Giuseppa Riccobono Convergence theorems for the PU-integral

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 125 (2000), No. 1, 77-86

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126264

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2000

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

125 (2000)

MATHEMATICA BOHEMICA

No. 1, 77-86

CONVERGENCE THEOREMS FOR THE PU-INTEGRAL

GIUSEPPA RICCOBONO, Palermo

(Received October 23, 1997)

Abstract. We give a definition of uniform PU-integrability for a sequence of μ -measurable real functions defined on an abstract metric space and prove that it is not equivalent to the uniform μ -integrability.

Keywords: PU-integral, PU-uniform integrability, μ -uniform integrability

MSC 1991: 05C10, 05C75

INTRODUCTION

In [4] we gave the definition of PU-integral on a suitable abstract metric measure space X and proved that this integral is equivalent to the μ -integral. Moreover, we gave an example of a non euclidean space verifying the previous results. In this paper, we give the definition of uniform PU-integrability for a sequence $\{f_n\}_n$ of real functions on X and prove that this concept is not equivalent to the uniform μ -integrability. Then, given a real function f on X, a suitable sequence $\{\bar{f}_n\}_n$ to be uniform PU-integrable.

PRELIMINARIES

In this paper X denotes a compact metric space, \mathcal{M} a σ -algebra of subsets of X such that each open set is in \mathcal{M} , μ a non-atomic, finite, Radon measure on \mathcal{M} such that

(i) each ball U(x,r) centered at x with radius r has a positive measure,

This work was supported by M.U.R.S.T.

(ii) for every x in X there is a number h(x) ∈ ℝ such that µ(U[x, 2r]) ≤ h(x) × µ(U[x, r]) for all r > 0 (where U[x, r]) is the closed ball),

(iii) $\mu(\partial U(x,r)) = 0$ where $\partial U(x,r)$ is the boundary of U(x,r).

We introduce the following basic concepts.

Definition 1. A partition of unity (PU-partition) in X is, by definition, a finite collection $P = \{(\theta_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^p$ where $x_i \in X$ and θ_i are non negative, μ -measurable and μ -integrable real functions on X such that $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_i(x) = 1$ a.e. in X.

Definition 2. Let δ be a positive function on X. A PU-partition is said to be δ -fine if $S_{\theta_i} = \{x \in X : \theta_i(x) \neq 0\} \subset U(x_i, \delta(x_i)), i = 1, 2, \dots, p.$

Definition 3. A real function f on X is said to be PU-integrable on X if there exists a real number I with the property that, for every given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a positive function $\delta \colon X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\sum_{i=1}^{p} f(x_i) \cdot \int_X \theta_i d\mu - I| < \varepsilon$ for each δ -fine PU-partition $P = \{(\theta_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^{p}$. The number I is called the PU-integral of f and we write $I = (\text{PU})\int_X f$.

Definition 4. A sequence $\{f_n\}_n$ of PU-integrable functions is uniformly PUintegrable on X if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive function δ on X such that

$$\left|\sum_{i} f_{n}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu - (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} f_{n}\right| < \varepsilon$$

for all n, whenever $P = \{(\theta_i, x_i)\}_i$ is a δ -fine PU-partition in X.

Definition 5. A sequence $\{f_n\}_n$ of real functions on X is a δ -Cauchy sequence if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a positive function δ on X and a positive integer \bar{n} such that

$$\left|\sum_{i} f_{n}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu - \sum_{i} f_{m}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu\right| < \varepsilon$$

for all $m, n \ge \bar{n}$ and for each δ -fine PU-partition $P = \{(\theta_i, x_i)\}_i$.

Definition 6. A sequence $\{f_n\}_n$ of μ -integrable functions is uniformly μ integrable on X if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer k such that

$$\int_{A_k^n} |f_n| \mathrm{d} \mu < \varepsilon$$

for all n, where $A_k^n = \{x \in X : |f_n(x)| > k\}.$

Definition 7. A real function f has small Riemann tails (sRt) if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a positive integer \bar{n} and a positive function δ on X such that

$$\left|\sum_{i} f\chi_{A_{n}}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu\right| < \varepsilon$$

for all $n \ge \overline{n}$ whenever $P = \{(\theta_i, x_i)\}_i$ is a δ -fine PU-partition in $X, A_n = \{x \in X : |f(x)| > n\}$ and χ_{A_n} is the characteristic function of A_n .

