Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Josef Novák

Convergences $\mathfrak{L}_S^H$ for the group of real numbers

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 43 (1993), No. 1, 15–25

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128385

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 43 (118) 1993, Praha

CONVERGENCES $\mathfrak{L}_S^H$ FOR THE GROUP OF REAL NUMBERS

JOSEF NOVÁK, Praha

(Received February 27, 1990)

For each subgroup H of the group R of real numbers and each subset S of the quotient group R/H a convergence $\mathfrak{L}_S^H$ for the group R is constructed. The relation of the system of convergences $\mathfrak{L}_S^H$ to the Čech-Stone compactification of discrete spaces is clarified. Necessary and sufficient conditions are given for $(R, \mathfrak{L}_S^H, +)$ to be a complete group with respect to the convergence $\mathfrak{L}_S^H$. This gives some views on the structure of the groups R and R/H.

The point of our considerations is the group $(R, +)$ of real numbers. We use the fact that R is a linearly ordered point set for which a convergence $\mathfrak{L}$ is defined by means of open intervals $(a, b) \subset R$ such that $\lim x_n = x$, $\lim y_n = y$ implies that $\lim(x_n - y_n) = x - y$. In this sense R is a convergence commutative group ([1]). It will be denoted $(R, \mathfrak{L}, +)$.

Recall that a convergence $\mathfrak{M}$ for a set M is a collection of pairs $(\langle x_n \rangle, x)$ where $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence of points $x_n \in M$ and $x \in M$. We assume that the convergence $\mathfrak{M}$ satisfies the well known Fréchet axioms of convergence inclusive the axiom of the maximal convergence ($\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}^*$). A commutative group $(M, +)$ with a convergence $\mathfrak{M}$ will be denoted $(M, \mathfrak{M}, +)$. If $(\langle x_n \rangle, x) \in \mathfrak{M}$, $(\langle y_n \rangle, y) \in \mathfrak{M}$ implies that $(\langle x_n - y_n \rangle, x - y) \in \mathfrak{M}$ we have a convergence commutative group $(M, \mathfrak{M}, +)$ (abbr. *cc*-group). In such a group Cauchy sequences are defined to be sequences $\langle x_n \rangle$, $x_n \in M$, such that $(\langle x_n - x_{i_n} \rangle, 0) \in \mathfrak{M}$ whenever $\langle x_{i_n} \rangle \subset \langle x_n \rangle$. A *cc*-group $(M, \mathfrak{M}, +)$ is complete if each Cauchy sequence $\mathfrak{M}$-converges in M, more precisely, if $\langle x_n \rangle$, $x_n \in M$, is a Cauchy sequence then there is a point $x \in M$ such that $(\langle x_n \rangle, x) \in \mathfrak{M}$.

I.

Notation. We denote N the set of natural numbers, N^{-1} the set of numbers n^{-1}, $n \in N$, Q the group of rational and R the group of real numbers, H a subgroup of the group R and S a subset of the quotient group R/H. A subgroup of R is either discrete or dense. Points x_1 and x_2 of R are non-equivalent (with respect to H) if $(x_1 - x_2) \notin H$. In the section I we consider R/H as a set of points sometimes called indexes. They will be denoted by Greek letters ξ, η, ζ.

Let H be a subgroup of the group R and R/H the corresponding quotient group. Elements $\xi \in R/H$ are classes $T_\xi = a_\xi + H$ where a_ξ is a representative of the class T_ξ. We identify elements ξ with ordinals $\xi < \omega_H$ where ω_H is the least ordinal of the power $|R/H|$. We put $a_0 = 0$. Then $T_0 = H$. Notice that $R = UT_\xi$, $\xi \in R/H$, $|R/Q| = \exp(\omega)$, $|R/R| = 1$.

Definition D1. Let H be a subgroup of the group R. Functions $f\colon R/H \to N^{-1}$ are called generating functions. Adjoin to $S \subset R/H$ a class F_S^H (or simply F_S) of generating functions f such that the partial function f/S is a constant function. $f/\emptyset$ is considered as a constant partial function. If S contains only one index ξ we write F_ξ instead of $F_{\{\xi\}}$.

Lemma 1. *Let $S_1 \subset S_2 \subset R/H$. Then $F_{S_1} \supset F_{S_2}$.*

Proof. If $f \in F_{S_2}$ then f/S_2 is constant and f/S_1 as well. Hence $f \in F_{S_1}$. □

Definition D2. Let H be a subgroup of the group R. Let (a,b) be an open interval of real numbers. Denote $(a,b)_\xi = (a,b) \cap T_\xi$, $\xi \in R/H$. Let z be a point of R and S a subset of R/H. A set $W(z)$ is called a closure neighborhood or, simply, a neighborhood of the point z if there is a generating function $f \in F_S$ such that $W_f(z) \subset W(z)$ where

$$W_f(z) = U\big(z - f(\xi), z + f(\xi)\big)_\xi, \quad \xi \in R/H.$$

Remark. Let $(a,b) \subset R$, $z \in (a,b)$. Choose $m_0 \in N$ such that $m_0^{-1} < \min\{z - a, b - z\}$ and put $f(\xi) = m_0^{-1}$, $\xi \in R/H$. Then $f \in F_S^H$. Hence $W_f(z) \subset (a,b)$. Consequently, the open interval (a,b) in R is a closure neighborhood of each point $z \in (a,b)$.

