

Jozef Komorník

Optimal control of stabilizable time-varying linear systems with time delay

*Kybernetika*, Vol. 16 (1980), No. 2, (183)--197

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/125596>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Information Theory and Automation AS CR, 1980

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these

*Terms of use.*



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*  
<http://project.dml.cz>

## Optimal Control of Stabilizable Time-Varying Linear Systems with Time Delay

JOZEF KOMORNÍK

The linear-quadratic problem on the infinite time interval is considered. Optimal control is derived from the smallest nonnegative continuous bounded solution of the known system of three Riccati-type equations.

In this paper we show that the optimal control of stabilizable time-varying linear-quadratic systems with time delay on the infinite time interval is given by the formula similar to the known formula for the optimal feedback control of systems on a finite time interval. The main results are contained in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

Theorem 1 describes the asymptotic behavior (in  $T$ ) of the solution  $W^T$  of the Riccati-type system of equations in three variables (cf. [1], [2]) subject to the initial conditions  $W^T(T) = 0$ . The limit is the solution of the above system on the infinite interval. Theorem 2 contains the formulas for optimal control and minimal cost and a discussion of some properties of solutions of the mentioned Riccati-type system on infinite time interval. The functional of minimal cost corresponds to the smallest nonnegative bounded continuous solution. A sufficient condition for uniqueness of this solution is presented.

Consider the system described by the equation

$$(1) \quad \dot{x}(t) = A_0(t) \cdot x(t) + \int_{-h}^0 A_1(t, \tau) \cdot x(t + \tau) d\tau + A_2(t) \cdot x(t - h) + B(t) u(t) \quad \text{for } t \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle$$

with the initial condition

$$x(t_0 + \tau) = \varphi(\tau); \quad \tau \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle$$

where

$x(t)$  is the  $n$ -dimensional state vector

$u(t)$  is the  $p$ -dimensional control function

$A_0(t), A_1(t, \tau), A_2(t), B(t)$  are matrix coefficients of appropriate types which are bounded and continuous on their domains.

Let  $Q_1(t)$  and  $Q_2(t)$  are bounded continuous matrix functions with nonnegative definite and positive definite values, respectively. Our aim is to minimize the loss function

$$(2) \quad C_{t_0}^{\infty}(u, \varphi) = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} c(t, x(t), u(t)) dt$$

where

$$(2a) \quad c(t, x(t), u(t)) = x'(t) \cdot Q_1(t) \cdot x(t) + u'(t) \cdot Q_2(t) \cdot u(t).$$

It is well known (see [1], [2]) that for any  $T > s \geq t_0$  the optimal control of the system (1) with respect to the cost function

$$(2b) \quad C_s^T(u, x) = \int_s^T c(t, x(t), u(t)) dt, \quad x(s + \tau) = \varphi(\tau) \quad \text{for } \tau \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle$$

can be written in the form

$$(3) \quad u^T(t) = -Q_2^{-1}(t) \cdot B^T(t) \cdot [W_0^T(t) \cdot x^T(t) + \int_{-h}^0 W_1^T(t, \tau) \cdot x^T(t + \tau) d\tau]$$

and the corresponding minimal cost can be written in the form

$$(4) \quad C_s^T(u^T, \varphi) = \varphi'(0) \cdot W_0^T(s) \cdot \varphi(0) + 2\varphi'(0) \cdot \int_{-h}^0 W_1^T(s, \tau) \varphi(\tau) d\tau + \\ + \int_{-h}^0 \int_{-h}^0 \varphi'(\tau) \cdot W_2^T(s, \tau, \varrho) \varphi(\varrho) d\varrho d\tau = W^T(s) (\varphi)$$

where the triple  $W_0^T(t), W_1^T(t, \tau), W_2^T(t, \tau, \varrho)$  of bounded continuous matrix functions of type  $n \times n$  defined for  $t \in \langle t_0, T \rangle; \tau, \varrho \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle$  is the unique solution of the Riccati-type system of equations:

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{dW_0(t)}{dt} + A_0'(t) \cdot W_0(t) + W_0(t) \cdot A_0(t) + W_1(t, 0) + W_1'(t, 0) + \\ + Q_1(t) - W_0(t) \cdot B_1(t) \cdot W_0(t) = 0$$

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{dW_1(t, s-t)}{dt} + A_0'(t) \cdot W_1(t, s-t) + W_0(t) \cdot A_1(t, s-t) + \\ + W_2(t, 0, s-t) - W_0(t) B_1(t) \cdot W_1(t, s-t) = 0$$

