Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Kamil John

w^*-basic sequences and reflexivity of Banach spaces

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 55 (2005), No. 3, 677–681

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128011

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2005

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 55 (130) (2005), 677–681

w^*-BASIC SEQUENCES AND REFLEXIVITY OF BANACH SPACES

Kamil John, Praha

(Received October 17, 2002, in revised version May 17, 2004)

Abstract. We observe that a separable Banach space X is reflexive iff each of its quotients with Schauder basis is reflexive. Similarly if $\mathscr{L}(X,Y)$ is not reflexive for reflexive X and Y then $\mathscr{L}(X_1,Y)$ is is not reflexive for some $X_1 \subset X$, X_1 having a basis.

Keywords: reflexive Banach space, Schauder basis, quotient space, w*-basic sequence, tensor product

MSC 2000: 46B28

Pełczyński [10] proved that Banach space X is reflexive if each subspace with Schauder basis is reflexive. Actually this result stems from the work of [13] which in turn was inspired by the work of [11]. Here we add simple statements which may be considered as natural complements to the results of [11], [13] and [10]. The first one is a statement similar to that of Pełczyński for separable X and quotients instead of subspaces. Namely we observe that a separable Banach space X is reflexive if each of its quotients with Schauder basis is reflexive. From [7] we know that duals of quotient spaces with basis correspond to subspaces of the dual X^* spanned by w*-basic sequences. Thus our statement reads: A separable Banach space is reflexive if every w*-basic sequence in X^* spans a reflexive subspace. We may proceed similarly as in [10] but we use the tools of w*-basic sequences which were not at hand for the authors of [11], [13] and [10]. Similarly we will consider also reflexivity of spaces of bounded operators or equivalently of π-tensor products of reflexive Banach spaces.

This work was supported by the grants No. 201/03/0041 and No. 201/04/0090 of the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic and by the grant No. A1019801 of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic.

Following [7] we will denote by $[A]$ the norm closed linear span of a set A and by $\tilde{A}$ its w^* closed linear span if $A \subset X^*$. By $A_\circ$ we denote the polar set in X of a set $A \subset X^*$. By a space having a basis we mean a Banach space with a Schauder basis.

A sequence $\{x_n^*\}$ is called w* basic [7], [8], [2] or [3] provided that there is a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ so that $\{x_n, x_n^*\}$ is biorthogonal and for each $x^* \in \widetilde{[x_n^*]}$ we have $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} x^*(x_i)x_i^* \xrightarrow{w^*} x^*$.

From [7] we shall use the following two facts:

A) If $\{x_n^*\}$ is w^* basic sequence then the factor space $X/[x_n^*]_\circ$ has a basis and $\widetilde{[x_n^*]}$ can be identified with $(X/[x_n^*]_\circ)^*$.

B) If X is separable then every w^* null sequence $\{x_n^*\} \subset X^*$ which is not norm null has a w^* basic subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$.

Finally we recall two results of Holub and Heinrich [4], [5] (and slightly more restrictive [12]) on the reflexivity of the space $\mathscr{L}(X,Y)$:

C) The space of bounded linear operators $\mathscr{L}(X,Y)$ is reflexive if $\mathscr{L}(X,Y) = \mathscr{K}(X,Y)$ and if X and Y are reflexive Banach spaces.

Conversely,

D) If $\mathscr{L}(X,Y)$ is reflexive and if X or Y has the approximation property then $\mathscr{L}(X,Y) = \mathscr{K}(X,Y)$. Of course X and Y are then reflexive spaces.

The statement C) was proved under more restrictive assumptions by Ruckle [12] and in the approximation property free form by [4], [5]. This approximation property free form seems not to be generally known as e.g. the recent paper [9] shows.

Proposition 1. *Let X be a separable Banach space. Then X is reflexive iff each of its quotients which has a basis is reflexive.*