The following are the main properties of closure neighborhoods $W_f(z)$, $f \in F_S^H$.
(i) $z \in W_f(z)$. (If $z \in T_{\xi_0}$ then $z \in \big(z - f(\xi_0), z + f(\xi_0)\big)_{\xi_0} \subset W_f(z)$, by D2).

(ii) If $W_{f_i}(z)$, $f_i \in F_S$, $i = 1, 2$, are neighborhoods of a point z, then $W_{f_1}(z) \cap W_{f_2}(z)$ is a neighborhood of the point z. ($W_{f_1}(z) \cap W_{f_2}(z) = W_{f_3}(z)$, where $f_3(\xi) = \min\{f_1(\xi), f_2(\xi)\}$, $\xi \in R/H$).

(iii) If $z_1 \neq z_2$ there are $f_i \in F_S$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $W_{f_1}(z_1) \cap W_{f_2}(z_2) = \emptyset$ (see Remark above).

From (i), (ii), (iii) we deduce that the system of closure neighborhoods $W_f(z)$, $f \in F_S^H$, of points $z \in R$ satisfies the axioms of Hausdorff topological spaces except the axiom of open neighborhoods which need not be fulfilled. This is shown in the following

Lemma 2. *Let H be a dense subgroup of the group R and $S \subset R/H$. Let $z \in R$. Then there is a complete system of open closure neighborhoods at the point z if and only if there is a finite $K \subset R/H$ such that $S = R/H - K$.*

Proof. Let $W_f(z)$, $f \in F_S^H$, be a neighborhood of the point z. Since the partial function $f/(R/H - K)$ is constant and K is finite there is a natural number p such that $p^{-1} < f(\xi)$, $\xi \in R/H$. Hence $(z - p^{-1}, z + p^{-1}) \subset W_f(z)$. It follows that the system of intervals $(z - n^{-1}, z + n^{-1})$, $n \in N$, is a complete system of open neighborhoods at the point z.

Now, assume that $R/H - S$ is infinite. Choose distinct $\xi_n \in (R/H - S)$. Define $f(\xi) = 1$, $\xi \neq \xi_n$, $f(\xi_n) = n^{-1}$, $n \in N$. Then $f \in F_S$ and we have a neighborhood $W_f(z)$. Let $W_g(z) \subset W_f(z)$, $g \in F_S$. Suppose that (on the contrary) $W_g(z)$ is open. The neighborhood $W_g(z)$ is infinite because H is dense. Choose a point $t \in W_g(z)$, $t \neq z$. Then there is, by the assumption, a neighborhood $W_h(t) \subset W_g(z)$, $h \in F_S^H$. Notice that $h(\xi) \leqslant g(\xi) \leqslant f(\xi)$, $\xi \in R/H$. There are $\varepsilon_n > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}(t - \varepsilon_n, t + \varepsilon_n)_{\xi_n} &\subset \big(t - h(\xi_n), t + h(\xi_n)\big)_{\xi_n} \subset \big(z - g(\xi_n), z + g(\xi_n)\big)_{\xi_n} \\ &\subset \big(z - f(\xi_n), z + f(\xi_n)\big)_{\xi_n} \subset (z - n^{-1}, z + n^{-1}), \quad n \in N.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $t \in (z - n^{-1}, z + n^{-1})$ and so $t = z$. This is a contradiction. Thus $W_g(z)$ is not open.

We have seen above that the class F_S^H generates a complete system of closure neighborhoods $W_f(z)$ at the point z. By neighborhoods $W_f(z)$, $f \in F_S^H$, a convergence for the group R is defined in a well known way. □

Definition D3. Let H be a subgroup of the group R, $S \subset R/H$. Denote $\mathfrak{L}_S^H$ a collection of pairs $(\langle x_n \rangle, x)$, $x_n \in R$, $x \in R$, such that if $W_f(x)$, $f \in F_S^H$, is a neighborhood of the point x then $x_n \in W_f(x)$, $n \geqslant n_0$. If $(\langle x_n \rangle, x) \in \mathfrak{L}_S^H$ we say that the sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ $\mathfrak{L}_S^H$-converges to the point x and write $\mathfrak{L}_S^H - \lim x_n = x$. The collection $\mathfrak{L}_S^H$ is called a convergence for R. (It will be sometimes denoted $\mathfrak{L}_S$.)

Fréchet axioms of convergence are clearly satisfied. From (iii) it follows that $\mathfrak{L}_S^H - \lim x_n = x$, $\mathfrak{L}_S^H - \lim y_n = y$ implies $x = y$. In view of (i) we have $\mathfrak{L}_S^H - \lim x = x$. If $(\langle x_n \rangle, x) \in \mathfrak{L}_S^H$, $\langle x_i \rangle \subset \langle x_n \rangle$, then $(\langle x_{i_n} \rangle, x) \in \mathfrak{L}_S^H$, by D3. From D3 it instantly follows that $\mathfrak{L}_S^H$ is a maximal convergence, i.e. $\mathfrak{L}_S^H = \mathfrak{L}_S^{H*}$.

Denote $\mathfrak{L}$ the usual metric convergence for R. We write simply $\lim x_n = x$ instead of $\mathfrak{L} - \lim x_n = x$. Note that $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{L}_{R/H}^H$.

