Václav Soukup On transfer function matrix of linear cascade systems

Kybernetika, Vol. 25 (1989), No. 4, 258--270

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/125829

Terms of use:

© Institute of Information Theory and Automation AS CR, 1989

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

ON TRANSFER FUNCTION MATRIX OF LINEAR CASCADE SYSTEMS

VÁCLAV SOUKUP

Lower triangular transfer function matrix of cascade linear system is investigated. The paper aims to show and prove that unlike for the other multi-input, multi-output systems general fashions of the coprime transfer function matrix fraction representations can be found in this case.

1. CASCADE SYSTEM TRANSFER FUNCTION MATRIX

Many technological, industrial and other processes are characterized by an one way, one line flow of information and energy ([2]). Such cascade processes represent the special case of multi-input, multi-output structure that may be modelled by the block diagram in Fig. 1 where

- P_i denotes the *i*th stage of the process,
- y_i the output of the *i*th stage (*i*th controlled variable), and
- u_i the input of the *i*th stage (*i*th control variables);

i = 1, ..., n.



Fig. 1.

Feed properties between parts P_{i-1} and P_i of the process are modelled by elements T_i , i = 2, ..., n.

The paper deals with the regular n-input, n-output cascade only which is affected by no external disturbances.

Assuming continuous-time, linear dynamics of P_i as well as T_i the following

equations can be written (in Laplace transforms):

(1) $Y_1(s) = P_1(s) U_1(s)$

and

$$Y_i(s) = P_i(s) [U_i(s) + T_i(s) Y_{i-1}(s)], \quad i = 2, ..., n$$

The transfer functions

$$P_i(s) = \frac{b_i(s)}{a_i(s)}, (a_i, b_i) \sim 1; i = 1, ..., n$$

(2) and

$$T_i(s) = \frac{q_i(s)}{p_i(s)}, \quad (p_i, q_i) \sim 1; \quad i = 2, ..., n$$

where $a_i(s)$, $b_i(s)$, $p_i(s)$ and $q_i(s)$ are supposed to be polynomials in s. Nevertheless the final results which come in Theorems 1 and 2 of the paper are also applicable if factors exp $(-\tau_i s)$ corresponding to possible dead times occur in $b_i(s)$ and/or $q_i(s)$.

Note that the standard symbols of polynomial theory ([1]) are used in the paper: (a, b) for the greatest common divisor (GCD) of a and b,

 $a \sim b$ if a and b are associates, i.e., $a = \alpha b$ where $\alpha = \text{const.}$ (a polynomial of degree 0) and

 $b \mid a$ if b is a divisor of a.

Combining the equations (1) we can write in vector-matrix form

(3)
$$\mathbf{Y}(s) = \mathbf{G}(s) \, \mathbf{U}(s)$$

where

(4)
$$\mathbf{Y}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1(s); \dots; Y_n(s) \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} \text{ and } \mathbf{U}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} U_1(s); \dots; U_n(s) \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$$

and the system transfer function matrix (TFM)

(5)
$$\mathbf{G}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} G_{11}(s) & \mathbf{0} \\ G_{21}(s) & G_{22}(s) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ G_{n1}(s) & G_{n2}(s) & \dots & G_{nn}(s) \end{bmatrix}$$

is lower triangular $(n \times n)$ matrix with the elements

$$G_{ij}(s) = \frac{b_i(s)}{a_i(s)} \qquad \text{for } j = i$$

$$G_{ij}(s) = \frac{b_i(s) \dots b_j(s) q_i(s) \dots q_{j+1}(s)}{a_i(s) \dots a_j(s) p_i(s) \dots p_{j+1}(s)} \qquad \text{for } j < i$$

$$G_{ij}(s) = 0 \qquad \text{for } j > i, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

The structure given by Fig. 1 includes usual cases which we encounter in practice. Especially, either

- T_i represents the process part which is affected by no external input and has no own measured output or

- two neighbouring (i - 1)th and *i*th stages of a process are coupled through a physical transducer T_i to adapt signal y_{i-1} to the actuator of P_i .

