

Ján Jakubík

Atomicity of the Boolean algebra of direct factors of a directed set

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 123 (1998), No. 2, 145–161

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126304>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1998

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ATOMICITY OF THE BOOLEAN ALGEBRA OF DIRECT FACTORS
OF A DIRECTED SET

JÁN JAKUBÍK, Košice

(Received December 9, 1996)

Abstract. In the present paper we deal with the relations between direct product decompositions of a directed set L and direct product decompositions of intervals of L .

Keywords: directed set, direct product decomposition, atomicity

MSC 1991: 06A06

1. INTRODUCTION

Basic results on direct product decompositions of partially ordered sets were proved in [1].

For a directed set L and an element s^0 of L we apply the notion of the internal direct product decomposition

$$\varphi^0: L \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} X_i^0$$

with the central element s^0 in the same sense as in [5]; cf. also Section 2 below. Here, X_i^0 are convex subsets of L containing the element s^0 ; they are called internal direct factors of L (with the central element s^0).

We denote by $D(L, s^0)$ the system of all direct factors of L with the central element s^0 . This system is partially ordered by the set-theoretical inclusion. Then $D(L, s^0)$ is a Boolean algebra.

If s^1 is another element of L , then the Boolean algebras $D(L, s^0)$ and $D(L, s^1)$ are isomorphic. Hence, if we consider the Boolean algebra $D(L, s^0)$ up to isomorphism, then it suffices to write $D(L)$ instead of $D(L, s^0)$.

In the case when L can be represented as a direct product of directly indecomposable direct factors we obtain that the Boolean algebra $D(L)$ is atomic. The converse implication does not hold in general.

Sufficient conditions for $D(L)$ to be atomic were found in [4] in the case when L is a lattice. In [6] sufficient conditions were given under which a complete lattice is a direct product of directly indecomposable direct factors. This result was generalized in [4]. For related results cf. also [2], [3].

We denote by

\mathcal{L}_a —the class of all directed sets L such that the Boolean algebra $D(L)$ is atomic;
 \mathcal{L}_b —the class of all directed sets L such that L is a direct product of directly indecomposable direct factors.

If $L \in \mathcal{L}_a$ and if L_1 is an interval of L then L_1 need not belong to \mathcal{L}_a .

In the present paper the following result will be proved:

(A) Let L be a directed set and let $\{L_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a system of intervals of L such that

- (i) the system $\{L_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a chain (under the partial order defined by the set-theoretical inclusion) and $\bigcup_{i \in I} L_i = L$;
- (ii) all L_i belong to \mathcal{L}_b .

Then L belongs to \mathcal{L}_a .

2. INTERNAL DIRECT FACTORS

We start by recalling some definitions and results from [5] concerning internal direct product decompositions of directed sets.

In the whole paper L denotes a directed set. For $u, v \in L$ with $u \leq v$ we denote by $[u, v]$ the corresponding interval of L . If X is a nonempty subset of L , then we consider X to be partially ordered (with the partial order inherited from L).

Let L_i ($i \in I$) be directed sets; their direct product will be denoted by $\prod_{i \in I} L_i$. If φ is an isomorphism of L onto $\prod_{i \in I} L_i$, then the relation

$$(1) \quad \varphi: L \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} L_i$$

is called a *direct product decomposition* of L .

For $i \in I$ and $x \in L$ we denote by $x(L_i, \varphi)$ the component of x in L_i under the morphisms φ . If $X \subseteq L$, then we put

$$X(L_i, \varphi) = \{x(L_i, \varphi) : x \in X\}.$$

L is called directly indecomposable if, whenever (1) is valid, then there is $i(1) \in I$ such that $\text{card } L_i = 1$ for each $i \in I \setminus \{i(1)\}$. In such a case L is isomorphic to $L_{i(1)}$.

Suppose that (1) holds. For each $i \in I$ and $x \in L$ we put

$$[x](L_i, \varphi_i) = \{y \in L : y(L_j, \varphi) = x(L_j, \varphi) \text{ for each } j \in I \setminus \{i\}\}.$$

Let s^0 be a fixed element of L ,

$$L_i^0 = [s^0](L_i, \varphi).$$

Given $x \in L$, there exists a uniquely determined element x_i in L_i^0 such that

$$x(L_i, \varphi) = x_i(L_i, \varphi).$$

The mapping

$$(2) \quad \varphi^0 \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} L_i^0$$

defined by

$$(2') \quad \varphi^0(x) = (\dots, x_i, \dots)_{i \in I}$$

is also a direct product decomposition of L . We call (2) an internal direct product decomposition with the central element s^0 . The direct factors L_i^0 are called internal. For each $i \in I$, L_i^0 is isomorphic to L_i .