Lemma 3. *Let $S_1 \subset S_2 \subset R/H$. Then $\mathfrak{L}_{S_1}^H \subset \mathfrak{L}_{S_2}^H$.*

Proof. Let $(\langle x_n \rangle, z) \in \mathfrak{L}_{S_1}$. Let $W_f(z)$, $f \in F_{S_2}^H$, be a neighborhood of the point z. Define a generating function $g(\xi) = f(\xi)$, $\xi = S_1$, $g(\xi) \leqslant f(\xi)$, $\xi \in R/H - S_1$. The partial function g/S_1 is constant, by Lemma 1, and so $g \in F_{S_1}^H$. Since $x_n \in W_g(z)$, $n \geqslant n_0$, and $W_g(z) \subset W_f(z)$ we have $x_n \in W_f(z)$, $n \geqslant n_0$. Hence $(\langle x_n \rangle, z) \in \mathfrak{L}_{S_2}$.

The assertion $\mathfrak{L}_{S_1} \subset \mathfrak{L}_{S_2}$ implies $S_1 \subset S_2$ is not correct. Let H be a subgroup of R, $R \neq H$. Choose indexes $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$ and put $S_1 = \{\xi_1\}$, $S_2 = \{\xi_2\}$. Then $\mathfrak{L}_{S_1} = \mathfrak{L}_{S_2}$, but $S_1 \not\subset S_2$. This example shows that the map $\varphi(S) = \mathfrak{L}_S^H$, $S \subset R/H$, $H \neq R$, is not one-to-one even when it preserves the order relation $\subset$, by Lemma 3. Next we investigate the structure of the system of classes $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{L}_S^H)$, $S \subset R/H$.

Let H be a subgroup of the group R, $S \subset R/H$. Denote $R_S = UT_\xi$, $\xi \in S$. Notice that $R_S \subset R$, $R_\emptyset = \emptyset$, $R_{\{0\}} = H$, $R_{R/R} = R$. □

Lemma 4. *Let H be a subgroup of the group R, $S \subset R/H$. Then $\mathfrak{L}_S^H - \lim z_n = z$ if and only if $\lim z_n = z$ and there is a finite $K \subset R/H$ such that $z_n \in R_{S \cup K}$, $n \in N$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{L}_S^H - \lim z_n = z$. Then $\lim z_n = z$ because $\mathfrak{L}_S^H \subset \mathfrak{L}$, by Lemma 3. Suppose that (on the contrary) there is a subsequence $\langle z_{i_n} \rangle \subset \langle z_n \rangle$, $z_{i_n} \neq z$, and distinct indexes $\eta_n \in (R/H - S)$ such that $z_{i_n} \in T_{\eta_n}$. Put $f(\xi) = 1$, $\xi \neq \eta_n$, and choose $f(\eta_n) \in N^{-1}$ such that $z_{i_n} \notin (z - f(\eta_n), f(\eta_n))$, $n \in N$. This is possible because $z_{i_n} \neq z$. Then $f \in F_S$ and we have a neighborhood $W_f(z)$ of z which contains no point z_i. Hence $\langle z_{i_n} \rangle$ does not $\mathfrak{L}_S^H$-converge to z. This is in contradiction with the assumption $(\langle z_n \rangle, z) \in \mathfrak{L}_S^H$.

Now, let $\lim z_n = z$, $z_n \in R_{S \cup K}$. We use the property $\mathfrak{L}_S = \mathfrak{L}_S^*$ to prove that $\mathfrak{L}_S - \lim z_n = z$. Let $\langle z_{i_n} \rangle$ be a subsequence of $\langle z_n \rangle$. Either there is a subsequence $\langle t_n \rangle \subset \langle z_{i_n} \rangle$ of non-equivalent points $t_n \in R_S$ and then $\mathfrak{L}_S - \lim t_n = z$ or it is not so, and there is an index $\xi_0 \in (S \cup K)$ and a subsequence $\langle u_n \rangle \subset \langle z_{i_n} \rangle$, $u_n \in T_{\xi_0}$. Hence $\mathfrak{L}_S - \lim u_n = z$. It follows that $(\langle z_n \rangle, z) \in \mathfrak{L}_S$. □

Lemma 5. *Let H be a subgroup of the group R. Let $S_i \subset R/H$, $i = 1, 2$. Let $S_1 \div S_2$ be a finite set. Then $\mathfrak{L}_{S_1}^H = \mathfrak{L}_{S_2}^H$.*

P r o o f. Let $(\langle z_n \rangle, z) \in \mathfrak{L}_{S_1}$ and $W_f(z)$, $f \in F_{S_2}$, be a neighborhood of the point $z \in R$. We are to prove that $z_n \in W_f(z)$, $n \geqslant n_0$. Notice that $S_1 \cup S_2 = (S_1 \div S_2) \cup (S_1 \cap S_2)$. The partial function $f/S_1 \cap S_2$ is constant, by Lemma 1, and $S_1 \div S_2$ is a finite set. Therefore the number $d = \min\{f(\xi)\}$, $\xi \in S_1 \cup S_2$, belongs to the set N^{-1}. Put $g(\xi) = d$, $\xi \in S_1 \cup S_2$, and $g(\xi) \leqslant f(\xi)$, $g(\xi) \in N^{-1}$, $\xi \in (R/H - (S_1 \cup S_2))$. Then $g \in F_{S_1}$ and so $z_n \in W_g(z)$, $n \geqslant n_0$. Hence $z_n \in W_f(z)$, $n \geqslant n_0$ and therefore $\mathfrak{L}_{S_1} \subset \mathfrak{L}_{S_2}$.

