

# Matematický časopis

---

Judita Lihová

The Lattice of all Systems of  $r$ -Ideals in a Set

*Matematický časopis*, Vol. 22 (1972), No. 1, 50--58

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126798>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1972

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## THE LATTICE OF ALL SYSTEMS OF $r$ -IDEALS IN A SET

JUDITA LIHOVÁ, Košice

Several authors investigated the partially ordered system  $\mathcal{T}$  consisting of all topologies (or of all topologies with prescribed properties) that can be defined on a given set  $A$ . E. g. H. Gaifman [2] studied the lattice of all topologies definable on an arbitrary set  $A$ . A. K. Steiner [5] proved that this lattice is complemented and P. S. Schnare [4] estimated the cardinality of the set of complements. E. S. Wolk [6] studied the system of all topologies  $\tau$  defined on a partially ordered set  $(A; \leq)$  such that  $\tau$  is consistent in a certain sense with the given partial ordering on  $A$ .

In the present paper we deal with the system of all generalized topologies on a set  $A$  satisfying the following „finiteness condition“: the closure  $Z_r$  of any set  $Z \subset A$  is the set-theoretical union of all closures  $X_r$  of finite subsets  $X$  of the set  $Z$ . The study of such topologies was suggested by paper [1] of L. Fuchs concerning  $r$ -ideals in universal algebras. Our notations are as follows. The symbols  $\bigcap$ ,  $\bigcup$  and  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$  denote the set-theoretical and lattice operations, respectively;  $A \subset B$  means that  $A$  is a subset of  $B$  (equality not being excluded). If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a system of sets, then by  $\bigcap \mathcal{S}$  and  $\bigcup \mathcal{S}$  the set  $\bigcap_{X \in \mathcal{S}} X$  and  $\bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{S}} X$ , respectively, are meant.  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ , where  $X$  is a non-empty set, denotes the system of all non-empty subsets of the set  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  the system of all finite non-empty subsets of  $X$ .

Let us have an arbitrary non-empty set  $A$  and a mapping assigning to any non-empty finite subset  $X$  of  $A$  a subset  $X_r$  of  $A$ , such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1°  $X \subset X_r$ ;
- 2°  $X \subset Y_r \Rightarrow X_r \subset Y_r$ .

Let us extend the domain of this mapping and for infinite subsets  $Z$  of the set  $A$  put

3°  $Z_r = \bigcup X_r$ , where  $X$  runs over all non-empty finite subsets of  $Z$ . The range of this mapping is called a system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$ .

In the above mentioned paper by L. Fuchs and in papers [3], [7] some results concerning the relations between a system of  $r$ -ideals in a universal algebra  $(A; F)$  and algebraic operations in  $(A; F)$  were derived.

Let a system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  be given. Let us denote  $\mathcal{K}_r(X) = \{Y_r : Y \in \mathcal{K}(X)\}$  and let  $\mathcal{P}_r(X)$  have a similar meaning. If we use this notation, the given system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  is in fact the system  $\mathcal{P}_r(A)$  and the axiom 3° can be rewritten as follows:  $Z_r = \bigcup \mathcal{K}_r(Z)$ .

First let us introduce some simple consequences following from the definition of the system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$ .

**1. Equality**  $Z_r = \bigcup \mathcal{K}_r(Z)$  holds for each set  $Z \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ .

**Proof.** Obviously it is sufficient to prove that this equality holds for  $Z \in \mathcal{K}(A)$ . In this case  $Z_r \in \mathcal{K}_r(Z)$ , therefore  $Z_r \subset \bigcup \mathcal{K}_r(Z)$  and the inverse inclusion is evident.

**2. The conditions** 1°, 2° are fulfilled also in the case when any of the sets  $X, Y$  is infinite.

**Proof.** Let  $X$  be an infinite set. From the relation  $\bigcup \mathcal{K}(X) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{K}_r(X)$  it follows that  $X \subset X_r$ .

Let  $X \in \mathcal{K}(A)$ ,  $Y$  be an infinite subset of  $A$  and let  $X \subset Y_r$ . Let us suppose that  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ ,  $x_i \in V_r^i$ ,  $V^i \in \mathcal{K}(Y)$ . Then  $X \subset V_r$ , where  $V = = V^1 \cup \dots \cup V^n$  and hence  $X_r \subset Y_r$  follows.