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{dW_2(t, s-t, r-t)}{dt} + A_1'(t, s-t) \cdot W_1(t, r-t) + \\ + W_1'(t, s-t) \cdot A_1(t, r-t) - W_1'(t, s-t) \cdot B_1(t) \cdot W_1(t, r-t) = 0$$

where  $s, r \in \langle t-h; t \rangle$ ;  $B_1 = B'Q_2^{-1} \cdot B$

$$(5.4) \quad W_1(t, -h) = W_0(t) \cdot A_2(t)$$

$$(5.5) \quad W_2(t, -h, \tau) = A_2'(t) \cdot W_1(t, \tau)$$

$$(5.6) \quad W_2(t, \tau, \varrho) = W_2'(t, \varrho, \tau)$$

with the initial conditions

$$(6) \quad W_0^T(\tau) = W_1^T(T, \tau) = W_2^T(T, \tau, \varrho) = 0.$$

We show that all the functions  $W_0^T(t)$ ,  $W_1^T(t, \tau)$  and  $W_2^T(t, \tau, \varrho)$  converge (under the condition of stabilizability of the system (1)) in  $T$  to a triple of continuous functions  $W_0(t)$ ,  $W_1(t, \tau)$  and  $W_2(t, \tau, \varrho)$  which is a solution of system (5) on  $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$ . Moreover, the optimal control and minimal cost are given by (3) and (4) (with  $T$  omitted).

First we introduce some formalism. For any matrix  $A$  of type  $m \times n$  we consider the Euclidean norm in  $R^{m \cdot n}$ .

**Definition 1.** For any Lebesgue measurable subset  $\mathbf{M}$  of the interval  $\langle -h, 0 \rangle$  we put

$$m(\mathbf{M}) = \lambda(\mathbf{M}) + \text{card}(\mathbf{M} \cap \{-h, 0\})$$

and

$$m_0(\mathbf{M}) = \lambda(\mathbf{M}) + \text{card}(\mathbf{M} \cap \{0\})$$

where  $\lambda$  is a standard Lebesgue measure on  $\langle -h, 0 \rangle$ .

**Definition 2.** a) We denote by  $L_1^n(m_0)$  the system of all finite  $n$ -dimensional measurable functions on  $\langle -h, 0 \rangle$  satisfying the condition

$$\|\varphi\|_1 = \|\varphi(0)\| + \int_{-h}^0 \|\varphi(\tau)\| d\tau = \int \|\varphi(\tau)\| dm_0(\tau) < \infty.$$

b) Let  $\mathcal{QF}(m_0)$  be the system of all matrix functions of type  $n \times n$  defined on the product set  $\langle -h, 0 \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle$  and having the following properties:

i) 
$$W(\tau, \varrho) = W'(\varrho, \tau) \quad \text{for } \tau, \varrho \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle.$$

ii) If we put

(7a) 
$$W_0 = W(0, 0), \quad W_1(\tau) = W(0, \tau), \quad W_2(\tau, \varrho) = W(\tau, \varrho) \quad \text{for } \tau, \varrho \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle$$

186 then the functions  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are continuous and continuously prolongable on the sets  $\langle -h, 0 \rangle$  and  $\langle -h, 0 \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle$ , respectively. Hence we can put

$$(7b) \quad W_1(0) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} W_1(\tau), \quad W_2(0, \varrho) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} W_2(\tau, \varrho).$$

**Definition 3.** a) We say that the function

$$W: \langle t_0, t_1 \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{QF}(m_0)$$

is continuous if all the functions

$$W_0(t), \quad W_1(t, \tau), \quad W_2(t, \tau, \varrho)$$

are continuous on their domains.

b) For  $W \in \mathcal{QF}(m_0)$  and  $\varphi \in L_1^n(m_0)$  we define

$$W(\varphi) = \iint \varphi'(\tau) \cdot W(\tau, \varrho) \cdot \varphi(\varrho) \, dm_0(\varrho) \, dm_0(\tau)$$

c) We introduce a partial order on  $\mathcal{QF}(m_0)$  by

$$W \leq V \Leftrightarrow \forall \varphi \in L_1^n(m_0) : W(\varphi) \leq V(\varphi)$$

$W \in \mathcal{QF}(m_0)$  is said nonnegative if  $0 \leq W$ .