Analogously we prove that $\mathfrak{L}_{S_2} \subset \mathfrak{L}_{S_1}$. □

Lemma 6. *Let H be a subgroup of the group R. Let $S_i \subset R/H$, $i = 1, 2$. Let $\mathfrak{L}^H_{S_1} \subset \mathfrak{L}^H_{S_2}$. Then $S_1 - S_2$ is a finite set.*

P r o o f. First prove the following statement: If S_0 is an infinite subset of R/H then there is a sequence of non-equivalent points $x_n \in T_{\xi_n}$, $\xi_n \in S_0$, and a point $z \in R$ such that $\mathfrak{L}^H_{S_0} - \lim x_n = z$. Distinguish two cases. 1) H is dense. Let $\langle \xi_n \rangle$ be one-to-one sequence of indexes $\xi_n \in S_0$. Choose a point $z \in R$. Since H is dense there is a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ of non-equivalent points $x_n \in T_{\xi_n}$ with $\lim x_n = z$. Hence $\mathfrak{L}^H_{S_0} - \lim x_n = z$, by Lemma 4. 2) H is discrete. Denote d the least positive number of H. Choose numbers $b_\xi \in T_\xi$ such that $0 \leqslant b_\xi < d$, $\xi \in R/H$. Since S_0 is infinite there is a one-to-one sequence $\langle \xi_n \rangle$, $\xi_n \in S_0$, and a point $z \in R$, $0 \leqslant z \leqslant d$, such that $\lim b_{\xi_n} = z$. Denote $b_{\xi_n} = x_n$. Then $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence of non-equivalent points x_n with $\mathfrak{L}^H_{S_0} - \lim x_n = z$, by Lemma 4.

Suppose that $S_1 - S_2$ is infinite and denote $S_0 = S_1 - S_2$. Then $S_0 \subset S_1$ and $(\langle x_n \rangle, z) \in \mathfrak{L}^H_{S_1}$, by Lemma 3 where $\langle x_n \rangle$ is the sequence constructed above. On the other hand, $(\langle x_n \rangle, z) \notin \mathfrak{L}^H_{S_2}$, by Lemma 4. This is a contradiction. □

Proposition 1. *Let H be a subgroup of the group R, $S_i \subset R/H$, $i = 1, 2$. Then $\mathfrak{L}^H_{S_1} = \mathfrak{L}^H_{S_2}$ if and only if $S_1 \div S_2$ is a finite set.*

Proof follows instantly from Lemmas 5 and 6.

From Proposition 1 it follows that there is a connection between convergences $\mathfrak{L}^H_S$ and some subsets of the Čech-Stone compactification of a discrete topological space. Consider R/H as a discrete topological space of isolated points ξ and denote $\beta^* S = \beta S - R/H$, where β is a topological operator in the Čech-Stone compactification $\beta(R/H)$. It is well known that $\beta^* S_1 = \beta^* S_2$ if and only if $S_1 \div S_2$ is finite. Hence $\mathfrak{L}^H_{S_1} = \mathfrak{L}^H_{S_2}$ if and only if $\beta^* S_1 = \beta^* S_2$, by Proposition 1.

Let H be a subgroup of the group R. We denote, as above, functions $\varphi(S) = \mathfrak{L}^H_S$, $S \subset R/H$. We have shown that φ is not one-to-one except in the case when $H = R$. From Proposition 1 it follows that S_1 and S_2 are equivalent (i.e. $S_2 \in \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{L}_{S_1})$) iff $S_1 \div S_2$ is finite. Now, define a quasi-order $\prec$ as follows: $S_1 \prec S_2$ if there is a finite $K \subset R/H$ such that $S_1 \subset S_2 \cup K$.

Lemma 7. *Let H be a subgroup of the group R. Then $S_1 \prec S_2$ if and only if $\mathfrak{L}^H_{S_1} \subset \mathfrak{L}^H_{S_2}$.*

Proof. Let $S_1 \prec S_2$. Then $S_1 \subset S_2 \cup K$. It follows $\mathfrak{L}_{S_1} \subset \mathfrak{L}_{S_2}$, by Lemma 3 and Proposition 1. Now, let $\mathfrak{L}_{S_1} \subset \mathfrak{L}_{S_2}$. According to Lemma 6 the set $S_1 - S_2$ is finite. Since $S_1 \subset S_2 \cup (S_1 - S_2)$ we have $S_1 \prec S_2$. □

Proposition 2. *Let H be a subgroup of the group R. There is a similar map (with respect to the inclusion $\subset$), on the system $\mathbb{L}^H$ of convergences $\mathfrak{L}^H_S$, $S \subset R/H$, onto the system of clopen sets $\beta^*(S)$ of the space $\beta^*(R/H)$.*

Proof. Denote $\psi(\mathfrak{L}^H_S) = \beta^* S$, $S \subset R/H$. Let S_1, S_2 be subsets of R/H, $\mathfrak{L}_{S_1} \subset \mathfrak{L}_{S_2}$. Then $S_1 \prec S_2$, by Lemma 7. Therefore, according to the definition of the quasi-order $\prec$ it follows that $\beta^* S_1 \subset \beta^* S_2$. It remains to prove the following implication: If A is a clopen subset of $\beta^*(R/H)$ then there is $S \subset R/H$ such that $\beta^* S = A$. This is true because there is a clopen set B in $\beta(R/H)$ such that $A = B \cap \beta^*(R/H)$ and so there is $S \subset R/H$ such that $A = \beta^*(S)$. □

Remark. Notice that $\aleph_{\alpha_1} \leqslant \aleph_{\alpha_2}$ implies $\aleph_{\alpha_1} \cdot \aleph_{\alpha_2} = \aleph_{\alpha_2}$. Let $S \subset R/Q$. Denote $F = \{K;\, K \subset R/Q, K \text{ finite}\}$, $X_S = \{S \div K : K \in F\}$, $Y = \{S;\, S \subset R/Q\}$, $Z = Y/F$, $\aleph_{\alpha_1} = |X_S|$, $\aleph_{\alpha_2} = |Z|$. Clearly $\aleph_{\alpha_1} = \exp(\omega)$, $\aleph_{\alpha_2} = \exp(\exp(\omega))$. Then $|\mathbb{L}^Q| = |X_S|\ |Z| = \exp(\omega).\ \exp(\exp(\omega)) = \exp(\exp(\omega))$. Thus the number of convergences $\mathfrak{L}^Q_S$, $S \subset R/Q$, is $\exp(\exp(\omega))$.

