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# EXISTENCE OF SOLUTION TO NON-LINEAR BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION OF THE SECOND ORDER IN HILBERT SPACE 

Eva Rovderová, Bratislava

(Received January 31, 1991)

Summary. In this paper we deal with the boundary value problem in the Hilbert space. Existence of a solution is proved by using the method of lower and upper solutions. It is not necessary to suppose that the homogeneous problem has only the trivial solution. We use some results from functional analysis, especially the fixed-point theorem in the Banach space with a cone (Theorem 4.1, [5]).
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In this paper we consider

- an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space $H$ with a countable orthonormal base $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty},(.,$.$) is a scalar product, \|\cdot\|$ is a norm;
- the space $X=L_{2}(\langle a, b\rangle, H)$ of abstract functions $y:\langle a, b\rangle \rightarrow H$ such that $\|y\|_{2}=\left(\int_{a}^{b}\|y(t)\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}<\infty ;$
- the cone $K$ in $X$ defined by $K=\left\{y \in X: y_{i}(t)=\left(y(t), e_{i}\right) \geqslant 0, i=1,2, \ldots t \in\right.$ $\langle a, b\rangle\}$.

It is proved in [6] that $K$ is a normal, regular, strongly minihedral cone in $X$.
We deal with the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
L y=\left(p(t) \cdot y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) \cdot y=f(t, y) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
U y: U_{1} y=\alpha_{0} \cdot y(a)+\alpha_{1} \cdot y^{\prime}(a)=0 \\
U_{2} y=\beta_{0} \cdot y(b)+\beta_{1} \cdot y^{\prime}(b)=0 \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

where

1. $y:\langle a, b\rangle \rightarrow H$,
2. the functions $p, q:\langle a, b\rangle \rightarrow R$ are continuous and $p(t)>0$ on $\langle a, b\rangle$,
3. $D \subseteq H, f \in L_{2}(\langle a, b\rangle \times D, H)$ and there exists $M \in R$ such that the function $f(t, y)+M y$ is nonincreasing in $y$ for every fixed $t \in\langle a, b\rangle$,
4. $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \beta_{0}, \beta_{1}$ are real numbers such that $\left|\alpha_{0}\right|+\left|\alpha_{1}\right|>0,\left|\beta_{0}\right|+\left|\beta_{1}\right|>0$.

Remark 1. If there exists $M \in R$ such that the function $f(t, y)+M \cdot y$ is nonincreasing in $y \in D$, then for every $M_{1} \in(-\infty, M)$ the function $f(t, y)+M_{1} \cdot y$ is nonincreasing in $y \in D$. In the case $H=R$ we obtain the scalar problem (1), (2). Let us suppose that the scalar homogeneous problem has only the trivial solution. Then there exists the Green function $G_{1}(t, s)$ and the scalar problem is equivalent to the integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=\int_{a}^{b} G_{1}(t, s) \cdot f(s, y(s)) \mathrm{d} s \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will use the following spaces:
$C((a, b\rangle, H)$ with the norm $\|y\|_{0}=\sup _{(a, b)}\|y(t)\|$
$C^{1}(\langle a, b\rangle, H)$ with the norm $\|y\|_{1}=\|y\|_{0}+\sup _{(a, b)}\left\|y^{\prime}(t)\right\|$.
We are looking for a solution $y$ of BVP (1), (2) in the space $C^{1}(\langle a, b\rangle, H)$.
Lemma 1. (Lemma 1, [2]). If the scalar problem (1), (2) is equivalent to the equation (3), then also the problem (1), (2) in the Hilbert space $H$ is equivalent to the equation (3) and the Green function $G_{1}(t, s):\langle a, b\rangle \times\langle a, b\rangle \rightarrow R$ is given by the homogeneous scalar problem (1), (2).

Lemma 2. Let $f \in K$. Then also $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) \mathrm{d} t \in K$.
Proof. Since $f \in K$ we have $f(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{i}(t) \cdot e_{i} t \in\langle a, b\rangle$ where $f_{i}(t)=$ $\left(f(t), e_{i}\right) \geqslant 0$ for $i=1,2, \ldots$ Further we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(t) \cdot e_{i} \rightarrow f(t) \text { for } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

$\left|\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(t) e_{i}\right\|-\|f(t)\|\right| \leqslant\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(t) e_{i}-f(t)\right\| \rightarrow 0$. Then $\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(t) e_{i}\right\|<\varepsilon+\|f(t)\|$. Since $\left(\int_{a}^{b}\|f(t)\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}<\infty$ the integral $\int_{a}^{b}\|f(t)\| \mathrm{d} t$ also exists. Using the Lebesgue
dominated convergence theorem we get

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(t) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{a}^{b}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{i}(t) \cdot e_{i}\right) \mathrm{d} t=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\int_{a}^{b} f_{i}(t) \mathrm{d} t\right) \cdot e_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F_{i} \cdot e_{i}
$$

where real functions $F_{i}$ satisfy $F_{i}=\int_{a}^{b} f_{i}(t) \mathrm{d} t=$ const $\geqslant 0$. Hence the proof is complete.