Let  $X$  be an infinite and  $Y$  an arbitrary set from the system  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  and let  $X \subset Y_r$ . Then according to the preceding results for each set  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X)$   $T_r \subset Y_r$  holds, hence  $X_r \subset Y_r$ .

As a consequence of this statement we obtain that for any set  $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$   $X_{rr} = X_r$  holds.

Further we shall introduce a partial ordering into the set  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  of all systems of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  and we shall prove that with regard to this partial ordering  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  is a complete lattice.

Let  $A$  be any non-empty set. Let  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  be the set of all systems of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$ . For two systems  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A), \mathcal{P}_{r_2}(A)$  of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  let us put  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A) \leq \leq \mathcal{P}_{r_2}(A)$  iff for each set  $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  we have  $X_{r_1} \subset X_{r_2}$ . The relation  $\leq$  defined in this way is obviously a relation of partial ordering.

The following statement holds true.

**3. Theorem.** *With regard to the partial ordering defined above,  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  is a lattice with the least and the greatest element.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A), \mathcal{P}_{r_2}(A)$  be arbitrary systems of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$ . The system  $\{X_r : X_r = X_{r_1} \cap X_{r_2}, X \in \mathcal{P}(A)\}$  is obviously a system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  and it is the greatest lower bound of the elements  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A), \mathcal{P}_{r_2}(A)$  of the set  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ .

Let  $X$  be an arbitrary set of the system  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ . For every positive integer  $n$  let us define the sets  $X_n, X'_n$  by induction as follows:

1.  $X_1 = X_{r_1 r_2}, X'_1 = X_{r_2 r_1};$

2. if we have  $X_k, X'_k (k \geq 1)$ , then  $X_{k+1} = (X_k)_{r_1 r_2}, X'_{k+1} = (X'_k)_{r_2 r_1}.$

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X'_n, \text{ since for every positive integer } k \text{ we have } X_k \subset X'_{k+1},$$

$X'_k \subset X_{k+1}$ . The system  $\{X_r : X_r = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n, X \in \mathcal{P}(A)\}$  is a system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$ . The conditions 1°, 2° from the definition of the system of  $r$ -ideals obviously hold. According to 1°, 2°, we have  $\bigcup \mathcal{X}_r(Z) \subset Z_r$  for any infinite set  $Z$  from  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ . Conversely, let  $a \in Z_r = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $a \in Z_n$ . By induction on  $n$  one proves that  $a \in X_n$  for some set  $X \in \mathcal{X}(Z)$ . This system of  $r$ -ideals is the least upper bound of the elements  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A), \mathcal{P}_{r_2}(A)$  of  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ . The least and the greatest element of the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  is the system  $\{X_{r_0} : X_{r_0} = X, X \in \mathcal{P}(A)\}$  and the system  $\{X_{r_1} : X_{r_1} = A, X \in \mathcal{P}(A)\}$  of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$ , respectively.

**Remark.** If  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A), \mathcal{P}_{r_2}(A)$  are systems of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$ , the system  $\{X_r : X_r = X_{r_1} \cup X_{r_2}, X \in \mathcal{P}(A)\}$  need not be in general a system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  (differing from the system  $\{X_r : X_r = X_{r_1} \cap X_{r_2}, X \in \mathcal{P}(A)\}$ ). To show this let  $A$  be the set of all integers,  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A)$  the system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  defined by the condition that  $X_{r_1}(X \in \mathcal{P}(A))$  is the least subgroup of the additive group of all integers containing  $X$ . Further let  $\mathcal{P}_{r_2}(A)$  be the system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  so defined that  $X_{r_2}$  is the set of all such elements  $x$  of  $A$ , for which there exists such a pair  $x_1, x_2$  of elements of  $X$  that  $x_1 \leq x \leq x_2$ . Let  $X = \{3\}$ ,  $Y = \{2, 4\}$ .  $X \subset Y_{r_1} \bigcup Y_{r_2}$  holds, but not  $X_{r_1} \bigcup X_{r_2} \subset Y_{r_1} \cup Y_{r_2}$ .

**4. Theorem.** *Let  $A$  be an arbitrary non-empty set. The set  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  of all systems of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  is a complete lattice.*

**Proof.** According to Theorem 3  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  is a partially ordered set, bounded below. It is sufficient to show that an arbitrary non-empty subset  $\{\mathcal{P}_{r_\lambda}(A)\}_{\lambda \in A}$  of the set  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  has the least upper bound in  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ .

To each set  $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  let us join the set  $X_r = \bigcup \mathcal{F}(X)$ , where  $\mathcal{F}(X) = \{X_{t_1 \dots t_n} : \{t_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \mathcal{K}(\{r_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A})\}$ . Then  $\{X_r : X_r = \bigcup \mathcal{F}(X), X \in \mathcal{P}(A)\}$  is a system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$ . Let us take an arbitrary set  $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ . For each  $\lambda \in A$  we have  $X \subset X_{r_\lambda}$  and since  $X_{r_\lambda} \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ , we have  $X \subset X_r$ . Let  $X \in \mathcal{K}(A), Y \in \mathcal{P}(A), X \subset Y_r, X = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ . Then for each  $i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ) there exists a set  $\{t_1^i, \dots, t_{n_i}^i\} \in \mathcal{K}(\{r_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A})$ , such that  $x_i \in Y_{t_1^i \dots t_{n_i}^i}$ . Evidently for each  $i$  the following holds  $Y_{t_1^i \dots t_{n_i}^i} \subset Y_{t_1^1 \dots t_{n_1}^1 t_1^2 \dots t_{n_2}^2 \dots t_1^k \dots t_{n_k}^k}$  hence  $X \subset Y_{t_1^1 \dots t_{n_k}^k}$ . If  $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$  is an arbitrary set from  $\mathcal{K}(\{r_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A})$ , then

$X_{t_1 \dots t_n} \subset Y_{t_1 \dots t_n}^{t_1 \dots t_n}$ . Since  $Y_{t_1 \dots t_n} \subset Y_r$ , we have  $X_{t_1 \dots t_n} \subset Y_r$  and from this we get  $\bigcup \mathcal{F}(X) \subset Y_r$ , i. e.  $X_r \subset Y_r$ .

Let  $Z$  be an arbitrary infinite set from  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ . Evidently  $\bigcup \mathcal{K}_r(Z) \subset Z_r$ . Now we shall prove the inverse inclusion. Let  $a \in Z_r$ . Then  $a \in Z_{t_1 \dots t_n}$  ( $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\} \in \mathcal{K}(\{r_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A})$ ). It is sufficient to prove that there exists such a set  $X \in \mathcal{K}(Z)$  and  $\{v_1, \dots, v_p\} \in \mathcal{K}(\{r_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A})$  that  $a \in X_{v_1 \dots v_p}$ . We are going to prove this by induction on  $n$ .

Let  $n = 1$ . Then  $a \in Z_{r_\lambda}$  ( $\lambda \in A$ ). Since  $Z_{r_\lambda} = \bigcup \mathcal{K}_{r_\lambda}(Z)$  holds, there exists such a set  $X \in \mathcal{K}(Z)$  that  $a \in X_{r_\lambda}$ .

Now let us suppose that if  $a \in Z_{t_1 \dots t_{k-1}}$  ( $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^{k-1} \in \mathcal{K}(\{r_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A})$ ), then there exists such a set  $X \in \mathcal{K}(Z)$  and  $\{s_1, \dots, s_l\} \in \mathcal{K}(\{r_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A})$  that  $a \in X_{s_1 \dots s_l}$ . Let  $a \in Z_{t_1 \dots t_k}$ . If  $t_k = r_\lambda$ , then from  $Z_{t_1 \dots t_{k-1} r_\lambda} = \bigcup \mathcal{K}_{r_\lambda}(Z_{t_1 \dots t_{k-1}})$  we obtain  $a \in S_{r_\lambda}$ , where  $S \in \mathcal{K}(Z_{t_1 \dots t_{k-1}})$ . Let  $S = \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ . Since  $y_i \in Z_{t_1 \dots t_{k-1}}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ), we have  $y_i \in X_{s_1^i \dots s_{l_i}^i}$ , where  $X^i \in \mathcal{K}(Z)$ ,

$\{s_1^i, \dots, s_{l_i}^i\} \in \mathcal{K}(\{r_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A})$ . Let us take  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m X^i$ . Evidently  $X \in \mathcal{K}(Z)$ ,