Now we return to study the system (1) more closely. We can rewrite it in the form

$$(1a) \quad \dot{x}(t) = \int A(t, \tau) \cdot x_t(\tau) \, dm(\tau) + B(t) u(t)$$

where

$$(8a) \quad A(t, \tau) = \begin{cases} A_0(t) & \text{for } \tau = 0 \\ A_1(t, \tau) & \text{for } \tau \in (-h, 0) \\ A_2(t) & \text{for } \tau = -h \end{cases}$$

and

$$(8b) \quad x_t(\tau) = x(t + \tau).$$

**Lemma 1.** (cf. [1], [4]). Consider the equation

$$(9) \quad \dot{x}(t) = \int A(t, \tau) \cdot x_t(\tau) \, dm(\tau)$$

with the initial condition  $x_s = \varphi \in L_1^n(m_0)$

Let  $X(t, s)$  be the matrix solution of the equation

$$(9a) \quad \frac{\partial X(t, s)}{\partial t} = \int_{-h}^0 A(t, \tau) X(t + \tau, s) dm(s)$$

subject to the initial condition  $X(t, t) = I$ ;  $X(t, s) = 0$  for  $t < s$ .

The solution  $x(t)$  of (9) can be written in the form:

$$(9b) \quad x(t) = \int Y(t, s, \tau) \varphi(\tau) dm_0(\tau)$$

where

$$(9c) \quad Y(t, s, \tau) = \begin{cases} X(t, s) & \text{for } \tau = 0 \\ X(t, s + \tau + h) \cdot A_2(s + \tau + h) + \\ + \int_0^{\tau+h} X(t, s + \varrho) A_1(s + \varrho, \tau - \varrho) d\varrho & \text{for } \tau \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle. \end{cases}$$

The following quite simple result will be very useful.

**Proposition 1.** Consider the solution  $x(t)$  of (9) with the initial condition  $x_s = \varphi \in L^1(m_0)$ . There exists a real function  $K(a, d)$  nondecreasing in both the real variables  $a$  and  $d$  such that for  $t - s \leq d$  and

$$\sup \{ \|A(r, \tau)\| : r \in \langle s, t \rangle, \tau \in \langle s-h, 0 \rangle \} \leq a$$

the inequality

$$(10) \quad \|x(t)\| \leq K(a, d) \cdot \|\varphi\|_1$$

holds.

*Proof.* Let the matrix function  $N$  be defined by

$$N(t, s) = -A_0(t) \cdot \theta(t - s) - \int_{t-h}^t A_1(t, \tau) \cdot \theta(\tau - s) d\tau - A_2(t) \cdot \theta(t - h - s)$$

where  $\theta$  is the step function

$$\theta(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

The function  $X(t, s)$  is the solution of the integral equation (cf. [4])

$$X(t, s) + \int_s^t X(t, \tau) \cdot N(\tau, s) d\tau = I.$$

We have  $\|I\| = \sqrt{n}$ . Using the inequality

$$\|N(\tau, s)\| \leq (h + 2) \cdot a = a_1$$

and the Gronwal's lemma we get

$$(10a) \quad \|X(t, s)\| \leq n^{1/2} \cdot e^{a_1(t-s)} \leq n^{1/2} \cdot e^{a_1 d} = K_0(a, d).$$

Substituting into (9c) and (9b) we get

$$(10b) \quad \|Y(t, s, \tau)\| \leq \max(1, a_1) \cdot \max\{\|X(t, \tau)\| : \tau \in \langle s, t \rangle\} \leq \\ \leq \max(1, a_1) \cdot K_0(a, d) = K(a, d)$$

hence

$$\|x(t)\| \leq K(a, d) \cdot \|\varphi\|_1.$$

Further we concern with stable or stabilizable systems.

**Definition 4.** a) We say that the system (9) is stable if there exists a constant  $K_0$  such that for any  $s \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle$  the inequality

$$(11) \quad \int_s^\infty \|X(t, s)\|^2 dt = K_0$$

holds.

b) We say that the system (1) is stabilizable if there exists a pair of continuous bounded functions  $L_0(t), L_1(t, \tau)$ ; for  $t \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle \tau \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle$  such that the system

$$(1b) \quad \dot{x}(t) = \int A(t, \tau) x_i(\tau) dm(\tau) + B(t) \int L(t, \tau) x_i(\tau) dm_0(\tau)$$

is stable.

The feedback control

$$(12) \quad u(t) = \int L(t, \tau) x_i(\tau) dm(\tau)$$

where

$$L(t, \tau) = \begin{cases} L_0(t) & \text{for } \tau = 0 \\ L_1(t, \tau) & \text{for } \tau \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle \end{cases}$$

is called stabilizing.

**Proposition 2.** Suppose that the function  $A(t, \tau)$  is bounded on  $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle$ . The system (9) is stable if and only if there exists a constant  $K_1$  such that for any  $s \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle$  and any solution  $x(t)$  with the initial condition  $x_s = \varphi \in L_1^1(m_0)$  the inequality

$$(13) \quad \int_s^\infty \|x(t)\|^2 dt \leq K_1 \|\varphi\|_1^2$$

holds.