Fraction representations of the matrix G(s) are investigated in the next sections. It is well known that the coprime matrix fraction (CMF) descriptions of a general TFM can be found by numerical ways only (cf. [1]). But numerical algorithms need not be applied in the case of cascade system matrix. It will be shown and proved that G given by (5) with (6) can be usually transformed into CMF fashion immediately using the elements a_i , b_i , p_i and q_i of single transfer functions in G.

The reader is assumed to be acquainted with the main fundamentals of polynomial and polynomial matrix theory of dynamic systems $(\lceil 1 \rceil, \lceil 3 \rceil)$.

2. LEFT COPRIME MATRIX FRACTION REPRESENTATION OF G

Any $(n \times r)$ TFM G of a linear, free of dead times, continuous-time system can be always written in the form (cf. [1])

$$(7) G = A_L^{-1} B_L$$

where A_L and B_L are $(n \times n)$ and $(n \times r)$ polynomial matrices in s, respectively. The corresponding input-output equation

$$(8) A_L Y = B_L U$$

is valid.

The matrices A_L and B_L represent a left matrix fraction description of G. Such a representation is left coprime (LCMF) if and only if

(9)
$$A_L = DF_L$$
 and $B_L = DH_L$

where the $(n \times n)$ polynomial matrix **D** known as the greatest common left divisor (GCLD) of A_L and B_L has the property det $D \sim 1$, i.e., **D** is unimodular. The pairs A_L , F_L and B_L , H_L are then the pairs of left equivalent polynomial matrices; A_L and B_L are called *left coprime matrices*.

Theorem 1. LCMF representation of a cascade system TFM G standing in (5) with (6) can be written in the form

(10)
$$\mathbf{A}_{L} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ -b_{2}q_{2} & a_{2}p_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & -b_{n}q_{n} & a_{n}p_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

(11)
$$\boldsymbol{B}_{L} = \operatorname{diag} \left[b_{1}; b_{2}p_{2}; \ldots; b_{n}p_{n} \right]$$

if and only if

(12) $(p_i, b_i b_{i-1}) \sim 1$ for any i = 2, ..., n.

Proof.

A. At first we must prove that the matrices (10) and (11) represent a left matrix fraction description of **G** at all.

Using (10) and (11) in the equation (8) we get

(13)
$$a_1Y_1 = b_1U_1$$

and

$$-b_i q_i Y_{i-1} + a_i p_i Y_i = b_i p_i U_i$$
 for $i = 2, ..., n$.

Hence

(14)
$$Y_1 = \frac{b_1}{a_1} U_1$$

and

$$Y_i = \frac{b_i q_i}{a_i p_i} Y_{i-1} + \frac{b_i}{a_i} U_i$$
 for $i = 2, ..., n$

Gradual substitutions Y_i into the (i + 1)th equation in (13) i = 1, ..., n - 1, yield the relation Y = GU with G standing in (5) with (6). The same result can be obtained if the inverse of A_L is formed and then $G = A_L^{-1}B_L$ determined. Thus (10) and (11) is a left matrix fraction representation of G.

B. Secondly, it must be proved that the matrices (10) and (11) are left coprime if and only if the conditions (12) are true.

If: Assume a polynomial matrix **D** to be GCLD of A_L and B_L and denote $d = \det D$. The expressions (9) can be written as

(15)
$$[a_1;...;a_n] = D[f_1;...;f_n]$$
 and $[b_1;...;b_n] = D[h_1;...;h_n]$

where a_i , b_i , f_i and h_i are the columns of A_L , B_L , F_L and H_L , respectively; i = 1, ..., n. Obviously $a_i = Df_i$ and $b_i = Dh_i$.

