Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Hans-Jürgen Bandelt; Gerasimos C. Meletiou

The zero-completion of a median algebra

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 43 (1993), No. 3, 409–417

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128419

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 43 (118) 1993, Praha

THE ZERO-COMPLETION OF A MEDIAN ALGEBRA

HANS-JÜRGEN BANDELT, Hamburg, GERASIMOS C. MELETIOU, Arta

(Received May 15, 1989)

A distributive lattice $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ gives rise to a self-dual symmetric ternary operation, viz.,

$$(*) \qquad x, y, z \to (xyz) := (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z) \vee (y \wedge z),$$

named the median operation of L. This operation satisfies the identities

$$(xxy) = x$$
$$(vw(xyz)) = ((vwx)(vwy)z).$$

A *median algebra* M is a symmetric ternary algebra satisfying these two identities. Such an algebra is close to a distributive lattice: for any element a of M one obtains a *median semilattice* $(M, \wedge)$ with partial join $\vee$ (distributing over $\wedge$) and least element a via

$$x \wedge y := (xay)$$

such that the median of any x, y, z is recovered by the expression $(*)$. In general, $(M, \wedge, \vee)$ is not a lattice, but still admits a representation as a lower set of some distributive lattice.

Typically, a property of a distributive lattice L that is invariant under interchanging meet and join often is expressible merely in terms of the median operation. Most concepts, though, are not self-dual. For instance, the *translational hull* ΩL of $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ usually refers to the meet $\wedge$. It consists of all $\wedge$-*translations* of L, i.e., mappings $\tau\colon L \to L$ satisfying

$$\tau(x \wedge y) = x \wedge \tau y \quad \text{for all} \quad x, y \in L.$$

ΩL is a distributive lattice (with the identity map as its largest element) with respect to the pointwise order. L embeds in ΩL via $a \to \omega_a$, where

$$\omega_a : x \to x \wedge a \quad (x \in L)$$

is the ("inner") translation associated with $a \in L$. The translational hull is a standard construction in semigroup theory; cf. Petrich (1970). Now, in order to obtain a self-dual extension concept, one may first form the translational hull ΩL and then take the dual translational hull (that is, the $\vee$-translational hull) Ω^d of ΩL. But what is a convenient description of this "double" translational hull $\Omega^d \Omega L$ or $\Omega \Omega^d L$? Certainly its members can be regarded as *retractions* of the corresponding median algebra, i.e., mappings φ satisfying

$$\varphi(xyz) = (x\varphi y\varphi z) \quad \text{for all} \quad x, y, z;$$

cf. Bandelt & Hedlíková (1983).

Not all retractions can qualify simultaneously as members of $\Omega^d \Omega L$ since there are too many of them. Actually, for each pair u, v of elements, the mapping $x \to (xuv)$ is a retraction. In any case, median algebra is the appropriate framework for studying the double translational hull of distributive lattices. It turns out that for an arbitrary median algebra M one can define this sort of extension. This can be accomplished without reference to a particular orientation of the median algebra as a median semilattice.

Some further terminology is needed here. A *convex* subalgebra N of a median algebra M is a subset satisfying $(vwz) \in N$ for all $v, w \in N$ and $z \in M$. The smallest convex subalgebra containing a given subset A is called the *convex hull* of A in M. A *split* of M is a congruence relation with exactly two blocks P and Q; necessarily, P and Q are ("prime") convex subalgebras. An n-ary operation f on the set M is said to *preserve* a binary relation ϱ if and only if $x_i \varrho y_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ implies

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \varrho f(y_1, \ldots, y_n) \quad (x_i, y_i \in M).$$

Particular interest attaches to the semilattice orders which are preserved under the median operation: these orders and their meet operations are then called *compatible* (with the algebra M). A semilattice operation $\wedge$ on M is known to be compatible if and only if the median operation of the algebra M can be written in terms of $\wedge$ and the associated partial join $\vee$ as in $(*)$ above. For this and further information on median algebras, see Bandelt & Hedlíková (1983).

Lemma. *Let $\wedge$ be an idempotent, commutative operation on a median algebra M. Then $\wedge$ is a compatible semilattice operation on M if and only if*

$$(w \wedge xyz) = (wyz) \wedge (xyz) \quad \text{for all} \quad w, x, y, z \in M,$$

or equivalently, if $\wedge$ preserves all splits of M.