**Proof.** Put

$$(8c) \quad a = \sup \{ \|A(t, \tau)\| : t \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle; \tau \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle \}$$

$$(8d) \quad a_1 = (h + 2) a.$$

From (10) we get

$$\int_s^{s+h} \|x(t)\|^2 dt \leq h \cdot K^2(a, h) \cdot \|\varphi\|_1^2 = K'_1 \cdot \|\varphi\|_1^2.$$

For  $t \in \langle s + h, \infty \rangle$  we get from (9b) and (9c)

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) = & X(t, s + h) \cdot x(s + h) + \int_s^{s+h} [X(t, \tau + h) \cdot A_2(\tau + h) + \\ & + \int_{s+h}^{\tau+h} X(t, \varrho) \cdot A_1(\varrho, \tau - \varrho) d\varrho] \cdot x(\tau) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| \leq & \|\varphi\|_1 \cdot K(a, h) \cdot \|X(t, s + h)\| + \int_{s+h}^{s+2h} \|X(t, \varrho)\| \cdot [\|A_2(\varrho)\| + \\ & + \int_{\varrho-h}^{s+h} \|A_1(\varrho, \tau - \varrho)\| d\tau] d\varrho \leq \|\varphi\|_1 \cdot K(a, h) \cdot \\ & \cdot [\|X(t, s + h)\| + a_1 \int_{s+h}^{s+2h} \|X(t, \varrho)\| d\varrho]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{s+h}^\infty \|x(t)\|^2 dt \leq & \|\varphi\|_1^2 \cdot K^2(a, h) \cdot \int_{s+h}^\infty [2\|X(t; s + h)\|^2 + 2a_1^2 h \cdot \\ & \cdot \int_{s+h}^{s+2h} \|X(t, \varrho)\|^2 d\varrho] dt \leq 2K^2(a, h) (1 + a_1^2 h^2) \cdot K_0 \cdot \|\varphi\|_1^2 = K''_1 \cdot \|\varphi\|_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (13) is fulfilled for

$$K_1 = K'_1 + K''_1.$$

**Proposition 3.** Let the system (9) be stable and let the function  $A(t, \tau)$  be bounded on  $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle$ . Then for any solution  $x(t)$  of (9) we have

190 (13a)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0.$$

Proof. Let  $x(t)$  be a solution of (9) with the initial condition  $x_s = \varphi \in L_1^n(m_0)$ . From (9) and (13) we deduce that there exists a positive constant  $D^2$  such that

$$\int_{s+h}^{\infty} \|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 dt < D^2.$$

Suppose that (13a) is not valid. There exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and an increasing sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\|x(t_n)\| > \varepsilon$ . Put  $\Delta = \varepsilon^2/4D^2$ . The sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  can be chosen in such a way that  $s+h \leq t_1$ ,  $t_{n+1} > t_n + \Delta$ . For  $t \in \langle t_n; t_n + \Delta \rangle$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(t)\| &\geq \|x(t_n)\| - \int_{t_n}^t \|\dot{x}(\tau)\| d\tau \geq \varepsilon - \Delta^{1/2} \left[ \int_{t_n}^t \|\dot{x}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \right]^{1/2} \geq \\ &\geq \varepsilon - \varepsilon/2D \cdot D = \varepsilon/2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_{t_n}^{t_n+\Delta} \|x(t)\|^2 dt \geq \varepsilon^2/4 \cdot \Delta = \varepsilon^4/16D^2.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \|x(t)\|^2 dt \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_n}^{t_n+\Delta} \|x(t)\|^2 dt = \infty$$

which contradicts to (13).

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that the system (1) is stabilizable. The system of functions  $W^T(t, \tau, \varrho)$  converges in  $T$  to the function  $W(t, \tau, \varrho)$  which has the following properties:

a)  $W(t, \tau, \varrho) \in \mathbf{QF}(m_0)$  for  $t \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle$

b) The mapping

$$W: \langle t_0, \infty \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{QF}(m_0)$$

is continuous.

c) The triple  $W_0(t)$ ,  $W_1(t, \tau)$ ,  $W_2(t, \tau, \varrho)$  given by (7a), (7b) is the solution of (5) on  $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$ .