In what follows, whenever we consider an internal direct product decomposition of L or of a subset of L , then we always suppose that the corresponding central element is s^0 .

From the definition of the internal product decomposition we immediately obtain:

2.1. Lemma. *Let (2) be an internal direct product decomposition and let $i \in I$, $x \in L$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $x \in L_i^0$;
- (ii) $x(L_i^0, \varphi^0) = x$;
- (iii) $x(L_j^0, \varphi^0) = s^0$ for each $j \in I \setminus \{i\}$.

2.2. Proposition. (Theorem (A) of [5].) *Suppose that two internal direct product decompositions are given,*

$$\psi_1 : L \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} A_i, \quad \psi_2 : L \longrightarrow \prod_{j \in J} B_j$$

such that there exist $i(1) \in I$ and $j(1) \in J$ with $A_{i(1)} = B_{j(1)}$. Then for each $x \in L$ the relation

$$x(A_{i(1)}, \psi_1) = x(B_{j(1)}, \psi_2)$$

is valid.

Hence, if (2) is as above, then instead of $x(L_i^0, \varphi^0)$ it suffices to write $x(L_i^0)$; for $X \subseteq L$, the meaning of $X(L_i^0)$ is analogous. Also, we will write

$$(2') \quad L = \prod_{i \in I} L_i^0$$

instead of (2).

2.3. Lemma. *Let (2') be valid and let $u, v \in L$, $u \leq s^0 \leq v$, $i \in I$. Then*

$$(2.3.1) \quad v(L_i^0) = \max\{t_1 \in L_i^0 : s^0 \leq t_1 \leq v\},$$

$$(2.3.2) \quad u(L_i^0) = \min\{t_2 \in L_i^0 : s^0 \geq t_2 \geq u\}.$$

Moreover, $v = \sup\{v(L_i^0)\}_{i \in I}$ and $u = \inf\{u(L_i^0)\}_{i \in I}$.

Proof. The relation (2.3.1) was proved in [5], Lemma 3.2. The relation (2.3.2) can be proved dually.

Further, in view of (2.3.1) we have $v(L_i^0) \leq v$ for each $i \in I$. Let $t \in L$ be such that $t \geq v(L_i^0)$ for each $i \in I$. Then for each $i \in I$ we have

$$t(L_i^0) \geq (v(L_i^0))(L_i^0) = v(L_i^0),$$

yielding that $t \geq v$. Therefore $v = \sup\{v(L_i^0)\}_{i \in I}$. The analogous relation for u can be verified dually. \square

For $A \in D(L)$ we denote

$$A^+ = \{a \in A : a \geq s^0\}, \quad A^- = \{a \in A : a \leq s^0\}.$$

2.4. Lemma. *Let $A, B \in D(L)$. If $A^+ \subseteq B$ and $A^- \subseteq B$, then $A \subseteq B$.*

Proof. Suppose that $A^+ \subseteq B$, $A^- \subseteq B$ and $a \in A$. There exist $u \in A^-$ and $v \in A^+$ such that $u \leq a \leq v$. Then $u, v \in B$. Since B is convex in L we get $a \in B$. \square

2.5. Lemma. *Let (2') be valid and let X be a convex directed subset of L , $s^0 \in X$, $i \in I$. Then $X(L_i^0) = X \cap L_i^0$.*

Proof. In view of 2.1 we have $X \cap L_i^0 \subseteq X(L_i^0)$. Let $y \in X(L_i^0)$. Hence there exists $x \in X$ such that $y = x(L_i^0)$. Since X is directed, there exist $u, v \in X$ such that both x and s^0 belong to the interval $[u, v]$. In view of 2.3 we have $u(L_i^0), v(L_i^0) \in X \cap L_i^0$. Clearly $u(L_i^0) \leq y \leq v(L_i^0)$. Hence $y \in X \cap L_i^0$. \square

If (2') is valid, $I_1 \subseteq I$, and if for each $i \in I_1$ we have $\{s^0\} \in Z_i \subseteq L_i^0$, then $\prod_{i \in I_1} Z_i$ denotes the set

$$\{x \in L : x(L_i^0) \in Z_i \text{ for each } i \in I_1 \text{ and } x(L_i^0) = s^0 \text{ for each } i \in I \setminus I_1\}.$$

