Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Young Bae Jun; Joseph Neggers; Hee Sik Kim

On L-fuzzy ideals in semirings. I

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 48 (1998), No. 4, 669–675

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127445

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1998

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 48 (123) (1998), 669–675

ON L-FUZZY IDEALS IN SEMIRINGS I

Young Bae Jun, Chinju, J. Neggers, Tuscaloosa, and Hee Sik Kim, Chongju

(Received September 18, 1995)

Abstract. In this paper we extend the concept of an L-fuzzy (characteristic) left (resp. right) ideal of a ring to a semiring R, and we show that each level left (resp. right) ideal of an L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal μ of R is characteristic iff μ is L-fuzzy characteristic.

MSC 2000: 16Y60, 04A72

Keywords: semiring, L-fuzzy (characteristic) ideal, level ideal

Following the introduction of fuzzy sets by L. A. Zadeh ([9]), the fuzzy set theory developed by Zadeh himself and others can be found in mathematics and many applied areas. In 1982, W. Liu ([5]) defined and studied fuzzy subrings as well as fuzzy ideals in rings. Subsequently, T. K. Mukherjee and M. K. Sen ([6]), K. L. N. Swamy and U. M. Swamy ([7]), and Zhang Yue ([8]) fuzzified certain standard concepts/results on rings and ideals. The concept of semirings was introduced by H. S. Vandiver in 1935 and has since then been studied by many authors (e.g., [1, 2, 3, 4]). In this paper we extend the concept of an L-fuzzy (characteristic) left (resp. right) ideal of a ring to a semiring R, and we show that each level left (resp. right) ideal of L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal μ of R is characteristic iff μ is L-fuzzy characteristic. It should be noted that usually the transiton from rings to semirings is a delicate matter requiring careful adjustment of definitions and results in order to succeed.

By a *semiring* we shall mean a set R endowed with two associative binary operations called *addition* and *multiplication* (denoted by $+$ and $\cdot$, respectively) satisfying the following conditions:

(i) addition is a commutative operation,

(ii) there exists $0 \in R$ such that $x + 0 = x$ and $x0 = 0x = 0$ for each $x \in R$,

(iii) multiplication distributes over addition both from the left and from the right. From now on we write R and S for semirings. A non-empty subset A of R is a *left* (resp. *right*) *ideal* if $x, y \in A$ and $r \in R$ imply that $x + y \in A$ and $rx \in A$ (resp. $xr \in A$). If A is both left and right ideal of R, we say A is a two-sided ideal, or simply, ideal of R. A mapping $f\colon R \to S$ is called a *homomorphism* if $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ and $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. We note that if $f\colon R \to S$ is an onto homomorphism and if A is a left (resp. right) ideal of R, then $f(A)$ is a left (resp. right) ideal of S.

Throughout this paper $L = (L, \leqslant, \wedge, \vee)$ will be a completely distributive lattice, which has the least and the greatest elements, say 0 and 1, respectively. Let X be a non-empty (usual) set. An *L-fuzzy set* in X is a map $\mu\colon X \to L$, and $\mathscr{F}(X)$ will denote the set of all L-fuzzy sets in X. If $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{F}(X)$, then $\mu \subseteq \nu$ if and only if $\mu(x) \leqslant \nu(x)$ for all $x \in X$, and $\mu \subset \nu$ if and only if $\mu \subseteq \nu$ and $\mu \neq \nu$. It is easily seen that $\mathscr{F}(X) = (\mathscr{F}(X), \subseteq, \wedge, \vee)$ is a completely distributive lattice, which has the least and the greatest elements, say $\mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{1}$, respectively in natural manner, where $\mathbf{0}(x) = 0$, $\mathbf{1}(x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$.

Given any two sets X and X', let $\mu \in \mathscr{F}(X)$ and let $f\colon X \to X'$ be any function. We define $\nu \in \mathscr{F}(X')$ by

$$\nu(y) = \begin{cases} \sup\limits_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \mu(x) & \text{if} f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset, y \in X', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and we call ν the *image* of μ under f, written $f(\mu)$. For any $\nu \in \mathscr{F}(f(X))$, we define $\mu \in \mathscr{F}(X)$ by $\mu(x) = \nu(f(x))$ for all $x \in X$, and we call μ the *preimage* of ν under f which is denoted by $f^{-1}(\nu)$.

Definition 1. An L-fuzzy set $\mu (\in \mathscr{F}(R))$ is called an *L-fuzzy left* (resp. *right*) *ideal* of R if for all $x, y \in R$,

(i) $\mu(x + y) \geqslant \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$,

(ii) $\mu(xy) \geqslant \mu(y)$ (resp. $\mu(xy) \geqslant \mu(x)$).

