Nirmala B. Limaye; Mulupuri Shanthi C. Rao On 2-extendability of generalized Petersen graphs

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 121 (1996), No. 1, 77-81

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/125939

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1996

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

121 (1996)

MATHEMATICA BOHEMICA

No. 1, 77-81

ON 2-EXTENDABILITY OF GENERALIZED PETERSEN GRAPHS

N. B. LIMAYE, MULUPURI SHANTHI C. RAO, Bombay

(Received September 13, 1994)

Summary. Let GP(n,k) be a generalized Petersen graph with $(n,k) = 1, n > k \ge 4$. Then every pair of parallel edges of GP(n,k) is contained in a 1-factor of GP(n,k). This partially answers a question posed by Larry Cammack and Gerald Schrag [Problem 101, Discrete Math. 73(3), 1989, 311–312].

Keywords: one factor, 2-extendable, generalized Petersen graphs

AMS classification: 05C70

A simple loopless graph G with an even number of vertices is said to be 2-extendable if G has a pair of parallel edges and if every such pair is contained in a 1-factor of G. Let k < n be natural numbers. The generalized Petersen graph GP(n, k) is the graph with a vertex set $V = \{u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le n\}$ and the edge set $O \cup I \cup C$, where

 $O = \{u_i u_{i+1} : 1 \le i \le n\}, \ I = \{v_i v_{i+k} : 1 \le i \le n\} \text{ and } C = \{u_i v_i : 1 \le i \le n\}.$

Here i + 1 and i + k are taken modulo n. The edges in O, I and C are referred to as the outer edges, the inner edges and the spokes, respectively.

In 1989, G. Schrag and L. Cammack [1] proved that

(i) GP(n, 1) is 2-extendable if and only if n is even,

(ii) GP(n, 2) is 2-extendable if and only if $n \neq 5, 6, 8$,

(iii) GP(2k, k) is not 2-extendable for all $k \ge 2$,

(iv) GP(3k, k) is not 2-extendable for all $k \ge 3$,

(v) if $3 \le k \le 7$, then GP(n,k) is 2-extendable if and only if $n \ne 3k$.

(vi) if $k \ge 4$, then any pair of parallel edges containing a spoke can be extended to a 1-factor, and

(vii) GP(n, k) is 2-extendable for all $k \ge 2, n \ge 3k + 5$.

They conjectured that GP(n, k) is 2-extendable for all $k \ge 3$ and $n \ne 2k, 3k$. In this note we prove that GP(n, k) is 2-extendable for all $n, k \ge 4$ such that (n, k) = 1. While many cases considered here are covered by [1], we give a uniform treatment which covers several additional cases including the important cases n = 2k+1, 3k-1, 3k+1.

Theorem. If $n, k \ge 4$ are natural numbers such that (n, k) = 1, then GP(n, k) is 2-extendable.

Proof. Let e and f be two given parallel edges of GP(n, k), where (n, k) = 1. We divide the problem into six possibilities:

$$\begin{array}{ll} P(1)\colon e,f\in O, & P(2)\colon e\in O, f\in I, & P(3)\colon e\in O, f\in C,\\ P(4)\colon e,f\in I, & P(5)\colon e\in I, f\in C, & P(6)\colon e,f\in C. \end{array}$$

In P(6), the set C consisting of all spokes is the required 1-factor. Moreover, since (n,k) = 1, O and I play the same role in GP(n,k). Hence we have only to consider P(1), P(2), P(3). If k > n/2, then GP(n,k) is isomorphic to GP(n,n-k). Thus we can assume that k < n/2. Without loss of generality, we can take $e = u_1u_2$. We shall denote the desired 1-factor containing e and f by F.

Case 1: n is even

In this case, I as well as O can be written as a union of two disjoint 1-factors. Moreover, if we remove two adjacent points from either O or I, then the resulting path, which is of odd length, has a unique 1-factor.

P(1): Let $f = u_r u_{r+1}, 3 \leq r \leq n-1$.

If r is odd, then F is obtained by taking the 1-factor of O containing u_1u_2 and u_ru_{r+1} together with any one of the two 1-factors of I.

If r is even with $r + k - 1 \leq n$, then let $F = F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3 \cup F_4$, where F_1 is the unique 1-factor of $I - v_{r-1} - v_{r-1+k}$,

$$\begin{split} F_4 &= \{u_{r+k}u_{r+k+1}, u_{r+k+2}u_{r+k+3}, \ldots, u_1u_2, \ldots, u_{r-3}u_{r-2}\}, \\ F_2 &= \{u_{r-1}v_{r-1}, u_{r-1+k}v_{r-1+k}\}, \quad F_3 &= \{u_ru_{r+1}, u_{r+2}u_{r+3}, \ldots, u_{r+k-3}u_{r+k-2}\}. \end{split}$$