Hence, if $Z \subseteq L$ with $s^0 \in Z$, then

$$Z \times \{s^0\} = Z.$$

Also, we obviously have

2.6. Lemma. *Let (2') be valid and $i \in I$. Then*

$$L = L_i^0 \times \prod_{j \in I \setminus \{i\}} L_j^0.$$

Suppose that two internal direct product decompositions are given,

$$(3) \quad L = \prod_{i \in I} A_i,$$

$$(4) \quad L = \prod_{j \in J} B_j.$$

The decomposition (3) is said to be a refinement of (4) if for each $j \in J$ there exists a subset $I(j)$ of I such that

$$B_j = \prod_{i \in I(j)} A_i.$$

2.7. Proposition. *Let (3) and (4) be valid. Then we have*

$$(5) \quad L = \prod_{i \in I, j \in J} (A_i \cap B_j)$$

and (5) is a common refinement of both (3) and (4). Namely, for each $i \in I$ and each $j \in J$,

$$(6) \quad A_i = \prod_{j \in J} (A_i \cap B_j),$$

$$(7) \quad B_j = \prod_{i \in I} (A_i \cap B_j).$$

Proof. In view of Theorem (B) in [1] (cf. the relation (5) in the proof of (B)) we have

$$L = \prod_{i \in I, j \in J} B_j(A_i)$$

and this decomposition is a common refinement of both (3) and (4).

Hence according to 2.5, the relation (5) is valid and it is a common refinement of both (3) and (4).

Let $i(1) \in I$. Since (5) is a refinement of (3), $A_{i(1)}$ is an internal direct product of some $A_i \cap B_j$ ($(i, j) \in I \times J$). Without loss of generality we can assume that $A_{i(1)} \neq \{s^0\}$. Thus it suffices to take into account only those $(i, j) \in I \times J$ for which $A_i \cap B_j \neq \{s^0\}$; the set of these (i, j) will be denoted by M .

Let $i \in I$, $i \neq i(1)$ and $j \in J$. Then $A_{i(1)} \cap A_i = \{s^0\}$, whence according to 2.5,

$$A_{i(1)}(A_i \cap B_j) = A_{i(1)} \cap (A_i \cap B_j) = \{s^0\},$$

yielding that if $(i, j) \in M$, then $i = 1(1)$. Hence

$$A_{i(1)} \subseteq \prod_{j \in J} (A_{i(1)} \cap B_j).$$

The internal direct factors $A_{i(1)} \cap B_j$ which are equal to $\{s^0\}$ can be cancelled in the above relation. Let $j(1) \in J$ and suppose that $A_{i(1)} \cap B_{j(1)} \neq \{s^0\}$. By way of contradiction, assume that

$$A_{i(1)} \subseteq \prod_{j \in J \setminus \{j(1)\}} (A_{i(1)} \cap B_j).$$

There exists $x \in A_{i(1)} \cap B_{j(1)}$ with $x \neq s^0$. If $j \in J$, $j \neq j(1)$, then 2.5 yields that

$$B_{j(1)}(A_{i(1)} \cap B_j) = \{s^0\}.$$

whence $x \notin \prod_{j \in J \setminus \{j(1)\}} (A_{i(1)} \cap B_j)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore

$$A_{i(1)} = \prod_{j \in J} (A_{i(1)} \cap B_j).$$

Hence (6) holds. The method of proving (7) is analogous. \square

2.8. Lemma. *Let (2') be valid and let X be an interval of L , $s^0 \in X$. Then*

$$X = \prod_{i \in I} (X \cap L_i^0).$$

If $x \in X$ and $i \in I$, then $x(L_i^0) = x(L_i^0 \cap X)$.

Proof. First, let $i \in I$ be fixed. There are $u, v \in L$ such that $X = [u, v]$. Put $u_i = u(L_i^0)$, $v_i = v(L_i^0)$. Hence $[u_i, v_i]$ is an interval of L_i^0 and $X(L_i^0) \subseteq [u_i, v_i]$. Let $t \in [u_i, v_i]$. There exists $z \in L$ such that $z(L_i^0) = t$ and $z(L_i^0) = s^0$ for each $j \in I \setminus \{i\}$. Since $s^0 \in X$ we obtain that $z \in X$ and then $t \in X(L_i^0)$. Therefore $[u_i, v_i] = X(L_i^0)$.

We clearly have $X \subseteq \prod_{i \in I} X(L_i^0)$. Let $z \in \prod_{i \in I} X(L_i^0)$. Then $z(L_i^0) \in [u_i, v_i]$ for each $i \in I$, whence $z \in [u, v]$. Thus $X = \prod_{i \in I} X(L_i^0)$. Now it suffices to apply 2.5 and we obtain that $X = \prod_{i \in I} (X \cap L_i^0)$.

