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# ON THEOREMS OF PU \& PU AND GRANDE 
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Summary. Given a finite family of cliquish functions, $\mathfrak{A}$, we can find a Lebesgue function $\alpha$ such that $f+\alpha$ is Darboux and quasi-continuous for every $f \in \mathfrak{A}$. This theorem is a generalization both of the theorem by $\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{W} . \mathrm{Pu} \& \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{Pu}$ and of the theorem by Z. Grande.
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In 1987 H. W. Pu and H. H. Pu [3] proved the following theorem.
Theorem 1. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a finite family of Baire one functions. Then there is a Baire one function $f$ such that $f+g$ is a Darboux function for each $g \in \mathfrak{A}$.

This theorem was generalized in 1992 by Z. Grande [1].

Theorem 2. Let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}$ be cliquish functions. There is a Baire one function $f$ such that $f \neq 0$ only on a null set and all sums $f+f_{i}, i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, are Darboux functions.

In this paper I prove that given a finite family of cliquish functions, $\mathfrak{A}$, we can find a Lebesgue function $\alpha$ such that $f+\alpha$ is Darboux and quasi-continuous for every $f \in \mathfrak{A}$. Clearly we cannot require that $\alpha \neq 0$ only on a null set.

First we need some notation. The real line $(-\infty, \infty)$ is denoted by $\mathbb{R}$ and the set of positive integers by $\mathbb{N}$. The word function means a mapping from $\mathbb{R}$ into $\mathbb{R}$. The words measure, summable etc. refer to the Lebesgue measure and integral in $\mathbb{R}$. The Euclidean metric in $\mathbb{R}$ will be denoted by $\varrho$. For every set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ let $\operatorname{cl} A$ be its

[^0]closure and $|A|$ its outer Lebesgue measure. A symbol like $\int_{A} f$ will always mean the Lebesgue integral.

Let $f$ be a function and let $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ be non-empty. We will write $\sup (f, A)$ for $\sup \{f(x): x \in A\}$ and we denote $\inf (f, A)=-\sup (-f, A)$. The oscillation of $f$ on $A$ will be denoted by $\omega(f, A)$, i.e., $\omega(f, A)=\sup (f, A)-\inf (f, A)$. Similarly, the oscillation of $f$ at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ will be denoted by $\omega(f, x)$, i.e., $\omega(f, x)=$ $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \omega(f,[x-r, x+r])$. The set of points of continuity of $f$ will be denoted by $C(f)$.

We say that a function $f$ is quasi-continuous (cliquish) at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ if for each $\varepsilon>0$ and each open set $U \ni x$ we can find a non-empty open set $V \subset U$ such that $\omega(f,\{x\} \cup V)<\varepsilon(\omega(f, V)<\varepsilon$, respectively $)$. We say that $f$ is quasi-continuous (cliquish) if it is quasi-continuous (cliquish) at each point $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Cliquish functions are also known as pointwise discontinuous.

We will use the following well-known (and easy to prove) facts.

- A function $f$ is quasi-continuous iff for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a sequence $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots \in C(f)$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ and $f\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow f(x)$.
- A function $f$ is cliquish iff $C(f)$ is residual. In particular, every Baire one function is cliquish.
We say that $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is a Lebesgue point of a function $\alpha$ if $\alpha$ is locally summable at $x$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{x}^{x+r}|\alpha-\alpha(x)| / r=0$. We say that $\alpha$ is a Lebesgue function if each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is a Lebesgue point of $\alpha$.

The proof of the next lemma is straightforward. (Cf. also Lemma 3.3 of [2].)
Lemma 3. Let $I$ be a compact interval, let functions $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}$ be cliquish, $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ nowhere dense, $L \geqslant \eta \geqslant \sup \left\{\omega\left(g_{i}, I\right): i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}\right\}$, and $\varepsilon>0$. Then there is a nowhere dense perfect set $F \subset I \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} C\left(g_{i}\right) \backslash \operatorname{cl} K$ and a continuous function $\alpha$ such that $|\alpha|<L+\eta, \alpha=0$ on $\operatorname{cl} K \cup(\mathbb{R} \backslash I), \int_{I}|\alpha|<\varepsilon$, and $\left(g_{i}+\alpha\right)(F) \supset$ $\left[\inf \left(g_{i}, I\right)-L, \sup \left(g_{i}, I\right)+L\right]$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$.

Theorem 4. Let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}$ be cliquish functions and $\eta>0$. There is a Lebesgue function $\alpha$ such that $f_{i}+\alpha$ is Darboux and quasi-continuous for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, $C(\alpha) \supset \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} C\left(f_{i}\right)$ and $|\alpha|<\sup \left\{\omega\left(f_{i}, x\right): i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}, x \in \mathbb{R}\right\}+\eta$.