Let H be a subgroup of the group R, $S \subset R/H$. We have seen that a closure topology for R is defined by means of the class F^H_S of generating functions. The corresponding closure operator will be denoted w^H_S (or simply w_S). Hence $w_S A = \{x \in R\colon A \cap W_f(x) \neq \emptyset, f \in F^H_S\}$. Another closure topology for R is defined by means of the convergence $\mathfrak{L}^H_S$. Denote λ^H_S (or λ_S) the corresponding closure operator: $\lambda^H_S A = \{x \in R;\, x = \mathfrak{L}^H_S - \lim x_n, x_n \in A, n \in N\}$. Hence we have closure spaces (R, w^H_S) and (R, λ^H_S).

Now, we are interested in the question what is the relation between closures λ^H_S and w^H_S. It is well known that there are closure spaces (P, u) and adjoint convergence spaces (P, λ_u) such that $u \neq \lambda_u$. It is not the case if $P = R$, $u = w^H_S$. We show that $w^H_S = \lambda^H_S$. It is evident that $\lambda_S A \subset w_S A$, $A \subset R$. Suppose that there is $z \in R$ and $A \subset R$ such that $z \in (w_S A - \lambda_S A)$. Then $z \notin A$ and there is no sequence of points $x_n \in A$ such that $\mathfrak{L}^H_S - \lim x_n = z$. In view of Lemma 4 there is a generating function $f \in F_S$ such that $A \cap \big(z - f(\xi), z + f(\xi)\big)_\xi = \emptyset$, $\xi \in R/H$. Hence $A \cap W_f(z) = \emptyset$. This is a contradiction. Consequently, $w_S = \lambda_S$.

Notice that ω_1-iterated closure $\lambda^{\omega_1}_S = w^{\omega_1}_S$ are topologies for R.

II.

In this section we investigate some convergence and group properties of the structures $(R, \mathfrak{L}_S^H, +)$. For this purpose we consider indexes ξ of the set R/H as elements of the group $(R/H, +)$. If ξ_1, ξ_2 are elements of R/H then $\xi_1 + \xi_2 = \xi_3$ where ξ_3 is uniquely determined by the addition $T_{\xi_1} + T_{\xi_2} = T_{\xi_3}$ in the group $(R/H, +)$. The inverse element to the element $\xi \in R/H$ is the element $\eta \in R/H$ such that $T_\eta = -T_\xi$. It will be denoted $-\xi$.

Now, we are going to examine conditions under which $(R, \mathfrak{L}_S^H, +)$ is a *cc*-group. First we give an example to show that $(R, \mathfrak{L}_S^H, +)$ need not be a *cc*-group even when R_S is a subgroup of the group R.

Example. Let $H = Q$ and let R_S be the group of algebraic numbers. Put $x_n = n^{-1}\sqrt{2}$, $y_n = \pi + n^{-1}$. Then $\lim x_n = 0$, $\lim y_n = \pi$ and $\mathfrak{L}_S^H - \lim x_n = 0$, $\mathfrak{L}_S^H - \lim y_n = \pi$, by Lemma 4. On the other hand, $\langle x_n + y_n \rangle$ is a sequence of non-equivalent transcendent numbers which, by the same lemma, does not $\mathfrak{L}_S^H$-converge to the point π.

Definition D4. Let $(M, \mathfrak{M}, +)$ be a commutative group with a convergence $\mathfrak{M}$ for M. We say that $(M, \mathfrak{M}, +)$ satisfies condition $(-)$ provided that the following implication holds

$$(-) \qquad \text{If } (\langle x_n \rangle, x) \in \mathfrak{M} \text{ then } (\langle -x_n \rangle, -x) \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

$(M, \mathfrak{M}, +)$ satisfies condition $(+)$ provided that

$$(+) \qquad \text{If } (\langle x_n \rangle, x) \in \mathfrak{M},\ (\langle y_n \rangle, y) \in \mathfrak{M} \text{ then } (\langle x_n + y_n \rangle, x + y) \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

It is clear that $(M, \mathfrak{M}, +)$ is a *cc*-group if and only if both the conditions $(-)$ and $(+)$ are satisfied.

Definition D5. Let H be a subgroup of the group R, $S \subset R/H$. We denote S^- the set of elements $\eta \in R/H$ such that $T_\eta = -T_\xi$, $\xi \in S$.

Lemma 8. $|S| = |S^-|$, $|S - S^-| = |S^- - S|$, $(S_1 \cup S_2)^- = S_1^- \cup S_2^-$, $(S_1 \cap S_2)^- = S_1^- \cap S_2^-$, *$x \in R_S$ if and only if $-x \in R_{S^-}$.*

Proof follows instantly from D5 and from the equivalence $\xi \in (S - S^-)$ if and only if $-\xi \in (S^- - S)$.