The last statement of the lemma is an immediate consequence of the above construction. (Namely, for each $x \in X$, $\varphi^0(u)$ is as in (2') and then $\varphi^0(x) \in \prod_{i \in I} X(L_i^0)$.) \square

3. AUXILIARY RESULTS

In this section we deal with the partially ordered set $D(L)$ consisting of all internal direct factors of L . Then $\{s^0\}$ and L are the least element and the greatest element of $D(L)$, respectively.

We call $D(L)$ *atomic* if for each $A \in D(L)$ with $A \neq \{s^0\}$ there exists an atom A_1 of $D(L)$ such that $A_1 \subseteq A$.

If $A, B \in D(L)$ and if $\inf\{A, B\}$ or $\sup\{A, B\}$ does exist in $D(L)$, then we denote these elements by $A \wedge B$ or by $A \vee B$, respectively.

3.1. Lemma. *Let $L = A_1 \times B_1$, $L = A_2 \times B_2$, $A_1 = A_2$. Then $B_1 = B_2$.*

Proof. We have $A_1 \cap B_1 = \{s^0\} = A_2 \cap B_2$. Hence from 2.7 we obtain

$$B_1 = (B_1 \cap A_2) \times (B_1 \cap B_2) = \{s^0\} \times (B_1 \cap B_2) = B_1 \cap B_2,$$

thus $B_1 \subseteq B_2$. Analogously we get $B_2 \subseteq B_1$. \square

3.2. Lemma. *Let $A \in D(L)$. Then there exists a unique $A' \in D(L)$ such that $L = A \times A'$.*

Proof. In view of 2.6, such A' does exist. Then 3.1 implies that A' is uniquely determined. \square

3.3. Lemma. Let $A, B, C, A_1, B_1 \in D(L)$. Suppose that $A_1 = A \times C$, $B_1 = B \times C$, $A_1 \leq B_1$. Then $A \leq B$.

Proof. Let $a \in A^+$. Hence $a \in A_1$ and $a(C) = \{s^0\}$. At the same time, $a \in B_1$ and thus in view of 2.3 we have

$$a = \sup\{a(B), a(C)\} = \sup\{a(B), s^0\}.$$

From $a \geq s^0$ we get $a(B) \geq s^0(B) = s^0$. Thus $a = a(B)$ and hence $a \in B$. We have shown that $A^+ \subseteq B$. Analogously we can verify that $A^- \subseteq B$. Then according to 2.4 we have $A \subseteq B$. \square

3.4. Lemma. Let $A, B \in D(L)$. Then $A \wedge B = A \cap B$.

Proof. According to 3.2 we have

$$L = A \times A', \quad L = B \times B'.$$

Thus in view of 2.7,

$$(8) \quad L = (A \cap B) \times (A \cap B') \times (A' \cap B) \times (A' \cap B').$$

Hence by applying 2.6 we obtain that $A \cap B$ belongs to $D(L)$. If $C \in D(L)$ and $C \leq A$, $C \leq B$, then $C \leq A \cap B$, whence $A \wedge B = A \cap B$. \square

3.5. Lemma. Let $A, B \in D(L)$. Then

$$A \vee B = (A \cap B) \times (A \cap B') \times (A' \cap B).$$

Proof. In view of (8) and 2.6,

$$(A \cap B) \times (A \cap B') \times (A' \cap B) \in L(D);$$

denote this element of $L(D)$ by P . We have

$$A = (A \cap B) \times (A \cap B'), \quad B = (B \cap A) \times (B \cap A'),$$

whence $A \leq P$ and $B \leq P$. Let $Q \in D(L)$, $Q \geq A$ and $Q \geq B$. Then from (8) and 2.7 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= (Q \cap A \cap B) \times (Q \cap A \cap B') \times (Q \cap A' \cap B) \times (Q \cap A' \cap B') \\ &= (A \cap B) \times (A \cap B') \times (A' \cap B) \times (Q \cap A' \cap B') = P \times (Q \cap A' \cap B'), \end{aligned}$$

thus $Q \geq P$. Therefore $A \vee B = P$. \square

3.6. Corollary. *The partially ordered set $L(D)$ is a lattice with the least element $\{s^0\}$ and the greatest element L .*

3.7. Lemma. *For each $A \in L(D)$, A' is a complement of A in $L(D)$.*

Proof. From $L = A \times A'$ we obtain $A \cap A' = \{s^0\}$, hence in view of 3.4, $A \wedge A' = \{s^0\}$. Further, in view of 3.5,

$$A \vee A' = (A \cap A') \times (A \cap A'') \times (A' \cap A') = \{s^0\} \times A \times A' = L.$$

□

Consider the mapping $\varphi: D(L) \rightarrow D(L)$ defined by $\varphi(A) = A'$ for each $A \in D(L)$.

