Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Wenxue Huang

On closed 4-manifolds admitting a Morse function with 4 critical points

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 46 (1996), No. 1, 47–59

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127269

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1996

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 46 (121) 1996, Praha

ON CLOSED 4-MANIFOLDS ADMITTING A MORSE FUNCTION WITH 4 CRITICAL POINTS

WENXUE HUANG, London

(Received June 9, 1993)

1. INTRODUCTION

It is not hard to see that both $S^2 \times S^2$ and $S^1 \times S^3$ amdit a Morse function with exactly 4 critical points (see our Lemma 2's proof); we can also find a topological S^4 having this property (see §4). The main concern here is the inverse problem. Similar topics have been well investigated which can be found in [1], [2], [4], etc.

By $\mathbb{Z}$, E^k, S^i we denote the groups of integers, k—*Euclidean* space and i—*Euclidean* sphere, respectively.

Our main result is as follows

Theorem. *Let M with $\chi(M) \neq 0$ be a closed connected C^∞ 4-manifold which admits a Morse function with exactly 4 critical points, where $\chi(M)$ is the Euler characteristic of M. Then either M is a topological S^4 or M is simply connected and*

$$H_*(M;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(S^2 \times S^2;\mathbb{Z}), \tag{1}$$

i.e., M has the integral homology groups of $S^2 \times S^2$. Furthermore,

a) *If (1) holds and such isomorphisms can be geometrically realized (i.e., if there exists a continuous mapping*

$$h\colon S^2 \times S^2 \longrightarrow M$$

such that its induced homomorphisms

$$h_\sharp\colon H_i(S^2 \times S^2;\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_i(M;\mathbb{Z}), \qquad i = 0,2,4$$

are isomorphisms), M has the homotopy type of $S^2 \times S^2$;

b) *if M is of a C^∞ product structure, M is diffeomorphic with $S^2 \times S^2$;*

c) *if M admits a Riemannian metric of positive curvature and with this metric M can be isometrically immersed into E^6, M is a topological S^4; if M admits a Riemannian structure of non-negative curvature and with this structure M can be isometrically embedded into E^6, either M is a topological S^4 or M is diffeomorphic with $S^2 \times S^2$.*

Remarks. 1. (See §3) We have two alternate versions for the hypothesis "$\chi(M) \neq 0$" in the theorem.

2. I wonder whether the condition in a) is superfluous or not. The realization for general simply connected spaces is not always possible. (See [15, p. 183])

The proof of the theorem will be given in §3. We shall present some preliminaries in §2 and discuss the case "$c_2(f) = 1$" of the theorem in §4.

2. Preliminaries

Unless otherwise specified, all manifolds involved in this paper are closed, connected, smooth and finite dimensional. M^n means manifold M is n-dimensional, e^n an n-cell, $\mathbb{F}$ an arbitrary field, $\chi(M)$ the Euler characteristic of M,

$$\beta_i(M^n; \mathbb{Z}) = \operatorname{rank} H_i(M^n; \mathbb{Z});$$
$$\beta_i(M^n; \mathbb{F}) = \dim H_i(M^n; \mathbb{F});$$
$$\beta(M^n; \mathbb{F}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \beta_i(M^n; \mathbb{F}).$$

Given a Morse function f defined on a smooth manifold M^n, by $c(f), c_i(f)$ we denote the number of critical points of f and that of index i, respectively. The Morse number of M^n is denoted by $\gamma(M^n)$, i.e.

$$\gamma(M^n) = \min\{c(\varphi) \mid \varphi\colon M^n \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is a Morse function}\}.$$

Similarly,

$$\gamma_i(M^n) = \min\{c_i(\varphi) \mid \varphi\colon M^n \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is a Morse function}\}.$$

Clearly, for any Morse function φ defined on M, we have

$$\beta_i(M^n; \mathbb{F}) \leqslant \gamma_i(M^n) \leqslant c_i(\varphi),$$
$$\beta(M^n; \mathbb{F}) \leqslant \sum_{i=0}^{n} \gamma_i(M^n) \leqslant c(\varphi).$$

In particular, if $\beta(M^n;\mathbb{F}) = c(\varphi)$, all inequalities above become equalities.