Proof. Denote $C=\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} C\left(f_{i}\right)$. Set $\eta_{0}=\sup \left\{\omega\left(f_{i}, x\right): i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}, x \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$, $\alpha_{0}=0$ and $B_{0}=F_{0}=\emptyset$. We will proceed by induction. Fix an $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Put $\eta_{n}=\eta / 2^{n+1}$ and $B_{n}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}: \omega\left(f_{i}, x\right) \geqslant \eta_{n}\right.$ for some $\left.i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}\right\}$. (Clearly we may assume that $\eta_{1}<\eta_{0}$.) Find a family of non-overlapping compact intervals $\mathcal{I}_{n}=\left\{I_{n, m}: m \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ such that $\bigcup \mathcal{I}_{n}=\mathbb{R} \backslash B_{n}$ and each $x \notin B_{n}$ belongs
to the interior of the union of some two elements of $\mathcal{I}_{n}$. Since each $I_{n, m}$ is compact and $\omega\left(f_{i}, x\right)<\eta_{n}$ for each $x \in I_{n, m}$ and $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, and $\alpha_{n-1}$ is continuous out of $B_{n-1}$, so we may assume that $\max \left\{\omega\left(f_{i}+\alpha_{n-1}, I_{n, m}\right): i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}\right\}<\eta_{n}$; we moreover assume that $\left|I_{n, m}\right|<\varrho\left(I_{n, m}, B_{n}\right)$.

Fix an $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\eta_{n-1}<+\infty$, then set $L_{n, m}=\eta_{n-1}$. Otherwise set $L_{n, m}=$ $2 \max \left\{\sup \left(\left|f_{i}+\alpha_{n-1}\right|, I_{n, m}\right): i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}\right\}+m$. (This case is possible only if $n=1$.) Use Lemma 3 to find a nowhere dense perfect set $F_{n, m} \subset I_{n, m} \cap C \backslash F_{n-1}$ and a continuous function $\alpha_{n, m}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\alpha_{n, m}\right|<L_{n, m}+\eta_{n} \quad \text { on } I_{n, m},  \tag{1}\\
\alpha_{n, m}=0 \quad \text { on } F_{n-1} \cup\left(\mathbb{R} \backslash I_{n, m}\right),  \tag{2}\\
\int_{I_{n, m}}\left|\alpha_{n, m}\right|<2^{-m}\left|I_{n, m}\right|,
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(f_{i}+\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_{n, m}\right)\left(F_{n, m}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& \quad \supset\left[\inf \left(f_{i}+\alpha_{n-1}, I_{n, m}\right)-L_{n, m}, \sup \left(f_{i}+\alpha_{n-1}, I_{n, m}\right)+L_{n, m}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

for $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$.
Define $F_{n}=F_{n-1} \cup \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} F_{n, m}$ and $\alpha_{n}=\alpha_{n-1}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n, m}$. It is easy to show that each $x \in B_{n}$ is a Lebesgue point of $\alpha_{n}$. Since $\alpha_{n}$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R} \backslash B_{n}$, so $\alpha_{n}$ is a Lebesgue function. Note that $\alpha_{n}=0$ on $B_{n} \cup F_{n-1}$.

By (1), the sequence ( $\alpha_{n}$ ) is uniformly convergent, so its sum, which we denote by $\alpha$, is a Lebesgue function. By the construction, $C(\alpha) \supset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} \backslash B_{n}\right)=C$, while $|\alpha|<\eta_{0}+2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta_{n}=\eta_{0}+\eta$.

Suppose that $f_{i}+\alpha$ is not Darboux for some $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$. Let $a, b, y \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\left(f_{i}+\alpha\right)(a)<y<\left(f_{i}+\alpha\right)(b)$ but $\left(f_{i}+\alpha\right)(x)=y$ for no $x$ between $a$ and $b$. Assume that, e.g., $a<b$. (The other case is analogous.) Set $x_{0}=\sup \{x \in[a, b]$ : $\left(f_{i}+\alpha\right)(t)<y$ for each $\left.t \in[a, x] \cap C\right\}$. By the definition, either $\left(f_{i}+\alpha\right)\left(x_{0}\right)<y$ and there is a sequence $\left(t_{l}\right)$ of elements of $C$ such that $t_{l} \searrow x_{0}$ and $\left(f_{i}+\alpha\right)\left(t_{l}\right)>y$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$, or $\left(f_{i}+\alpha\right)\left(x_{0}\right)>y$ and there is a sequence $\left(t_{l}\right)$ of elements of $C$ such that $t_{l} \nearrow x_{0}$ and $\left(f_{i}+\alpha\right)\left(t_{l}\right)<y$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$. We will consider the first case only. (The other case is analogous.)