Now the set $\{M_n\}$ of $(n \times n)$ polynomial matrices M_n can be considered each of them being constructed as a different combination of σ_i and b_j ; i, j = 1, ..., n, i.e., M_n is formed by n mutually different columns which are taken from 2n columns. Combining f_i and h_j in similar way the set $\{L_n\}$ of $(n \times n)$ polynomial matrices L_n is defined. Note that A_L , $B_L \in \{M_n\}$ and F_L , $H_L \in \{L_n\}$. Then

(16)
$$\mathbf{M}_n = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{L}_n$$

and hence

(17)
$$\det \mathbf{M}_n = d \det \mathbf{L}_n$$

is true for any corresponding pair of $M_n \in \{M_n\}$ and $L_n \in \{L_n\}$.

Let all nonzero determinants m_n of all matrices M_n constitute the set $\{m_n\}$ and the GCD of all m_n be denoted by (m_n) .

It follows from (17) that

$$(18) d \mid (m_n) .$$

Hence A_L and B_L are LCMF of G if $(m_n) \sim 1$. But it is not easy to survey the finding

of $\{m_n\}$ and (m_n) for general *n*. That is the set $\{M_n\}$ contains $(2n)! (n!)^{-2}$ matrices. To overcome this difficulty we start with n = 2.

Here we determine

$$\{\mathbf{M}_{2}\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a_{1} & 0 \\ -b_{2}q_{2} & a_{2}p_{2} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{2}p_{2} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a_{1} & b_{1} \\ -b_{2}q_{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b_{2}p_{2} & a_{2}p_{2} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a_{1} & 0 \\ -b_{2}q_{2} & b_{2}p_{2} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & b_{2}p_{2} \end{bmatrix} \right\},$$

 ${m_2} = {a_1a_2p_2, b_1a_2p_2, b_1b_2q_2, a_1b_2p_2, b_1b_2p_2}$ and $(m_2) = (p_2, b_2b_1)$ Then $(p_2, b_2b_1) \sim 1$ ensures $(m_2) \sim 1$.

For n = 3 we obtain

$$m_{3} = \{a_{1}a_{2}p_{2}a_{3}p_{3}, b_{1}a_{2}p_{2}a_{3}p_{3}, a_{1}a_{2}p_{2}b_{3}p_{3}, b_{1}a_{2}p_{2}b_{3}p_{3}, b_{1}b_{2}q_{2}a_{3}p_{3}, \\ b_{1}b_{2}q_{2}b_{3}p_{3}, b_{1}b_{2}q_{2}b_{3}q_{3}, a_{1}b_{2}p_{2}a_{3}p_{3}, a_{1}b_{2}p_{2}b_{3}p_{3}, a_{1}b_{2}p_{2}b_{3}q_{3}, \\ b_{1}b_{2}p_{2}a_{3}p_{3}, b_{1}b_{2}p_{2}b_{3}q_{3}, b_{1}b_{2}p_{2}b_{3}p_{3} \}$$

and

$$(m_3) = (p_2, b_2b_1) \left(p_3, b_3 \frac{b_2}{(b_2, p_2)} (b_2, b_1, p_2) \right).$$

Obviously $(p_2, b_2b_1) \sim 1$ together with $(p_3, b_3b_2) \sim 1$ ensures $(m_3) \sim 1$. Thus far sufficiency of (12) for n = 2 and n = 3 has been proved.

The structure of determinants m_n for an increasing n must be studied for a general proof.

One can see that

(19)
$$m_n = c_1 c_2 \dots c_n$$

where either a_1 or b_1 stands at the position c_1 and either $a_i p_i$ or $b_i p_i$ or $b_i q_i$ at the position c_i , i = 2, ..., n. But not all combinations occur in m_n since $c_i = b_i q_i$ can succeed to $c_{i-1} = b_{i-1}p_{i-1}$ or $c_{i-1} = b_{i-1}q_{i-1}$ only.