Using Kunneth's formula, we slightly modify the result in [5, p. 217–218] as follows

Lemma 1. *Given two Morse functions*

$$\varphi\colon N^n \to \mathbb{R},\ \psi\colon Q^q \to \mathbb{R},$$

then the function $\varphi + \psi\colon N^n \times Q^q \to \mathbb{R}$ *defined by*

$$(x, y) \in N^n \times Q^q \mapsto \varphi(x) + \psi(y) \in \mathbb{R}$$

is a Morse function and

$$c_i(\varphi + \psi) = \sum_{j+k=i} c_j(\varphi)c_k(\psi).$$

In particular, if both φ *and* ψ *are tight (i.e.,* $c(\varphi) = \gamma(N)$ *and* $c(\psi) = \gamma(Q)$*),*

$$c(\varphi + \psi) = \gamma(N^n)\gamma(Q^q) \geqslant \gamma(N^n \times Q^q).$$

If there exists a field $\mathbb{F}$ *such that*

$$\gamma(N^n) = \beta(N^n;\mathbb{F}) \text{ and } \gamma(Q^q) = \beta(Q^q;\mathbb{F}),$$

then $\gamma(N^n \times Q^q) = \gamma(N^n)\gamma(Q^q)$.

3. The Proof of The Theorem

To prove the theorem and study the general case, we establish first the following main lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let* f *be a Morse function defined on a closed connected smooth 4-manifold* M *and* $c(f) = 4$. *Then*

(a) *TFAE*

(a)$_1$ M *is a topological* S^4;

(a)$_2$ $\mathrm{c_0(f) + c_4(f) = 3}$ or $\mathrm{c_2(f) = 1}$;

(a)$_3$ $\chi(\mathrm{M}) = 2$.

(b) *TFAE*

(b)$_1$ M *is simply connected and has the integral homology groups of* $S^2 \times S^2$;

(b)$_2$ $\mathrm{c_2(f) = 2}$;

(b)$_3$ $\chi(\mathrm{M}) = 4$;

(b)$_4$ *there exist CW-complexes K and L with the same collection of cells such that M and $S^2 \times S^2$ have the homotopy type of K and L respectively.*

(c) *TFAE*

(c)$_1$ *M has the mod 2 homology groups of $S^1 \times S^3$ and the "mod 2" is replaced by "integral" when M is orientable;*

(c)$_2$ $c_1(f) = c_3(f) = 1$;

(c)$_3$ $\chi(M) = 0$;

(c)$_4$ *there exist CW-complexes S and T with the same collection of cells such that M and $S^1 \times S^3$ have the homotopy type of S and T respectively;*

(c)$_5$ *M is non-simply connected.*

(d) *TFAE*

(d)$_1$ $\chi(M) \neq 0$;

(d)$_2$ *M is simply connected;*

(d)$_3$ $c_1(f) + c_3(f) \leqslant 1$.

Proof. The condition $c(f) = 4$ and Theorem 12.1 in [10, p. 383] imply

$$2 \leqslant c_0(f) + c_4(f) \leqslant 3.$$

1) When $c_0(f) + c_4(f) = 3$, M is a topological S^4 by Theorem 12.1 in [10, p. 383] and Reeb theorem.

If $c_2(f) = 1$, then $c_0(f) = 1 = c_4(f)$, otherwise we can set $c_4(f) = 2$, then by Theorem 12.1 in [10, p. 383] we have $c_3(f) \geqslant 1$ that implies $c(f) \geqslant 5$, contradicting the hypothesis $c(f) = 4$. Therefore we can set

$$c_1(f) = 1, \qquad c_3(f) = 0.$$

By the improved Morse inequalities by Pitcher that

$$c_i(f) \geqslant \beta_i(M; \mathbb{Z}) + t_i(M; \mathbb{Z}) + t_{i-1}(M; \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $t_i(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is the torsion number of $H_i(M; \mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$\beta_i(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \beta_i(S^4; \mathbb{Z}), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4,$$
$$H_*(S^4; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(M; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Since $c_1(-f) = 0$, M is simply connected by Cor. 10.18 in [13, p. 225], it follows that M is a homotopy S^4, i.e. M is a topological S^4 by Freedman's theorem in [3, p. 371].