One can easily see that $x_{0} \notin C\left(f_{i}\right)$. So $x_{0} \in B_{n} \backslash B_{n-1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
If $\eta_{n-1}=\infty$, then take an $m>|y|$ with $I_{n, m} \subset[a, b]$. Then by (4), there is an $x \in F_{n, m} \subset[a, b]$ with $\left(f_{i}+\alpha_{n}\right)(x)=y$. However, by $(2), \alpha_{l}(x)=0$ for each $l>n$, so $\left(f_{i}+\alpha\right)(x)=y$, contradicting our assumption.

If $\eta_{n-1}<\infty$, then there is a $\delta \in\left(0, b-x_{0}\right)$ with $\omega\left(f_{i}+\alpha_{n-1},\left[x_{0}, x_{0}+\delta\right]\right)<\eta_{n-1}$. Let $t \in\left(x_{0}, x_{0}+\delta / 4\right) \cap C$ be such that $\left(f_{i}+\alpha\right)(t)>y$. We will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{i}+\alpha_{k-1}\right)(t)>y \text { for each } k \geqslant n \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, suppose that this condition fails. Since by $(1),\left(f_{i}+\alpha_{k}\right)(t)>y$ for each sufficiently large $k$, there is a $k \geqslant n$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{i}+\alpha_{k-1}\right)(t) \leqslant y<\left(f_{i}+\alpha_{k}\right)(t) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $t \in I_{k, m}$. Then $y>\inf \left(f_{i}+\alpha_{k-1}, I_{k, m}\right)-L_{k, m}$, so by (5), there is a $z \in F_{k, m}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{i}+\alpha_{k}\right)(z)=\sup \left(f_{i}+\alpha_{k-1}, I_{k, m}\right)+L_{k, m}<y \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $z \in I_{k+1, p}$. Since (1) and (6) yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup \left(f_{i}+\alpha_{k}, I_{k+1, p}\right)+\eta_{k} & \geqslant\left(f_{i}+\alpha_{k}\right)(z)+\eta_{k}=\sup \left(f_{i}+\alpha_{k-1}, I_{k, m}\right)+L_{k, m}+\eta_{k} \\
& \geqslant\left(f_{i}+\alpha_{k-1}\right)(t)+L_{k, m}+\eta_{k} \geqslant\left(f_{i}+\alpha_{k}\right)(t)>c
\end{aligned}
$$

so (7) and (4) imply that there is an $x \in F_{k+1, p} \subset\left[x_{0}, b\right]$ with $\left(f_{i}+\alpha_{k+1}\right)(x)=y$. It follows that $\left(f_{i}+\alpha\right)(x)=y$, contradicting our assumption.

The condition (5) implies, in particular, that $\left(f_{i}+\alpha_{n-1}\right)(t)>y$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $t \in I_{n, m}$. Using $t \in\left(x_{0}, x_{0}+\delta / 4\right) \cap C$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup \left(f_{i}+\alpha_{n-1}, I_{n, m}\right)+\eta_{n-1} & >\left(f_{i}+\alpha_{n-1}\right)(t)>y>\left(f_{i}+\alpha_{n-1}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& >\left(f_{i}+\alpha_{n-1}\right)(t)-\eta_{n-1} \\
& \geqslant \inf \left(f_{i}+\alpha_{n-1}, I_{n, m}\right)-\eta_{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by (4), there is an $x \in F_{n, m}$ with $\left(f_{i}+\alpha_{n}\right)(x)=y$. So $x \in[a, b]$ and $\left(f_{i}+\alpha\right)(x)=y$, contradicting our assumption.

We have shown that $f_{i}+\alpha$ is Darboux. Now we will prove that for each interval $I$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{i}+\alpha\right)(I)=\left(f_{i}+\alpha\right)(I \cap C) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inclusion " $\supset$ " is evident. To prove the converse inclusion fix an interval $I$ and take an $x_{0} \in I \backslash C$. Arguing as above, we can find $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in F_{n, m} \subset I$ such that $\left(f_{i}+\alpha_{n}\right)(x)=\left(f_{i}+\alpha_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)$. So (8) holds. This condition yields that $f_{i}+\alpha$ is quasi-continuous, which completes the proof.

The next corollary is a generalization of Theorem 4.2 of [2].
Corollary 5. Given a cliquish function $f$, we can find a quasi-continuous Lebesgue function $\alpha$ such that $f-\alpha$ is Darboux and quasi-continuous and $C(\alpha) \supset C(f)$. Moreover, we can require $\alpha$ to be bounded provided $f$ is bounded.

Proof. Use Theorem 4 for the family $\{-f, 0\}$.
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