If r is even with r + k - 1 > n, then clearly $r + 2 - k \ge 3$. Again F is obtained by taking $F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3 \cup F_4$, where F_1 is the unique 1-factor of $I - v_{r+2} - v_{r+2-k}$, $F_2 = \{u_{r+2}v_{r+2}, u_{r+2-k}v_{r+2-k}\}, F_3 = \{u_{r+3-k}u_{r+4-k}, \dots, u_ru_{r+1}\}$, and $F_4 = \{u_{r+4}u_{r+4}, u_{r+5}u_{r+6}, \dots, u_1u_2, \dots, u_{r-k}u_{r-k+1}\}.$

 $P(2) \colon \text{Let } f = v_r v_{r+k}, \, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant n.$

In this case F is obtained by taking the union of the 1-factor of O containing u_1u_2 and the 1-factor of I containing v_rv_{r+k} .

P(3): Let $f = u_r v_r$, $3 \leq r \leq n$.

Let 2t be the greatest even integer less than r and $s = \min\{2t, 2k\}$. We can now take F to be $F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3$, where

$$\begin{split} F_1 &= \{u_t v_i \colon i \neq s, s-1, \dots, s-2k+1\}, \\ F_2 &= \{u_s u_{s-1}, u_{s-2} u_{s-3}, \dots, u_{s-2k+2} u_{s-2k+1}\}, \\ F_3 &= \{v_s v_{s-k}, v_{s-1} v_{s-k-1}, \dots, v_{s-k+1} v_{s-2k+1}\}. \end{split}$$

Clearly f is in F_1 and e is in F_2 . Case 2: n is odd

In this case, $O - u_i$ as well as $I - v_i$ have a unique 1-factor for each *i*.

P(1): Let $f = u_r u_{r+1}, 3 \le r \le n-1$.

If r is odd, then take i = n. If r is even, then take i = 3. Let $F = F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3$, where F_1 is the unique 1-factor of $O - u_i$, $F_2 = \{u_i v_i\}$ and F_3 is the unique 1-factor of $I - v_i$.

P(2): Let $f = v_r v_{r+k}, 1 \leq r \leq n$.

In this case, $n \ge 2k + 1$. Here we have to handle the cases n = 2k + 1, 2k + 3, 3k - 1, and n = 3k + 1 carefully. In what follows, F_1 will always be the set of all spokes not on points of F_2 and F_3 . For $n \ne 3k - 1$, 3k + 1, we take $F = F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3$ with $F_2 = \{u_iu_{i+1}, u_ju_{j+1}, u_{i+k}u_{i+1+k}, u_{j+k}u_{j+1+k}\}, F_3 = \{v_iv_{i+k}, v_{i+1}v_{i+1+k}, v_{j+1}v_{j+1+k}\}, where i and j are given in the following table:$

		i	j
n = 2k + 1	$r\in\{k,k+1,k+2,k+3\}$	k+2	k
	$r \not\in \{1, 2, k, k+1, k+2, k+3, n, n-1\}$	1	r
2k + 3 < n	$r \in \{k+1, k+2, n-k+1, n-k+2\}$	n+1-k	k + 1
$n \neq 3k+1, 3k+1$	$r\not\in\{1,2,k,k+1,k+2,n-k$	1	r
	$n-k+1, n+2-k, n\}$		
n = 2k + 3	r = 2k + 3	k + 4	k+2

See figure 1 for the case k = 5, n = 2k + 3 = 13, r = n - k = 8 and Figure 2 for the case k = 5, n = 2k + 1 = 11, r = n - 1 = 10.

Here the cases $f = v_1v_{1+k}$, v_2v_{2+k} are not considered since these edges appear along with the edge u_1u_2 in most of the 1-factors given by the table. Also, the cases $f = v_kv_{2k}$ (when $n \neq 2k + 1$), $v_{n-k}v_n$ (when $n \neq 2k + 3$), v_nv_k are not considered, since these edges appear along with the edge u_1u_2 in the 1-factor for the values



 $r=k-1,\,n-k-1,\,n-1$ respectively, given by the table. For several values of r, this table in fact gives two distinct 1-factors containing u_1u_2 and $v_rv_{r+k}.$

Let n = 3k - 1.

