# Mária Jurečková; Ferdinand Chovanec On some properties of submeasures on MV-algebras

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 54 (2004), No. 2, 161--167

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128680

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz



Math. Slovaca, 54 (2004), No. 2, 161-167

Dedicated to Professor Sylvia Pulmannová on the occasion of her 65th birthday

# ON SOME PROPERTIES OF SUBMEASURES ON MV-ALGEBRAS

Mária Jurečková — Ferdinand Chovanec

(Communicated by Anatolij Dvurečenskij)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study some properties of a submeasure on MV-algebras. We show that the nonatomicity, the Saks property and the Darboux property are equivalent properties of a submeasure on MV-algebras.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $\mu \colon \mathcal{S} \to [0,\infty)$  be a measure on  $\mathcal{S}$ , i.e.,

- (i)  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0;$
- (ii)  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mu(A_n)$ , whenever  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset S$ ,

such that  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset, \; i \neq j \,, \, \mathrm{and} \; \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n \in \mathcal{S} \,.$ 

We say that  $\mu$  is *nonatomic* if, for arbitrary  $A \in S$  such that  $\mu(A) > 0$ , there exists  $B \in S$ ,  $B \subset A$  such that  $0 < \mu(B) < \mu(A)$ .

A measure  $\mu$  has the *Darboux property* if, for any  $A \in S$  and any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $0 < t < \mu(A)$  there exists  $B \in S$ ,  $B \subset A$ , such that  $\mu(B) = t$ .

It is known that the fact that  $\mu$  is a nonatomic measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra S is a sufficient condition for  $\mu$  having the Darboux property ([6]). Generalizations of this proposition can be found in many directions. For example,  $O \mid e j \check{c} e k$  in [8] showed that the preceding assertion for a finitely additive measure is false in

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 28E10.

Keywords: MV-algebra, submeasure on MV-algebra, nonatomicity, Darboux property.

The paper has been supported by the Grant 2/3163/23 SAV Bratislava and VEGA 1/9056/02, Slovakia.

#### MÁRIA JUREČKOVÁ - FERDINAND CHOVANEC

general and gave some sufficient conditions for a finitely additive measure having the Darboux property. An interesting result can be found in [4]. In this paper D o b r a k o v deals with relations between Darboux property and nonatomicity in the case that  $\mu$  is subadditively continuous, i.e., for any  $A \in S$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B \in S$  and  $\mu(B) < \delta$  implies  $\mu(A \cup B) \leq \mu(A) + \varepsilon$ and  $\mu(A) \leq \mu(A-B) + \varepsilon$ . K l i m k i n and S v i s t u l a in [7] solved this problem on F-algebras such that they replaced the nonatomicity by the Saks property, i.e., for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $A \in S$  there exists  $\varepsilon$ -partition of A, i.e., there exist  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n \in S$ , such that

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} A_{k} = A \,, \qquad A_{i} \cap A_{j} = \emptyset \,, \ i \neq j \,, \quad \mu(A_{k}) < \varepsilon \,, \ k = 1, \dots, n \,.$$

Riečan in [9] considered the fuzzy sets, i.e., the functions  $f: X \to [0, 1]$  instead of crisp sets (see [10]) and proved that for any Dobrakov submeasure the Darboux, Saks and nonatomic property are equivalent.

In this paper we give the following generalization. We consider an MV-algebra instead of  $\sigma$ -algebra and prove that if  $\mu$  is a Dobrakov submeasure on MV-algebra, then the nonatomic property is a sufficient condition for the Darboux property. The main ideas of the proof are taken from [7].

## 2. Notations and preliminaries

MV-algebras were originally introduced by Chang [3] as algebraic systems  $\mathcal{M} = (M, \oplus, \odot, *, 0_{\mathcal{M}}, 1_{\mathcal{M}})$ , consisting of a nonempty set M, two constant elements  $0_{\mathcal{M}}, 1_{\mathcal{M}}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , two binary operations  $\oplus, \odot$  and the unary operation \* satisfying the following axioms for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} x \oplus y &= y \oplus x, \qquad x \oplus (y \oplus z) = (x \oplus y) \oplus z, \\ x \oplus 0_{\mathcal{M}} &= x, \qquad x \oplus 1_{\mathcal{M}} = 1_{\mathcal{M}}, \\ (x^*)^* &= x, \quad 0^*_{\mathcal{M}} = 1_{\mathcal{M}}, \quad x \oplus x^* = 1_{\mathcal{M}}, \\ (x^* \oplus y)^* \oplus y &= (x \oplus y^*)^* \oplus x, \\ x \odot y &= (x^* \oplus y^*)^*. \end{aligned}$$

We note that if  $\mathcal{M}$  is an MV-algebra, then it is a distributive lattice with respect to the partial order  $\leq$  defined by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x \odot y^* = 0_{\mathcal{M}}$ , and with the least and greatest element  $0_{\mathcal{M}}, 1_{\mathcal{M}}$ , respectively. Lattice operations  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$  are defined by  $a \vee b = (a \odot b^*) \oplus b$  and  $a \wedge b = (a \oplus b^*) \odot b$ .