Proof. Choose a stabilizing control

$$u_0(t) = \int L^0(t, \tau) x(\tau) dm_0(\tau).$$

Suppose that

$$x_s^0 = \varphi \in L_1^n(m_0).$$

From the fact that the functions  $Q_1(t)$ ,  $Q_2(t)$  and  $L^0(t, \tau)$  are bounded we obtain that there exists a constant  $K_2$  such that 191

$$(14) \quad c(t, x^0(t), u^0(t)) \leq K_2 \cdot \|x_t^0\|_1^2.$$

Denote

$$A^0(t, \tau) = A(t, \tau) + B(t) \cdot L^0(t, \tau).$$

Let

$$a = \sup \{ \|A^0(t, \tau)\| : t \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle; \tau \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle \}.$$

For  $t \in \langle s, s+h \rangle$  we have

$$\|x^0(t)\| \leq K(a, d) \cdot \|\varphi\|_1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_t^0\|_1 &= \int_{t-h}^0 \|\varphi(\tau)\| \, d\tau + \int_0^t \|x^0(\tau)\| \, d\tau + \|x^0(t)\| \leq \\ &\leq \|\varphi\|_1 + (1+h) \cdot K(a, h) \cdot \|\varphi\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

when

$$(15a) \quad \int_s^{s+h} \|x_t^0\|_1^2 \, dt \leq K'_3 \cdot \|\varphi\|_1^2.$$

For  $t \geq s+h$  we have

$$\|x_t\|_1^2 \leq (1+h) \left[ \|x(t)\|^2 + \int_{-h}^0 \|x(\tau)\|^2 \, d\tau \right].$$

Therefore

$$(15b) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{s+h}^{\infty} \|x_t^0\|_1^2 \, dt &\leq (1+h)^2 \cdot \int_s^{\infty} \|x^0(t)\|^2 \, dt \leq \\ &\leq (1+h)^2 \cdot K_1 \cdot \|\varphi\|_1^2 = K''_3 \cdot \|\varphi\|_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (14) with (15a) and (15b) we get

$$(15) \quad \int_s^{\infty} c(t, x^0(t), u^0(t)) \, dt \leq K_3 \cdot \|\varphi\|_1^2.$$

For  $T \geq t_0$  we put

$$(16a) \quad L^T(t, \tau) = -Q_2^{-1}(t) \cdot B'(t) \cdot W^T(t, 0, \tau)$$

and

192 (16b) 
$$A^T(t, \tau) = A(t, \tau) + B(t) \cdot L^T(t, \tau)$$

where  $W^T$  is the solution of (5) and (6).

Let  $x^T(t)$  be the solution of (1) for the control function

(16c) 
$$u^T(t) = \int_{-h}^0 L^T(t, \tau) x_t(\tau) dm_0(\tau)$$

and the initial condition

(16d) 
$$x_s^T = \varphi \in L_1^T(m_0).$$

Then we have

$$W_{(s)}^T(\varphi) = \int_s^T c(t, x^T(t), u^T(t)) dt \leq \int_s^T c(t, x^0(t), u^0(t)) dt \leq K_3 \cdot \|\varphi\|_1^2.$$

Let  $T_1 \leq T_2$ . We denote by  $x^i$  and  $u^i$  the functions  $x^{T_i}, u^{T_i}, i = 1; 2$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} W_{(s)}^{T_1}(\varphi) &= \int_s^{T_1} c(t, x^1(t), u^1(t)) dt \leq \int_s^{T_1} c(t, x^2(t); u^2(t)) dt \leq \\ &\leq \int_s^{T_2} c(t, x^2(t), u^2(t)) dt = W_{(s)}^{T_2}(\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus for  $t_0 \leq s \leq T_1 \leq T_2$  we have the following inequalities in  $QF(m_0)$ :

(17) 
$$W_{(s)}^{T_1} \leq W_{(s)}^{T_2} \leq K_3 \cdot I$$

where  $K_3 \cdot I$  is the constant matrix function on  $\langle -h, 0 \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle$ .

We denote by  $In$  the class of initial functions of the types

(18a) 
$$\varphi_i = e_i \cdot \chi_{\langle 0 \rangle} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

(18b) 
$$\psi_{\tau, j}^m = m \cdot e_j \cdot \chi_{\langle \tau-1/m, \tau+1/m \rangle} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n; \tau \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle; m = 1, 2, \dots$$

(18c) 
$$\varphi = \varphi' \pm \varphi''; \varphi' \text{ and } \varphi'' \text{ are of the type (18a) or (18b)}$$

where  $e_i$  is the  $i$ -th member of the standard orthonormal base in  $R^n$  and  $\chi_M$  is the characteristic function of the set  $M$ .