Then we can decompose

(20)
$$\{m_n\} = \{m_{nA}\} \cup \{m_{nB}\} \cup \{m_{nQ}\}$$

where the subset

 $\{m_{nA}\}$ contains all m_n ended by $c_n = a_n p_n$, $\{m_{nB}\}$ contains all m_n ended by $c_n = b_n p_n$, and $\{m_{nQ}\}$ contains all m_n ended by $c_n = b_n q_n$.

Let $\lambda{\cdot}$ denotes the number of determinants within a set $\{\cdot\}$. Since

(21)
$$\lambda\{m_{nA}\} = \lambda\{m_{nB}\} = \lambda\{m_{n-1}\}, \text{ and}$$
$$\lambda\{m_{nQ}\} = \lambda\{m_{n-1,B}\} + \lambda\{m_{n-1,Q}\}$$

the recurrent relation

$$\lambda\{m_n\} = 2\lambda\{m_{n-1}\} + \lambda\{m_{n-1,B}\} + \lambda\{m_{n-1,Q}\}$$

is true starting with

$$\lambda\{m_2\} = \lambda\{m_{2A}\} + \lambda\{m_{2B}\} + \lambda\{m_{2Q}\}$$

where

$$\lambda\{m_{2A}\} = \lambda\{m_{2B}\} = 2 \text{ and } \lambda\{m_{2Q}\} = 1.$$

Suppose now that $(m_i) \sim 1$ is ensured by the conditions

(22)
$$(p_i, b_i b_{i-1}) \sim 1$$
 for any $i = 2, ..., j < n$

Considering i = j + 1 we need to show that together with (22) the only additional condition

1

$$(p_{j+1}, b_{j+1}b_j) \sim$$

is sufficient to satisfy $(m_{i+1}) \sim 1$.

According to (20) and (21)

$$\{m_{j+1}\} = \{m_{j+1,A}\} \cup \{m_{j+1,B}\} \cup \{m_{j+1,Q}\} =$$
$$= \{m_j a_{j+1} p_{j+1}\} \cup \{m_j b_{j+1} p_{j+1}\} \cup \{m_{jB} b_{j+1} q_{j+1}\} \cup \{m_{jQ} b_{j+1} q_{j+1}\}$$

and then

(24)
$$(m_{j+1}) \sim (p_{j+1}, b_{j+1}(m_{jB}, m_{jQ}))$$

Considering (22) we determine

$$(m_{jB}, m_{jQ}) \sim (b_j p_j, b_{j-1} p_{j-1} b_j q_j, b_{j-1} q_{j-1} b_j q_j) \sim b_j (p_j, b_{j-1}) \sim b_j$$

and hence taking into account (24)

(25)
$$(m_{j+1}) \sim (p_{j+1}, b_{j+1}b_j).$$

Consequently $(m_{j+1}) \sim 1$ is ensured by (23) for any j < n if (22) are valid. Hence A_L and B_L are left coprime if (12) are true.

Only if: The conditions (12) can be decomposed for any *i* into two separate relations:

- $(26) (p_i, b_i) \sim 1$
- and

(27) $(p_i, b_{i-1}) \sim 1$.

Assume now that A_L and B_L standing in (10) and (11), resp., are left coprime but (12) are not valid.

1. If (12) are broken by $(p_i, b_i) \sim 1$ for one $i \in [2, n]$ we can denote

(28)
$$p_{ii} = \frac{p_i}{(p_i, b_i)}$$
 and $b_{ii} = \frac{b_i}{(p_i, b_i)}$

Then the matrices (10) and (11) can be decomposed into

(29)
$$A_{L} = D \begin{vmatrix} a_{1} \\ -b_{2}q_{2} & a_{2}p_{2} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ -b_{i-1}q_{i-1} & a_{i-1}p_{i-1} \\ -b_{ii}q_{i} & a_{i}p_{ii} \\ -b_{i+1}q_{i+1} & a_{i+1}p_{i+1} \\ 0 & \vdots \\ & -b_{n}q_{n} & a_{n}p_{n} \end{vmatrix} = DA_{LO}$$

and

(30) $B_L = D \operatorname{diag} [b_1; b_2 p_2, ...; b_{i-1} p_{i-1}; b_i p_{ii}; b_{i+1} p_{i+1}; ...; b_n p_n] = DB_{LO}$ where

(31)
$$\mathbf{D} = \text{diag} [1; ...; 1; (p_i, b_i); 1; ...; 1]$$

is the GCLD of A_L and B_L .