2) If $c_2(f) = 2$, then $c_0(f) = c_4(f) = 1$ and $c_1(f) = c_3(f) = 0$. It follows that M has the integral homology groups of $S^2 \times S^2$. Since $c_1(f) = 0$, M is simply connected by Cor. 10.18 in [13, p. 225].

3) If $c_1(f) = c_3(f) = 1, c_0(f) = c_4(f) = 1$ and $c_2(f) = 0$. By Morse inequalities, we have

$$\beta_2(M;\mathbb{F}) = 0,\ \beta_1(M;\mathbb{F}) = \beta_0(M;\mathbb{F}),\ \beta_4(M;\mathbb{F}) = \beta_3(M;\mathbb{F})$$

hold for any field $\mathbb{F}$. Therefore

$$H_*(M;\mathbb{Z}_2) \cong H_*(S^1 \times S^3;\mathbb{Z}_2);$$

and so

$$\beta_i(M;\mathbb{Z}_2) = \beta_i(S^1 \times S^3;\mathbb{Z}).$$

In particular, if M is orientable, then by the homology duality and the improved Morse inequalities, we have

$$H_*(M;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(S^1 \times S^3;\mathbb{Z}).$$

4) We claim $c_1(f) \neq 2$(equivalently $c_3(f) \neq 2$). Otherwise $c_0(f) = c_4(f) = 1$ and $c_2(f) = c_3(f) = 0$, and then

$$\beta_i(M;\mathbb{F}) = 0,\ i = 1,2,3; \quad \beta_0(M;\mathbb{F}) = 1,$$

resulting in

$$-1 = c_2(f) - c_1(f) + c_0(f) \geqslant \beta_2(M;\mathbb{F}) - \beta_1(M;\mathbb{F}) + \beta_0(M;\mathbb{F}) = 1,$$

which is absurd.

We have exhibited all possible values of $c_i(f)$ and proved that $(a)_2 \Rightarrow (a)_1$, $(b)_2 \Rightarrow (b)_1$ and $(c)_2 \Rightarrow (c)_1$. That $(a)_1 \Rightarrow (a)_3, (a)_2 \Rightarrow (a)_3$, $(b)_1 \Rightarrow (b)_3$ and $(c)_1 \Rightarrow (c)_3$ are trivially true.

Our conclusion $(b)_3 \Rightarrow (b)_2$ follows from the facts that 1) implies $\chi(M) = 2$ and that 3) implies $\chi(M) = 0$.

The proof of $(b)_2 \Rightarrow (b)_4$: Given $c_2(f) = 2$, then $c_0(f) = c_4(f) = 1$ and $c_1(f) = c_3(f) = 0$. By Theorem 3.5 in [7, p. 20], M has the homotopy type of a *CW-complex* with a collection of one e^0, two e^2's and one e^4.

On the other hand, given a natural embedding $S^n \hookrightarrow E^{n+1}$, then for any unit vector $p \in E^{n+1}$, the linear height function $l_p\colon S^n \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $x \in S^n \mapsto \langle p, x\rangle$ (where $\langle .,. \rangle$ denotes the usual inner product in E^{n+1}) is a Morse function with only

2 critical points, so l_p is tight and $\beta(S^n;\mathbb{F}) = 2 = \gamma(S^n)$. From Lemma 1, we know that

$$\varphi = l_p + l_q \colon S^2 \times S^2 \to \mathbb{R}$$

satisfies

$$c(\varphi) = \gamma(S^2)\gamma(S^2) = \gamma(S^2 \times S^2)$$

and

$$c_0(\varphi) = c_4(\varphi) = 1,\ c_2(\varphi) = 2,\ c_1(\varphi) = c_3(\varphi) = 0,$$

so $S^2 \times S^2$ like M has the homotopy type of a CW-complex with a collection of one e^0, two e^2's and one e^4.