3.8. Lemma. *The mapping φ is a dual isomorphism of $D(L)$ onto $D(L)$.*

Proof. If $A \in D(L)$, then $\varphi(\varphi(A)) = A$, hence φ is a bijection. Let $A, B \in D(L)$, $A \leq B$. In view of 2.7,

$$B' = (B' \cap A) \times (B' \cap A').$$

Since

$$\{s^0\} \leq B' \cap A \leq B' \cap B = \{s^0\}$$

we get $B' \cap A = \{s^0\}$ and thus $B' = B' \cap A'$ yielding that $B' \leq A'$. Conversely, from $B' \leq A'$ we obtain that $B = B'' \geq A'' = A$. □

3.9. Lemma. *Let $A, B \in L(D)$ be such that B is a complement of A in $L(D)$. Then $B = A'$.*

Proof. According to the assumption we have

$$A \wedge B = \{s^0\}, \quad A \vee B = L.$$

Hence in view of 3.8 we obtain

$$A' \vee B' = L, \quad A' \wedge B' = \{s^0\}.$$

Thus

$$A \cap B = A' \cap B' = \{s^0\}.$$

The relation (8) is valid and hence

$$(9) \quad L = (A \cap B') \times (A' \cap B).$$

Let $a \in A^+$. Then in view of 2.3 we have

$$a(A' \cap B) = s^0.$$

Put $a(A \cap B') = x$. According to (9) and 2.3,

$$a = \sup\{x, s^0\}.$$

Clearly $x \geq s^0$, whence $a = x$. Thus $A^+ \subseteq A \cap B'$. Dually we obtain that $A^- \subseteq A \cap B'$. Thus according to 2.4, $A \subseteq A \cap B'$ yielding that $A \subseteq B'$. Analogously we establish the validity of the relation $B' \subseteq A$. Hence $A = B'$ and thus $A' = B$. \square

From 3.9 and 3.2 we infer

3.10. Lemma. *Each element of $D(L)$ has a unique complement.*

Now let A, B be elements of $D(L)$, $A \wedge B = P$, $A \vee B = Q$. From $L = P' \times P$ and from 2.7 we obtain

$$Q = (Q \cap P') \times P.$$

Put $Q \cap P' = Q_1$. Hence $Q = Q_1 \times P$. Analogously we have

$$A = A_1 \times P, \quad B = B_1 \times P,$$

where $A_1 = A \cap P'$ and $B_1 = B \cap P'$. Thus in view of 3.3 we get $A_1 \leq Q_1$, $B_1 \leq Q_1$; also

$$A_1 \wedge B_1 = A_1 \cap B_1 = (A \cap P') \cap (B \cap P') = (A \cap B) \cap P' = P \cap P' = \{s^0\}.$$

Further we have

$$Q = A \vee B = (A \cap B) \times (A \cap B') \times (A' \cap B) = P \times (A \cap B') \times (A' \cap B)$$

and $Q_1 \subseteq Q$, $Q_1 \cap P = \{s^0\}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= (P \cap Q_1) \times (A \cap B' \cap Q_1) \times (A' \cap B \cap Q_1) \\ &= (A \cap B' \cap Q_1) \times (A' \cap B \cap Q_1). \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the elements $A' \cap B \cap Q_1$ and $A'_1 \cap B_1$ of $D(L)$.

Let $x \in A'_1 \cap B_1$. Then $x \in B_1$, whence $x \in Q_1$ and $x \in B$. Therefore $x(P) = s^0$. From $L = A \times A' = A_1 \times P \times A'$ we obtain that $A'_1 = P \times A'$. Thus $x \in A'$ and so $A'_1 \cap B_1 \subseteq A' \cap B \cap Q_1$.

Further, let $y \in A' \cap B \cap Q_1$. Thus $y \in B \subseteq Q = Q_1 \times P$ and so in view of $y \in Q_1$ we get $y(P) = \{s^0\}$ yielding that $y \in B_1$. Next we have $y \in A' \subseteq A'_1$. Therefore $A' \cap B \cap Q_1 \subseteq A'_1 \cap B_1$.

Summarizing, we obtained the relation

$$A' \cap B \cap Q_1 = A'_1 \cap B_1.$$

Analogously we can prove

$$A \cap B' \cap Q_1 = A_1 \cap B'_1.$$

Hence

$$Q_1 = (A_1 \cap B_1) \times (A_1 \cap B'_1) \times (A'_1 \cap B_1) = A_1 \vee B_1.$$

Thus we have verified the following result.