Choosing suitable initial functions from the class  $In$  we derive the inequality

(17a) 
$$\|W^T(s, \tau, \varrho)\| \leq K_3 \cdot n \quad \text{for } s \leq T; \tau, \varrho \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle.$$

Substituting it into (16a) and (16b) we conclude that there exists a constant  $\alpha$  such that for any  $T$

$$(17b) \quad \sup \{ \|A^T(t, \tau)\| : (t, \tau) \in \langle t_0, T \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle \} \leq \alpha.$$

Therefore for the solution  $x^T$  of (1) determined by (16c) and (16b) we have

$$(17c) \quad \|x^T(t)\| \leq K(\alpha, (t-s)) \cdot \|\varphi\|_1 \quad \text{for } t \leq T.$$

Considering once more suitable functions of the class  $\mathbf{In}$  (cf. [1], [2]) we get that for any given  $(s, \tau, \varrho) \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle$  there exists a limit

$$(19a) \quad W(s, \tau, \varrho) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} W^T(s, \tau, \varrho).$$

We show that this convergence is uniform on  $\langle t_0, t_1 \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle$  for any  $t_1 \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle$ . Put  $t_2 = t_1 + h$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $T_0 > t_2$  such that for  $T_0 < T_1 < T_2$  the inequality

$$(19b) \quad \iint \|W^{T_2}(t, \tau, \varrho) - W^{T_1}(t, \tau, \varrho)\| dm_0(\tau) dm_0(\varrho) < \varepsilon/n \cdot K^2(\alpha, d)$$

holds.

Put  $d = t_2 - t_0$ . For  $i = 1, 2$  we consider the solution  $x^i = x^{T_i}$  determined by (16c) and (16d). For  $s \in \langle t_0, t_1 \rangle$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_{(s)}^{T_i}(\varphi) &= \min_u \left\{ \int_s^{t_2} c(t, x(t), u(t)) dt + W_{(t_2)}^{T_i}(x_{t_2}^i) \right\} = \\ &= \int_s^{t_2} c(t, x^i(t), u^i(t)) dt + W_{(t_2)}^{T_i}(x_{t_2}^i) \end{aligned}$$

where  $u^i = u^{T_i}$  is given by (16a) and (16c).

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq W_{(s)}^{T_2}(\varphi) - W_{(s)}^{T_1}(\varphi) = \int_s^{t_2} c(t, x^2(t); u^2(t)) dt + W_{(t_2)}^{T_2}(x_{t_2}^2) - \\ &- \int_s^{t_2} c(t, x^1(t), u^1(t)) dt - W_{(t_2)}^{T_1}(x_{t_2}^1) \leq W_{(t_2)}^{T_2}(x_{t_2}^1) - W_{(t_2)}^{T_1}(x_{t_2}^1) \leq \\ &\leq \iint \|x^1(t_2 + \tau)\| \cdot \|W^{T_2}(t_2, \tau, \varrho) - W^{T_1}(t_2, \tau, \varrho)\| \cdot \|x^1(t_2 + \varrho)\| dm_0(\tau) dm_0(\varrho) \leq \\ &\leq K^2(\alpha, d) \cdot \|\varphi\|_1^2 \cdot \varepsilon/(n \cdot K^2(\alpha, d)) = \varepsilon/n \cdot \|\varphi\|_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing suitable initial functions from  $\mathbf{In}$  we derive

$$\|W^{T_2}(s, \tau, \varrho) - W^{T_1}(s, \tau, \varrho)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

194 uniformly on

$$\langle t_0, t_1 \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle \times \langle -h, 0 \rangle.$$

Thus the components  $W_0(t)$ ,  $W_1(t, \tau)$ ,  $W_2(t, \tau, \varrho)$  of the limit function are continuous on their domains.

For a given  $t$  and  $T \geq t + h$  the triple  $W_0^T(t)$ ,  $W_1^T(t, \tau)$ ,  $W_2^T(t, \tau, \varrho)$  is the solution of the system of integral equations which we obtain by the integration of the system (5):

$$(20a) \quad W_0(t) = W_0(t+h) + \int_t^{t+h} [A_0(s) \cdot W_0(s) + W_0(s) A_0(s) + W_1(s, 0) + \\ + W_1'(s, 0) - W_0'(s) \cdot B_1 \cdot W_0(s) + Q_1(s)] ds$$

$$(20b) \quad W_1(t, \tau) = W_0(t + \tau + h) \cdot A_2(t + \tau + h) + \\ + \int_{-h}^{\tau} [W_0(t + \tau - \varrho) \cdot A_1(t + \tau - \varrho; \varrho) + A_0(t + \tau - \varrho) \cdot W_1(t + \tau - \varrho; \varrho) + \\ + W_2(t + \tau - \varrho; 0; \varrho) - W_0(t + \tau - \varrho) \cdot B_1(t + \tau - \varrho) \cdot W_1(t + \tau - \varrho; \varrho)] d\varrho$$