$S \subset X_{s_1^1 \dots s_{l_1}^1 s_1^2 \dots s_{l_2}^2 \dots s_1^m \dots s_{l_m}^m}$ . Since  $a \in S_{r_\lambda}$ , then also  $a \in X_{s_1^1 \dots s_{l_1}^1 r_\lambda}$ . The system  $\{X_r : X_r = \bigcup \mathcal{F}(X), X \in \mathcal{P}(A)\}$  of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  is the supremum of the set  $\{\mathcal{P}_{r_\lambda}(A)\}_{\lambda \in A}$ . Evidently  $\mathcal{P}_{r_\lambda}(A) \leq \mathcal{P}_r(A)$  holds. Let further  $\mathcal{P}'_r(A)$  be such a system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  that  $\mathcal{P}'_r(A) \geq \mathcal{P}_{r_\lambda}(A)$  for each  $\lambda \in A$ . From this  $X_{t_1 \dots t_n} \subset X_{t_1 \dots t_{n-1} r'} \subset X_{t_1 \dots t_{n-2} r' r'} = \dots \subset X_{t_1 r'} \subset X_{r' r'} = X_{r'}(\{t_1, \dots, t_n\} \in \mathcal{K}(\{r_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}), X \in \mathcal{P}(A))$  follows. Thus we have  $\bigcup \mathcal{F}(X) \subset X_{r'}$ , i. e.  $X_r = X_{r'}$ . Hence holds  $\mathcal{P}_r(A) \leq \mathcal{P}'_r(A)$  holds.

The question arises, what the infimum of the subset  $\{\mathcal{P}_{r_\lambda}(A)\}_{\lambda \in A}$  of  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  in  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  is. According to the considerations in section 3 we could suppose that the system  $\{X_r : X_r = \bigcap_{\lambda \in A} X_{r_\lambda}, X \in \mathcal{P}(A)\}$  will be the infimum. This

conception is wrong, the mentioned system need not even be a system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$ . To show it let  $A_1 = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be an arbitrary infinite countable set and let us put  $A = A_1 \bigcup \{a\}$ , where  $a \notin A_1$ . For any positive integer  $n$  let us put  $X_{r_n} = X$  if  $a_n \notin X$  and  $X_{r_n} = X \bigcup \{a\}$  if  $a_n \in X$ . Evidently  $\{X_{r_n} : X \in \mathcal{P}(A)\}$  is a system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$ . Let us put  $X_r = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_{r_n}$  ( $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of all positive integers) for every set  $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ . Then  $(A_1)_r \neq \bigcup \mathcal{K}_r(A_1)$ .

The construction of the infimum is given in the following statement.

5. Let  $A$  be an arbitrary non-empty set, let  $\{\mathcal{P}_{r_\lambda}(A)\}_{\lambda \in A}$  be an arbitrary set of systems of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$ . For any set  $Z \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  let us put  $Z_r = \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{K}(Z)} (\bigcap_{\lambda \in A} X_{r_\lambda})$ . Then  $\{Z_r : Z \in \mathcal{P}(A)\}$  is the infimum of the set  $\{\mathcal{P}_{r_\lambda}(A)\}_{\lambda \in A}$  in the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ .

Proof. a)  $\mathcal{P}_r(A)$  is a system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$ . Thus let  $Z \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ . If  $X \in$

$\in \mathcal{K}(Z)$ , then  $X \subset \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{r_\lambda}$ , hence  $Z \subset Z_r$ . Let  $Z \subset U_r$  ( $Z, U \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ ). Let us take  $a \in Z_r$ . Then there exists such a set  $X \in \mathcal{K}(Z)$  that  $a \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{r_\lambda}$ . Let  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . For each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  there exists  $T^i \in \mathcal{K}(U)$  such that  $x_i \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} T_{r_\lambda}^i$ . Let us denote  $T = \bigcup_{i=1}^n T^i$ . Evidently  $T \in \mathcal{K}(U)$ ,  $X \subset T_{r_\lambda}$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . From this we obtain  $X_{r_\lambda} \subset T_{r_\lambda}$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Then  $a \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} T_{r_\lambda}$  holds and hence  $a \in U_r$ . The equality  $Z_r = \bigcup \mathcal{K}_r(Z)$  for an arbitrary infinite set  $Z \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  is true according to the definition of the set  $Z_r$ , since evidently for each set  $X \in \mathcal{K}(A)$  there is  $X_r = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{r_\lambda}$ .

b)  $\mathcal{P}_r(A)$  is the lower bound of the set  $\{\mathcal{P}_{r_\lambda}(A)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ . The proof is clear.

c)  $\mathcal{P}_r(A)$  is the greatest lower bound of the set  $\{\mathcal{P}_{r_\lambda}(A)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A)$  be a system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  such that  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A) \leq \mathcal{P}_{r_\lambda}(A)$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Let  $a \in Z_{r_1}$  ( $Z \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ ). Then there exists a set  $X \in \mathcal{K}(Z)$  such that  $a \in X_{r_1}$ . Then  $a \in X_{r_\lambda}$  holds for each index  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , hence  $a \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{r_\lambda}$  and from this we get  $a \in Z_r$ . Hence  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A) \leq \mathcal{P}_r(A)$ .

