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# PROPERTIES OF THE NONOSCILLATORY SOLUTION FOR A THIRD ORDER NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION 

JOZEF ELIAŠ, Bratislava

J. L. Nilson proved in paper [1] a stability theorem for a solution of a nonlinear differential equation

$$
x^{\prime \prime \prime}(i)+p(t) x^{\prime}(t)+q(t) x^{2 n+1}(t)=0, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots .
$$

In this paper we shall prove for the nonlinear differential equation

$$
x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+p(t) x^{\prime}(t)+q(t) x^{\alpha}(t)=0
$$

where $\alpha>1, \alpha=p / q, p$ and $q$ are nondivisible odd integers, a theorem similar to that proved in paper [1].

The solution $x(t)$ of a differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+p(t) x^{\prime}(t)+q(t) x^{\alpha}(t)=0, \quad \alpha \geqq 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called nonoscillatory if there exists in the interval ( $a, \infty$ ), $a>0$ such a number $t_{0}$, that for all $t>t_{0} x(t) \neq 0$ is valid.

In what follows, the following lemma will be useful.
Lemma. Let $\boldsymbol{f}=\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}$ be vectors. Let the function $\boldsymbol{f}(t, \boldsymbol{y})$ be continuous for $t \geqq 0, \boldsymbol{y} \geqq 0$. The symbol $\boldsymbol{y} \geqq 0$ means that $\mathbf{y}=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}$ and $y_{i} \geqq 0, i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Let the function $\boldsymbol{f}(t, \boldsymbol{y})$ be such that $\boldsymbol{f}(t, \mathbf{0})=\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{f}(t, \boldsymbol{y}) \geqq 0$ for $\boldsymbol{y} \geqq 0$. Let $c$ be an arbitrary nonnegative number. Then the differential equation $\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}=-\boldsymbol{f}(t, \boldsymbol{y})$ has at least one solution $\mathbf{y}(t)$ defined for $t \geqq 0$ such that $\|\boldsymbol{y}(0)\|=0$ and $\mathbf{y}(t) \geqq 0, \boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}(t) \leqq 0$.

Proof. See [2] p. 510; 2,8.
Theorem 1. Let the functions $p(t), q(t)$ be continuous. Let $q(t) \geqq 0, p(t)<0$ for large $t$. Then there exists at least one nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$ of the equation (1) such that for large $t x(t) \geqq 0, x^{\prime}(t) \leqq 0$ holds.

Proof. Putting $x(t)=y_{1}(t), x^{\prime}(t)=-y_{2}(t), x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=y_{3}(t)$, the differential equation (1) can be written as the following system of the differential equations

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{1}^{\prime}(t) & =-y_{2}(t)  \tag{2}\\
y_{2}^{\prime}(t) & =-y_{3}(t) \\
y_{3}^{\prime}(t) & =-\left[q(t) y_{1}^{\alpha}(t)-p(t) y_{2}(t)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

or briefly

$$
\mathbf{y}^{\prime}=-\boldsymbol{f}(t, y)
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{y}=\left\{y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t), y_{3}(t)\right\}, \quad \boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}=\left\{y_{1}^{\prime}(t), y_{2}^{\prime}(t), y_{3}^{\prime}(t)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\boldsymbol{f}(t, \mathbf{y})=\left\{y_{2}(t), y_{3}(t),\left[q(t) y_{1}^{\alpha}(t)-p(t) y_{2}(t)\right]\right\}
$$

It can be easily verified that all the assumptions of the Lemma are fulfilled. Thus, according to the Lemma the differential equation (1) has at least one nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$ such that $x(t) \geqq 0, x^{\prime}(t) \leqq 0$ for large $t$.

Theorem 2. Let $\alpha>1, \alpha=p / q$, where $p$ and $q$ are nondivisible odd natural numbers. Let the functions $p(t)$ and $q(t)$ satisfy the following conditions for the large $t$ :

1) $q(t)$ is nonnegative and continuous;
2) $p(t), p^{\prime}(t)$ are continuous and $p(t)<0, p^{\prime}(t) \geqq 0$;
3) for any constants $A, B$ and for the large $t$ we have $A+B t-\int_{t}^{t} Q(s) \mathrm{d} s<0$, where $Q(t)=\int_{i_{0}}^{t} q(s) \mathrm{d} s$.