$$(20c) \quad W_2(t, \tau, \varrho) = A_2'(t + \tau + h) \cdot W_1'(t + \tau + h; \varrho - \tau + h) + \\ + \int_{-h}^{\tau} [A_1'(t + \tau - \xi, \xi) \cdot W_1(t + \tau - \xi, \varrho - \tau + \xi) + \\ + W_1'(t + \tau - \xi, \xi) \cdot A_1(t + \tau - \xi, \varrho - \tau + \xi) - \\ - W_1'(t + \tau - \xi, \xi) \cdot B_1(t + \tau - \xi) \cdot W_1(t + \tau - \xi, \varrho - \tau + \xi)] d\xi \\ \text{for } -h \leq \tau \leq \varrho \leq 0$$

and

$$(20d) \quad W_2(t, \tau, \varrho) = W_2'(t, \varrho, \tau) \quad \text{for } -h \leq \varrho \leq \tau \leq 0.$$

Taking the limits with respect to  $T$  we obtain that the triple  $W_0(t)$ ,  $W_1(t, \tau)$ ,  $W_2(t, \tau, \varrho)$  is the solution of (20) and of (5) as well.

**Theorem 2.** Assume that the system (1) is stabilizable and  $W$  is the function constructed above. Then the following statements hold.

a) The control

$$(21) \quad u^*(t) = \int_{-h}^0 L^*(t, \tau) x_t^*(\tau) dm_0(\tau)$$

where

$$(21a) \quad L^*(t, \tau) = -B'(t) \cdot Q_2^{-1}(t) \cdot W(t, 0, \tau)$$

is the optimal control for (1) and the value of minimal cost is given by

$$(22) \quad C_s^\infty(u, \varphi) = W_s(\varphi).$$

b) The function  $W$  is the smallest nonnegative bounded continuous solution of (5) on  $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$  (in view of Definition 3).

c) Suppose that  $V$  is any nonnegative continuous solution of (5) on  $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$ . Then for any stabilizing feedback control

$$u(t) = \int_{-h}^0 L(t, \tau) \cdot x_i(\tau) dm_0(\tau)$$

the inequality

$$(23) \quad C_s^\infty(u, \varphi) \geq V_{(s)}(\varphi)$$

holds for any  $s \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle$  and  $\varphi \in L_1^n(m_0)$ .

d) Suppose that there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $t \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle$  and  $x \in R^n$  the inequality

$$(24) \quad x' \cdot Q \cdot x \geq \delta \cdot \|x\|^2$$

holds. Then  $W(t)$  is the only nonnegative bounded continuous solution of the system (5) on  $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$ .

**Proof.** Let  $t_0 \leq s \leq T < \infty$ . Suppose that  $V(t)$  is the continuous nonnegative solution of (5) and that  $x(t)$  is a solution of (1) for a control function  $u(t)$  and initial condition  $x_s = \varphi \in L_1^n(m_0)$ . Calculating as in [1] or [2] we get

$$(25) \quad c(t, x(t), u(t)) + \frac{d[V_{(s)}(x_t)]}{dt} = \left[ u(t) - \int_{-h}^0 U(t, \tau) x_i(\tau) dm_0(\tau) \right]' \cdot Q_2(t) \left[ u(t) - \int_{-h}^0 U(t, \tau) x_i(\tau) dm_0(\tau) \right] \geq 0$$

where

$$U(t, \tau) = -B'(t) \cdot Q_2^{-1}(t) \cdot V(t, 0, \tau)$$

hence

$$(26) \quad C_s^T(u, x) \geq V_{(s)}(\varphi) - V_{(T)}(\varphi).$$

If we suppose that  $V(t)$  is bounded and  $u$  is a stabilizing feedback control we get that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0, \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} V_{(T)}(x_T) = 0.$$

196 Hence the statement c) is proved.

Now we prove a). Let  $x(t)$  be a solution of (1) for some control function  $u$  and initial condition  $x_s = \varphi \in L_1^a(m_0)$ . For any  $T \geq s$  we have

$$C_s^T(u, x) \geq W_{(s)}^T(\varphi)$$

hence

$$C_s^\infty(u, x) \geq W_{(s)}(\varphi).$$

From (25) we get

$$C_s^T(u^*, \varphi) = W_{(s)}(\varphi) - W_{(T)}(\varphi) \leq W_{(s)}(\varphi)$$

and (22) is fulfilled.