Lemma 3. Let $\lambda_{0}$ be first characteristic number of the scalar BVP

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(p(t) \cdot y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+(q(t)+\lambda) \cdot y=0  \tag{4}\\
U y=0 \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then for every $M \in\left(-\infty, \lambda_{0}\right)$ the scalar BVP

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(p(t) \cdot y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+(q(t)+M) \cdot y=0  \tag{6}\\
U y=0 \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

has only the trivial solution and the Green function $G(t, s)$ satisfies $G(t, s) \leqslant 0$ on $\langle a, b\rangle \times\langle a, b\rangle$.

Proof. Let us denote the characteristic numbers of the scalar BVP (4), (5) by $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \ldots$ supposing

$$
\lambda_{0}<\lambda_{1}<\ldots<\lambda_{n}<\ldots
$$

Then the characteristic numbers of the scalar BVP

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(p(t) \cdot y^{\prime}\right)+(q(t)+M+\lambda) \cdot y=0  \tag{7}\\
U y=0 \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

are $\lambda_{0}-M, \lambda_{1}-M, \ldots, \lambda_{n}-M, \ldots$. If we take $M<\lambda_{0}$ then all characteristic numbers of (7), (5) are positive and such that the Green function $G(t, s)$ satisfies $G(t, s)<0$ on $(a, b) \times(a, b)$. Hence BVP (6), (5) has only the trivial solution.

Corollary 1. Let the Green function $G_{1}(t, s)$ of the scalar BVP $L y=0, U y=0$ exist and satisfy $G_{1}(t, s) \leqslant 0$ on $\langle a, b\rangle \times\langle a, b\rangle$. Then for every $M \leqslant 0$ the Green function $G(t, s)$ of the scalar BVP (6), (5) exists and $G(t, s) \leqslant 0$ on $\langle a, b\rangle \times\langle a, b\rangle$.

Definition 1. An abstract function $\alpha$ or $\beta$ from the space $C^{1}((a, b), H)$ is called a lower or an upper function of equation (1), respectively, iff $L \alpha \geqslant f(t, \alpha)$ and $L \alpha \in X$ or $L \beta \leqslant f(t, \beta)$ and $L \beta \in X$, respectively, for $t \in\langle a, b\rangle$.

Theorem 1. Let the following assumptions hold:
(i) Let there exist $M \in R$ such that the function $f(t, y)+M \cdot y$ is nonicreasing in $y \in D$ for every fixed $t \in\langle a, b\rangle$ and let the Green function $G(t, s)$ of $B V P(6)$, (5) be such that $G(t, s)<0$ on $(a, b) \times(a, b)$
(ii) Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be respectively a lower and an upper function of equation (1) and let $\alpha \leqslant \beta$ and $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle \subseteq D$ hold,
(iii) Let BVP

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(p(t) \cdot y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+(q(t)+M) \cdot y=0 \\
U y=U \alpha
\end{gathered}
$$

have a solution $v \leqslant 0$. Similarly, let $B V P$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(p(t) \cdot y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+(q(t)+M) \cdot y=0 \\
U y=U \beta
\end{gathered}
$$

have a solution $w \geqslant 0$.
Then there exists a solution $y_{0}$ of BVP (1), (2) and it satisfies

$$
\alpha \leqslant y_{0} \leqslant \beta
$$

Proof. The equation (1) is equivalent to the equation

$$
\left(p(t) \cdot y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+(q(t)+M) \cdot y=f(t, y)+M \cdot y
$$

Hence the existence of a solution of BVP (1), (2) is equivalent to the existence of a solution of the integral equation

$$
y(t)=\int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) \cdot[f(s, y(s))+M \cdot y(s)] \mathrm{d} s
$$

Since $C(\langle a, b\rangle, H) \subseteq L_{2}(\langle a, b\rangle, H)$ we can define a set $D_{1}=\{y \in C(\langle a, b\rangle, D)$ : $y \in\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle\}$. Then $f(s, y(s)) \in X$ for $y(s) \in D_{1}, s \in\langle a, b\rangle$ so that the operator $T$ : $D_{1} \rightarrow X$,

$$
T y(t)=\int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) \cdot[f(s, y(s))+M \cdot y(s)] \mathrm{d} s \quad t \in\langle a, b\rangle
$$

is defined correctly. We will show that

1. $\alpha \leqslant T \alpha, \quad \beta \geqslant T \beta$;
2. $T$ is a monotone operator.