Proof. Assume that $(M, \wedge)$ is a compatible semilattice (with partial join operation $\vee$). Then

$$[(w\wedge y)\vee(w\wedge z)\vee(y\wedge z)]\wedge[(x\wedge y)\vee(x\wedge z)\vee(y\wedge z)] = (w\wedge x\wedge y)\vee(w\wedge x\wedge z)\vee(y\wedge z)$$

since the meet is distributive over the partial join. This proves necessity.

Next we show that this identity implies that $\wedge$ preserves every split $\sim$ of M. For $v, w, x \in M$ with $v \sim w$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} v \wedge x &= (vvx) \wedge (xvx) \\ &= (v \wedge xvx) \\ &\sim (v \wedge xwx) \\ &= (vwx) \wedge (xwx) \\ &= (vwx) \wedge x. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we obtain that

$$w \wedge x \sim (vwx) \wedge x.$$

Therefore $v \wedge x \sim w \wedge x$, as required.

Conversely, assume that $\wedge$ preserves all splits. Suppose $(x \wedge y) \wedge z$ and $x \wedge (y \wedge z)$ were incongruent modulo some split $\sim$. If $x \sim z$, then

$$x \wedge (y \wedge z) \sim x \wedge (y \wedge x) = (x \wedge y) \wedge x \sim (x \wedge y) \wedge z,$$

yielding a contradiction. So, without loss of generality assume that $x \sim y$. Then $(x \wedge y) \wedge z \sim y \wedge z$. If $y \wedge z \sim y$, then

$$x \wedge (y \wedge z) \sim y \wedge (y \wedge z) \sim y \wedge z,$$

again a contradiction. Hence $y \wedge z \sim y$. But this yields

$$x \wedge (y \wedge z) \sim (y \wedge z) \wedge (y \wedge z) = y \wedge z,$$

a final contradiction. We conclude that $\wedge$ is a semilattice operation.

Finally assume that $\wedge$ is a semilattice operation preserving all splits. We claim that for w, x, y, z in M the identity in the Lemma holds. Let $\sim$ be any split of M. If $y \sim z$, then $(w \wedge xyz), (wyz), (xyz)$, and hence their meets would be congruent to y, z. So assume that $x \sim y$ but z is incongruent with x, y. If $w \sim x$, then again either side of the asserted equality would be congruent to w, x, y. Otherwise $w \sim z$, and then

$$(w \wedge xyz) \sim (z \wedge yyz) = z \wedge y = (zyz) \wedge (yyz) \sim (wyz) \wedge (xyz).$$

This proves the claim. In particular, the partial order associated with $\wedge$ is a compatible relation of M. Then, by Lemma 3.3 of Bandelt & Hedlíková (1983), $(M\wedge)$ is a compatible semilattice. $\square$

Theorem 1. *Let M be a median algebra. Then the set ξM of all compatible semilattice operations on M is a median algebra with respect to the operation $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \to (\alpha\beta\gamma)$ defined by*

$$x(\alpha\beta\gamma)y := (x\alpha y\ x\beta y\ x\gamma y) \quad \text{for} \quad x, y \in M.$$

Then ξM is up to isomorphism the unique median algebra N such that (i) *M is a convex subalgebra of N,* (ii) *every compatible semilattice operation on M uniquely extends to one on N,* (iii) *every compatible semilattice operation on N has a zero.*

In particular, M embeds in ξM via

$$u \to \hat{u}, \quad \text{where} \quad x\hat{u}y := (xuy) \quad \text{for} \quad x, y \in M.$$

Proof. For $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \xi M$ the binary operation $(\alpha\beta\gamma)$ on M is evidently idempotent and commutative since α, β, γ are such. From the Lemma we infer that $(\alpha\beta\gamma)$ belongs to ξM.

The following identity will be used in the sequel:

$$x(\alpha\beta\gamma)y = (x\alpha y)\beta(x\gamma y) \quad \text{for all} \quad x, y \in M.$$

To prove this, suppose by way of contradiction that there exists a split $\sim$ of M such that $v := x(\alpha\beta\gamma)y$ and $w := (x\alpha y)\beta(x\gamma y)$ are not congruent modulo $\sim$. If $x\alpha y \sim x\gamma y$, then

$$v \sim (x\alpha y\ x\beta y\ x\alpha y) = x\alpha y = (x\alpha y)\beta(x\alpha y) \sim w,$$

contradicting the hypothesis. Hence $x\alpha y$ and $x\gamma y$ are incongruent. Then, without loss of generality, $x\beta y \sim x\gamma y$ and consequently

$$v \sim (x\alpha y\ x\beta y\ x\beta y) = x\beta y.$$

Now, x and y are not congruent, for otherwise, we would get $x\alpha y \sim x \sim x\gamma y$. Say, $x\alpha y \sim x$ and $x\gamma y \sim y$. This, however, yields $w \sim x\beta y \sim v$, a final contradiction.