3.11. Lemma. *Let A, B, P, Q, A_1 and B_1 be as above. Then A_1 is a complement of B_1 in the lattice $D(P_1)$.*

3.12. Lemma. *Let A, P, Q be as above, $C \in D(L)$, $P \leq C \leq Q$, $A \neq C$. If $C = C_1 \times P$, then $A_1 \neq C_1$.*

Proof. If $C_1 = A_1$, then $A = A_1 \times P$ implies that $C = A$, which is a contradiction. \square

3.13. Lemma. *Let $A, P, Q \in D(L)$, $P \leq A \leq Q$. Then A has exactly one complement in the interval $[P, Q]$ of $D(L)$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of 3.10, 3.11 and 3.12. \square

3.14. Proposition. *The partially ordered set $D(L)$ is a Boolean algebra.*

Proof. It is well-known that 3.13 implies the distributivity of $D(L)$. Hence 3.6 and 3.13 suffice to complete the proof. \square

4. CONSTRUCTION OF PARTIALLY ORDERED SETS C_k

In this section we suppose that the assumptions of (A) are satisfied. The case $L = \{s^0\}$ being trivial we can assume without loss of generality that $\text{card } L > 1$ and $\text{card } L_i > 1$ for each $i \in I$.

For each $i(1) \in I$ there exists an internal direct product decomposition

$$(10) \quad L_{i(1)} = \prod_{j \in J(i(1))} A_{i(1)j}$$

such that each $A_{i(1)j}$ is directly indecomposable and $\text{card } A_{i(1)j} > 1$. From 2.7 it follows that such an internal direct product decomposition is uniquely determined.

In view of condition (i) in (A) we can suppose that the set I is linearly ordered and that whenever $i(1), i(2) \in I$, $i(1) < i(2)$, then $L_{i(1)} \subset L_{i(2)}$.

4.1. Lemma. *Let $i(1), i(2) \in I$, $i(1) < i(2)$, $j(1) \in J(i(1))$. Then there exists a uniquely determined $j(2) \in J(i(2))$ such that*

$$A_{i(1)j(1)} \subseteq A_{i(2)j(2)}.$$

Proof. We have

$$(10') \quad \begin{aligned} L_{i(2)} &= \prod_{j \in J(i(2))} A_{i(2)j}, \\ L_{i(1)} &\subseteq L_{i(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $L_{i(1)}$ is an interval of $L_{i(2)}$ and thus according to 2.8,

$$L_{i(1)} = \prod_{j \in J(i(2))} (L_{i(1)} \cap A_{i(2)j}).$$

Then, since $A_{i(1)j}$ is a directly indecomposable internal direct factor of $L_{i(1)}$ we infer that there exists $j(2) \in J(i(2))$ such that

$$A_{i(1)j(1)} \subseteq L_{i(1)} \cap A_{i(2)j(2)}.$$

This yields that whenever $j \in J(i(2))$ and $j \neq j(2)$, then

$$A_{i(1)j(1)} \cap A_{i(2)j} = \{s^0\}.$$

Hence the index $j(2)$ is uniquely determined. □

If $i(1) < i(2)$ and if $j(1), j(2)$ are as above, then we denote

$$\varphi_{i(1)i(2)}(j_1) = j(2).$$

For $i(1) = i(2)$ we put

$$\varphi_{i(1)i(2)}(j_1) = j(1).$$

4.2. Lemma. Let $i(1), i(2), i(3) \in I$, $i(1) \leq i(2) \leq i(3)$, $j(1) \in J(i(1))$ and $j(2) = \varphi_{i(1)i(2)}(j(1))$. Then

$$\varphi_{i(1)i(3)}(j(1)) = \varphi_{i(2)i(3)}(j(2)).$$

Proof. Denote $\varphi_{i(2)i(3)}(j(2)) = j(3)$. Then

$$A_{i(1)j(1)} \subseteq A_{i(2)j(2)} \subseteq A_{i(3)j(3)},$$

whence $\varphi_{i(1)i(3)}(j(1)) = j(3)$. □

Let $i(1) \in I$ and $j(1) \in J(i(1))$. We put

$$B_{i(1)j(1)} = \bigcup_{i(2), j(2)} A_{i(2)j(2)},$$

where $i(2)$ runs over the set $\{i(2) \in I : i(2) \geq i(1)\}$ and for each such $i(2)$ we have $j(2) = \varphi_{i(1)i(2)}(j(1))$.

Let us remark that if $i(1) \in I$ and $j(1), j'(1)$ are distinct elements of $J(i(1))$, then $B_{i(1)j(1)}$ and $B_{i(1)j'(1)}$ can be equal. Further, if $i(1) < i(2)$ and $j(2) = \varphi_{i(1)i(2)}(j(1))$, then according to 4.2 we have

$$B_{i(1)j(1)} = B_{i(2)j(2)}.$$

Let C_k be the system of all directed sets $B_{i(1)j(1)}$, where $i(1)$ runs over the set I , and for each $i(1) \in I$, $j(1)$ runs over the set $J_{i(1)}$.