Recall that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra if  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete lattice.

Let S be a  $\sigma$ -algebra of all subsets of a nonempty set X. Define

$$E \oplus F = E \cup F$$
,  $E \odot F = E \cap F$ ,  $E^* = X - E$ ;  $E, F \in S$ .

Then S is a  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra. The converse is not true. In the sequel we will assume that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra.

**DEFINITION 1.** A mapping  $\mu: \mathcal{M} \to [0, \infty)$  is called a *submeasure* on  $\mathcal{M}$  if the following conditions hold:

- (i) If  $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $x \leq y$ , then  $\mu(x) \leq \mu(y)$ ;
- (ii) To any  $y \in \mathcal{M}$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mu(x) < \delta$  implies  $\mu(y \oplus x) \le \mu(y) + \varepsilon$ ;
- (iii) If  $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}, \ y_n \searrow 0_{\mathcal{M}}, \text{ then } \mu(y_n) \searrow 0.$

**DEFINITION 2.** The submeasure  $\mu$  is *nonatomic* if, for every  $y \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mu(y) > 0$ , there exists  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $x \leq y$ , such that  $0 < \mu(x) < \mu(y)$ .

**DEFINITION 3.** The submeasure  $\mu$  has the *Darboux property* if, for all  $y \in \mathcal{M}$  and any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < t < \mu(y)$ , there exists  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $x \leq y$ , such that  $\mu(x) = t$ .

**DEFINITION 4.** The submeasure  $\mu$  has the Saks property if, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $y \in \mathcal{M}$  there exists  $\varepsilon$ -partition of y, i.e., there exist  $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $y_i \leq y_j^*$  for any  $i \neq j$  and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n y_i = y_1 \oplus y_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus y_n = y\,, \qquad \text{where} \quad \mu(y_i) < \varepsilon\,, \ i=1,\ldots,n\,.$$

**LEMMA 5.** Let  $\mu$  be a nonatomic submeasure on  $\mathcal{M}$  and y be an element of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mu(y) > 0$ . Then to any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $x \leq y$  and  $0 < \mu(x) < \varepsilon$ .

Proof. Suppose the converse, i.e., there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for any  $x \in \mathcal{M}, x \leq y$ , either  $\mu(x) \geq \varepsilon$  or  $\mu(x) = 0$ . Since  $\mu$  is nonatomic, there is  $x_1 \in \mathcal{M}, x_1 \leq y$ , such that  $0 < \mu(x_1) < \mu(y)$ . According to previous assumptions  $\mu(x_1) \geq \varepsilon$ .

Since  $x_1 \leq y$ , it follows from the properties of MV-algebras that  $y = x_1 \oplus (y \odot x_1^*)$ . For more details see [5]. Denote  $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\mu(y) - \mu(x_1))$ . Clearly,  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  and according to property (ii) of a submeasure  $\mu$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\mu(x_1 \oplus z) \leq \mu(x_1) + \varepsilon_1$ , whenever  $z \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mu(z) < \delta$ . Put  $z = y \odot x_1^*$ . Evidently  $\mu(y \odot x_1^*) \geq 0$ . To prove  $\mu(y \odot x_1^*) > 0$  assume that  $\mu(y \odot x_1^*) = 0$ . Then

$$\mu(y) = \mu(x_1 \oplus (y \odot x_1^*)) \le \mu(x_1) + \varepsilon_1 \le \mu(x_1) + \frac{1}{2}(\mu(y) - \mu(x_1)) < \mu(y),$$

which is a contradiction and so  $\mu(y \odot x_1^*) > 0$ .

Hence, there exists  $x_2 \leq y \odot x_1^*$  such that  $\mu(x_2) \geq \varepsilon$  and we can continue in the previous process. We obtain a sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}$  such that

$$x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus \dots = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \le y$$
 and  $\mu(x_n) \ge \varepsilon$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ 

Denote  $z_n = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} x_i$ . Evidently  $z_n \searrow 0_{\mathcal{M}}$ , which gives  $\mu(z_n) \searrow 0$ . This shows that there exists a natural number n such that

$$\mu(x_n) \le \mu(z_n) < \varepsilon \,,$$

which contradicts that  $\mu(x_n) \ge \varepsilon$  and this entails  $0 < \mu(x) < \varepsilon$ .