Next we show that ξM is a median algebra. The identity $(\alpha\alpha\beta) = \alpha$ and symmetry are clear from the definition of the ternary operation on ξM. The third axiom

required for a median algebra is readily checked as well:

$$\begin{aligned} x((\alpha\beta\gamma)\delta\varepsilon)y &= ((x\alpha y\ x\beta y\ x\gamma y)x\delta y\ x\varepsilon y) \\ &= (x\alpha y\ (x\beta y\ x\delta y\ x\varepsilon y)(x\gamma y\ x\delta y\ x\varepsilon y)) \\ &= x(\alpha(\beta\delta\varepsilon)(\gamma\delta\varepsilon))y \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon \in \xi M$.

Every element $u \in M$ is the zero of its associated semilattice operation $\hat{u}$. Therefore $\hat{u} = \hat{v}$ implies $u = v$ for $u, v \in M$. Further,

$$x(\hat{u}\hat{v}\hat{w})y = ((xuy)(xvy)(xwy)) = (x(uvw)y)$$

for $u, v, w, x, y \in M$, whence

$$(\widehat{uvw}) = (\hat{u}\hat{v}\hat{w}).$$

We conclude that $u \longrightarrow \hat{u}$ constitutes an embedding of M into ξM. Denote the image of M under this embedding by $\hat{M}$.

Note that every retraction φ of M is a homomorphism with respect to any member $\wedge$ of ξM. Indeed, let $x, y \in M$, and put $w = x \wedge y \wedge \varphi x \wedge \varphi y$. Then

$$\varphi(x \wedge y) = \varphi(wxy) = (w\ \varphi x\ \varphi y) = \varphi x \wedge \varphi y.$$

In particular, for $u, v \in M$ and $\alpha \in \xi M$,

$$x(\hat{u}\alpha\hat{v})y = (x\hat{u}y)\alpha(x\hat{v}y) = (xuy)\alpha(xvy) = (x(u\alpha v)y)$$

since $w \longrightarrow (xwy)$ is a retraction. Therefore $\hat{u}\alpha\hat{v} \in \hat{M}$, and thus $\hat{M}$ is a convex subalgebra of ξM.

Every compatible semilattice operation $*$ on $\hat{M}$ extends to ξM by the rule

$$\widehat{x(\alpha * \beta)y} := (\hat{x}\alpha\hat{y}) * (\hat{x}\beta\hat{y}) \quad \text{for} \quad x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \xi M.$$

This operation is certainly idempotent and commutative and belongs to $\xi\xi M$ because

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{x((\alpha\gamma\delta) * (\beta\gamma\delta))y} &= (\hat{x}(\alpha\gamma\delta)\hat{y}) * (\hat{x}(\beta\gamma\delta)\hat{y}) \\ &= ((\hat{x}\alpha\hat{y})(\hat{x}\gamma\hat{y})(\hat{x}\delta\hat{y})) * (\hat{x}\beta\hat{y})(\hat{x}\gamma\hat{y})(\hat{x}\delta\hat{y})) \\ &= ((\hat{x}\alpha\hat{y}) * (\hat{x}\beta\hat{y})(\hat{x}\gamma\hat{y})(\hat{x}\delta\hat{y})) \\ &= (\widehat{x(\alpha * \beta)y}\widehat{x\gamma y}\widehat{x\delta y}) \\ &= \widehat{x(\alpha * \beta\gamma\delta)y}, \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the Lemma. The operation $*$ on ξM actually restricts to the given operation $*$ on $\hat{M}$ since

$$\widehat{x(\hat{u} * \hat{v})y} = (\hat{x}(\hat{u} * \hat{v})\hat{y}) = (\hat{x}\hat{u}\hat{y}) * (\hat{x}\hat{v}\hat{y}) \quad \text{for} \quad u, v \in M.$$

If $\bullet$ is any member of $\xi\xi M$ restricting to $*$ on $\hat{M}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(\hat{x}(\alpha \bullet \beta)\hat{y}) &= (\hat{x}\alpha\hat{y}) \bullet (\hat{x}\beta\hat{y}) \\ &= (\hat{x}\alpha\hat{y}) * (\hat{x}\beta\hat{y}) = (\hat{x}(\alpha * \beta)\hat{y}),\end{aligned}$$

and therefore every member of $\xi\hat{M}$ extends uniquely to ξM.