The properties $(-)$ and $(+)$ can be formulated by means of Čech-Stone operator β^*. In the proofs we use the equivalence

(i) $\beta^* S_1 \subset \beta^* S_2$ if and only if $S_1 \subset S_2 \cup K$ where K is finite. From (i) it follows
(ii) $\beta^* S_1 = \beta^* S_2$ if and only if $S_1 \div S_2$ is finite.

Lemma 9. *Let H be a subgroup of the group R, $S \subset R/H$. Then $(R, \mathfrak{L}_S^H, +)$ satisfies $(-)$ if and only if $\beta^* S = \beta^*(S^-)$.*

Proof. Let $\beta^* S = \beta^*(S^-)$. The set $S \div S^-$ is finite, by (ii). Let $\mathfrak{L}_S^H - \lim x_n = x$. In view of Lemma 4, there is a finite $K \subset R/H$ such that $x_n \in R_{S \cup K}$ and $\lim x_n = x$. Hence $\lim(-x_n) = -x$ and $-x_n \in R_{S^- \cup K^-}$, by Lemma 8. Notice that $S^- \cup K^- = (S^- \cap S) \cup (S^- - S) \cup K^- \subset S \cup K_1$ where $K_1 = (S^- - S) \cup K^-$. It follows that K_1 is a finite subset of R/H and $R_{S^- \cup K^-} \subset R_{S \cup K_1}$. Thus $\mathfrak{L}_S^H - \lim(-x_n) = -x$, by Lemma 4.

Let $\beta^* S \neq \beta^*(S^-)$. Then $S \div S^-$ is infinite and both the sets $S - S^-$ and $S^- - S$ are infinite, by Lemma 8. In view of statement (see the proof of Lemma 6) there is a sequence of non-equivalent points $x_n \in R_{S - S^-}$ and a point $z \in R$ such that $\mathfrak{L}_{S - S^-}^H - \lim x_n = z$. Notice that $\langle -x_n \rangle$ is a sequence of non-equivalent points $-x_n \in R_{S^- - S}$. Consequently, $-x_n \notin R_S$. From Lemma 4 it follows that the sequence $\langle -x_n \rangle$ does not $\mathfrak{L}_S^H$-converge to $-z$. □

Lemma 10. *Let H be a subgroup of the group R, $S \subset R/H$. $(R, \mathfrak{L}_S^H, +)$ satisfies $(+)$ if and only if $\beta^*\big((S \cup L_1) + (S \cup L_2)\big) \subset \beta^* S$ whenever L_1, L_2 are finite subsets of R/H.*

Proof. Let $\beta^*\big((S \cup L_1) + (S \cup L_2)\big) \subset \beta^* S$. Let $\mathfrak{L}_S^H - \lim x_n = x$, $\mathfrak{L}_S^H - \lim y_n = y$. There are finite subsets K_1, K_2 of R/H such that $x_n \in R_{S \cup K_1}$, $\lim x_n = x$, and $y_n \in R_{S \cup K_2}$, $\lim y_n = y$. Hence $\lim(x_n + y_n) = x + y$. Since $\beta^*\big((S \cup K_1) + (S \cup K_2)\big) \subset \beta^* S$ there is, according to (i) above, a finite $K \subset R/H$ such that $\big((S \cup K_1) + (S \cup K_2)\big) \subset S \cup K$. Consequently, $R_{((S \cup K_1) + (S \cup K_2))} \subset R_{S \cup K}$ and so $(x_n + y_n) \in R_{S \cup K}$, $\lim(x_n + y_n) = x + y$. We have $\mathfrak{L}_S^H - \lim(x_n + y_n) = x + y$, by Lemma 4.

Suppose that there are finite subsets K_1, K_2 of R/H such that $\beta^*\big((S \cup K_1) + (S \cup K_2)\big) \not\subset \beta^* S$. According to (i) we deduce $\big((S \cup K_1) + (S \cup K_2)\big) \not\subset S \cup K$ for every finite subset K of R/H. It follows that there is an infinite set of elements $\zeta_n' = \xi_n' + \eta_n'$, $\xi_n' \in (S \cup K_1)$, $\eta_n' \in (S \cup K_2)$ such that if K is finite then there is $n_K \in N$ such that $\zeta_n' \notin (S \cup K)$, $n \geqslant n_K$. Since the sequence $\langle \zeta_n' \rangle$ is one-to-one there is a sequence $\langle \zeta_n \rangle \subset \langle \zeta_n' \rangle$, $\zeta_n = \xi_n + \eta_n$ such that either $\langle \xi_n \rangle$, $\langle \eta_n \rangle$ are one-to-one or one of them, say $\langle \xi_n \rangle$, is one-to-one whereas the other is a constant one, i.e. $\eta_n = \eta$, $n \in N$. In the first case there is (in view of the statement in the proof of Lemma 6) a subsequence $\langle \xi_{i_n} \rangle \subset \langle \xi_n \rangle$, points $x \in R$ and $y \in R$, sequences $\langle x_n \rangle$, $x_n \in T_{\xi_{i_n}}$, and $\langle y_n \rangle$, $y_n \in T_{\eta_{i_n}}$, such that $\mathfrak{L}_S^H - \lim x_n = x$ and $\mathfrak{L}_S^H - \lim y_n = y$. In the second case

we choose $y \in T_\eta$ and put $y_n = y$, $n \in N$. Then $\mathfrak{L}_S^H - \lim x_n = x$ and $\mathfrak{L}_S^H - \lim y_n = y$. In both cases we have a sequence $\langle x_n + y_n \rangle$ of non-equivalent points $(x_n + y_n) \in T_{\zeta_{1n}}$ which does not $\mathfrak{L}_S^H$-converge to the point $x + y$ because there is no finite $K \subset R/H$ such that $\zeta_n \in (S \cup K)$, $n \geqslant n_0$. □