Thus it will be proved that $T: D_{1} \rightarrow D_{1}$. Let us denote $h=L \alpha-f(t, \alpha)$. Then $h \geqslant 0, L \alpha=f(t, \alpha)+h$. The function $\alpha$ can be written as $\alpha=v+v_{1}$, where $v$ is the function from the assumption (iii) and the function $v_{1}$ is a solution of BVP

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(p(t) \cdot y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+(q(t)+M) \cdot y=f(t, \alpha)+M \cdot \alpha+h  \tag{8}\\
U y=0, \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

The solution $v_{1}$ of BVP (8), (5) exists, because (i) implies that the Green function $G(t, s)$ of BVP (8), (5) exists. Hence we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(t) & =v(t)+\int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) \cdot[f(s, \alpha(s))+M \cdot \alpha(s)+h(s)] \mathrm{d} s \\
& =v(t)+\int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) \cdot h(s) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) \cdot[f(s, \alpha(s))+M \cdot \alpha(s)] \mathrm{d} s \\
& =v(t)+\int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) \cdot h(s) \mathrm{d} s+T \alpha(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $T \alpha(t)-\alpha(t)=-v(t)+\int_{a}^{b}-G(t, s) \cdot h(s) \mathrm{d} s$. From Lemma 2 we obtain that $T \alpha-\alpha \geqslant 0$ and so $\alpha \leqslant T \alpha$. The inequality $\beta \geqslant T \beta$ can be verified similarly. Now we prove the monotonicity of the operator $T$. Let $y_{1} \leqslant y_{2}$. Then

$$
T y_{2}-T y_{1}=\int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) \cdot\left[f\left(s, y_{2}(s)\right)+M \cdot y_{2}(s)-f\left(s, y_{1}(s)\right)-M \cdot y_{1}(s)\right] \mathrm{d} s .
$$

Again from Lemma 2 we get that $T y_{1} \leqslant T y_{2} . K$ is a strongly mnihedral cone in $X$, $T$ is a monotone operator in $D_{1}$ so that there exists $y_{0} \in D_{1}$ such that $y_{0}=T y_{0}$. Since $y_{0} \in D_{1}$ we have $T y_{0} \in C(\langle a, b\rangle, H)$. Let Diag $=\{(t, s) \in\langle a, b\rangle \times\langle a, b\rangle$ : $t=s\}$. The function $\frac{\partial G}{\partial t}$ is continuous on $\langle a, b\rangle \times\langle a, b\rangle$ except the set Diag. Then the theorem about parametric integrals yields that $y_{0} \in C^{1}(\langle a, b\rangle, H)$. Hence $y_{0}$ is a solution of BVP (1), (2) and it satisfies $\alpha \leqslant y_{0} \leqslant \beta$.

Remark 2. The verification of the assumptions of Theorem 1 is quite difficult. To simplify the assumption (i) we introduce a lemma:

Lemma 4. ([3], page 178). Let BVP (1), (2) be given. Let $q(t) \leqslant 0$ on $\langle a, b\rangle$ and let $\alpha_{0} \cdot \alpha_{1}<0, \beta_{0} \cdot \beta_{1}>0$. Then the Green function $G_{1}(t, s)$ of BVP $L y=0, U y=0$ is such that $G_{1}(t, s)<0$ on $(a, b) \times(a, b)$.

