## Zdena Riečanová On Regularity of a Measure on a $\sigma$ -Algebra

Matematický časopis, Vol. 19 (1969), No. 2, 135--137

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127091

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1969

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

## ON REGULARITY OF A MEASURE ON A $\sigma$ -ALGEBRA

ZDENA RIEČANOVÁ, Bratislava

In the paper we show that the question of regularity of a measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra can be reduced to the question of regularity on a  $\sigma$ -ring.

If X is a nonempty set of elements and C is a nonempty family of substes of X, then by S(C) we denote the  $\sigma$ -ring and by A(C) the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by C. The family  $[S(C)]_{\lambda} = \{B \subset X : E \cap B \in S(C) \text{ for all } E \in S(C)\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of X.<sup>(1)</sup>

**Definition.**(<sup>2</sup>) Let X be a nonempty set of elements, C, U, S be nonempty families of subsets of X such that S is a  $\sigma$ -ring, C  $\subset$  S, U  $\subset$  S. Then a measure  $\mu$  defined on S will be called to be (C, U)-regular on a  $\sigma$ -ring S<sub>0</sub>  $\subset$  S if and only if

 $\mu(E) = \sup \{ \mu(C) : E \supset C \in \mathbf{C} \} = \inf \{ \mu(U) : E \subset U \in \mathbf{U} \}$ 

for each  $E \in \mathbf{S}_0$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let X be a nonempty set of elements, C and U be nonempty families of subsets of X, A be a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of X such that  $C \subset A \subset [S(C)]_{\lambda}$ ,  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on A. Let U and C satisfy the following conditions:

a. U is a finitely additive subfamily of A.

b.  $U - C \in \mathbf{U}$  for each  $U \in \mathbf{U}$ ,  $C \in \mathbf{C}$ .

Then  $\mu$  is a (**C**, **U**)-regular measure on **A** if and only if the following two conditions are simultaneously satisfied:

1.  $\mu$  is a (C, U)-regular measure on S(C).

2. There are sets  $Y \in S(C)$  and  $U \in U$  such that  $\mu(X - Y) = 0, X - Y \subset U$ ,  $\mu(U) < \infty.(^3)$ 

First we prove two lemmas. We assume in both lemmas that C is a nonempty family of subsets of X and A is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of X such that  $C \subset A$ .

(\*) We can suppose that 
$$Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n, C_n \in \mathbf{C}$$
.

<sup>(1)</sup> We use the terminology according to [1].

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>) See also [2], p. 187 and [3].

Lemma 1. Let  $\mu$  be a measure on A. If  $E \in A$ ,  $\mu(E) < \infty$  and  $\mu(E) = \sup \{\mu(C) : E \supset C \in C\}$ , then there exists  $Y \in S(C)$ ,  $Y \subset E$ ,  $\mu(E - Y) = 0$ . Proof. By an assumption there are sets  $C_n \in C$  (n = 1, 2, ...) such that

$$C_n \subset E, \ \mu(E) < \mu(C_n) + \frac{1}{n} \leq \mu(\bigcup_{k=1}^n C_k) + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Hence

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \subset E, \ \mu(E) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \mu(\bigcup_{k=1}^n C_k) + \frac{1}{n} \right] = \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n)$$

and therefore

$$0 \leq \mu(E - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n) = \mu(E) - \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n) \leq 0.$$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on A. Let

$$\mu(E) = \sup \{ \mu(C) : E \supset C \in \mathbf{C} \},\$$

for each  $E \in A$ . Then there is a set  $Y \in S(C)$  such that  $\mu(X - Y) = 0$ .