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm If}\ r \in \{2,k+1,n-k+1=2k\}, \ {\rm we \ take}\ F_2 = \{u_1u_2,u_{k+1}u_{k+2},u_{2k}u_{2k+1}\}, \\ F_3 = \{v_{2k}v_1,v_2v_{k+2},v_{k+1}v_{2k+1}\}. \\ {\rm If}\ r \in \{1,k+2,n-k+2=2k+1\}, \ {\rm we \ take}\ F_2 = \{u_1u_2,u_{k+1}u_{k+2},u_{2k+1}u_{2k+2}\}, \\ F_3 = \{v_1u_2,u_{k+1}u_{k+2},u_{2k+1}u_{2k+2}\}, \\ F_3 = \{v_1v_1+k,v_2v_{k+1}v_2,v_{k+2}v_{2k+2}\}. \end{array}$

If $r \notin \{1, 2, k, k+1, k+2, 2k = n-k+1, 2k+1, n-k = 2k-1, n\}$, we can take $F_2 = \{u_1u_2, u_ru_{r+1}, u_{1+k}u_{2+k}, u_{r+k}u_{r+1+k}\}$, $F_3 = \{v_1v_{1+k}, v_2v_{2+k}, v_rv_{r+k}, v_{r+1}v_{r+1+k}\}$. Here it may appear that the cases $f = v_kv_{2k}, v_{n-k}v_n, v_nv_{n+k}$ are not considered. But we note that these edges appear along with u_1u_2 in the 1-factors for r = k - 1, n - k - 1, n - 1, respectively, except when k = 4, r = n - k = 7. But in this case the edges u_1u_2 and v_1v_1 appear in the 1-factor

 $\{u_1u_2, u_4u_5, u_6u_7, u_8u_9, u_{10}u_{11}, u_3v_3, v_7v_{11}, v_4v_8, v_1v_5, v_9v_2, v_6v_{10}\}$

Finally, let n = 3k + 1.

If $r \in \{2, k+1, n+1-k=2k+2, \}$, we take $F_2 = \{u_1u_2, u_{k+1}u_{k+2}, u_{2k+1}u_{2k+2}\}$, $F_3 = \{v_{2+2k}v_1, v_{2+k}v_2, v_{k+1}v_{2k+1}\}$.

If $r \in \{1, k+2, n-k+2 = 2k+3\}$, we take $F_2 = \{u_1u_2, u_{k+1}u_{k+2}, u_{2k+2}u_{2k+3}\}$, $F_3 = \{v_1v_{k+1}, v_{k+2}v_{2k+2}, v_{n+2-k}v_2\}$.

If $r \notin \{1, 2, k, k+1, k+2, n-k = 2k+1, 2k+2, 2k+3, n\}$, we take $F_2 = \{u_1u_2, u_ru_{r+1}, u_{1+k}u_{2+k}, u_{r+k}u_{r+1+k}\}$, $F_3 = \{v_1v_{1+k}, v_2v_{2+k}, v_rv_{r+k}, v_{r+1}v_{r+1+k}\}$. Again the edges $f = v_nv_{2k}, v_{2k+1}v_n, v_kv_n$ appear along with the edge u_1u_2 in the 1-factors for r = k - 1, 2k, n - 1, respectively.

P(3): Let $f = u_r v_r$, $3 \leq r \leq n$.

If r is odd, then take i = r and F as in P(1).

If r is even, consider four points u_{k+1} , u_{k+2} , u_{n+1-k} , u_{n+2-k} . Since n is odd, $k+1 \neq n+1-k$ and $k+2 \neq n+2-k$. Moreover, n > 2k-1 implies that



 $k + 1 \neq n + 2 - k$. If u_r is different from u_{k+1}, u_{k+2} , take i = k + 1. If u_r is one of u_{k+1}, u_{k+2} but $r \neq n - k + 1, n - k + 2$, we take i = n + 1 - k. We then let $F = \{u_1u_2, u_iu_{i+1}, v_1v_i, v_2v_{i+1}\} \cup \{u_jv_j: j \neq 1, 2, i, i + 1\}.$

Finally, suppose r = k + 2 = n + 1 - k. Here k = r - 2 and hence it is even. Let $F_1 = \{u_1u_2, u_rv_r, u_3v_3, u_nv_n, v_1v_{k+1} = v_1v_{r-1}, v_2v_{\tau+2-k} = v_2v_{r+1}\}$. To construct F_2 , consider the path from u_4 to u_{r-1} in the cycle O. This path contains k-2 points, where k-2 is even. Hence this path of odd length has a unique 1-factor. Similarly, the path from u_{r+1} to u_{n-1} on the cycle O also has unique 1-factor. Take F_2 to be the union of these two 1-factors. Let $F_3 = \{v_4v_{4+k}, v_5v_{5+k}, \ldots, v_{r-2}v_{r-2+k} = v_kv_{2k}\}$. Now let $F = F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3$. See Figure 3 for the case k = 6, n = 2k + 1 = 13, r = 8.



This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark. We have assumed $k \ge 4$ but the construction given here can be suitably modified for the cases (i) $k = 2, n \ge 7, n$ odd and (ii) k = 3. Note that the Petersen graph GP(5, 2) is not 2-extendable.

References

 G. Schrag and L. Cammack: On the 2-extendability of the generalized Petersen graphs. Discrete Math. 78 (1989), 169-177.

Authors' addresses: N. B. Limaye, Department of Mathematics, University of Bombay, Bombay, 400 098, India; Mulupuri Shanthi C. Rao, Wilson College, Bombay, 400 007, India.