An L-fuzzy set μ is an *L-fuzzy ideal* of R if and only if it is both L-fuzzy left and right ideal of R. It follows from the definition of the semiring that if μ is an L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of R, then $\mu(0) \geqslant \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$. As the idea of a semiring is a generalization of the idea of a ring, the notion of L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of a semiring is also a generalization of the notion of L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal in rings. Hence, every L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of a ring is L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of a semiring. But the converse need not at all be true. Consider the following example.

Example 2. (a). Let $R := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a set with two associative binary operations:

$+$	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	1	2	3
2	2	2	2	3
3	3	3	3	2

$\cdot$	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	1	1
2	0	1	1	1
3	0	1	1	1

Then we can easily see that $(R; +, \cdot)$ is a semiring. Define an L-fuzzy set $\mu\colon R \to L$ by $\mu(3) < \mu(2) < \mu(1) < \mu(0)$. Then μ is an L-fuzzy left ideal of the semiring R, but μ is not an L-fuzzy left (ring-) ideal of R, since $\mu(x - y)$ is not defined for any $x, y \in R$.

(b). The semiring of non-negative real numbers with respect to addition and multiplication is of great practical importance and yet is not a ring, nor can both operations be transformed simultaneously to obtain a ring. For this semiring there are many L-fuzzy ideals of natural interest. (E.g., with respect to the study of the exponential distribution in probability theory for example.)

Proposition 3. *Let $\mu \in \mathscr{F}(R)$. Then μ is an L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of R if and only if, for any $t \in L$ such that $\mu_t \neq \emptyset$, μ_t is a left (resp. right) ideal of R, where $\mu_t = \{x \in R \mid \mu(x) \geqslant t\}$, which is called a level subset of μ.*

Proof. If μ is an L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of R, it is easy to see that $\mu_t \neq \emptyset$ is a left (resp. right) ideal of R. Conversely, let all $\mu_t \neq \emptyset$ be left (resp. right) ideal of R. Then for all $x, y \in R$, we have $x, y \in \mu_{\min\{\mu(x),\mu(y)\}}$, so $x + y \in \mu_{\min\{\mu(x),\mu(y)\}}$. Thus $\mu(x + y) \geqslant \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$. Noticing that $x \in \mu_{\mu(x)}$, we obtain $rx \in \mu_{\mu(x)}$ (resp. $xr \in \mu_{\mu(x)}$) for all $r \in R$. It follows that $\mu(rx) \geqslant \mu(x)$ (resp. $\mu(xr) \geqslant \mu(x)$). Therefore μ is an L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of R. $\square$

If μ is an L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of R, we call μ_t ($\neq \emptyset$) a *level left* (resp. *right*) *ideal* of μ. If $\mu \in \mathscr{F}(R)$ is an L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of R, then the set $R_\mu = \{x \in R \mid \mu(x) \geqslant \mu(0)\}$ is a left (resp. right) ideal of R.

Theorem 4. *Let A be any left (resp. right) ideal of R. Then there exists an L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal μ of R such that $\mu_t = A$ for some $t \in L$.*

Proof. If we define a L-fuzzy set in R by

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if} x \in A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for some $t \in L$, then it follows that $\mu_t = A$. For given $s \in L$ we have

$$\mu_s = \begin{cases} \mu_0(= R) & \text{if} s = 0, \\ \mu_t(= A) & \text{if} s \leqslant t, \\ \emptyset & \text{if} t < s \leqslant 1. \end{cases}$$

Since A and R itself are left (resp. right) ideals of R, it follows that every non-empty level subset μ_s of μ is a left (resp. right) ideal of R. By Proposition 3, μ is an L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of R, which satisfies the conditions of the theorem. □

Theorem 5. *Let $\mu \in \mathscr{F}(R)$ be an L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of R. Then two level left (resp. right) ideals μ_s, μ_t (with $s < t$ in L) of μ are equal if and only if there is no $x \in R$ such that $s \leqslant \mu(x) < t$.*

Proof. Suppose $s < t$ in L and $\mu_s = \mu_t$. If there exists a $x \in R$ such that $s \leqslant \mu(x) < t$, then μ_t is a proper subset of μ_s, a contradiction. Conversely, suppose that there is no $x \in R$ such that $s \leqslant \mu(x) < t$. Note that $s < t$ implies $\mu_t \subseteq \mu_s$. If $x \in \mu_s$, then $\mu(x) \geqslant s$, and so $\mu(x) \geqslant t$ because $\mu(x) \not< t$. Hence $x \in \mu_t$, and $\mu_s = \mu_t$. This completes the proof. □

For any $\mu \in \mathscr{F}(R)$ we denote by $\mathrm{Im}(\mu)$ the image set of μ.