Definition 8. A function f has really small Riemann tails (rsRt) if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a positive integer n^* and a positive function δ on X such that

$$\left|\sum_{i} f(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu\right| < \varepsilon$$

whenever $P = \{(\theta_i, x_i)\}_i$ is an A_{n^*} δ -fine family, e.g. $S_{\theta_i} \subset U(x_i, \delta(x_i)), \sum_i \theta_i(x) \leqslant 1$ a.e. in X and $x_i \in A_{n^*}$.

We observe that if f has rsRt then f has sRt but the converse is not usually true.

PART I

Proposition 1. Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of real functions defined on X such that (i) f_n is PU-integrable on X for all n,

(ii) $\{f_n(x)\}_n$ converges pointwise to f(x) on X,

(iii) $\{f_n\}_n$ is uniformly PU-integrable on X,

then f is PU-integrable on X and

$$(\mathrm{PU})\int_X f = \lim_n (\mathrm{PU})\int_X f_n.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive function δ on X such that

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{p} f_n(x_i') \int_X \theta_i' \mathrm{d}\mu - (\mathrm{PU}) \int_X f_n\right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

for all n, where $P = \{(\theta'_i, x'_i)\}_{i=1}^p$ is a fixed δ -fine partition and by (ii), there exists a positive integer n^* such that

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^p f_n(x_i') \int_X \theta_i' \mathrm{d}\mu - \sum_{i=1}^p f_m(x_i') \int_X \theta_i' \mathrm{d}\mu\right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

for all $m, n \ge n^*$.

Consider

$$\begin{split} \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} f_{n} - (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} f_{m} \right| \\ & \leqslant \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} f_{n} - \sum_{i=1}^{p} f_{n}(x_{i}') \int_{X} \theta_{i}' \mathrm{d}\mu \right| \\ & + \left| \sum_{i=1}^{p} f_{n}(x_{i}') \int_{X} \theta_{i}' \mathrm{d}\mu - \sum_{i=1}^{p} f_{m}(x_{i}') \int_{X} \theta_{i}' \mathrm{d}\mu \right. \\ & + \left| \sum_{i=1}^{p} f_{m}(x_{i}') \int_{X} \theta_{i}' \mathrm{d}\mu - (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} f_{m} \right| \\ & < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon \end{split}$$

for all $m, n > n^*$.

So the sequence $\{(\mathrm{PU})\int_X f_n\}_n$ is a Cauchy sequence and let a be its limit. For each $\varepsilon>0$ there is a positive function δ on X such that

$$\left|\sum_{i} f_n(x_i) \int_X \theta_i - (\mathrm{PU}) \int_X f_n\right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

for all n, whenever $P=\{(\theta_i,x_i)\}_i$ is a $\delta\text{-fine PU-partition, and there is a positive integer <math display="inline">\bar{n}$ such that

$$\left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_X f_n - a \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

and

$$\left|\sum_{i} f(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu - \sum_{i} f_{n}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu\right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3},$$

for all $n \ge \bar{n}$.

Hence

$$\begin{split} \left| \sum_{i} f(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu - a \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{i} f(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu - \sum_{i} f_{n}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu \right| \\ &+ \left| \sum_{i} f_{n}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu - (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} f_{n} \right| - \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} f_{n} - a \right| < \varepsilon. \end{split}$$

So f is PU-integrable and a is its PU-integral.

Note 1. We observe that this theorem is not equivalent to the generalized Vitali convergence theorem. In fact, if we consider the sequence $\{f_n\}_n$ so defined $f_n(x) = 0$ if $x \in (0,1]$ and $f_n(x) = 2n$ if x = 0, it is easy to verify that it is uniformly μ -integrable but it is not uniformly PU-integrable.

Proposition 2. Let $\{f_n\}_n$ be a sequence of PU-integrable functions. Then $\{f_n\}_n$ is a δ -Cauchy sequence iff $\{f_n\}_n$ is uniformly PU-integrable and the sequence $\{(\text{PU}) \int_X f_n\}_n$ converges.

Proof. If the sequence $\{f_n\}_n$ is uniformly PU-integrable and the sequence $\{(\operatorname{PU})\int_X f_n\}_n$ converges, for $\varepsilon > 0$ there are a positive function δ on X and a positive integer \bar{n} s.t. for each $m, n > \bar{n}$

$$\left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_X f_n - (\mathrm{PU}) \int_X f_m \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3},$$

and for each δ -fine partition $P = \{(\theta_i, x_i)\}_i$ we have

$$\left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_X f_n - \sum_i f_n(x_i) \int_X \theta_i \mathrm{d}\mu \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

and

(PU)
$$\int_X f_m - \sum_i f_m(x_i) \int_X \theta_i d\mu \bigg| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Hence

$$\left|\sum_{i} f_{m}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu - \sum_{i} f_{n}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu\right| < \varepsilon$$

for all $m, n \ge \bar{n}$ and for each δ -fine partition P.