Proof. Only the if part of the proposition is to be established. Thus we shall suppose that X^* is not reflexive i.e. that the closed unit ball B_{X^*} is not weakly compact. The Eberlein-Šmulian theorem yields a sequence $\{x_n^*\}$ in the unit ball B_{X^*} no subsequence of which is weakly converging. Due to the separability of X the closed unit ball B_{X^*} is metrizable in the w^* topology and thus the sequence $\{x_n^*\} \subset B_{X^*}$ has a w^* converging subsequence. For simplicity we will denote this subsequence by $\{x_n^*\}$ again. We may suppose that $x_n^* \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$ (otherwise we take $x_n^* - w^* \lim x_n^*$). By our assumptions the sequence $\{x_n^*\}$ is not norm converging (to zero). The above mentioned result B) of [7] yields a w^* basic subsequence which we shall call $\{x_n^*\}$ again. Having in mind the identification mentioned in A) we see that $\{x_n^*\}$ is in the unit ball of $(X/[x_n^*]_\circ)^* = \widetilde{[x_n^*]}$. Because $\{x_n^*\}$ has no weakly convergent subsequence we conclude that the dual unit ball of $X/[x_n^*]_\circ$ is not weakly compact and thus $X/[x_n^*]_\circ$ is not reflexive. From A) we also know that $X/[x_n^*]_\circ$ has a basis. $\square$

Remark 1. Note that actually we have proved slightly more, namely:

Let X be a separable Banach space. Then X is reflexive iff every w^* basic sequence $\{x_n^*\}$ spans a normed closed reflexive subspace $[x_n^*] \subset X^*$.

Remark 2. We do not know if Proposition 1 holds also without the separability assumption. This general statement would then imply (similarly as also the statement mentioned in A) and B) does) a positive answer to the following question which is still not settled: Has every Banach space a separable quotient space?

Similarly we may consider quotients of the space X by subspaces $A \subset X$ such that A has a basis and the quotient space X/A is not reflexive:

Proposition 2. *Let X be a nonreflexive Banach space. Then there is a subspace $A \subset X$ such that A has a basis and the quotient space X/A is not reflexive.*

Proof is contained in the proof of Lemma 2 in [1] and for the sake of completeness we will list it here: Suppose that X is not reflexive. From the results of Singer [13] and from the above cited result of Pełczyński we conclude that there is a basic sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ with $\|x_n\| \geqslant 1$ such that $\left\{\sum\limits_1^p x_n\right\}_p$ is bounded. We put $A = [x_{2n-1}]$ and let P be the quotient map of X onto X/A. Then evidently $\{x_{2n-1}\}$ and $\{P(x_{2n})\}$ are basic sequences, $\{P(x_{2n})\}$ is not a norm null sequence and $\left\{\sum\limits_1^p P(x_{2n})\right\}_p = \left\{\sum\limits_1^{2p} P(x_n)\right\}_p$ is bounded (in p). We conclude [13] that the sequence $\{P(x_{2n})\}$ spans a non reflexive subspace of X/A. $\square$

Next we will consider the reflexivity of the space of bounded operators $\mathscr{L}(X, Y)$:

Proposition 3. *Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces and suppose that $\mathscr{L}(X, Y)$ is not reflexive. Then there is a subspace $X_1 \subset X$ such that X_1 has Schauder basis and such that $\mathscr{L}(X_1, Y)$ is not reflexive.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathscr{L}(X, Y)$ is not reflexive. The result C) mentioned in the introduction yields a noncompact operator $f \in \mathscr{L}(X, Y)$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in $\mathscr{L}(X, Y)$ such that $\{f(x_n)\}$ has no norm convergent subsequence. Then $\{x_n\}$ also has no norm convergent subsequence. The reflexivity of the space X implies that there is a subsequence of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ weakly converging to $x \in X$. Let us denote this subsequence again by $\{x_n\}$ and put $z_n = x_n - x$. Then $z_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$. The classical theorem of Pełczyński mentioned in the introduction yields a basic subsequence of the sequence $\{z_n\}$. As above we call this subsequence again $\{z_n\}$ and put $X_1 = [\{\{z_n\} \cup \{x\}\}]$. Then X_1 has a basis. Indeed, if $x \in [z_n]$ then $[x_n] = [z_n]$ and $\{z_n\}$ is a basis of X_1. If $x \notin [z_n]$ then X_1 is the direct sum of $[z_n]$ and the one dimensional subspace spanned by x and thus X_1 again has a basis. In any case $\{x_n\} \subset X_1$. This last inclusion evidently implies that the restriction

$f|_{X_1} \in \mathscr{L}(X_1, Y)$ is not a compact operator. We note that X_1 has the approximation property. Again by the result D) of [4] and [5] mentioned in the introduction we conclude that $\mathscr{L}(X_1, Y)$ is not reflexive. $\square$

Remark 3. Note that we have actually observed the following:

Let X, Y be any Banach spaces and suppose that there is noncompact operator $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$. Then there is a subspace $X_1 \subset X$ such that X_1 has Schauder basis and such that the restriction $f|_{X_1}$ is a noncompact operator.