Example. Let us prove the existence of a solution of BVP

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{\prime \prime}-y=-\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{y}-1} \\
\alpha_{0} \cdot y(a)+\alpha_{1} \cdot y^{\prime}(a)=0 \\
\beta_{0} \cdot y(b)+\beta_{1} \cdot y^{\prime}(b)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

wheie $\alpha_{1}<0<\alpha_{0}$ and $\beta_{0}>0, \beta_{1}>0$.
Solution. It is sufficient to verify the assumptions of Theorem 1. Let $D=\{y \in$ $C(\langle a, b\rangle, R): y \geqslant 0\}$. Since the function $f(t, y)=-e^{\sqrt{y}-1}$ is decreasing in $y$, it is sufficient to put $M=0$. The property (i) follows from Lemma 4. Let us verify (ii). $\alpha=0$ is an element of $D, L \alpha=0 \geqslant-\mathrm{e}^{-1}$ and so $\alpha$ is a lower function of the given equation.
$\beta=1$ is an element of $D, L \beta=-1 \leqslant-\mathrm{e}^{1-1}=-1$ and so $\beta$ is an upper function of the given equation. At the same time the inequality $\alpha \leqslant \beta$ holds. Now we verify (iii).

Let us consider BVP

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v^{\prime \prime}-v=0 \\
& U v=U \alpha \quad \text { i.e. } \quad \alpha_{0} \cdot v(a)+\alpha_{1} \cdot v^{\prime}(a)=0 \\
& \beta_{0} \cdot v(b)+\beta_{1} \cdot v^{\prime}(b)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from Lemma 4 that this BVP has only the trivial solution, i.e. $v=0$. It remains to show that BVP

$$
\begin{gathered}
w^{\prime \prime}-w=0 \\
U w=U \beta \text { i.e. } \quad \begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{0} \cdot w(a)+\alpha_{1} \cdot w^{\prime}(a)=\alpha_{0} \\
\beta_{0} \cdot w(b)+\beta_{1} \cdot w^{\prime}(b)=\beta_{0}
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

has a solution $w \geqslant 0$. Solving this equation we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(t) & =c_{1} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{t}+c_{2} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-t}, \\
w^{\prime}(t) & =c_{1} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{t}-c_{2} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting into the boundary conditions we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{0} \cdot c_{1} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{a}+\alpha_{0} \cdot c_{2} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-a}+\alpha_{1} \cdot c_{1} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{a}-\alpha_{1} \cdot c_{2} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-a}=\alpha_{0} \\
\beta_{0} \cdot c_{1} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{b}+\beta_{0} \cdot c_{2} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-b}+\beta_{1} \cdot c_{1} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{b}-\beta_{1} \cdot c_{2} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-b}=\beta_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The determinant of the system is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det} & =\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}\right) \cdot e^{a} & \left(\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1}\right) \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-a} \\
\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1}\right) \cdot \mathrm{e}^{b} & \left(\beta_{0}-\beta_{1}\right) \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-b}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{a-b} \cdot\left(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}\right) \cdot\left(\beta_{0}-\beta_{1}\right)-\mathrm{e}^{b-a} \cdot\left(\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1}\right) \cdot\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $0<\mathrm{e}^{a-b}<\mathrm{e}^{b-a}$, we have $-\mathrm{e}^{a-b} \cdot\left(\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1}\right) \cdot\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1}\right)>-\mathrm{e}^{b-a} \cdot\left(\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1}\right) \cdot\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1}\right)$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det} & <\mathrm{e}^{a-b} \cdot\left[\left(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}\right) \cdot\left(\beta_{0}-\beta_{1}\right)-\left(\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1}\right) \cdot\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1}\right)\right] \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{a-b} \cdot\left[2 \cdot \alpha_{1} \cdot \beta_{0}-2 \cdot \alpha_{0} \cdot \beta_{1}\right]<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}_{1} & =\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{0} & \left(\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1}\right) \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-a} \\
\beta_{0} & \left(\beta_{0}-\beta_{1}\right) \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-b}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{-b} \cdot \alpha_{0} \cdot\left(\beta_{0}-\beta_{1}\right)-\mathrm{e}^{-a} \cdot \beta_{0} \cdot\left(\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1}\right)<0
\end{aligned}
$$

and also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}_{2} & =\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}\right) \cdot e^{a} & \alpha_{0} \\
\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1}\right) \cdot \mathrm{e}^{b} & \beta_{0}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{a} \cdot \beta_{0} \cdot\left(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}\right)-\mathrm{e}^{b} \cdot \alpha_{0} \cdot\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1}\right)<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $w(t)=\frac{\text { det }_{1}}{\operatorname{det}} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{t}+\frac{\text { det }_{2}}{\operatorname{det}} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-t}>0, t \in\langle a, b\rangle$, i.e. $w>0$. Now assumptions of Theorem 1 hold so that there exists a solution $y_{0}$ of the given BVP and

$$
0 \leqslant y_{0} \leqslant 1 .
$$

Lemma 5. Let $\alpha_{1}<0<\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}>0, \beta_{1}>0$ and let $M \in R$ be such that $q(t)+M \leqslant 0$ on $\langle a, b\rangle$. Then each of the scalar BVP's