Let $i(1) \in I$ and $k \in K$. Consider the relation (10) and denote

$$J_{i(1)}^a = \{j \in J(i(1)) : A_{i(1)j} \subseteq C_k\},$$

$$J_{i(1)}^b = J(i(1)) \setminus J_{i(1)}^a,$$

$$L_{i(1)}^a = \prod_{j \in J_{i(1)}^a} A_{i(1)j},$$

$$L_{i(1)}^b = \prod_{j \in J_{i(1)}^b} A_{i(1)j}.$$

Then

$$(10'') \quad L_{i(1)} = L_{i(1)}^a \times L_{i(1)}^b.$$

Also, from the definition of C_k we obtain

4.3. Lemma. *Let $i(1), i(2) \in I$, $i(1) < i(2)$. Then $L_{i(1)}^a$ is an interval of $L_{i(2)}^a$ and $L_{i(1)}^b$ is an interval of $L_{i(2)}^b$. Moreover,*

$$C_k = \bigcup_{i(1) \in I} L_{i(1)}^a.$$

We put

$$C_k^* = \bigcup_{i(1) \in I} L_{i(1)}^b.$$

4.4. Lemma. *Let $i(1), i(2) \in I$, $i(1) < i(2)$, $x \in L_{i(1)}$. Then*

$$x(L_{i(1)}^a) = x(L_{i(2)}^a),$$

$$x(L_{i(1)}^b) = x(L_{i(2)}^b).$$

Proof. This is a consequence of (10''), 4.3 and 2.8. □

Let $x \in L$. There exists $i(1) \in I$ such that $x \in L_{i(1)}$. Denote

$$x^a = x(L_{i(1)}^a), \quad x^b = x(L_{i(1)}^b).$$

In view of 4.4, the mapping $\psi: L \rightarrow L \times L$ defined by

$$\psi(x) = (x^a, x^b)$$

is correctly defined.

Clearly $x^a \in C_k$ and $x^b \in C_k^*$.

4.5. Lemma. *Let $x, y \in L$. Then $x \leq y$ if and only if $x^a \leq y^a$ and $x^b \leq y^b$.*

Proof. There exists $i(1) \in I$ such that both x and y belong to $L_{i(1)}$. Let $x \leq y$. Then in view of the definition of the mapping ψ we have $x^a \leq y^a$ and $x^b \leq y^b$. Conversely, suppose that $x^a \leq y^a$ and $x^b \leq y^b$. Thus (10'') yields that $x \leq y$. □

4.6. Lemma. *Let $z_1 \in C_k$, $z_2 \in C_k^*$. There exists $x \in L$ such that $\psi(x) = (z_1, z_2)$.*

Proof. There is $i(1) \in I$ with $z_1, z_2 \in L_{i(1)}$. Then $z_1 \in L_{i(1)}^a$ and $z_2 \in L_{i(1)}^b$. Now it suffices to apply (10''). \square

Also, from the definition of ψ we immediately obtain

4.7. Lemma. *Let $x \in L$. Then*

- (i) $x \in C_k \Leftrightarrow \psi(x) = (x, s^0)$,
- (ii) $x \in C_k^* \Leftrightarrow \psi(x) = (s^0, x)$.

From 4.5, 4.6 and 4.7 we infer

4.8. Lemma. *The mapping ψ defines an internal direct product decomposition*

$$L = C_k \times C_k^*.$$

4.9. Lemma. *Let $A \in D(L)$, $i(1) \in I$, $j(1) \in J(i(1))$, $A \cap A_{i(1)j(1)} \neq \{s^0\}$. Then $B_{i(1)j(1)} \subseteq A$.*

Proof. Since $A_{i(1)j(1)}$ is directly indecomposable, from $A \cap A_{i(1)j(1)} \neq \{s^0\}$ we obtain $A \cap A_{i(1)j(1)} = A_{i(1)j(1)}$, thus $A_{i(1)j(1)} \subseteq A$.