In paper [7] the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  of all systems of  $r$ -ideals in the set containing three elements is constructed. There is a table there, in which there are all systems of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  (there are 45 of them) given and the diagram of the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ .

We need the following two simple lemmas.

**6.** Let  $A_1, A_2$  be arbitrary non-empty disjoint sets. Let  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A_1)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{r_2}(A_2)$  be systems of  $r$ -ideals in  $A_1$  and in  $A_2$ , respectively. Then it is possible to derive from  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A_1)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{r_2}(A_2)$  a system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A = A_1 \cup A_2$  as follows: For  $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  let us put:

1.  $X_r = X_{r_1}$  if  $X \in \mathcal{P}(A_1)$ ;
2.  $X_r = X_{r_2}$  if  $X \in \mathcal{P}(A_2)$ ;
3.  $X_r = (X \cap A_1)_{r_1} \cup (X \cap A_2)_{r_2}$  if  $X \cap A_1 \neq \emptyset$  and simultaneously  $X \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$ . This statement is evident.

**7. Definition.** Following the notations introduced in the preceding lemma we shall say that the system  $\mathcal{P}_r(A)$  of  $r$ -ideals is induced by the systems  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A_1)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{r_2}(A_2)$  of  $r$ -ideals in the set  $A = A_1 \cup A_2$  and we shall denote it  $\text{comp} \{\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A_1), \mathcal{P}_{r_2}(A_2)\}$ .

**8.** Let  $A_1, A_2$  be arbitrary disjoint sets. Let  $\mathcal{P}_r(A_1)$  be an arbitrary fixed system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A_1$ , let  $\mathcal{E}(A_2) = \{\mathcal{P}_{r_i}(A_2)\}_{i \in I}$ . Then the set  $\{\text{comp} \{\mathcal{P}_r(A_1), \mathcal{P}_{r_i}(A_2)\}\}_{i \in I}$  is a sublattice of the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  of all systems of  $r$ -ideals in  $A = A_1 \cup A_2$  isomorphic with the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A_2)$ .

**Proof.** Let us take  $\mathcal{P}_{r_{i_1}}(A_2), \mathcal{P}_{r_{i_2}}(A_2) \in \mathcal{E}(A_2), X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ . Distinguishing three cases:  $X \subset A_1, X \subset A_2, X \cap A_1 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$  one can easily show that the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{comp } \{\mathcal{P}_r(A_1), \mathcal{P}_{r_{i_1}}(A_2)\} \wedge \text{comp } \{\mathcal{P}_r(A_1), \mathcal{P}_{r_{i_2}}(A_2)\} = \\ & \quad = \text{comp } \{\mathcal{P}_r(A_1), \mathcal{P}_{r_{i_1}}(A_2) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{r_{i_2}}(A_2)\} \\ & \text{comp } \{\mathcal{P}_r(A_1), \mathcal{P}_{r_{i_1}}(A_2)\} \vee \text{comp } \{\mathcal{P}_r(A_1), \mathcal{P}_{r_{i_2}}(A_2)\} = \\ & \quad = \text{comp } \{\mathcal{P}_r(A_1), \mathcal{P}_{r_{i_1}}(A_2) \vee \mathcal{P}_{r_{i_2}}(A_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

are valid.

The isomorphism is given by the mapping:

$$\mathcal{P}_r(A_2) \rightarrow \text{comp } \{\mathcal{P}_r(A_1), \mathcal{P}_r(A_2)\}.$$

We are going to examine whether the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  is modular and complemented.

**9. Theorem.** *If the set  $A$  contains at least three elements, then lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  is not modular.*

**Proof.** First of all let us suppose that the set  $A$  contains just three elements  $a, b, c$ . Let us consider the systems of  $r$ -ideals in  $A = \{a, b, c\}$  described in the following table.