b) For

$$u(t) = \int_{-h}^0 U(t, \tau) x_t(\tau) dm_0(\tau),$$

where  $U$  is as above, we have from (25)

$$C_s^T(u, \varphi) = V_{(s)}(\varphi) - V_{(T)}(x_T) \leq V_{(s)}(\varphi).$$

But

$$W_{(s)}^T(\varphi) \leq C_s^T(u, \varphi) \leq V_{(s)}(\varphi).$$

Therefore

$$(27) \quad W_{(s)} \leq V_{(s)}.$$

d) We show that (24) implies that the control  $u^*(t)$  is stabilizable. For the solution  $x^*(t)$  with  $x_s^* = \varphi$  we have (making use of (18))

$$\begin{aligned} \int_s^\infty \|x^*(t)\|^2 dt &\leq 1/\delta \int_s^\infty x^{*'}(t) \cdot Q_1(t) \cdot x^*(t) dt \leq \\ &\leq 1/\delta \cdot \int_s^\infty c(t, x^*(t), u^*(t)) dt = 1/\delta \cdot W_{(s)}(x) \leq 1/\delta \cdot K_3 \cdot \|\varphi\|_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

According (23)

$$W_{(s)}(x) = C_s^\infty(u^*, x) \geq V_{(s)}(\varphi)$$

Combining with (27) we get  $V_{(s)} = W_{(s)}$ .

**Remark.** a) If all the functions  $A(t, \tau)$ ,  $B(t)$ ,  $Q_1(t)$ ,  $Q_2(t)$  are periodic in  $t$  with the same period  $d$  the functions  $W^T(t)$  fulfil the equations

$$W^{T+d}(t+d) = W^T(t).$$

Therefore the functions  $W(t, \tau, \varrho)$  and  $L^*(t, \tau)$  are periodic in  $t$  with the period  $d$

b) If all the functions  $A, B, Q_1, Q_2$  are constant in  $t$  then  $W(t, \tau, \varrho)$  and  $L^*(t, \tau)$  are constant in  $t$ . The function  $W(\tau, \varrho) : \tau, \varrho \in \langle -h, 0 \rangle$  is the solution of the simplified system

$$(28a) \quad A'_0 \cdot W_0 + W_0 \cdot A_0 + W_1(0) + W'_1(0) - W_0 \cdot B_1 \cdot W_0 + Q_1 = 0$$

$$(28b) \quad \frac{dW_1(\tau)}{d\tau} = W_0 \cdot A_1(\tau) + A_0 \cdot W_1(\tau) + W_2(0, \tau) - W_0 \cdot B_1 \cdot W_1(\tau)$$

$$(28c) \quad \frac{dW_2(\tau, d + \tau)}{d\tau} = A'_1(\tau) \cdot W_1(d + \tau) + W'_1(\tau) \cdot A_1(d + \tau) - \\ - W'_1(\tau) \cdot B_1 \cdot W_1(d + \tau) \quad \text{for } -h \leq \tau \leq \tau + d \leq 0$$

$$(28d) \quad W_1(-h) = W_0 \cdot A_2$$

$$(28e) \quad W_2(-h, \tau) = A'_2 \cdot W_1(\tau)$$

$$(28f) \quad W_2(\tau, \varrho) = W'_2(\varrho, \tau).$$

The function  $W$  can be obtained in the form

$$(29) \quad W(\tau, \varrho) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} V(t, \tau, \varrho)$$

where  $V$  is the solution of the system (5) on  $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$  with the initial condition  $V(0) = 0$ .

(Received May 5, 1979.)

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Alekal, P. Brunovsky, H. Ch. Dong, E. B. Lee: The Quadratic Problem for Systems with Time Delay. *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control AC-16* (1971), 6, 673–687.
- [2] V. B. Kolmanovskij, T. L. Majzenberg: Optimal'noje upravlenie stochastičeskimi sistemami s posledejstvijem. *Avtomatika i telemekhanika 34* (1973), 1, 47–60.
- [3] V. Kučera: A Review of the Matrix Riccati Equation. *Kybernetika 9* (1973), 1, 42–61.
- [4] A. A. Lindquist: Theorem on Duality Between Estimation and Control for Linear Stochastic Systems with Time Delay. *Journal of Math. Anal. and Appl.* 37 (1972), 2, 516–536.
- [5] V. J. Zubov: *Lekcii po teorii upravlenija*. Nauka, Moskva 1976.

*RNDr. Jozef Komornik, CSc., Katedra teórie pravdepodobnosti a matematickej štatistiky Prírodovedeckej fakulty Univerzity Komenského (Department of Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics, Faculty of Science — Comenius University), Mlynská dolina, 816 31 Bratislava. Czechoslovakia.*