Now, suppose that $\{f_n\}_n$ is a δ -Cauchy sequence.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a positive integer \bar{n} and a positive function $\bar{\delta}$ on X s.t. for each $\bar{\delta}$ -fine partition $P = \{(\theta_i, x_i)\}_i$ and for $m, n \ge \bar{n}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_X f_m - \sum_i f_m(x_i) \int_X \theta_i \, \mathrm{d}\mu \right| &< \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \\ \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_X f_n - \sum_i f_n(x_i) \int_X \theta_i \, \mathrm{d}\mu \right| &< \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \end{split}$$

and

$$\left|\sum_{i} f_{m}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu - \sum_{i} f_{n}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \, \mathrm{d}\mu \right| < \underbrace{\sum_{i} f_{m}(x_{i})}_{X} \left| \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{d}\mu \right| \leq \underbrace{\sum_{i} f_{m}(x_{i})}_{X} \left| \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{$$

For a fixed $\bar{\delta}$ -fine partition $P = \{(\theta'_i, x'_i)\}_i$, consider

$$\begin{split} \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} f_{n} - (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} f_{m} \right| \\ &\leqslant \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} f_{m} - \sum_{i} f_{m}(x_{i}') \int_{X} \theta_{i}' \mathrm{d}\mu \right| \\ &+ \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} f_{n} - \sum_{i} f_{n}(x_{i}') \int_{X} \theta_{i}' \mathrm{d}\mu \right| \\ &+ \left| \sum_{i} f_{m}(x_{i}') \int_{X} \theta_{i}' \mathrm{d}\mu - \sum_{i} f_{n}(x_{i}') \int_{X} \theta_{i}' \mathrm{d}\mu \right| < \varepsilon \end{split}$$

for all $m, n \ge \overline{n}$. So it follows that the sequence $\{(\text{PU}) \int_X f_n\}_n$ is a Cauchy sequence. Now, for $\varepsilon > 0$, for each n there is a positive function δ_n on X s.t.

(*)
$$\left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_X f_n - \sum_i f_n(x_i) \int_X \theta_i \mathrm{d}\mu \right| < \varepsilon$$

whenever $P = \{(\theta_i, x_i)\}_i$ is a δ_n -fine partition.

Set $\delta_0 = \min \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{\bar{n}-1}\}$, then the condition (*) is true for $1 \leq n \leq (\bar{n}-1)$, whenever P is a δ_0 -fine partition. Choose an integer $n_0 \geq \bar{n}$ s.t.

$$\left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_X f_n - (\mathrm{PU}) \int_X f_m \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

for all $m, n \ge n_0$. Set $\overline{\delta}_1 = \min\{\overline{\delta}, \delta_{n_0}\}$; for each $n \ge n_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} f_{n} - \sum_{i} f_{n}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu \right| \\ &\leqslant \left| \sum_{i} f_{n_{0}}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu - \sum_{i} f_{n}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu \right| \\ &+ \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} f_{n_{0}} - \sum_{i} f_{n_{0}}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu \right| \\ &+ \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} f_{n} - (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} f_{n_{0}} \right| < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

whenever $P = \{(\theta_i, x_i)\}_i$ is a $\overline{\delta}_1$ -fine partition.

Hence, set $\delta = \min\{\overline{\delta}_1, \delta_0\}$, the relation (*) is true for each *n*, whenever *P* is a δ -fine partition.

PART II

Let f be a μ -measurable function on X; if $\{\overline{f}_n\}_n$ is the sequence defined so that

$$\bar{f}_n(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } |f(x)| \leqslant n, \\ 0 & \text{if } |f(x)| > n, \end{cases}$$

then the following propositions hold:

Proposition 3. The sequence $\{\bar{f}_n\}_n$ is uniformly PU-integrable iff f has small Riemann tails.