	$r_1$	$r_2$	$r_3$	$r_4$	$r_5$
$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$
$\{b\}$	$\{b, c\}$	$\{b, c\}$	$\{b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$
$\{c\}$	$\{c\}$	$\{c\}$	$\{b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, c\}$
$\{a, b\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$				
$\{b, c\}$	$\{b, c\}$	$\{b, c\}$	$\{b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$
$\{a, c\}$	$\{a, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, c\}$
$\{a, b, c\}$					

The following holds  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A) < \mathcal{P}_{r_2}(A) < \mathcal{P}_{r_3}(A) < \mathcal{P}_{r_4}(A), \mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A) < \mathcal{P}_{r_5}(A) < \mathcal{P}_{r_4}(A)$ . The element  $\mathcal{P}_{r_5}(A)$  of the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  is incomparable with the elements  $\mathcal{P}_{r_3}(A), \mathcal{P}_{r_4}(A)$  of the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ . Further evidently  $\mathcal{P}_{r_5}(A) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{r_3}(A) = \mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A), \mathcal{P}_{r_5}(A) \vee \mathcal{P}_{r_2}(A) = \mathcal{P}_{r_4}(A)$ , hence  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A), \dots, \mathcal{P}_{r_5}(A)$  form the pentagonal non-modular sublattice of the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ .

Now let the set  $A$  contains more than three elements. Let us put  $A_2 = \{a, b, c\}$ , where  $a, b, c$  are arbitrary different fixed elements of the set  $A$  and  $A_1 = A - A_2$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_r(A_1)$  be an arbitrary fixed system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A_1, \{\mathcal{P}_{r_i}(A_2)\}_{i \in I}$  the set of all systems of  $r$ -ideals in  $A_2 = \{a, b, c\}$ . According to the preceding part of the proof and Lemma 8, there exist indexes  $i_1, \dots, i_5 \in I$  such that  $\text{comp } \{\mathcal{P}_r(A_1), \mathcal{P}_{r_{i_1}}(A_2)\}, \dots, \text{comp } \{\mathcal{P}_r(A_1), \mathcal{P}_{r_{i_5}}(A_2)\}$  form the pentagonal non-modular sublattice of the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ .

**10. Theorem.** *Let  $A$  be an arbitrary set which contains at least three elements. Then the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  is not complemented.*

Proof. Let  $a$  be an arbitrary fixed element of the set  $A$ . For  $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  let us put  $X_{r_1} = A$  if  $a \in X$ ,  $X_{r_1} = A - \{a\}$  if  $a \notin X$  and  $\{a\}_{r_1} = \{a\}$ . We shall prove that the element  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A)$  of the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  has no complement in  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ . Let us suppose that there exists a system  $\mathcal{P}_{r_2}(A)$  of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  such that  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{r_2}(A)$  is the least element of the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ . Let us take  $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ .  $X_{r_1} \cap X_{r_2} = X$  holds. If  $a \in X$ ,  $X \neq \{a\}$ , then we must have  $X_{r_2} = X$ . Let  $X = \{a\}$ . If  $b \in A$ ,  $b \neq a$ , we have  $\{a, b\}_{r_2} = \{a, b\}$ . Therefore  $\{a\}_{r_2} \subset \{a, b\}$ . The last inclusion holds for any element  $b \in A$  different from  $a$ . Since  $A$  contains at least three elements,  $\{a\}_{r_2} = \{a\}$ . From the equalities  $\{a\}_{r_1} = \{a\}_{r_2} = \{a\}$  it follows that  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A) \vee \mathcal{P}_{r_2}(A)$  is not the greatest element of the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ . Namely the mapping belonging to this system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  assigns to the set  $\{a\}$  the same set  $\{a\}$  (cf. section 3).

The following question seems to be natural: do there exist elements  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{r_2}(A)$  in the set  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  such that  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A)$  is a complement of  $\mathcal{P}_{r_2}(A)$ ? The answer is positive. To show this let  $A$  be an arbitrary set which contains at least three elements. Let  $a$  be an arbitrary element of the set  $A$ . Then the system  $\{X_{r_1} : X_{r_1} = X \cup \{a\}, X \in \mathcal{P}(A)\}$  of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  is evidently a complement of the system  $\{X_{r_2} : X_{r_2} = A$  if  $a \in X$ ,  $X_{r_2} = A - \{a\}$  if  $a \notin X$ ,  $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)\}$  of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  in the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ .

**11.** *It is easy to verify that if the set  $A$  contains one or two elements, the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  of all systems of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  is modular and uniquely complemented.*

In the following part of the present paper we shall investigate whether the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  has atoms, dual atoms and we shall prove that the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  is dually atomic, when  $A$  contains at least two elements.