As $d = \det \mathbf{D} = (p_i, b_i) \sim 1 \mathbf{A}_L$ and \mathbf{B}_L are not left coprime.

2. If the conditions (12) are broken by $(p_i, b_{i-1}) \sim 1$ for one $i \in [2, n]$ the denotations

(32)
$$p_{i,i-1} = \frac{p_i}{(p_i, b_{i-1})}$$
 and $b_{i-1,i} = \frac{b_{i-1}}{(p_i, b_{i-1})}$

can be applied.

Then the matrices (10) and (11) may be written as

(33)
$$\mathbf{A}_{L} = \mathbf{D} \begin{bmatrix} a_{1} & & & \\ -b_{2}q_{2} & a_{2}p_{2} & & \\ 0 & -b_{3}q_{3} & a_{3}p_{3} & & \mathbf{0} \\ & & & & & \\ 0 & -b_{i-1}q_{i-1} & a_{i-1}p_{i-1} & & \\ & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & -b_{i+1}q_{i+1} & a_{i+1}p_{i+1} \\ & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & -b_{i+1}q_{i+1} & a_{i+1}p_{i+1} \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & 0 & -b_{n}q_{n} & a_{n}p_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}_{LO}$$

and

(34)
$$\boldsymbol{B}_{L} = \boldsymbol{D} \begin{bmatrix} b_{1} \\ 0 & b_{2}p_{2} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & b_{i-1}p_{i-1} \\ z & b_{i}p_{i,i-1} \\ 0 & b_{i+1}p_{i+1} \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & b_{n}p_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{B}_{LO}$$

with the GCLD



The polynomials x and y satisfy the equation

(36)
$$a_{i-1}p_{i-1}x + (p_i, b_{i-1})y = -b_iq_i$$

which is always solvable seeing that $(p_{i-1}, b_{i-1}) \sim 1$ as well as $(a_{i-1}, b_{i-1}) \sim 1$ is assumed.

Having x, y the remaining polynomials in (33) and (34) are

(37)
$$v = b_{i-1,i}q_{i-1}x$$
, and $z = -b_{i-1,i}p_{i-1}x$.

For i = 2 we put $p_{i-1} = 1$ and $q_{i-1} = 0$ in (36) and (37); the polynomial v in A_{LO} is omitted.

In virtue of (35) we have $d = \det \mathbf{D} = (p_i, b_{i-1}) \sim 1$ and consequently the matrices (10) and (11) are not left coprime.

3. RIGHT COPRIME MATRIX FRACTION REPRESENTATION OF G

Any $(n \times r)$ TFM **G** of a linear, free of dead times, continuous-time system can be always written also in the form (cf. [1])

$$(38) \qquad \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}$$

where A_R and B_R are $(r \times r)$ and $(n \times r)$ polynomial matrices in s, respectively.

The matrices A_R and B_R represent a right matrix fraction description of G. Such a representation is right coprime (RCMF) if and only if

(39)
$$\mathbf{A}_{R} = \mathbf{F}_{R}\mathbf{D} \text{ and } \mathbf{B}_{R} = \mathbf{H}_{R}\mathbf{D}$$

where the $(r \times r)$ polynomial matrix **D** is the greatest common right divisor (GCRD) of A_R and B_R and det $D \sim 1$, i.e., **D** is unimodular. The pairs A_R , F_R and B_R , H_R are then the pairs of right equivalent polynomial matrices; A_R and B_R are called right coprime matrices.

