

Lassi Niemelä
On the directability of automata

Kybernetika, Vol. 25 (1989), No. 5, 419--421

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/124330>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Information Theory and Automation AS CR, 1989

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*
<http://project.dml.cz>

ON THE DIRECTABILITY OF AUTOMATA

LASSI NIEMELÄ

We present some partial results on the hypothesis due to Černý [1] and a necessary and sufficient condition for the directability of an automaton.

1. THE DIRECTABILITY OF AUTOMATA

Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma, \delta)$ be a finite automaton, where A is the finite set of states, Σ is the finite set of input signals and $\delta: A \times \Sigma \rightarrow A$ is the transition function. This function can be extended to the set $A \times \Sigma^*$, where Σ^* is the set of all words over Σ , and it defines for every $s \in \Sigma^*$ a mapping

$$s^{\mathcal{A}}: A \rightarrow A, \quad a \mapsto as^{\mathcal{A}} = \delta(a, s).$$

For every $B \subseteq A$, $|B|$ will designate the number of elements in B and $B\Sigma^*$ is the set $\{bw^{\mathcal{A}} \mid b \in B, w \in \Sigma^*\}$. An automaton $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma, \delta)$ is strongly connected if for every state $a \in A$, $a\Sigma^* = A$.

An automaton \mathcal{A} is directable if there exists a word $s \in \Sigma^*$, called a directing word, and a state $c \in A$ such that $As^{\mathcal{A}} = \{c\}$. Then $\bigcap (a\Sigma^* \mid a \in A) \neq \emptyset$. This is the smallest subautomaton of \mathcal{A} and also the unique strongly connected subautomaton of \mathcal{A} . $C(\mathcal{A})$ or $(C(A), \Sigma, \delta)$ will designate this subautomaton and we shall call $C(\mathcal{A})$, and also $C(A)$, the centre of \mathcal{A} . If there exists a word $t \in \Sigma^*$ such that $At^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq C(A)$, we shall call \mathcal{A} semidirectable and t a semidirecting word of \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{S} be the class of all semidirectable automata and \mathcal{D} the class of all directable automata.

Theorem 1.1. $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{D} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}$ and $C(\mathcal{A}) \in \mathcal{D}$.

Proof. If $As^{\mathcal{A}} = \{c\}$ for some $s \in \Sigma^*$, $c \in A$, then $c \in \bigcap (a\Sigma^* \mid a \in A) = C(A)$ and $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}$. Naturally $C(\mathcal{A}) \in \mathcal{D}$.

If $At^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq C(A)$ and $C(A)s^{\mathcal{A}} = \{c\}$ for some $t, s \in \Sigma^*$, then $Ats^{\mathcal{A}} = \{c\}$ and $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{D}$. \square

Remark 1.1. When $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{D}$ then for every state $c \in C(A)$ there exists a word $s_c \in \Sigma^*$ such that $As_c^{\mathcal{A}} = \{c\}$ and for every directing word s of \mathcal{A} , $As^{\mathcal{A}} \in C(A)$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma, \delta)$ be a semidirectable automaton with n states. Then $C(A) = \bigcap (a\Sigma^* \mid a \in A)$ and for every $a \in A$ there exists $s_a \in \Sigma^*$ such that $as_a^{\mathcal{A}} \in C(A)$. From these words s_a we construct a semidirecting word of \mathcal{A} .

If $C(A) = A$, then every word is semidirecting.

Let $C(A) \neq A$, $a \in A \setminus C(A)$ and $s_a \in \Sigma^*$ such that $as_a^{\mathcal{A}} \in C(A)$. Then

$$|As_a^{\mathcal{A}} \cap (A \setminus C(A))| < |A \setminus C(A)|.$$

If $As_a^{\mathcal{A}} \cap (A \setminus C(A)) \neq \emptyset$, we repeat this procedure until we get such words $s_a, s_b, \dots, s_w, s \in \Sigma^*$ that $s = s_a s_b \dots s_w$ and $As^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq C(A)$.

Therefore Theorem 1.1 has

Corollary 1.1. A finite automaton \mathcal{A} is directable iff it has the smallest subautomaton, the centre, which is directable.