Lemma 11. *Let H be a subgroup of the group R and S a finite subset of R/H. Then $(R, \mathfrak{L}_S^H, +)$ is a cc-group.*

Proof. S and S^- are finite sets. Hence $\beta^*(S) = \emptyset$, $\beta^*(S^-) = \emptyset$. The condition $(-)$ is satisfied, by Lemma 9. Now, let L_1, L_2 be finite. Then $\big((S \cup L_1) + (S \cup L_2)\big)$ is a finite subset of R/H and so $\beta^*\big((S \cup L_1) + (S \cup L_2)\big) = \emptyset$, $\beta^*(S) = \emptyset$. Hence $(+)$ is satisfied, by Lemma 10. □

Next we use lemmas 9 and 10 to answer the question: Given a subgroup $H \subset R$ does there exist more than two *cc*-groups $(R, \mathfrak{L}_S^H, +)$?

Lemma 12. *Let S be an infinite and K a finite subset of R/H. Let $\langle \xi_n \rangle$ be a one-to-one sequence of elements $\xi_n \in S \cup K$. Then there is n_0 such that $\xi_n \in S$, $n \geqslant n_0$.*

Proof. Since $\langle \xi_n \rangle$ is one-to-one the finite set K contains at most a finite number of elements ξ_n. □

Lemma 13. *Let H be a subgroup of the group R. Let S be an infinite subset of R/H. Let $(R, \mathfrak{L}_S^H, +)$ be a cc-group. Let $\langle \xi_n \rangle$ be a one-to-one sequence of elements $\xi_n \in S$. Let $\eta \in R/H$. Then there is n_0 such that $(\xi_n + \eta) \in S$, $n \geqslant n_0$.*

Proof. Put $L_1 = \emptyset$, $L_2 = \{\eta\}$. Then $\xi_n \in (S \cup L_1)$, $\eta \in (S \cup L_2)$. Since $(R, \mathfrak{L}_S^H, +)$ is a *cc*-group the condition $(+)$ is satisfied. We can apply Lemma 10. There is a finite $K \subset R/H$ such that $(\xi_n + \eta) \in S \cup K$, $n \in N$. Therefore $(\xi_n + \eta) \in S$, $n \geqslant n_0$, by Lemma 12. □

Lemma 14. *Let H be a subgroup of the group R. Let S and $R/H - S$ be infinite subsets of R/H. Then $(R, \mathfrak{L}_S^H, +)$ fails to be a cc-group.*

Proof. Suppose that, on the contrary, $(R, \mathfrak{L}_S^H, +)$ is a *cc*-group. Denote $S' = R/H - S$. Let $\langle \xi_n \rangle$, $\xi_n \in S$, $\langle \eta_n \rangle$, $\eta_n \in S'$, be one-to-one sequences. According to Lemma 12 there is n_1 such that $(\xi_n + \eta_1) \in S$, $n \geqslant n_1$. Put $m_1 = n_1$ and $\zeta_1 = \xi_{m_1} + \eta_1$. Suppose that we have chosen natural numbers $m_1 < m_2 < \ldots < m_p$ and non-equivalent elements $\zeta_i \in S$, $i \leqslant p$, where $\zeta_i = \xi_{m_i} + \eta_i$, $i \leqslant p$. Notice, that $\langle \xi_n + \eta_{p+1} \rangle$ is a one-to-one sequence such that $(\xi_n + \eta_{p+1}) \in S$, $n \geqslant n_0$, by Lemma 13. It follows that there is a natural number $m_{p+1} > m_p + n_0$ such that

$(\xi_{m_{p+1}} + \eta_{p+1}) \neq \zeta_i$, $i \leqslant p$. Put $\zeta_{p+1} = \xi_{m_{p+1}} + \eta_{p+1}$. Hence we have an increasing sequence $m_1 < m_2 < \ldots < m_{p+1}$ and a one-to-one sequence $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \ldots, \zeta_{p+1}$ of elements of S. We have constructed, by means of mathematical induction, a one-to-one sequence of elements $\zeta_i \in S$, $\zeta_i = \xi_{m_i} + \eta_i$, $i \in N$. Elements ξ_{m_i} belong to the set S and elements $-\xi_{m_i}$ to the set $S^- = S \cap S^- \cup (S^- - S)$. $(R, \mathfrak{L}_S^H, +)$ satisfies $(-)$ and so $S^- - S$ is a finite set, by Lemma 9. Put $L_1 = \emptyset$, $L_2 = S^- - S$. Then $\zeta_i \in S \cup L_1$ and $-\xi_{m_i} \in S \cup L_2$. According to Lemma 10 there is a finite $K \subset R/H$ such that $(\zeta_i - \xi_{m_i}) \in S \cup K$, i.e. $\eta_i \in S \cup K$. The sequence $\langle \eta_i \rangle$ is one-to-one. According to Lemma 12 there is i_0 such that $\eta_i \in S$, $i \geqslant i_0$. On the other hand, $\eta_i \in S'$, $i \in N$. Thus we got a contradictory result.