Theorem 2. RCMF representation of a cascade system TFM G standing in (5)

with (6) can be written in the form

(40)
$$\mathbf{A}_{R} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1}p_{2} & a_{2}p_{3} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & -b_{n-2}q_{n-1} & a_{n-1}p_{n} \\ & -b_{n-1}q_{n} & a_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
and
(41)
$$\mathbf{B}_{R} = \text{diag} \left[b_{1}p_{2}; b_{2}p_{3}; \dots; b_{n-1}p_{n}; b_{n} \right]$$
if and only if
(42) $(p_{i}, b_{i}b_{i-1}) \sim 1$ for any $i = 2, \dots, n$.
Proof.

A. Considering r = n for a regular cascade system and using an auxiliary $(n \times 1)$ vector signal X we can express according to (38)

 $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{A}_{R}\mathbf{X}$.

(43)
$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{B}_R \mathbf{A}_R^{-1} \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{B}_R \mathbf{X}$$
and hence

If (40) is substituted into (44) the components of **U** are

(45)
$$U_1 = a_1 p_2 X_1$$
,

$$U_i = -b_{i-1}q_iX_{i-1} + a_ip_{i+1}X_i$$
 for $i = 2, ..., n-1$

and

$$U_n = -b_{n-1}q_n X_{n-1} + a_n X_n$$

Then by gradual substitutions X_i from *i*th into (i + 1)th equation (45), i = 1, ..., n - 1,

(46)
$$X_1 = \frac{1}{a_1 p_2} U_1,$$

$$X_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{b_{i-1}q_{i} \dots b_{j}q_{j+1}}{a_{i}p_{i+1} \dots a_{j}p_{j+1}} U_{j} + \frac{1}{a_{i}p_{i+1}} U_{i}, \quad i = 2, ..., n-1,$$

and

$$X_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{b_{n-1}q_n \dots b_j q_{j+1}}{a_n a_{n-1}p_n \dots a_j p_{j+1}} U_j + \frac{1}{a_n} U_n.$$

Now using B_R given by (41) in (43) we get

(47)
$$Y_i = b_i p_{i+1} X_i$$
 for $i = 1, ..., n-1$, and
 $Y_n = b_n X_n$

If the equations (46) are substituted into (47) the desired form $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{GU}$ with \mathbf{G} given by (5) and (6) is obtained. Thus (40) and (41) is a right matrix fraction description of \mathbf{G} .

B. It remains to prove that the matrices (40) and (41) are right coprime if and only if (42) are valid.

If: Assume that a polynomial $(n \times n)$ matrix **D** is GCRD of A_R and B_R with $d = \det D$.

Considering (39) we can write

(48)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{a}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{a}_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{f}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{f}_n \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{D} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{b}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{b}_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{h}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{h}_n \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{D}$$

where \boldsymbol{a}_i , \boldsymbol{b}_i , \boldsymbol{f}_i and \boldsymbol{h}_i denote now the rows of \boldsymbol{A}_R , \boldsymbol{B}_R , \boldsymbol{F}_R and \boldsymbol{H}_R , respectively, i = 1, ..., n. Obviously $\boldsymbol{a}_i = \boldsymbol{f}_i \boldsymbol{D}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}_i = \boldsymbol{h}_i \boldsymbol{D}$.

The approach used in the previous proof of Theorem 1 can be simply transformed here with the rows playing the former role of columns. For this reason the proof of sufficiency of (42) is given very briefly referring for details to the previous section.

Thus the sets $\{M_n\}$ and $\{L_n\}$ are considered where $(n \times n)$ polynomial matrices M_n and L_n are formed by different combinations of the rows a_i , b_j and f_i , h_j , respectively; i, j = 1, ..., n. Then we have

$$(49) \qquad \qquad \mathsf{M}_n = \mathsf{L}_n \mathsf{D}$$

with

(50)
$$\det \mathbf{M}_n = \det \mathbf{L}_n d$$

for any corresponding pair of $M_n \in \{M_n\}$ and $L_n \in \{L_n\}$.