Remark 4. Dually Proposition 3 can be formulated as follows:

Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces and suppose that $\mathscr{L}(X, Y)$ is not reflexive. Then there is a subspace $Y_1 \subset Y$ such that the quotient space Y/Y_1 has Schauder basis and such that $\mathscr{L}(X, Y/Y_1)$ is not reflexive.

Indeed, if there is noncompact operator $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ then $f^* \in \mathscr{L}(Y^*, X^*)$ is also noncompact and thus $\mathscr{L}(Y^*, X^*)$ is nonreflexive. Using now Proposition 3 for $\mathscr{L}(Y^*, X^*)$ we get a subspace $Z \subset Y^*$ having a basis such that $\mathscr{L}(Z, X^*)$ is not reflexive. We put now $Y_1 = Z_\circ$. Evidently $Y/Y_1 = Z^*$ has a basis. Proceeding as above and using now the duality of subspaces and quotients we get our claim.

Remark 5. A slightly more general result then stated in the above remark may also be formulated:

Let X, Y be Banach spaces, let Y be separable and suppose that $\mathscr{L}(X, Y) \neq \mathscr{K}(X, Y)$. Then there is a subspace $Y_1 \subset Y$ such that the factor space Y/Y_1 has Schauder basis and such that $\mathscr{L}(X, Y/Y_1) \neq \mathscr{K}(X, Y/Y_1)$.

Indeed, let $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a noncompact operator. Proceeding as in the proofs of Propositions 3 and 1 we find a w^* basic sequence $\{y_n^*\} \subset Y^*$ such that the restriction $f^*|_{[y_n^*]}$ is noncompact. Let now $Y_1 = [y_n^*]_\circ$ and let P be the projection of Y onto Y/Y_1. Then evidently $Pf\colon X \longrightarrow Y/Y_1$ is a noncompact operator whose dual is $f^*|_{[y_{n^*}]}$.

Remark 6. Having in mind the basic relation of $\mathscr{L}(X, Y)$ to tensor products, namely $(X\widetilde{\otimes}_\pi Y)^* = \mathscr{L}(X, Y)$ we can reformulate Proposition 3:

Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces and suppose that $X\widetilde{\otimes}_\pi Y$ is not reflexive. Then there is a subspace $X_1 \subset X$ such that X_1 has Schauder basis and such that $X_1\widetilde{\otimes}_\pi Y$ is not reflexive.

Question. The statement listed in Remark 5 suggests the following question:

Suppose that there is a noncompact operator $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$. Does there exist a noncompact operator $g\colon X \longrightarrow Y_1$ and a subspace $Y_1 \subset Y$, Y_1 having a basis?

Acknowledgement. The author is indebted to Ondřej Kalenda for simplification of the proof of Proposition 1.

References

[1] *W. J. Davis and J. Lindenstrauss*: On total nonnorming subspaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *31* (1972), 109–111.

[2] *J. Diestel*: Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1984.

[3] *M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, J. Pelant, V. Montesinos and V. Zizler*: Functional Analysis and Infinite Dimensional Geometry. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.

[4] *S. Heinrich*: On the reflexivity of the Banach space $L(X, Y)$. Funkts. Anal. Prilozh. *8* (1974), 97–98. (In Russian.)

[5] *J. R. Holub*: Reflexivity of $L(E, F)$. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *39* (1974), 175–177.

[6] *H. Jarchow*: Locally Convex Spaces. Teubner-Verlag, Stuttgart, 1981.

[7] *W. B. Johnson and H. P. Rosenthal*: On w^* basic sequences and their applications to the study of Banach spaces. Studia Math. *43* (1972), 77–92.

[8] *J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri*: Classical Banach Spaces I. Sequence Spaces. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 92. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1977.

[9] *J. Mujica*: Reflexive spaces of homogeneous polynomials. Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. *49* (2001), 211–222.

[10] *A. Pełczyński*: A note on the paper of I. Singer "Basic sequences and reflexivity of Banach spaces". Studia Math. *21* (1962), 371–374.

[11] *V. Pták*: Biorthogonal systems and reflexivity of Banach spaces. Czechoslovak Math. J. *9* (1959), 319–325.

[12] *W. Ruckle*: Reflexivity of $L(E, F)$. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. *34* (1972), 171–174.

[13] *I. Singer*: Basic sequences and reflexivity of Banach spaces. Studia Math. *21* (1962), 351–369.

Author's address: Mathematical Institute of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Žitná 25, CZ-115 67 Praha 1, Czech Republic, e-mail: `kjohn@math.cas.cz`.