Proof. We observe that the functions \overline{f}_n are μ -integrable and by [4] they are PU-integrable. So, if $\{\overline{f}_n\}_n$ is uniformly PU-integrable, by Proposition 1, f is PU-integrable and

$$\mathrm{PU})\int_X f = \lim_n (\mathrm{PU})\int_X \bar{f}_n$$

Fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive function δ on X s.t.

$$\left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_X f - \sum_i f(x_i) \int_X \theta_i \mathrm{d}\mu \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

and

$$(\mathrm{PU})\int_{X}\bar{f}_{n}-\sum_{i}\bar{f}_{n}(x_{i})\int_{X}\theta_{i}\mathrm{d}\mu\bigg|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

for each n, whenever $P = \{(\theta_i, x_i)\}_i$ is a δ -fine PU-partition in X. Choose \bar{n} s.t.

$$(\mathrm{PU})\int_X \bar{f}_n - (\mathrm{PU})\int_X f \bigg| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for each $n \ge \bar{n}$, and let $P_1 = \{(\theta'_i, x'_i)\}_i$ be a δ -fine PU-partition in X; for $n \ge \bar{n}$ consider

$$\begin{split} \left| \sum_{i} f_{XA_{n}}(x_{i}') \int_{X} \theta_{i}' \mathrm{d}\mu \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i} f(x_{i}') \int_{X} \theta_{i}' \mathrm{d}\mu - \sum_{i} \bar{f}_{n}(x_{i}') \int_{X} \theta_{i}' \mathrm{d}\mu \right| \\ &\leq \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} f - \sum_{i} f(x_{i}') \int_{X} \theta_{i}' \mathrm{d}\mu \right| + \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} \bar{f}_{n} - \sum_{i} \bar{f}_{n}(x_{i}') \int_{X} \theta_{i}' \mathrm{d}\mu \right| \\ &+ \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} \bar{f}_{n} - (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} f \right| < \varepsilon, \end{split}$$

thus f has small Riemann tails.

Now, suppose that f has sRt, then the sequence $\{(\operatorname{PU})\int_X \overline{f}_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. In fact, fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer \overline{n} s.t. for $m, n \ge \overline{n}$ there is a positive function δ on X with the property that if $P = \{(\theta_i, x_i)\}_i$ is a δ -fine PU-partition in X, we have

$$\begin{split} \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} \bar{f}_{n} - (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} \bar{f}_{m} \right| \\ &\leq \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} \bar{f}_{n} - \sum_{i} \bar{f}_{n}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu \right| + \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} \bar{f}_{m} - \sum_{i} \bar{f}_{m}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu \right| \\ &+ \left| \sum_{i} f(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu - \sum_{i} \bar{f}_{n}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu \right| \\ &+ \left| \sum_{i} f(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu - \sum_{i} \bar{f}_{m}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu \right| \\ &= \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} \bar{f}_{n} - \sum_{i} \bar{f}_{n}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu \right| + \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} \bar{f}_{m} - \sum_{i} \bar{f}_{m}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu \right| \\ &+ \left| \sum_{i} f\chi_{A_{n}}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu \right| + \left| \sum_{i} f\chi_{A_{m}}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \end{split}$$

for all $m, n \ge \overline{n}$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist n_0 and a positive function δ_1 on X s.t.

$$\left|\sum_{i} f\chi_{A_n}(x_i) \int_X \theta_i \mathrm{d}\mu\right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

for each $n \ge n_0$, whenever P is a δ_1 -fine PU-partition in X. Choose $n_1 > \max\{\bar{n}, n_0\}$ s.t.

$$(\mathrm{PU})\int_X \bar{f}_n - (\mathrm{PU})\int_X \bar{f}_m \bigg| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

for each $m, n \ge n_1$, and choose $\delta \le \delta_1$ s.t.

$$\left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_X \tilde{f}_n - \sum_i \tilde{f}_n(x_i) \int_X \theta_i \mathrm{d}\mu \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

for $1 \leq n \leq n_1$, whenever $P = \{(\theta_i, x_i)\}_i$ is a δ -fine PU-partition.