Theorem 6. *Let $\mu \in \mathscr{F}(R)$ be an L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of R. If $\mathrm{Im}(\mu) = \{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n\}$, where $t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_n$, then the family of left (resp. right) ideals μ_{t_i} $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ constitutes the collection of all level left (resp. right) ideals of μ.*

Proof. If $t \in L$ with $t < t_1$, then $\mu_{t_1} \subseteq \mu_t$. Since $\mu_{t_1} = R$, we have $\mu_t = R$ and $\mu_t = \mu_{t_1}$. If $t \in L$ with $t_i < t < t_{i+1}$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1)$, then there is no $x \in R$ such that $t \leqslant \mu(x) < t_{i+1}$. It follows from Theorem 5 that $\mu_t = \mu_{t_{i+1}}$. This shows that for any $t \in L$ with $t \leqslant \mu(0)$, the level left (resp. right) ideal μ_t is in $\{\mu_{t_i} \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\}$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 7. *An onto homomorphic preimage of an L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal is an L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal.*

Proof. Let $f\colon R \to S$ be an onto homomorphism. Let $\nu \in \mathscr{F}(S)$ be an L-fuzzy left ideal and let μ be the preimage of ν under f. Then for any $x, y \in R$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x+y) &= \nu(f(x+y)) \\ &= \nu(f(x) + f(y)) \\ &\geqslant \min\{\nu(f(x)), \nu(f(y))\} \\ &= \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

and $\mu(xy) = \nu(f(xy)) = \nu(f(x)f(y)) \geqslant \nu(f(y)) = \mu(y)$. This shows that μ is an L-fuzzy left ideal of R. The other cases are similar. $\square$

Proposition 8. *Let f be a mapping from a set X to a set Y, and let $\mu \in \mathscr{F}(X)$. Then for every $t \in L$, $t \neq 0$,*

$$(f(\mu))_t = \bigcap_{0<s<t} f(\mu_{t-s}).$$

P r o o f. Let $t \in L$, $t \neq 0$. If $y \in (f(\mu))_t$, then

$$t \leqslant (f(\mu))(y) = \sup_{z \in f^{-1}(y)} \mu(z).$$

This means that there exists $x_0 \in f^{-1}(y)$ such that $\mu(x_0) > t-s$ for every $s \in L$ with $0 < s < t$, and so $y = f(x_0) \in f(\mu_{t-s})$. Therefore $y \in \bigcap_{0<s<t} f(\mu_{t-s})$. Conversely, let $y \in \bigcap_{0<s<t} f(\mu_{t-s})$. Then $y \in f(\mu_{t-s})$ for every $s \in L$ with $0 < s < t$, which implies that there exists $x_0 \in \mu_{t-s}$ such that $y = f(x_0)$. It follows that $\mu(x_0) \geqslant t - s$ and $x_0 \in f^{-1}(y)$, so that

$$(f(\mu))(y) = \sup_{z \in f^{-1}(y)} \mu(z) \geqslant \sup_{0<s<t} \{t - s\} = t.$$

Hence $y \in (f(\mu))_t$, and we complete the proof. $\square$

Theorem 9. *Let $f\colon R \to S$ be an onto homomorphism and let μ be an L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of R. Then the homomorphic image $f(\mu)$ of μ under f is an L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of S.*

P r o o f. In view of Proposition 3 it is sufficient to show that each non-empty level subset of $f(\mu)$ is a left (resp. right) ideal of S. Let $(f(\mu))_t$ be a non-empty level subset of $f(\mu)$ for every $t \in L$. If $t = 0$ then $(f(\mu))_t = S$. Assume $t \neq 0$. By Proposition 8, $(f(\mu))_t = \bigcap_{0<s<t} f(\mu_{t-s})$. Hence $f(\mu_{t-s})$ is non-empty for each $0 < s < t$, and so μ_{t-s} is a nonempty level subset of μ for every $0 < s < t$. Since μ is an L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of R, it follows from Proposition 3 that μ_{t-s} is a left (resp. right) ideal of R. Since f is an onto homomorphism, $f(\mu_{t-s})$ is a left (resp. right) ideal of S. Hence $(f(\mu))_t$ being an intersection of a family of left (resp. right) ideals is also a left (resp. right) ideal of S. The proof is complete. $\square$

Definition 10. A left (resp. right) ideal A of R is said to be *characteristic* if $f(A) = A$ for all $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(R)$, where $\mathrm{Aut}(R)$ is the set of all automorphisms of R. An L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal μ of R is said to be *L-fuzzy characteristic* if $\mu(f(x)) = \mu(x)$ for all $x \in R$ and $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(R)$.

