formulae (4.23), (4.24) and (4.25)

$$\hat{e}_{(t+1)} = y_{(t+1)} - \hat{P}_{(t)} z_{(t+1)},$$

$$\hat{P}_{(t+1)} = \hat{P}_{(t)} + \frac{g}{\sigma^2} \hat{e}_{(t+1)}^T,$$

$$\alpha_{(t+1)} \hat{R}_{(t+1)} = \left[\alpha_{(t)} \hat{R}_{(t)} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \hat{e}_{(t+1)} \hat{e}_{(t+1)}^T \right] \varphi^2.$$

The careful reader found out that the algorithm REFIL was written in such a way that it can be directly transformed into a computer program. The computational requirements (measured by the number of arithmetic operations and storage capacity required) are for both the square root and conventional implementations approximately the same.

As was pointed out in [9] the numerical conditioning of the matrix G is generally much better than that of the matrix C which is reflected in the effective double precision of the square root filter in ill-conditioned estimation problems. This may be appreciated particularly when the process computer or a special digital device (such as adaptive digital controller) operates with a reduced word length.

The necessity of calculating q square roots in each updating period is the price which is paid for the excellent numerical properties of the square root filter. The experience shows that it pays out.

(Received May 20, 1974.)

- [1] Anderson T. W.: An Introduction to Multivariate Statistical Analysis. John Wiley, N. Y. 1958.
- [2] Durbin J.: Estimation of Parameters in Time-series Regression Models. J. Royal Stat. Soc. Series B 22 (1960), 1, 134--153.
- [3] Åström K. J., Wittenmark B.: Problems of Identification and Control. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 34 (1971), 90--113.
- [4] Jazwinski A. H.: Stochastic Processes and Filtering Theory, Academic Press, New York--London 1970
- [5] Lee R. C. K.: Optimal Estimation, Identification, and Control. MIT Press, 1964
- [6] Åström K. J.: Lectures on the Identification Problem -- The Least Square Method, Report 6806, Lund Institute of Technology, Sweden, 1968.
- [7] Sage A. P., Melsa J. L.: Estimation Theory with Applications to Communications and Control. McGraw--Hill, N. Y. 1971.
- [8] Householder A. S.: The Theory of Matrices in Numerical Analysis, Blaisdell Publ. Comp., 1964.
- [9] Kaminski P. G., Bryson A. E., Schmidt S. F.: Discrete Square Root Filtering: A Survey of Current Techniques, IEEE Trans. AC-16 (December 1971), 6, 727--735.
- [10] Faddeev D. K., Faddeeva V. N.: Numerical Methods of Linear Algebra (in Russian). Fizmatgiz, Moscow 1963.

Ing. Václav Peterka, CSc.; Ústav teorie informace a automatizace ČSAV (Institute of Information Theory and Automation -- Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences), Pod vodárenskou věží 4, 180 76 Praha 8 - Libeň. Czechoslovakia.