Finally, let $*$ be any member of $\xi\xi M$. We wish to show that $*$ has a zero. Since $\hat{M}$ is a convex subalgebra of ξM, it is closed under $*$, that is: $\hat{u} * \hat{v} \in \hat{M}$ for all $u, v \in M$. The restriction of $*$ to $\hat{M}$ thus corresponds to a compatible semilattice operation α on the isomorphic copy M, so that

$$\widehat{u\alpha v} = \hat{u} * \hat{v} \quad \text{for} \quad u, v \in M.$$

We claim that α is the zero of $*$. For $\beta, \gamma \in \xi M$ and $x, y \in M$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}(\hat{x}(\beta * \gamma)\hat{y}) &= (\hat{x}\beta\hat{y}) * (\hat{x}\gamma\hat{y}) \\ &= \widehat{(x\beta y)\alpha(x\gamma y)} \\ &= \widehat{x(\beta\alpha\gamma)y} \\ &= (\hat{x}(\beta\alpha\gamma)\hat{y}),\end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\beta * \gamma = (\beta\alpha\gamma).$$

So, in particular, $\xi\xi M \cong \xi M$.

Now assume that N is a median algebra satisfying (i), (ii), (iii). Then $\xi M \cong \xi N$ by (i) and (ii), and $\xi N \cong N$ by (iii). This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

For a median algebra M the algebra ξM described in Theorem 1 is referred to as the *zero-completion* of M. If ξM coincides with M, then M is said to be *zero-complete*. Every bounded distributive lattice is zero-complete. From the subdirect representation theorem we infer that every median algebra M embeds in some algebra 2^X such that 2^X is the convex hull of M. Then the zero-completion of M can be described within 2^X as follows.

Theorem 2. *Let M be a subalgebra of the median algebra 2^X of all subsets of some set X. If 2^X is the convex hull of M, then ξM is isomorphic to the largest subalgebra N of 2^X which contains M as a convex subalgebra, viz.:*

$$N = \{z \in 2^X \mid (uvz) \in M \quad \text{for all} \quad u, v \in M\}.$$

Proof. First observe that N is in fact a subalgebra of 2^X, as

$$(uv(z_1 z_2 z_3)) = ((uvz_1)(uvz_2)(uvz_3)) \in M$$

for all $u, v \in M$ and $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in N$. Clearly M is a convex subalgebra of N, and every other subalgebra of 2^X in which M is convex is necessarily contained in N.

One may identify X as the set of all splits of M. Since every compatible semilattice operation on M preserves all splits of M it extends uniquely to 2^X. Therefore ξM embeds in 2^X by virtue of Theorem 1. Furthermore, ξM actually embeds in the algebra N.

If $\wedge$ is a compatible semilattice operation on N, then its extension to 2^X has a least element 0. For $u, v \in M$,

$$(uv0) = u \wedge v \in M,$$

whence $0 \in N$. Therefore N is zero-complete and thus meets the three conditions in Theorem 1. We conclude that N is isomorphic to ξM. □

Assume that M is a subalgebra of a median algebra M'. Let us call

$$N = \{z \in M' \mid (uvz) \in M \text{ for all } u, v \in M\}$$

the *convexizer* of M in M'. It is the largest subalgebra of M' containing M as a convex subalgebra. The convexizers play a role similar to that of the idealizers in the framework of distributive lattices. So, Theorem 2 is the analogue of a result concerning the translational hull ΩL of a distributive lattice L; see Figa-Talamanca & Franklin (1968) and Cornish (1974). If L is a distributive sublattice of L', then the convexizer of L in L' is just the dual idealizer of the idealizer of L in L' since for $z \in L'$,

$$(z \wedge s) \vee t \in L \text{ for all } s, t \in L$$

if and only if

$$(uvz) \in L \text{ for all } \; u, v \in L.$$

So not unexpectedly, we have the following result.