The proof of $(\mathrm{b})_4 \Rightarrow (\mathrm{b})_3$: The Euler characteristic of a manifold is a homotopy type invariant and K and L have the same collection of cells, so

$$\chi(M) = \chi(K) = \chi(L) = \chi(S^2 \times S^2) = 4.$$

The proofs of $(\mathrm{c})_1 \Rightarrow (\mathrm{c})_3 \Rightarrow (\mathrm{c})_2 \Rightarrow (\mathrm{c})_4 \Rightarrow (\mathrm{c})_3$ are the analogues of that of (b).

The proof of $(\mathrm{c})_5 \Rightarrow (\mathrm{c})_2$: M is non-simply connected if and only if $c_1(f) = c_3(f) = 1$ holds according to 1) and 2).

$(\mathrm{c})_1 \Rightarrow (\mathrm{c})_5$ is trival.

The proof of $(\mathrm{a})_3 \Rightarrow (\mathrm{a})_2$: When $\chi(M) = 2$, only $c_0(f) + c_4(f) = 3$ or $c_2(f) = 1$ holds by 2) and 3).

Now the (d) follows immediately from (a), (b) and (c).

This concludes the proof of the lemma. □

Now we are in a position to prove the theorem.

Proof of Theorem. Since $\chi(M) \neq 0$, from the proof of Theorem 2 we know that M is simply connected and furthermore either M is a topological S^4 or M has the integral homology groups of $S^2 \times S^2$.

a) If M is the latter and there exists a continuous map

$$h \colon S^2 \times S^2 \to M$$

for which its induced homomorphisms

$$h_\sharp \colon H_i(S^2 \times S^2; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_i(M; \mathbb{Z}),\ i = 0, 2, 4$$

are isomorphisms, then since both M and $S^2 \times S^2$ are simply connected CW-complexes, using Theorem 25 in [14, p. 406], we conclude that M is homotopically equivalent to $S^2 \times S^2$.

b) Let M have a C^∞ product structure, i.e. $M = N \times Q$, then $\dim N = 2$ or 1.

If $\dim N = 1$, $N \approx S^1$ (here we denote "diffeomorphic to" by $\approx$), which contradicts the simply-connectedness of M. It follows that

$$\dim N = 2 = \dim Q.$$

But $\gamma(N) = 4 - \chi(N), \gamma(Q) = 4 - \chi(Q)$, thus

$$\beta(N; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \gamma(N), \ \beta(Q; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \gamma(Q).$$

Applying Lemma 1 to N and Q, we get

$$\gamma(M) = 4, \qquad \gamma(N) = 2 = \gamma(Q).$$

Therefore

$$M = N \times Q \approx S^2 \times S^2.$$

c) Let M be an orientable Riemannian manifold of positive curvature and let $I\colon M \to E^6$ be an isometrical immersing. By Moore's theorem (e.g. see [6, p. 116]) or [8, p. 72]), $I(M)$ is a topological S^4 and so I is an embedding, M is therefore a topological S^4.

Let M with a Riemannian structure of non-negative curvature be isometrically embedded into E^6 and $I\colon M \to E^6$ such an embedding. Since M is simply connected, by Baldin and Mercuri's result (see [6, p. 116]), we conclude that either M is a homotopy S^4 and hence a topological S^4 or $M \approx S^2 \times S^2$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Remark. Under the hypothesis of Lemma 2, if M is a non-simply connected product manifold, $M \approx S^1 \times Q^3$; if Q^3 satisfies $\gamma(Q^3) = \beta(Q^3; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, $M \approx S^1 \times S^3$. Because product manifold M is non-simply connected, $M = N^1 \times Q^3 \approx S^1 \times Q^3$ by the proof of the Theorem. Therefore

$$H_*(M; \mathbb{F}) \cong H_*(S^1 \times S^3; \mathbb{F})$$

holds for any field $\mathbb{F}$ and therefore

$$\beta(M; \mathbb{F}) = 4 = \gamma(M).$$

By Kunneth's formula, we know that

$$\beta(Q^3; \mathbb{F}) = 2$$

holds for any field $\mathbb{F}$, so

$$H_*(Q^3;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(S^3;\mathbb{Z}).$$