Let $i(2) > i(1)$. Denote $\varphi_{i(1)i(2)}(j(1)) = j(2)$. Hence by the same reasoning as we have applied to $A_{i(1)j(1)}$ we get $A_{i(2)j(2)} \subseteq A$. Therefore $B_{i(1)j(1)} \subseteq A$. \square

4.10. Lemma. *Let $k \in K$. Then C_k is directly indecomposable.*

Proof. By way of contradiction, suppose that C_k is directly decomposable. Hence it can be represented in the form

$$C_k = A \times B, \quad A \neq \{s^0\} \neq B.$$

There is $i(1) \in I$ and $j(1) \in J(i(1))$ such that $C_k = B_{i(1)j(1)}$. Hence $A_{i(1)j(1)}$ is an interval of C_k . This yields

$$A_{i(1)j(1)} = (A_{i(1)j(1)} \cap A) \times (A_{i(1)j(1)} \cap B).$$

Since $A_{i(1)j(1)}$ is directly indecomposable, without loss of generality we can suppose that

$$A_{i(1)j(1)} = A_{i(1)j(1)} \cap A.$$

Thus in view of 4.9 we obtain the relation $C_k = B_{i(1)j(1)} \subseteq A$, whence $B = \{s^0\}$, which is a contradiction. \square

4.11. Lemma. Let $\{s^0\} \neq A \in D(L)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) A is an atom of $D(L)$.
- (ii) A is directly indecomposable.

The proof is the same as in [4], Lemma 2.1.

4.12. Lemma. Let $A \in D(L)$, $A \neq \{s^0\}$. Then there exist $i(1) \in I$ and $j(1) \in J(i(1))$ such that $A \cap A_{i(1)j(1)} \neq \{s^0\}$.

Proof. There exists $x \in A$ with $x \neq s^0$. Further, there exists $i(1) \in I$ such that $x \in L_{i(1)}$. Consider the relation (10). There is $j(1) \in J(i(1))$ such that

$$x(A_{i(1)j(1)}) \neq s^0.$$

Hence $A \cap A_{i(1)j(1)} = A(A_{i(1)j(1)}) \neq \{s^0\}$. □

Proof of (A). It suffices to apply 4.8–4.12. □

5. EXAMPLES

Let \mathcal{L}_a and \mathcal{L}_b be as in Section 1.

From 4.11 and 2.7 it easily follows that \mathcal{L}_b is a subclass of \mathcal{L}_a .

5.1. Example. Let L be the system of all finite subsets of an infinite set M ; this system is partially ordered by the set-theoretical inclusion. Then L belongs to \mathcal{L}_a , but it does not belong to \mathcal{L}_b .

In particular, let M be the set of all positive integers, $s^0 = \emptyset$. For each $n \in M$ put $v_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $L_n = [s^0, v_n]$. Then $L_n \in \mathcal{L}_b$ for each $n \in M$, $\bigcup_{n \in M} L_n = L$, hence L satisfies the assumptions of (A). Nevertheless, $L \notin \mathcal{L}_b$.

5.2. Example. There exists an infinite Boolean algebra X such that X has no atom. Let $L = X \cup \{y\}$ be such that $y \notin X$ and y is the greatest element of L . Further let s^0 be the least element of X . Then $D(L) = \{\{s^0\}, L\}$, whence $L \in \mathcal{L}_b$. On the other hand, X is an interval of L and for each $x \in X$, the interval $[s^0, x]$ belongs to $D(X)$, hence the partially ordered set $D(X)$ is isomorphic to X . Therefore $D(L)$ fails to be atomic, i.e., X does not belong to \mathcal{L}_a .

5.3. Example. The assertion of Lemma 2.8 cannot be extended to the case when X is a convex subset of L with $s^0 \in X$. Indeed, let M be an infinite set and let L be the Boolean algebra of all subsets of M ; put $s^0 = \emptyset$. For each $m \in M$ let $L_m = \{\emptyset, \{m\}\}$. Then $L = \prod_{m \in M} L_m$. Let X be the system consisting of all finite subsets of M . This system is directed, convex in L and for each $m \in M$ we have $X \cap L_m = L_m$. However, $X \neq \prod_{m \in M} L_m$.

References

- [1] *J. Hashimoto*: On direct product decompositions of partially ordered sets. *Ann. of Math.* 54 (1951), 315–318.
- [2] *J. Jakubík*: Weak product decompositions of discrete lattices. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 21 (1971), 399–412.
- [3] *J. Jakubík*: Weak product decompositions of partially ordered sets. *Colloq. Math.* 25 (1972), 177–190.
- [4] *J. Jakubík*: Directly indecomposable direct factors of a lattice. *Math. Bohem.* 121 (1996), 281–292.
- [5] *J. Jakubík, M. Csontóová*: Convex isomorphisms of directed multilattices. *Math. Bohem.* 118 (1993), 359–379.
- [6] *L. Libkin*: Direct decompositions of atomistic algebraic lattices. *Algebra Univ.* 33 (1995), 127–135.

Author's address: Ján Jakubík, Matematický ústav SAV, Grešákova 6, 040 01 Košice, Slovakia, e-mail: musavke@linux1.saske.sk.