Although the matrices M_n and L_n differ from the ones which considered under the same denotations in the proof of Theorem 1 the set $\{m_n\}$ of all nonzero determinants of M_n is identical with $\{m_n\}$ which has been considered there.

Since the conditions (42) are also identical with (12) the continuation of the proof can be found in the previous section starting with the equation (18) until (25).

Only if: Suppose that A_R and B_R standing in (40) and (41), resp., are right coprime but (42) are not valid.

1. If (42) are broken by $(p_i, b_i) \sim 1$ for one $i \in [2, n]$ we use the denotations (28). The matrices (40) and (41) can be decomposed into

(51)
$$\mathbf{A}_{R} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1}p_{2} & & & & \\ -b_{1}q_{2} & a_{2}p_{3} & & & & \\ 0 & -b_{2}q_{3} & & & & \\ 0 & & a_{i-2}p_{i-1} & & \\ & & -b_{i-2}q_{i-1} & a_{i-1}p_{ii} & & \\ 0 & y & a_{i}p_{i+1} & & \\ 0 & 0 & & a_{n-2}p_{n-1} & \\ & & & -b_{n-2}q_{n-1} & a_{n-1}p_{n} \\ & & & 0 & -b_{n-1}q_{i} & a_{n} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{A}_{RO}\mathbf{D}$$

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{R} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{1}p_{2} & & & \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & b_{i-2}p_{i-1} & \\ 0 & b_{i-1}p_{ii} & \\ z & b_{i}p_{i+1} & \\ 0 & \ddots & \\ 0 & \ddots & b_{n-1}p_{n} \\ & & 0 & b_{n} \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{D} = \boldsymbol{B}_{RO}\boldsymbol{D}$$

with the GCRD

$$\boldsymbol{D} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ 0 & \cdot & & 0 \\ & \cdot & 1 & & \\ & 0 & (p_i, b_i) & \\ & x & 1 & & \\ & 0 & \cdot & & \\ & 0 & \cdot & & 1 \\ & & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The polynomials x, y represent a solution of the equation

(54)
$$a_i p_{i+1} x + (p_i, b_i) y = -b_{i-1} q_i$$

which is always solvable since $(p_{i+1}, b_i) \sim 1$ as well as $(a_i, b_i) \sim 1$ is assumed.

Then

(53)

(55)
$$v = b_{ii}q_{i+1}x, \text{ and}$$
$$z = -b_{ii}p_{i+1}x.$$

For i = n we must put $p_{i+1} = 1$ and $q_{i+1} = 0$ in (54) and (55). The polynomial v in A_{RO} is omitted.

According to (53) $d = \det \mathbf{D} = (p_i, b_i) \sim 1$ and hence the matrices (40) and (41) are not right coprime.

2. If (42) are broken by $(p_i, b_{i-1}) \sim 1$ for one $i \in [2, n]$ then using the denotations (32) the matrices (40) and (41) can be written in the form

$$\mathbf{A}_{R} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1}p_{2} & & \\ -b_{1}q_{2} & & 0 \\ & a_{i-2}p_{i-1} \\ & -b_{i-2}q_{i-1} & a_{i-1}p_{i,i-1} \\ & -b_{i-1,i}q_{i} & a_{i}p_{i+1} \\ & & -b_{i}q_{i+1} \\ & 0 & & a_{n-1}p_{n} \\ & & -b_{n-1}q_{n} & a_{n} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{A}_{RO}\mathbf{D}$$

268

and

and

(57)
$$\mathbf{B}_{R} = \operatorname{diag} \left[b_{1}p_{2}; ...; b_{i-2}p_{i-1}; b_{i-1}p_{i,i-1}; b_{i}p_{i+1}; ...; b_{n-1}p_{n}; b_{n} \right] \mathbf{D} =$$

= $\mathbf{B}_{RO}\mathbf{D}$

where

(58)
$$\mathbf{D} = \text{diag} [1; ...; 1; (p_i, b_{i-1}); 1; ...; 1]$$

Since $d = \det \mathbf{D} = (p_i, b_{i-1}) \sim 1$ the matrices (40) and (41) are not right coprime.