2. THE HYPOTHESIS OF ČERNÝ

Let $l(\mathcal{A})$ be the length of the shortest directing word of $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma, \delta) \in \mathcal{D}$ and $D(n, m)$ the class

$$\{\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{D} \mid |A| = n, |C(A)| = m\}.$$

Let

$$l(n) = \max (l(\mathcal{A}) \mid \mathcal{A} \in D(n, m), 1 \leq m \leq n).$$

In [1] Černý has presented the following hypothesis.

Černý's hypothesis. $l(n) = (n - 1)^2, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In [2] Černý, Pirická and Rosenauerová have proved the hypothesis for $n \leq 5$.

By Corollary 1.1. we sharpen this hypothesis.

If $m = n$, then $l(\mathcal{A}) = l(C(\mathcal{A}))$.

Let $m < n$, s be the semidirecting word that we can get by repeating the procedure presented in the proof of Corollary 1.1. by choosing every state c and every word s_c such that the word s_c is so short than possible, and $lg(s)$ be the length of the word s .

Since $|A \setminus C(A)| = n - m$, we find that $lg(s) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-m} i$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\mathcal{A} \in D(n, m), m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$l(\mathcal{A}) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-m} i + l(C(\mathcal{A})).$$

The sum $\sum_{i=0}^{n-m} i$ is better upper bound than $(n - m)^2$ that one can get from the conjecture presented by Pin [5].

Corollary 2.1. If an automaton $\mathcal{A} \in D(n, m), m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, fulfils the condition

$$l(C(\mathcal{A})) \leq (m - 1)^2,$$

then

$$l(\mathcal{A}) \leq (n-1)^2.$$

Especially

$$l(\mathcal{A}) < (n-1)^2 \quad \text{for all } n > 2, \quad n \neq m.$$

Proof. When $m \neq n$, $n > 2$, then $l(\mathcal{A}) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-m} i + (m-1)^2 < (n-m)(n-1) + (m-1)(n-1) = (n-1)^2$. \square

Now also the first claim is obvious.

Since Černý's hypothesis was proved in [2] for automata $\mathcal{A} \in D(n, m)$, $n \leq 5$, we get

Corollary 2.2. For all automata $\mathcal{A} \in D(n, m)$, $m \leq 5$,

$$l(\mathcal{A}) \leq (n-1)^2.$$

Remark 2.1. If Černý's hypothesis is valid for strongly connected directable automata, then the upper bound $(n-1)^2$ presented by Černý can be sharpened for all directable automata with centre $C(\mathcal{A}) \neq A$, where $|A| > 2$.

(Received May 11, 1988.)

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Černý: Poznámka k homogénnym experimentom s konečnými automatmi. *Mat. fyz. čas. SAV* 14 (1964), 208–215.
- [2] J. Černý, A. Pirická and B. Rosenauerová: On directable automata. *Kybernetika* 7 (1971), 289–297.
- [3] J. E. Pin: Le problème de la synchronisation, Contribution à l'étude de la conjecture de Černý. Thèse, 3e cycle, Paris 1978.
- [4] J. E. Pin: Le problème de la synchronisation et la conjecture de Černý. In: *Non Commutative Structures in Algebra and Geometric Combinatorics* (A. De Luca, ed.), CNR (1978), pp. 46–58.
- [5] J. E. Pin: On two combinatorial problems arising from automata theory. *Ann. Discrete Math.* 17 (1983), 535–548.
- [6] J. E. Pin: Sur les mots synchronisants dans un automate fini, *Elektron. Informationsverarb. Kybernet.* 14 (1978), 283–289.
- [7] J. E. Pin: Sur un cas particulier de la conjecture de Černý. In: *Automata, Languages and Programming – Proceedings 5th International Conference* (G. Ausiello, C. Böhm, eds.), (Lecture Notes in Computer Science 62), Springer-Verlag, Berlin—Heidelberg—New York 1978, pp. 345–352.
- [8] P. H. Starke: Eine Bemerkung über homogene Experimente. *Elektron. Informationsverarb. Kybernet.* 2 (1966), 257–259.

Lassi M. Niemelä, Department of Mathematics, University of Turku, Turku, Finland.