Proof. As  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite there is a sequence  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of sets of A,  $\mu(A_n) < \infty$  (n = 1, 2, ...) such that  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ . By Lemma 1 there are sets  $Y_n \in S(C)$  (n = 1, 2, ...) such that  $\mu(A_n - Y_n) = 0$ . Put  $Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n$ . Then  $Y \in S(C)$  and  $\mu(X - Y) = \mu[(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) - (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n)] \leq \mu[\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (A_i - Y_i)] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i - Y_i) = 0$ . Proof of Theorem 1. A. If  $\mu$  is a (C, U)-regular measure on A, then the

Proof of Theorem 1. A. If  $\mu$  is a (C, U)-regular measure on A, then the condition 1 is evident and the condition 2 follows from Lemma 2 and the regularity of the set X - Y with respect to  $\mu$ .

B. Let the conditions 1 and 2 hold and  $E \in A$ . Then  $E \cap Y \in S(C)$  and

$$\mu(E) = \mu(E \cap Y) = \sup \{\mu(C) : E \cap Y \supset C \in \mathbf{C}\} \leq \\ \leq \sup \{\mu(C) : E \supset C \in \mathbf{C}\} \leq \mu(E).$$

If  $\mu(E) = \infty$ , then  $\mu(E) = \inf \{\mu(U) : E \subset U \in U\}$ . Let  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . Choose an  $\mathscr{E} > 0$ . By assumptions we have  $U \in U$ ,  $U \in C$ ,  $U \supset E - Y$ ,  $\mu(U) < \infty$ ,  $C \subset U \cap Y$ ,  $\mu[(U \cap Y) - C] < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

Hence we have  $U - C \in U$ ,  $U - C \supset E - Y$ ,  $\mu(U - C) \leq \mu(U - Y) + \mu[(U \cap Y) - C] = \mu[(U \cap Y) - C] < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

Since  $E \cap Y \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{C})$ , we have  $V \in \mathbf{U}, V \supset E \cap Y, \mu[V - (E \cap Y)] < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

Put  $O = V \cup (U - C)$ . Then

$$O \in \mathbf{U}, \ O \supset E, \ \mu(O) - \mu(E) = \mu(O - E) \leq \mu[(V - E) \cup (U - C)] \leq \mu(V - E) + \mu(U - C) < \varepsilon.$$

Hence the Theorem is proved.

**Corollary.** Let X, C and U satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1,  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on S(C). Let  $\mu_{\lambda}$  be the extension of  $\mu$  on  $[S(C)]_{\lambda}$  defined in [2], example 1, p. 53. Then  $\mu_{\lambda}$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and (C, U)-regular on  $[S(C)]_{\lambda}$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

1.  $\mu_{\lambda}$  is a (C, U)-regular measure on S(C).

2. There are sets  $Y \in S(C)$  and  $U \in U$  such that  $\mu_{\lambda}(X - Y) = 0$ ,  $X - Y \subset U$ ,  $\mu_{\lambda}(U) < \infty$ .

Example 1. If in Theorem 1 X is a locally compact Hausdorff topological space and **C** is the family of all compact subsets of X, then we can put: 1.  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{C})$ . 2.  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{D})$ , where D are all closed subsets of X (we get weakly Borel sets). 3.  $\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{C})]_{\lambda}$  (we get locally Borel sets). In these cases **U** is the family of all open sets belonging to **A**.

Example 2. If in Theorem 1 X is a locally compact Hausdorff topological space and C is the family of all compact  $G_{\delta}$  subsets of X, then we can choose: 1.  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{C})$ . 2.  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{D}_0)$ , where  $\mathbf{D}_0$  are all closed  $G_{\delta}$  subsets of X (weakly Baire sets). 3.  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{Z})$ , where Z is the family of all sets of the form  $f^{-1}(\{0\})$ , where f is a real — valued function continuous on X. 4.  $\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{C}_0)]_{\lambda}$  (locally Baire sets). In the cases 1-4, U is the family of all open sets belonging to A.

## REFERENCES

[1] Halmos P. ., Measure Theory, New York 1950.

[2]Berberian S. K., Measure and Integration, New York 1965.

[3] Риечанова З., О регулярности меры, Mat .časop. 17 (1967), 38-47.

Received May 11, 1967.

Katedra matematiky a deskriptívnej geometrie Elektrotechnickej fakulty Slovenskej vysokej školy technickej, Bratislava