If, in addition, $\gamma(Q^3) = \beta(Q^3;\mathbb{Z}_2), Q^3 \approx S^3$. Hence

$$M \approx S^1 \times S^3.$$

4. On Case $c_2(f) = 1$

For (M, f) satisfing

$$c_0(f) + c_4(f) = 3 \tag{2}$$

or

$$c_2(f) = 2 \tag{3}$$

or

$$c_1(f) = c_3(f) = 1 \tag{4}$$

we have its corresponding models. In fact, models (M, f) satisfying (3) and (4) have been shown in the proof of Lemma 2; the model (M, f) for (2) can be realized by a hypersurface of E^5, which is similar to a U-shape tube with two smooth caps on its two ends, and a linear height function defined on the hypersurface. We show the model as follows:

A subset T of $E^5 = \{(x, y, z, u, v) \mid x, y, z, u, v \in E^1\}$ is defined by the equation

$$(\sqrt{u^2 + v^2} - a)^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = b^2, \quad a > b > 0.$$

Obviously, T can be obtained by "revolving a 3-sphere in E^5

$$\begin{cases} (v - a)^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = b^2, \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad u = 0 \end{cases}$$

around subspace $Oxyz$"; so T is connected and closed. If hyperplane $v = 0$ is regarded as a "level surface" and v-axis as the "vertical" axis, then sublevel set T_- : $v < 0$ can be given by

$$v = -\sqrt{(a \pm \sqrt{b^2 - x^2 - y^2 - z^2})^2 - u^2}.$$

Similar to the case of a "vertical" torus in E^3, the linear height function on T_- can be expressed as

$$f(x,y,z,u,v) = -\sqrt{(a \pm \sqrt{b^2 - x^2 - y^2 - z^2})^2 - u^2} + a + b.$$

Then

$$df = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y = z = u = 0, \quad v = \pm b - a,$$

i.e. f has just 2 critical points $(0,0,0,0,\pm b - a)$ on T_- and of which $(0,0,0,0,-b-a)$ is the minimum point of f. It is easily verified that the Hassian matrices of f at the 2 critical points are nondegenerate, so f is a Morse function on T_-.

Since level surface $v = 0$, which is the boundary of T_-, consists of two 3-spheres in E^5

$$\begin{cases} (u \pm a)^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = b^2, \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad v = 0, \end{cases}$$

T_- is a "U-shape tube" with two upward ends. We cover its each end with a "cap", i.e., a smooth $4-disc$ and then obtain the required hypersurface M of E^5. Meanwhile, we extend f naturally onto the two "caps". We still denote the extension of f, which is a linear height function defined on M, by f, then the two tops of the caps are critical points of f of index 4 and hence f has exactly 4 critical points on M, and

$$c_0(f) + c_4(f) = 3.$$

Then M is a topological S^4 by the Theorem. This concludes the construction of the required model.

Our main purpose of this section is to probe into (just!) the probability of the existence of (M, f) satisfying

$$c_0(f) = c_2(f) = c_3(f) = c_4(f) = 1, \quad c_1(f) = 0. \tag{5}$$

To the end, we assume that (5) holds and under the assumption we determine the types of the 4 critical points of f and calculate the homology groups of the sublevels f_t and level manifolds f^t. We need some preliminaries.

Morse introduced the following notions and results in his [11, p. 257–258] and [12, p. 259–260]:

For a Morse function f defined on an orientable manifold M^n and a real number t, we denote the sublevel set $\{x \in M \mid f(x) \leqslant t\}$ by f_t, and $\beta_k(f_d; \mathbb{F})$ by $\beta_k(d)$. Suppose open interval (a,b) contains just one critical value c of f and f take its critical value c only at one critical point p_c of index k. Set

$$\Delta\beta_q(c) = \beta_q(b) - \beta_q(a), \quad q = 0, 1, \ldots, n.$$

Then $\Delta\beta_k(c) = 1$ or $\Delta\beta_{k-1} = -1$. If the former (resp. latter) holds, the critical point p_c is said to be of increasing (resp. decreasing) type or linking (resp. nonlinking) type.