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p(t) \cdot y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+(q(t)+M) \cdot y=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U y: U_{1} y=\alpha_{0} \cdot y(a)+\alpha_{1} \cdot y^{\prime}(a)=1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
U_{2} y=\beta_{0} \cdot y(b)+\beta_{1} \cdot y^{\prime}(b)=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(p(t) \cdot y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+(q(t)+M) \cdot y & =0  \tag{6}\\
U y: U_{1} y=\alpha_{0} \cdot y(a)+\alpha_{1} \cdot y^{\prime}(a) & =0  \tag{10}\\
U_{2} y=\beta_{0} \cdot y(b)+\beta_{1} \cdot y^{\prime}(b) & =1
\end{align*}
$$

has one and only one solution. These solutions are linearly independent and positive on $\langle a, b\rangle$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4 that the solutions of BVP (6), (9) and (6), (10) exist and are uniquely determined. Let $y_{1}$ be the solution of (6), (9) and $y_{2}$ of (6), (10). Evidently $y_{1}, y_{2}$ are linearly independent. We shall show that $y_{1}(t)>0$, $y_{2}(t)>0$ on $\langle a, b\rangle$. We present the proof for the solution $y_{2}$, the proof for $y_{1}$ is similar.

First we prove by contradiction that $y_{2} \not \equiv 0$.
Suppose that $t_{0}$ is the first number in $\langle a, b\rangle$ such that $y_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$. Since $y_{2}$ is the solution of the equation (6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{p\left(t_{0}\right) \cdot y_{2}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)}{p(t)}-\frac{1}{p(t)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}[q(s)+M] \cdot y_{2}(s) \mathrm{d} s \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t \in\langle a, b\rangle$. Evidently $y_{2}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \not \equiv 0$ because $y_{2}$ is a non-vanishing solution of the equation (6) with the condition $U_{2} y_{2}=1$. The condition $U_{1} y_{2}=0$ implies that $t_{0} \not \equiv a$. Hence $t_{0}>a$. Now (11) yields:
if $y_{2}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)<0$ then $y_{2}(a)>0, y_{2}^{\prime}(a)<0$,
if $y_{2}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)>0$ then $y_{2}(a)<0, y_{2}^{\prime}(a)>0$,
which contradicts the condition $U_{1} y_{2}(a)=0$. So we have proved that $y_{2}(t) \not \equiv 0$ on $\langle a, b\rangle$, i.e. $y_{2}(t)>0$ or $y_{2}(t)<0$ on $\langle a, b\rangle$.

Let us suppose that $y_{2}<0$. Form the condition $U_{1} y_{2}=0$ we get

$$
y_{2}^{\prime}(a)=-\frac{\alpha_{0}}{\alpha_{1}} \cdot y_{2}(a)<0
$$

From (11) for $t_{0}=a$ it follows that $y_{2}^{\prime}(t)<0$ on $\langle a, b\rangle$. Then $y_{2}(b)<0, y_{2}^{\prime}(b)<0$, which contradicts the boundary condition $U_{2} y_{2}=1$. Hence that $y_{2}(t)>0$ on $\langle a, b\rangle$, which completes the proof.

Definition 2. Abstract functions $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}$ are called linearly independent iff every identity

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{1} \cdot y_{1}+d_{2} \cdot y_{2}+\ldots+d_{n} \cdot y_{n}=0, \quad d_{i} \in R \quad \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, n \\
\text { i.e. } \quad d_{1} \cdot y_{1}(t)+d_{2} \cdot y_{2}(t)+\ldots+d_{n} \cdot y_{n}(t)=0 \quad t \in\langle a, b\rangle \\
d_{i} \in R \cdot \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, n,
\end{gathered}
$$

implies that $d_{i}=0$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.