There is a close connection between *cc*-groups $(R, \mathfrak{L}_S^H, +)$ and complete groups with respect to the convergence $\mathfrak{L}_S^H$. This is shown in the following lemma. □

Lemma 15. *$(R, \mathfrak{L}_S^H, +)$ is a cc-group if and only if it is a complete group.*

Proof. Let $(R, \mathfrak{L}_S^H, +)$ be a *cc*-group. By Lemma 14 there is a finite $K \subset R/H$ such that $S = K$ or $S = R/H - K$. If $S = R/H - K$ then $(R, \mathfrak{L}_S^H, +)$ is a complete because $\mathfrak{L}_S^H = \mathfrak{L}$. Now, suppose that S is a finite set. Let $\langle c_n \rangle$, $c_n \in R$, be a Cauchy sequence of points c_n in $(R, \mathfrak{L}_S^H, +)$. Distinguish two cases

1) There is a finite subset K_0 such that $c_n \in R_{K_0}$. The sequence $\langle c_n \rangle$ is a Cauchy sequence with respect to $\mathfrak{L}$, because $\mathfrak{L}_S^H \subset \mathfrak{L}$, by Lemma 3. Hence there is a point $x \in R$ such that $\lim c_n = x$. We have $\mathfrak{L}_S^H - \lim c_n = x$, according to Lemma 4.

2) There is a subsequence $\langle b_n \rangle \subset \langle c_n \rangle$ of non-equivalent points $b_n \in R$. We construct, analogously as in [1], a subsequence $\langle b_{i_n} \rangle \subset \langle b_n \rangle$ such that $\langle b_n - b_{i_n} \rangle$ does not $\mathfrak{L}_S^H$-converge to 0. Put $i_1 = 1$. Suppose that we have chosen points $b_{i_1}, b_{i_2}, \ldots, b_{i_k}$, $i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_k$, such that no two numbers $t_m = b_m - b_{i_m}$, $m \leqslant k$, are equivalent. We prove that there is a point $b_{i_{k+1}}$, $i_{k+1} > i_k$, in the sequence $\langle b_n \rangle$ such that no two numbers t_m, $1 \leqslant m \leqslant k+1$ are equivalent. Let $q > k$. Suppose (indirect proof) that there is no point b_s, $i_k < s \leqslant i_k + q$ in the sequence $\langle b_n \rangle$ such that any two numbers $b_{k+1} - b_s$ and t_m, $m \leqslant k$, are non-equivalent. Denote $u_s = b_{k+1} - b_s$. Let $f\colon \{i_k < s \leqslant i_k + q\} \to \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ be a (one-valued) function such that u_s and $t_{f(s)}$ are equivalent numbers. Since $q > k$ there are $s_1 > i_k$ and $s_2 \leqslant i_k + q$, $s_1 < s_2$, such that $f(s_1) = f(s_2)$. Consequently, the numbers $u_{s_1}, t_{f(s_1)}$ are equivalent and also numbers $u_{s_2}, t_{f(s_2)}$ are equivalent. It follows that $(b_{f(s_1)} - b_{s_1}) \in H$, $(b_{f(s_2)} - b_{s_2}) \in H$. Hence $(b_{s_1} - b_{s_2}) \in H$ and so b_{s_1}, b_{s_2} are equivalent points. This is a contradiction because b_n are non-equivalent points. We conclude that there is $s_0 \in \{i_k + 1, i_k + 2, \ldots, i_k + q\}$ such that points b_{s_0}, b_{i_m}, $m \leqslant k$, are non-equivalent. Hence, it suffices to put $i_{k+1} = s_0$.

In such a way we have constructed a sequence $\langle b_n - b_{i_n} \rangle$ of non-equivalent points. Since S is finite it follows from Lemma 4 that the sequence $\langle b_n - b_{i_n} \rangle$ does not $\mathfrak{L}_S^H$-

converge to 0. Therefore $\langle c_n \rangle$ is not a Cauchy sequence with respect to $\mathfrak{L}_S^H$. The case 2) cannot occur.

Let $(R, \mathfrak{L}_S^H, +)$ be a complete group with respect to the convergence $\mathfrak{L}_S^H$. Then it is a *cc*-group, by the definition on p. 25.

Lemmas 11 and 14 give us a complete information about structures $(R, \mathfrak{L}_S^H, +)$ which are *cc*-groups. If $H = R$ then $(R, \mathfrak{L}, +)$ is the unique *cc*-group. If $H \neq R$ then there are exactly two different *cc*-groups, i.e. $(R, \mathfrak{L}_0^H, +)$ and $(R, \mathfrak{L}, +)$. □

Closing remarks. If Q is a subgroup of H and H a subgroup of R, $H \neq R$, then there are two different completions $(R, \mathfrak{L}_S^H, +)$ of Q, namely, $(R, \mathfrak{L}_0^H, +)$ and $(R, \mathfrak{L}, +)$. It follows that there is more than one completions of Q. There would be interesting to know what is the number of completion of the group of rational numbers Q.

I am indebted to P. Simon and R. Frič for their comments on the convergences $\mathfrak{L}_S^H$.

Addendum after the proofs. P. Simon and R. Frič proved, independently from each other, that the number of completions of the group Q is $\exp(\exp(\omega))$ [2].

References

[1] *J. Novák*: On completions of convergence commutative groups, General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra III. (Proc. Third Prague Topological Sympos., 1971), Academia, Praha, pp. 335–340.

[2] *R. Frič, F. Zanolin*: Strict completions of L_0^*-groups, Czechoslovak Math. J., to appear.

Author's address: Matematický ústav AV ČR, Žitná 25, 115 67 Praha 1, Czech Republic.