Then any nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$ of the nonlinear differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+p(t) x^{\prime}(t)+q(t) x^{\alpha}(t)=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the following properties for large $t$ :
a) $\operatorname{sgn} x(t)=\operatorname{sgn} x^{\prime \prime}(t) \neq \operatorname{sgn} x^{\prime}(t)$, where

$$
\operatorname{sgn} x(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{r}
1 \text { if } x(t) \geqq 0, \\
-1 \text { if } x(t)<0 ;
\end{array}\right.
$$

b) $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime \prime}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime}(t)=0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}|x(t)|=L \geqq 0 ;$
c) $x(t), x^{\prime}(t), x^{\prime \prime}(t)$ are monotone functions.

Proof. From Theorem 1 it follows that the differential equation (3) has a nonoscillatory solution. Let $x(t)$ be any nonoscillatory solution of the differential equation (3). Let $t_{0}$ be a large positive number such that $x(t) \neq 0$ for all $t>t_{0}$. Since $-x(t)$ is also a solution of the differential equation (3), without loss of generality, assume that $x(t)>0$ for all $t>t_{0}$. The differential equation (3) can be, written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)}{x^{\alpha}(t)}+\frac{p(t) x^{\prime}(t)}{x^{\alpha}(t)}=-q(t) \text { for } t \geqq t_{0} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

An integration from $t_{0}$ to $t$, an integration by parts gives

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{x^{\prime \prime}(t)}{x^{\alpha}(t)}+\frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{x^{\prime 2}(t)}{x^{\alpha+1}(t)}+\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2} \int_{i_{0}}^{t} \frac{x^{\prime 3}(s)}{x^{\alpha+2}(s)} \mathrm{d} s-  \tag{5}\\
-\frac{1}{\alpha-1} \frac{p(t)}{x^{\alpha-1}(s)}+\frac{1}{\alpha-1} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{p^{\prime}(s)}{x^{\alpha-1}(s)} \mathrm{d} s=K-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} q(s) \mathrm{d} s .
\end{gather*}
$$

An integration from $t_{0}$ to $t$ of the equality (5) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (6) } \quad \frac{x^{\prime}(t)}{x^{\alpha}(t)}+\frac{3 \alpha}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{x^{\prime 2}(s)}{x^{\alpha+1}(s)} \mathrm{d} s+\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{(t-s) x^{\prime 3}(s)}{x^{x+2}(s)} \mathrm{d} s-  \tag{6}\\
& -\frac{1}{\alpha-1} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{p(s)}{x^{\alpha-1}(s)} \mathrm{d} s+\frac{1}{\alpha-1} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{(t-s) p^{\prime 3}(s)}{x^{\alpha-1}(s)} \mathrm{d} s=M+K t-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} Q(s) \mathrm{d} s, \\
& \text { where } Q(s)=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} q(s) \mathrm{d} s
\end{align*}
$$

At first it will be proved that for an arbitrary $t_{0}^{\prime}>t_{0}$ the function $x^{\prime}(t)$ cannot be nonnegative for all $t>t_{0}^{\prime}$. Suppose that $x^{\prime}(t) \geqq 0$ for all $t>t_{0}^{\prime}$. Let $t_{p}$ be such a chosen number that the conditions of Theorem 2 hold for all $t \geqq t_{p}$ and $t_{p} \geqq t_{0}^{\prime}$. For $t \geqq t_{p}$ the following holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{x^{\prime}(t)}{x^{\alpha}(t)}+\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2} \int_{t_{p}}^{t} \frac{(t-s) x^{\prime 3}(s)}{x^{\alpha+2}(s)} \mathrm{d} s-\frac{1}{\alpha-1} \int_{t_{p}}^{t} \frac{p(s)}{x^{\alpha-1}(s)} \mathrm{d} s+  \tag{7}\\
& \quad+\frac{1}{\alpha-1} \int_{t_{p}}^{t} \frac{(t-s) p(s)}{x^{\alpha-1}(s)} \mathrm{d} s \leqq \bar{M}+K t-\int_{t_{p}}^{t} Q(s) \mathrm{d} s
\end{align*}
$$

where all the constants are combined and called $\bar{M}$. For $t \geqq t_{p}$ the right-hand side $M+K t-\int_{t_{p}}^{t} Q(s) \mathrm{d} s$ is negative and the left-hand side of the equation (7) positive. This is clearly impossible. There are two possibilities for $x^{\prime}(t)$ :
a) There exists $\bar{t}$ such that $x^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t>\bar{t}$;
b) for each $t \in\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$ there exists $\bar{t}>t$ such that $x^{\prime}(\bar{t}) \geqq 0$.