**12.** *Let  $A$  be an arbitrary non-empty set. Let  $\mathcal{P}_r(A)$  be a system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$ . If there exists such a set  $X^\circ \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  that  $A - X^\circ$  contains at least two elements and  $X^\circ \neq X_r^\circ$ , then  $\mathcal{P}_r(A)$  is not an atom in the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  of all systems of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$ .*

Proof. Let us take an arbitrary set  $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ . If  $X \subset X^\circ$ , let us put  $X_{r_1} = X$  and if  $X \not\subset X^\circ$ , let us put  $X_{r_1} = X_r$ . Evidently  $\{X_{r_1} : X \in \mathcal{P}(A)\}$  is a system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A) < \mathcal{P}_r(A)$ .  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A)$  is different from the least element of the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ , because there exists such a set  $Y \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  that  $X^\circ \not\subseteq Y$ ,  $a \notin Y$ , where  $a$  is some element of the set  $X_r^\circ - X^\circ$ . Then  $a \in Y_{r_1} - Y$ .

From this lemma we obtain as an immediate consequence the following statement.

**13.** *If  $\mathcal{P}_r(A)$  is an atom in the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ , then for each set  $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  such that  $A - X$  contains at least two elements  $X = X_r$  holds true.*

**14. Theorem.** *If the set  $A$  is infinite, then the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  has no atom. If the set  $A$  has  $n$  elements, where  $n \geq 2$ , then the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  has  $n$  atoms.*

*Proof.* Let us suppose first of all that the set  $A$  is infinite and that the system  $\mathcal{P}_r(A)$  of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  is an atom in the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ . According to the statement 13 there exists such an element  $a$  of the set  $A$  that  $(A - \{a\})_r = A$ . Let us denote  $Z = A - \{a\}$ .  $Z$  is an infinite set, hence  $Z_r = \cup \mathcal{K}_r(Z)$  holds. On the other hand for each set  $X \in \mathcal{K}(Z)$  we have  $X_r = X$  (again according to the statement 13), hence  $\cup \mathcal{K}_r(Z) = Z \neq Z_r$ , which is a contradiction.

Let the set  $A$  contains  $n$  elements ( $n \geq 2$ ). Let us take an arbitrary element  $a$  of the set  $A$  and let us put  $(A - \{a\})_{r_a} = A$ ,  $X_{r_a} = X$  if  $X \neq A - \{a\}$ . It can be readily seen that the system  $\{X_{r_a} : X \in \mathcal{P}(A)\}$  is a system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  and an atom in the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ . If  $a$  will run over the whole set  $A$ , we shall obtain  $n$  different atoms and those are already all atoms of the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ .

**15. Theorem.** *Let  $A$  be an arbitrary set which contains at least two elements. Let us denote by  $\bar{A}$  the cardinality of the set  $A$ . The lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  of all systems of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  has  $2^{\bar{A}} - 2$  dual atoms.*

*Proof.* Let  $X^\circ$  be an arbitrary non-empty fixed proper subset of the set  $A$ . Let  $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ . Let us put  $X_r = A - X^\circ$  if  $X \cap X^\circ = \emptyset$  and  $X_r = A$  if  $X \cap X^\circ \neq \emptyset$ . It is easy to show that  $\{X_r : X \in \mathcal{P}(A)\}$  is a system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$ . Evidently  $\mathcal{P}_r(A)$  is not the greatest element of the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A)$  be an arbitrary system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  such that  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A) > \mathcal{P}_r(A)$ . Then there exists a set  $X^1 \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  such that  $X_{r_1}^1 \supsetneq X_r^1$ . Obviously  $X^1 \cap X^\circ = \emptyset$ ,  $X_{r_1}^1 = A - X^\circ$ . Therefore there exists an element  $x^\circ \in X^\circ$  such that  $x^\circ \in X_{r_1}^1$ . Since  $\{x^\circ\}_r \subset \{x^\circ\}_{r_1}$  and  $\{x^\circ\}_r = A$ , the following holds  $X_{r_1}^1 = A$ . Let  $Y$  be an arbitrary set from the system  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  such that  $Y_r = A - X^\circ$ . From the relation  $X^1 \subset Y_{r_1}$  it follows that  $Y_{r_1} = A$ , hence  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A)$  is the greatest element of the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ . In this way it is proved that  $\mathcal{P}_r(A)$  is a dual atom in the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ . Evidently for different non-empty proper subsets  $X^\circ$  of the set  $A$  we obtain different systems of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A)$  be an element of the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  different from the greatest. Then there exists a set  $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  such that  $X_{r_1} \subsetneq A$ . Let us put  $X^\circ = A - X_{r_1}$  and let us take the corresponding dual atom of the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ , i. e. the system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  constructed by the method described at the beginning of the proof. Let  $Y \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ . If  $X^\circ \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ , then  $Y_r = A$  and evidently  $Y_{r_1} \subset Y_r$ . Let  $X^\circ \cap Y = \emptyset$ . Then  $Y \subset A - X^\circ$  and since  $A - X^\circ = X_{r_1}$ ,  $Y_{r_1} \subset X_{r_1} = A - X^\circ = Y_r$ . In this way it is proved that  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A) \leq \mathcal{P}_r(A)$ . Therefore the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  has as many dual atoms as there are non-empty proper subsets in the set  $A$ , i. e.  $2^{\bar{A}} - 2$ .