Corollary 1. *For every distributive lattice L,*

$$\xi L \cong \Omega^d \Omega L \cong \Omega \Omega^d L.$$

Proof. Assume that L is given by its subdirect representation, that is: L is a sublattice of some power set lattice 2^X so that 2^X is the convex hull of L. Then, up to isomorphism, L is an ideal of ΩL, and ΩL is a dual ideal of $\Omega^d \Omega L$, whence L is a convex sublattice of $\Omega^d \Omega L$, the latter being a sublattice of 2^X. Since $\Omega^d \Omega L$ is bounded, it is zero-complete. Further, every member of ξL uniquely extends to $\Omega^d \Omega L$ (even to 2^X). We conclude from Theorem 1 that ξL is isomorphic to $\Omega^d \Omega L$, and analogously, to $\Omega \Omega^d L$ as well. Alternatively, one may argue that $\Omega^d \Omega L$ is the largest sublattice in which L is a convex sublattice, and thus conclude the proof with Theorem 2. □

In particular, if L is a distributive lattice with zero, then $\xi L \cong \Omega L$. More generally, consider the following subset of the translational hull of a median semilattice $(M, \wedge, \vee)$ with least element 0:

$$\Omega_\ell M = \{\tau \in \Omega M \mid \tau x \ \vee \ \tau y \text{ exists for all } x, y \in M\}.$$

So, a translation τ of $(M, \wedge)$ belongs to $\Omega_\ell M$ if and only if the image im τ is a (distributive) lattice. It is easy to see that $\Omega_\ell M$ is a subsemilattice of ΩM, as well as a subalgebra of the median algebra of all retractions, where the median of three retractions is given by

$$(\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \varphi_3) x = (\varphi_1 x \varphi_2 x \varphi_3 x) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in M.$$

Therefore $\Omega_\ell M$ is a median semilattice extending M. Now, Theorem 5.5 of Bandelt & Hedlíková (1983) establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the sets ξM and $\Omega_\ell M$. In fact, to each member $*$ of ξM one can associate a retraction τ via

$$\tau x := x * 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in M.$$

Since

$$x \wedge \tau x = x \wedge (x * 0) = (x \wedge x) * (x \wedge 0) = x * 0 = \tau x,$$

the image im τ is a lower set in $(M, \wedge)$, whence τ belongs to ΩM. Moreover, $\tau(x * y)$ is an upper bound of τx and τy because

$$(x * 0) \wedge (x * y * 0) = x * (0 \wedge (y * 0)) = x * 0,$$

and the analogous identity holds for τy. Therefore τ is a member of $\Omega_\ell M$. On the other hand, given $\tau \in \Omega_\ell M$ one can uniquely extend the join $\vee$ on the distributive lattice (im $\tau, \wedge, \vee$) to M, thus giving a member $*$ of ξM, by virtue of Theorem 5.4 of Bandelt & Hedlíková (1983). It is then not difficult to check that $* \leftrightarrow \tau$ constitutes an isomorphism between the median algebras ξM and $\Omega_\ell M$, thus proving the concluding corollary.

Corollary 2. *For a median semilattice $(M, \wedge)$ with zero, $\Omega_\ell M$ is isomorphic to ξM.*

As every median algebra M can be turned into a median semilattice with zero, the preceding corollary provides a convenient method to determine the zero-completion of M. For instance, given a tree algebra T, choose any compatible semilattice order with zero. Then ξT can be regarded as the set of all chains between the zero and the elements of T and all unbounded maximal chains; cf. Corollary 6.6 of Bandelt & Hedlíková (1983).

Acknowledgement. The first author gratefully acknowledges financial support from the German DAAD and the Greek Ministry of Education.

References

[1] *H.-J. Bandelt, J. Hedlíková*: Median algebras, Discrete Math. *45* (1983), 1–30.
[2] *W.H. Cornish*: The multiplier extension of a distributive lattice, J. Algebra *32* (1974), 339–355.
[3] *A. Figa-Talamanca, S.P. Franklin*: Multipliers of distributive lattices, Indian J. Math. *12* (1968), 153–161.
[4] *M. Petrich*: On ideals of a semilattice, Czechoslovak Math. J. *22* (1972), 361–367.

Authors' addresses: Hans-Jürgen Bandelt, Mathematisches Seminar, Universität Hamburg, Bundesstr. 55, D-2000 Hamburg 13, Germany; Gerasimos C. Meletiou, Arta, Faculty of Agricultural Technology, T.E.I.M. at Arta, P.O. Box 110, GR-47100 Arta, Greece.