Notice that

$$\beta_i(b) = \beta_i(c), \quad \beta_i(a) = \beta_i(f_c - \{p_c\}),$$
$$\Delta\beta_q(c) = \beta_q(c) - \beta_q(f_c - \{p_c\}).$$

By Theorem 29.2 in [12, p. 260],

$$\Delta\beta_q(c) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } q = k \text{ and } p_c \text{ is of linking type,} \\ -1, & \text{if } q = k-1 \text{ and } p_c \text{ is of nonlinking type,} \\ 0, & \text{in other cases.} \end{cases}$$

Thus the notions of linking and nonlinking types are mutually exclusive and complementary.

We write

$$\Delta B_i(c) = \beta_i(f^{c+r}) - \beta_i(f^{c-\varepsilon})$$

for any regular value c of f and any sufficiently small real number ε.

Let (M, f) satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2 and (5), then M is a topological S^4. Applying Corollary 39.1 of [12, p. 361] to this (M, f), we choose f such that

$$f(p_i) = i, \qquad i = 0, 2, 3, 4$$

for which p_i is a critical point of f of index i. Take regular values a, b, c, d, e of f such that

$$a < 0 < b < 2 < c < 3 < d < 4 < e.$$

Then we have

Proposition 3. *For f chosen above, the critical points p_0, p_2 and p_4 are of linking type and p_3 nonlinking type. Moreover,*

$$\beta_q(i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (q, i) = (2, 2), (4, 4) \text{ or } (0, i), \text{ where } i = 0, 2, 3, 4. \\ 0, & \text{in other cases.} \end{cases}$$

P r o o f. Clearly, p_0, p_4 are of linking type and as is p_2, since by applying Morse inequalities to f, f_c, we have

$$\beta_2(c) = 1,$$

thus

$$\Delta\beta_2(2) = 1.$$

It is easily checked that

$$\Delta\beta_3(3) = 0,$$

and so

$$\Delta\beta_2(3) = -1, \quad \beta_2(d) = 0.$$

Hence p_3 is of nonlinking type. □

Remarks. 1. An analogous argument shows that to $-f$, its critical points p_4, p_3, and p_0, with indeces 0, 1, 4, resp., are of linking type but p_2, with index 2, nonlinking type.

2. [1, p. 8–9] indicates: Let f be a Morse function defined on a closed C^∞ manifold M^n, then the following three conditions are equivalent

a) For any field $\mathbb{F}$, $c_k(f|f_t) = \beta_k(f_t;\mathbb{F})$ holds for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$;

b) The homomorphisms between homology groups

$$H_i(f_t;\mathbb{F}) \to H_i(M^n;\mathbb{F}), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$$

induced by inclusion $f_t \hookrightarrow M^n$ are injective;

c) Every critical point of f is of linking type.

Now our Proposition 3 implies that for (M, f) satisfying (5),

a)' $1 = c_k(f) > \beta_k(M;\mathbb{F}) = 0$, $k = 2, 3$;

b)' The induced homomorphism

$$(\mathbb{F} \cong) \qquad H_2(f_c;\mathbb{F}) \to H_2(M;\mathbb{F}) \qquad (= 0)$$

is not injective;

c)' the critical point p_3 of f is of nonlinking type.

It follows that our Proposition 3 does not contradict the results in [1, p. 8–9]. It can be verified that (5) is compatible with Morse inequalities, the theorem on a character of homology S^4 in [11, p. 259] and Corollary 1.2 as well as (7.11) in [9, p. 256–257]. Besides, by Lemma 1.1 in [10, p. 352], the critical point p_3 of $-f$ of index 1 is of linking type,which is continent with our Proposition 3. All these facts seem to be to a great extent in favor of the existence of (M, f) satisfying (5).