Theorem 11. *Let μ be an L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of R and let $f\colon R \to R$ be an onto homomorphism. Then the mapping $\mu^f \in \mathscr{F}(R)$, defined by $\mu^f(x) = \mu(f(x))$ for all $x \in R$, is an L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of R.*

Proof. For any $x, y \in R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^f(x+y) &= \mu(f(x+y))\\ &= \mu(f(x)+f(y))\\ &\geqslant \min\{\mu(f(x)), \mu(f(y))\}\\ &= \min\{\mu^f(x), \mu^f(y)\}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^f(xy) &= \mu(f(xy))\\ &= \mu(f(x)f(y))\\ &\geqslant \mu(f(y)) \text{ (resp. } \mu(f(x)))\\ &= \mu^f(y) \text{ (resp. } \mu^f(x)).\end{aligned}$$

Hence μ^f is an L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of R. □

Theorem 12. *If μ is an L-fuzzy characteristic left (resp. right) ideal of R, then each level left (resp. right) ideal of μ is characteristic.*

Proof. Let μ be an L-fuzzy characteristic left (resp. right) ideal of R and let $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(R)$. For any $t \in L$, if $y \in f(\mu_t)$, then $\mu(y) = \mu(f(x)) = \mu(x) \geqslant t$ for some $x \in \mu_t$ with $y = f(x)$. It follows that $y \in \mu_t$. Conversely, if $y \in \mu_t$, then $t \leqslant \mu(y) = \mu(f(x)) = \mu(x)$ for some $x \in R$ with $y = f(x)$. It follows that $y \in f(\mu_t)$. □

To prove the converse of Theorem 12, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 13. *Let μ be an L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of R and let $x \in R$. Then $\mu(x) = t$ if and only if $x \in \mu_t$ and $x \notin \mu_s$ for all $s > t$.*

Proof. Straightforward. □

Theorem 14. *Let μ be an L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of R. If each level left (resp. right) ideal of μ is characteristic, then μ is L-fuzzy characteristic.*

Proof. Let $x \in R$ and $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(R)$. If $\mu(x) = t \in L$, then by Lemma 13 $x \in \mu_t$ and $x \notin \mu_s$ for all $s > t$. Since each level left (resp. right) ideal of μ is characteristic, $f(x) \in f(\mu_t) = \mu_t$. Assume $\mu(f(x)) = s > t$. Then $f(x) \in \mu_s = f(\mu_s)$. Since f is one-to-one, it follows that $x \in \mu_s$, a contradiction. Hence $\mu(f(x)) = t = \mu(x)$, showing that μ is L-fuzzy characteristic. □

Acknowledgements. The authors are deeply gratuful to referee's helpful suggestions.

References

[1] *J. Ahsan and M. Shabir*: Semirings with projective ideals. Math. Japonica *38* (1993), 271–276.
[2] *P. J. Allen*: A fundamental theorem of homomorphisms for semirings. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *21* (1969), 412–416.
[3] *L. Dale*: Direct sums of semirings and the Krull-Schmidt theorem. Kyungpook Math. J. *17*, 135–141.
[4] *H. S. Kim*: On quotient semiring and extension of quotient halfring. Comm. Korean Math. Soc. *4* (1989), 17–22.
[5] *Wang-jin Liu*: Fuzzy invariants subgroups and fuzzy ideals. Fuzzy Sets and Sys. *8* (1987), 133–139.
[6] *T. K. Mukherjee and M. K. Sen*: On fuzzy ideals of a ring I. Fuzzy Sets and Sys. *21* (1987), 99–104.
[7] *K. L. N. Swamy and U. M. Swamy*:J. Math. Anal. Appl. *134* (1988), 345–350.
[8] *Zhang Yue*: Prime L-fuzzy ideals and primary L-fuzzy ideals. Fuzzy Sets and Sys. *27* (1988), 345–350.
[9] *L. A. Zadeh*: Fuzzy sets. Inform. and Control *8* (1965), 338–353.

Authors' addresses: Young Bae Jun, Dept. of Mathematics Education, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju, 660-701, Korea, email: `ybjun@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr`; J. Neggers Dept. of Mathematics, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0350, U.S.A., email: `jneggers@gp.as.ua.edu`; Hee Sik Kim, Dept. of Mathematics Education, Chungbuk National University, Chongju, 360-763, Korea, email: `heekim@cbucc.chungbuk.ac.kr`.