Note. Polynomial fraction fashions of $P_i(s)$ as well as $T_i(s)$ have been assumed so far. If dead times τ_i are present in a cascade system factors $\exp(-\tau_i s)$ occur in the numerators $b_i(s)$ and/or $q_i(s)$.

But the results of both Theorems 1 and 2 are valid for dead-time systems too, of course, with A_L , B_L and A_R , B_R being not necessarily polynomial matrices. The algebraic structure containing the elements $t_i(s) \exp(-\tau_i s)$ instead of polynomials can be considered where $t_i(s)$ is a polynomial and $\tau_i \ge 0$. Then going through the steps of presented proofs no special pressumptions and operations in this structure are required. It can be appreciated from the physical system viewpoint that $\exp(-\tau_i s)$ and an arbitrary polynomial c(s) have only the common factor equivalent to unit, i.e., $(\exp(-\tau_i s), c) \sim 1$.

4. EXAMPLE

Let the three-stage cascade process (according to Fig. 1) be described by

$$P_{1}(s) = \frac{b_{1}(s)}{a_{1}(s)} = \frac{10}{s(s+2)}, \quad P_{2}(s) = \frac{b_{2}(s)}{a_{1}(s)} = \frac{1}{s+2}, \qquad P_{3}(s) = \frac{b_{3}(s)}{a_{3}(s)} = \frac{s+2}{s(s+1)},$$
$$T_{2}(s) = \frac{q_{2}(s)}{p_{2}(s)} = \frac{\exp(-s)}{s+0\cdot 1}, \quad T_{3}(s) = \frac{q_{3}(s)}{p_{3}(s)} = \frac{1}{s+1}$$

and hence its TFM

$$\mathbf{G}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{10}{s(s+2)} & 0 & 0\\ \frac{10\exp(-s)}{s(s+2)^2(s+0\cdot1)} & \frac{1}{s+2} & 0\\ \frac{10\exp(-s)}{s^2(s+2)(s+0\cdot1)(s+1)^2} & \frac{1}{s(s+1)^2} & \frac{s+2}{s(s+1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

Observing that $(p_2, b_2b_1) \sim 1$ as well as $(p_3, b_3b_2) \sim 1$ the conditions (12) = (42) are fulfilled.

Using (10) and (11) the LCMF representation of G is given by

$$\mathbf{A}_{L} = \begin{bmatrix} s(s+2) & 0 & 0 \\ -\exp(-s) & (s+2)(s+0\cdot1) & 0 \\ 0 & -(s+2) & s(s+1)^{2} \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\mathbf{B}_{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s+0\cdot1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (s+2)(s+1) \end{bmatrix}$$

and according to (40) and (41) the RCMF description of **G** is formed by

$$\mathbf{A}_{R} = \begin{bmatrix} s(s+2)(s+0\cdot1) & 0 & 0\\ -10\exp(-s) & (s+2)(s+1) & 0\\ 0 & -1 & s(s+1) \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\mathbf{B}_{R} = \begin{bmatrix} 10(s+0\cdot1) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & s+1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & s+2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(Received December 27, 1988.)

REFERENCES

- V. Kučera: Discrete Linear Control The Polynomial Equation Approach. J. Wiley, Chichester 1979.
- [2] M. G. Singh: Dynamical Hierarchical Control. North Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam 1977.
- [3] L. N. Volgin: Optimalnoje diskretnoje upravlenije dinamičeskimi sistemami. Nauka, Moskva 1986.

Ing. Václav Soukup, CSc., katedra řídící techniky elektrotechnické fakulty ČVUT (Department of Automatic Control, Faculty of Electrical Engineering – Czech Technical University), Karlovo nám. 13, 121 35 Praha 2. Czechoslovakia.