The case b) is not possible. In fact, let $t_{1}>t$ such that $x^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right) \geqq 0$. There exists a number $t_{2}>t_{1}$ such that $x^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)<0$. Let $r$ be the greatest zero of $x^{\prime}(t)$ less than $t_{2}$. There exists a number $t_{3}>t_{2}$ such that $x^{\prime}\left(t_{3}\right) \geqq 0$. Let o be the smallest zero of $x^{\prime}(t)$ greater than $t_{2}$. Multiplying the differential equation (3) by $x^{\prime}(t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) x^{\prime}(t)+p(t) x^{\prime 2}(t)+q(t) x^{\alpha}(t) x^{\prime}(t)=0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating from $r$ to $s$ the equality (8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{r}^{s} x^{\prime \prime 2}(t) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{r}^{s} p(t)^{\prime 2}(t) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{r}^{s} q(t) x^{\alpha}(t) x^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

But the left-hand side of equality (9) is negative for the large $t$, which is impossible. Hence there exists a $\bar{t}$ such that $x^{\prime}(t)<0$ for all $t>\bar{t}$.

In what follows $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime \prime}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime}(t)=0$ will be proved. Let us write the differential equation (3) in the form

$$
x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=-p(t) x^{\prime}(t)-q(t) x^{\alpha}(t),
$$

the right-hand side is negative for large $t$. Therefore $x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)<0$ for all $t>\bar{t}$. This implies that $x^{\prime \prime}(t)$ is a decreasing function and $x^{\prime}(t)$ is concave downward for $t>\bar{t}$. There are three possibilities for $x^{\prime}(t)$ :

1. $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime}(t)=-\infty$;
2. $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime}(t)=c<0$;
3. $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime}(t)=0$.

Case 1 is impossible since it implies that $x(t)$ is negative for large $t$, which is a contradiction with the assumption. From case 2 it follows that $x(t)$ is negative for large $t$, which is a contradiction with the assumption. Therefore, the only possibility remaining is $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime}(t)=0$.

Since $x^{\prime \prime}(t)$ is decreasing it must be positive for large $t$, otherwise $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime}(t)=$ $=-\infty$, hence $x^{\prime}(t)$ is monotone increasing. Since $x^{\prime \prime}(t)$ is monotone decreasing and positive, $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime \prime}(t)$ exists. We shall prove that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime \prime}(t)=0$. Suppose that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime \prime}(t)=c>0$. Then $x^{\prime}(t)>c t+k>0$ for large $t$, this is impossible since $x^{\prime}(t)<0$ for large $t$. Therefore, $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime \prime}(t)=0$. Thus $x(t)$ is positive decreasing and concave upward for large $t$.

Corollary. If the assumptions of Theorem 2 are fulfilled and $0<\varepsilon<q(t)$ for large $t$, then for the nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$ of the differential equation (3) $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=0$ holds.

Proof. Suppose $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=L, L \neq 0$. Since $-x(t)$ is a solution whenewer $x(t)$ is a solution, it can be assumed without loss of generality that $L>0$. Then for large $t$ the inequality $0<L<x(t)$ holds.

The last inequality gives for large $t$

$$
-\varepsilon x^{\alpha}(t)<-\varepsilon L^{\alpha}<0
$$

From the assumption $0<\varepsilon<q(t)$ for large $t$ it follows

$$
-q(t) x^{\alpha}(t)<-\varepsilon x^{\alpha}(t)
$$

Further for large $t p(t) x^{\prime}(t)>0$ holds. Thus for large $t$

$$
x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=-p(t) x^{\prime}(t)-q(t) x^{\alpha}(t)<-q(t) x^{\alpha}(t)<-\varepsilon x^{\alpha}(t)<-\varepsilon L^{\alpha}<0
$$

and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime \prime}(t)=-\infty$, which is impossible, because $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime \prime}(t)=0$. Hence $L=0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=0$.

The following example illustrates Theorem 2. We consider the differential equation

$$
x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} x^{\prime}(t)+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 t / 3} x^{5 / 3}(t)=0 .
$$

The function $x(t)=\mathrm{e}^{-t}$ is a solution with the required properties.
Remark. Theorem 2 does not hold for $\alpha=1$, i.e. in the linear case. We consider the differential equation

$$
x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)-2 x^{\prime}(t)+x(t)=0 .
$$

Its nonoscillatory solution $x(t)=e^{t}$ has not the properties required by Theorem 2.
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