3. In his [16, p. 100], Willmore said that recent work by Cerf made it appear that (which has not been proved! cf. V. V. Sharko's work, say, $MR1989f, 57038$)

$$\gamma(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \gamma_i(M)$$

holds for any closed C^∞ manifold. If the equality holds, our f satisfying (5) has two superfluous saddle points, i.e., there exists a Morse function $f^*\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ with only 2 critical points.

As the end of this paper, we study the topology of the level hypersurfaces of M with respect to any regular value of f and obtain

Proposition 4. *Under the hypothesis of Proposition 3, $f^b \approx S^3 \approx f^d$, f^c has the integral homology groups of $S^1 \times S^2$.*

Proof. We denote set $\{x \in M \mid f(x) \geqslant t\}$ by f_t^+. Since the points p_0 in f_b and p_4 in f_d^+ are extreme points of f and f_b (resp. f_d^+) contains no critical points of f other than p_0 (resp. p_4), and f^b (resp. f^d) is the boundary of f_b (resp. f_d^+). Then by Morse lemma,

$$f^b \approx S^3 \approx f^d.$$

For f^c, since M is a homology S^4, p_0 and p_2 as critical points of f are of increasing type rel. f^0 and f^2, resp., but p_3 decreasing type rel. f^3 by Corollary 7.2 in [9, p. 256]. Thus by Theorem 5.1 in [9, p. 252],

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \Delta B_2(2) = \beta_2(f^c), \\ 1 &= \Delta B_1(2) = \beta_1(f^c), \\ 0 &= \Delta B_i(2) = \beta_i(f^c) - 1, \qquad i = 0, 3. \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\beta_i(f^c; \mathbb{F}) = \beta_i(S^1 \times S^2; \mathbb{F}) = \beta_i(S^1 \times S^2; \mathbb{Z}), \qquad i = 0, 1, 2, 3$$

hold for any field $\mathbb{F}$. Then by the improved Morse inequalities, we have

$$H_*(f^c; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(S^1 \times S^2; \mathbb{Z}).$$

It follows, moreover, that f^b, f^c and f^d are connected closed orientable hypersurfaces of M. This proves the proposition. □

Acknowledgments. I am indebted to Professors C. Ouyang, L. Renner and Z. Wang for their enthusiastic encouragement and valuable advice during my preparation for this paper.

References

[1] *T. E. Cecil and P. J. Ryan*: Tight and taut immersions of manifolds. Pitman Adv. Publ. Program, 1985.

[2] *J. Eells and N. H. Kuiper*: Manifolds which are like projective planes. Publ. Math. I. H. E. S. *14* (1962), 128–222.

[3] *M. H. Freedman*: The topology of 4-dimensional manifolds. J. Diff. Geom. *17* (1982), 357–453.

[4] *M. W. Hirsch*: Differential topology. Springer, 1976.

[5] *N. H. Kuiper*: Minimal total absolute curvature for immersions. Invent. Math. *10* (1970), 209–238.

[6] *N. H. Kuiper*: Tight embeddings and maps, submanifolds of geometrical class three in E^N. The Chern Symposium, Springer (1979), 97–145.

[7] *J. Milnor*: Morse theory. Princeton Univ. Press, 1963.

[8] *J. D. Moore*: Codimension two submanifolds of positive curvature. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *70* (1978), 72–74.

[9] *M. Morse*: Homology relations on regular orientable manifolds. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sc. *38* (1952), 247–258.

[10] *M. Morse*: The existence of polar nondegenerate functions. Ann. of Math. *71* (1960), 352–383.

[11] *M. Morse*: The elimination of critical points of a non-degenerate funnction. J. Analyse Math. *13* (1964), 257–316.

[12] *M. Morse and S. S. Cairns*: Critical point theory in global analysis and differential topology. Acad. Press, New York, 1969.

[13] *R. S. Palais and C. L. Terng*: Critical point theory and submanifold geometry. Springer, 1988.

[14] *E. H. Spanier*: Algebraic topology. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.

[15] *G. W. Whitehead*: Elements of homotopy theory. Springer, 1978.

[16] *T. J. Willmore*: Total curvature in Riemannian geometry. Ellis Horwood Ltd., West Sussex, England, 1982.

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario N6A 5B7, Canada.