Moreover, for each δ -fine PU-partition $P = \{(\theta_i, x_i)\}_i$ and for $n > n_1$ we have

$$\begin{split} \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} \bar{f}_{n} - \sum_{i} \bar{f}_{n}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu \right| \\ &\leqslant \left| \sum_{i} f(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu - \sum_{i} \bar{f}_{n}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu \right| \\ &+ \left| \sum_{i} f(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu - \sum_{i} \bar{f}_{n}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu \right| \\ &+ \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} \bar{f}_{n} - \sum_{i} \bar{f}_{n}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu \right| + \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} \bar{f}_{n} - (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} \bar{f}_{n_{1}} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i} f\chi_{A_{n}}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu \right| + \left| \sum_{i} f\chi_{A_{n_{1}}}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \right| \\ &+ \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} \bar{f}_{n_{1}} - \sum_{i} \bar{f}_{n}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu \right| + \left| (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} \bar{f}_{n} - (\mathrm{PU}) \int_{X} \bar{f}_{n_{1}} \right| \\ &< \frac{c}{4} + \frac{c}{4} + \frac{c}{4} + \frac{c}{4} = \varepsilon, \end{split}$$

which proves the uniform PU-convergence of the sequence $\{\bar{f}_n\}_n$.

Proposition 4. f has really small Riemann tails iff the sequence $\{\overline{f}_n\}_n$ is uniformly μ -integrable.

Proof. Set $A_n = \{x \in X : |f(x)| > n\}$, we observe that $\overline{|f|_n} = |\overline{f}_n|$ and if the sequence $\{\overline{f}_n\}_n$ is uniformly μ -integrable then so is the sequence $\{|\overline{f}|_n\}_n$. By the generalized Vitali theorem, it follows that

$$\lim_{n} \int_{X} |\tilde{f}_{n}| \mathrm{d}\mu = \int_{X} |f| \mathrm{d}\mu$$

and

$$\lim_{n} \int_{X} |f| \chi_{A_{n}} \mathrm{d}\mu = \lim_{n} \int_{X} (|f| - |\overline{f}_{n}|) \mathrm{d}\mu = 0$$

Thus, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer \bar{n} s.t. for each $n \ge \bar{n}$ we have

$$\int_X |f|\chi_{A_n} \,\mathrm{d}\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and there exists a positive function δ on X s.t.

$$\sum_{i} |f| \chi_{A_{\vec{n}}}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu - \int_{X} |f| \chi_{A_{\vec{n}}} \mathrm{d}\mu \bigg| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

85

whenever $P = \{(\theta_i, x_i)\}_i$ is a δ -fine PU-partition in X. We have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i} |f|\chi_{A_{\vec{n}}}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu \\ &\leqslant \left|\sum_{i} |f|\chi_{A_{\vec{n}}} \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu - \int_{X} |f|\chi_{A_{\vec{n}}} \mathrm{d}\mu\right| + \int_{X} |f|\chi_{A_{\vec{n}}} \mathrm{d}\mu \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon \end{split}$$

whenever P is a δ -fine partition.

Suppose that $P_1 = \{(\theta'_i, x'_i)\}_i$ is an $A_{\pi} \delta$ -fine family [see Definition 8], then it can be extended to a δ -fine partition $P = \{(\theta_i, x_i)\}_i$ in X and we have

$$\begin{split} \left|\sum_{i} f(x'_{i}) \int_{X} \theta'_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu\right| &\leq \sum_{i} |f(x'_{i})| \int_{X} \theta'_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu \\ &\leq \sum_{i} |f|_{X_{A_{\vec{n}}}}(x_{i}) \int_{X} \theta_{i} \mathrm{d}\mu < \varepsilon. \end{split}$$

Hence f has rsRt.

Now, suppose that f has rsRt, then f has sRt and by the previous Proposition 3 the sequence $\{\overline{f}_n\}_n$ is uniformly PU-integrable; so f is PU-integrable and by the results of [4] f is μ -integrable and so the sequence $\{\overline{f}_n\}_n$ is uniformly μ -integrable.

Note 2. By the results of the two previous propositions, we observe that for the sequence $\{\bar{f}_n\}_n$ the uniform PU-integrability is equivalent to the uniform μ -integrability, but in the general case, they are not equivalent [see Note 1].

References

 A. M. Bruckner: Differentiation of integrals. Supplement to the Amer. Math. Monthly 78 (1971), no. 9, 1–51.

 [2] R. A. Gordon: Another look at a convergence theorem for the Henstock integral. Real Anal. Exchange 15 (1989/90), 724–728.

[3] R. A. Gordon: Riemann tails and the Lebesgue and the Henstock integrals. Real Anal. Exchange 17 (1991/92), 789-795.

 [4] G. Riccobono: A PU-integral on an abstract metric space. Math. Bohem. 122 (1997), 83-95.

Author's address: Giuseppa Riccobono, Dipartimento di Matematica, Universitá di Palermo, Via Archirafi, 34, IT-90123 Palermo, Italy.