**16. Definition.** A lattice  $L$  with the greatest element  $1$  is called dually atomic iff each its element  $x \neq 1$  is the meet of some dual atoms of the lattice  $L$ .

**17. Theorem.** The lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  of all systems of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  is dually atomic.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{P}_r(A)$  be a given arbitrary system of  $r$ -ideals in  $A$  different from the greatest. Let us denote  $\mathcal{P}'(A)$  the system consisting of those sets  $X$  of the system  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ , for which  $X_r \neq A$ . Further let  $\mathcal{P}'_{rx}(A)$  be a dual atom of the lattice  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  such that  $X^\circ = A - X_r$ ,  $X \in \mathcal{P}'(A)$  (cf. Theorem 15), i. e. for the set  $Y \in \mathcal{P}(A)$   $Y_{rx} = X_r$  if  $Y \subset X_r$  and  $Y_{rx} = A$  if  $Y \not\subset X_r$ . Let us denote  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A) = \bigwedge_{X \in \mathcal{P}'(A)} \mathcal{P}'_{rx}(A)$ .

Let  $Y \in \mathcal{K}(A) \cap \mathcal{P}'(A)$ . Then (cf. statement 5)  $Y_{r_1} = \bigcap_{X \in \mathcal{P}'(A)} Y_{rx} = \bigcap_{\substack{X \in \mathcal{P}'(A) \\ X_r \supset Y}} Y_{rx} = \bigcap_{\substack{X \in \mathcal{P}'(A) \\ X_r \supset Y}} X_r$ . Evidently  $Y_r \subset \bigcap_{\substack{X \in \mathcal{P}'(A) \\ X_r \supset Y}} X_r$  holds and since  $Y \in \mathcal{P}'(A)$ ,  $Y_r \supset Y$ , the inverse inclusion is valid too. Therefore  $Y_{r_1} = Y_r$ .

Let  $Y \in \mathcal{K}(A)$ ,  $Y_r = A$ . If  $X \in \mathcal{P}'(A)$ , then  $Y \not\subset X_r$  (because otherwise it would have to be  $Y_r \subset X_r$ ), hence  $Y_{rx} = A$ . Then  $Y_{r_1} = A$  and hence again  $Y_{r_1} = Y_r$ .

Let  $Z$  be an infinite set from the system  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ . Then the following holds  $Z_{r_1} = \cup \mathcal{K}_{r_1}(Z) = \cup \mathcal{K}_r(Z) = Z_r$ .

Therefore the equality  $\mathcal{P}_{r_1}(A) = \mathcal{P}_r(A)$  is true.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] FUCHS, L.: On partially ordered algebras II. Acta scient. math. Szeged, 26, 1965, 35–41.
- [2] GAIFMAN, H.: The lattice of all topologies on a denumerable set (abstract). Amer. math. Soc. Notices, 8, 1961, 356.
- [3] JAKUBÍK, J.: Lattice ordered algebras generated by a system of ideals. Colloq. Math., 20, 1969, 31–44.
- [4] SCHNARE, P. S.: Infinite complementation in the lattice of topologies. Fundam. math., 64, 1969, 249–255.
- [5] STEINER, A. K.: The lattice of topologies: structure and complementation. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 122, 1966, 379–398.
- [6] WOLK, E. S.: Order compatible topologies on a partially ordered set. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1958, 524–529.
- [7] ČORNAYOVÁ, J.: Systémy ideálov v univerzálných algebrách. [Diplomová práca.] 1968.

Received March 3, 1970

Katedra algebrы a geometrie  
Prírodovedeckej fakulty  
Univerzity P. J. Šafárika  
Košice