Theorem 2. Let $\alpha_{1}<0<\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}>0, \beta_{1}>0$ and let $M \in R$ be such that $q(t)+M \leqslant 0$ on $\langle a, b\rangle$. Then each of BVP's

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(p(t) \cdot y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+(q(t)+M) \cdot y & =0  \tag{6}\\
U y: U_{1} y=\alpha_{0} \cdot y(a)+\beta_{1} \cdot y^{\prime}(a) & =e_{i}  \tag{12}\\
U_{2} y=\beta_{0} \cdot y(b)+\beta_{1} \cdot y^{\prime}(b) & =0
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(p(t) \cdot y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+(q(t)+M) \cdot y & =0  \tag{6}\\
U y: U_{1} y=\alpha_{0} \cdot y(a)+\beta_{1} \cdot y^{\prime}(a) & =0 \\
U_{2} y=\beta_{0} \cdot y(b)+\beta_{1} \cdot y^{\prime}(b) & =e_{i}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots$ has one and only one solution. These solutions are linearly independent and positive (by the cone $K$ ).

Proof. Lemma 5 implies that the scalar BVP (6), (9) has one and only one positive solution $y_{1}$. Let us define an abstract function $y_{1 i}\langle a, b\rangle \rightarrow H$ by

$$
y_{1 i}(t)=y_{1}(t) \cdot e_{i} \quad t \in\langle a, b\rangle .
$$

It is evident that $y_{1 i}$ is a solution of BVP (6), (12) in the Hilbert space $H$. Similarly, if $y_{2}$ is the solution of BVP (6), (10) then the abstract function $y_{2 i}:\langle a, b\rangle \rightarrow H$ defined by

$$
y_{2 i}(t)=y_{2}(t) \cdot e_{i} \quad t \in\langle a, b\rangle
$$

is a solution of BVP (6), (13). The uniqueness of $y_{1 i}, y_{2 i}$ follows from the uniqueness of $y_{1}, y_{2}$. Now we prove that they are linearly independent. Let

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& d_{1} \cdot y_{1 i}+d_{2} \cdot y_{2 i}=0 \\
\text { i.e. } & d_{1} \cdot y_{1} \cdot e_{i}+d_{2} \cdot y_{2} \cdot e_{i}=0 \\
\text { i.e. } & d_{1} \cdot y_{1}+d_{2} \cdot y_{2}=0
\end{array}
$$

Since $y_{1}, y_{2}$ are linearly independent we have $d_{1}=d_{2}=0$ and so $y_{1 i}, y_{2 i}$ are linearly independent. The continuity of $y_{1 i}, y_{2 i}$ and $y_{1 i}^{\prime}, y_{2 i}^{\prime}$ follows from the continuity of $y_{1}$, $y_{2}$ and $y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime}$, respectively.

Theorem 3. Let $\alpha_{1}<0<\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}>0, \beta_{1}>0$ and let $M \in R$ be such that $q(t)+M \leqslant 0$ on $\langle a, b\rangle$. Then there exists a solution of BVP

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(p(t) \cdot y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+(q(t)+M) \cdot y=0  \tag{6}\\
& U y: U_{1} y=a_{1} \geqslant 0 \quad(\leqslant 0)  \tag{14}\\
& U_{2} y=a_{2} \geqslant 0(\leqslant 0)
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}$ are given elements from $H$, and this solution is non-negative (nonpositive).

Proof. Let $y_{1}, y_{2}$ be the solutions of (6), (9);'(6), (10). Then $y=y_{1} \cdot a_{1}+y_{2} \cdot a_{2}$ is a solution of (6), (14). Since $y_{1}, y_{2}$ are positive real functions, $y$ is also a nonnegative abstract function.

Definition 3. The abstract function $\alpha$ or $\beta$ from the space $C^{1}(\langle a, b\rangle, H)$ is called respectively a lower or an upper solution of BVP (1), (2) iff

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L \alpha \geqslant f(t, \alpha), \quad U_{1} \alpha \leqslant 0, \quad U_{2} \alpha \leqslant 0 \\
& L \beta \leqslant f(t, \beta), \quad U_{1} \beta \geqslant 0, \quad U_{2} \geqslant 0, \text { respectively. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4. Let the following assumptions hold:
(i) $\alpha_{1}<0<\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}>0, \beta_{1}>0$, let $M \in R$ be such that $q(t)+M \leqslant 0$ on $\langle a, b\rangle$ and let the function $f(t, y)+M \cdot y$ be nonincreasing in $y \in D$;
(ii) let functions $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be a lower and an upper solution, respectively, of BVP (6), (2) such that $\alpha \leqslant \beta$ and $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle \subseteq D$. Then $B \dot{V} P(1)$, (2) has at least one solution $y_{0}$ and

$$
\alpha \leqslant y_{0} \leqslant \beta
$$

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 1 and 3.
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