## 3. Nonatomic submeasure and the Darboux and Saks property

**PROPOSITION 6.** Any nonatomic submeasure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  has the Saks property.

Proof. Let  $y \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\mu$  be a nonatomic submeasure on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Put

$$a_1 = \sup \left\{ \mu(x): x \in \mathcal{M}, x \leq y, \mu(x) < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

If  $a_1 = 0$ , the proof is finished, because in this case  $\mu(y) = 0 < \varepsilon$ .

Consider  $a_1 > 0$ . It implies that there exists  $y_1 \in \mathcal{M}, y_1 \leq y, \mu(y_1) < \varepsilon$  such that

$$\frac{a_1}{2} < \mu(y_1) \le a_1$$
 .

The proof is complete if  $\mu(y \odot y_1^*) < \varepsilon$ . If not, we will construct a sequence  $(y_n)_n^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}, \ y_n \leq y \odot y_1^* \odot \cdots \odot y_{n-1}^*, \ \mu(y_n) < \varepsilon$  such that

$$\frac{a_n}{2} < \mu(\boldsymbol{y}_n) \leq a_n$$

and

$$a_n = \sup \left\{ \mu(x) : x \in \mathcal{M}, x \le y \odot y_1^* \odot \cdots \odot y_{n-1}^*, \mu(x) < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Put now

$$z_n = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} y_i = y_n \oplus y_{n+1} \oplus \cdots$$

It is easy to see that

$$0 < \frac{a_n}{2} < \mu(y_n) \leq \mu(z_n)$$

164

and since  $z_n \searrow 0_{\mathcal{M}}$ , we obtain  $\mu(z_n) \searrow 0$ , which gives that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$ .

Put  $x = y \odot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_i\right)^*$ . To show  $\mu(x) = 0$ , suppose the contrary, i.e.,  $\mu(x) > 0$ . Applying Lemma 5, there exists  $z \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $z \leq x$ , such that  $\mu(z) < \varepsilon$  and

$$z \le x = y \odot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_i\right)^* \le y \odot \left(\sum_{i=1}^k y_i\right)^* = y \odot y_1^* \odot \cdots \odot y_k^*, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

This implies  $\mu(z) \leq a_{k+1}$  for k = 1, 2, ... and, because  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$ , we obtain  $\mu(x) \leq 0$ , which contradicts our assumption and so  $\mu(x) = 0$ .

Since  $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} y_i \searrow 0_{\mathcal{M}}$ , there exists  $n_0$  such that

$$\mu\left(\sum_{i=n_0}^{\infty} y_i\right) < \varepsilon$$

Moreover

$$y_1 \oplus y_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus y_{n_0-1} \oplus \sum_{i=n_0}^{\infty} y_i \oplus \left( y \odot \left( \sum_{i=n_0}^{\infty} y_i \right)^* \right) = y$$

and so we can conclude that

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n_0-1}, \sum_{i=n_0}^{\infty} y_i, x \right\}$$

is an  $\varepsilon$ -partition of y.

**PROPOSITION 7.** Let  $\mu$  be a submeasure with the Saks property on an MV-algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then  $\mu$  has the Darboux property.

Proof. Consider  $y \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $0 < t < \mu(y)$  and a sequence of real numbers  $(\varepsilon_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\varepsilon_n \searrow 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_n < t$ . By the assumption, the submeasure  $\mu$  has the Saks property, which gives an  $\varepsilon_1$ -partition of y, i.e., there exist  $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $y_i \leq y_j^*$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i = y$  and  $\mu(y_i) < \varepsilon_1 < t$ for all  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ . Since  $\mu(y_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus y_n) = \mu(y) > t$ , there exists l such that

$$\mu(y_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus y_l) < t \,, \quad \mu(y_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus y_l \oplus y_{l+1}) \ge t \,.$$

Denote  $x_1 = y_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus y_l$  and  $z_1 = y_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus y_l \oplus y_{l+1}$ . Then

$$\begin{split} x_1 &\leq z_1 \leq y \,, \quad \mu(x_1) < t \,, \quad \mu(z_1) \geq t \,, \\ \mu(z_1 \odot x_1^*) &= \mu(y_{l+1}) < \varepsilon_1 \,. \end{split}$$

165

Now we will apply the Saks property to the  $z_1 \odot x_1^*$ . There exists an  $\varepsilon_2$ -partition  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$  of  $z_1 \odot x_1^*$  such that

$$z_1 \odot x_1^* = \sum_{i=1}^k v_i$$
 and  $\mu(v_i) < \varepsilon_2$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

Since  $\mu(x_1) < t$  and  $\mu(x_1 \oplus (z_1 \odot x_1^*)) = \mu(x_1 \oplus v_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus v_k) \ge t$ , it is clear that there is a natural number m such that

$$\begin{split} \mu(x_1 \oplus v_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus v_m) &< t \quad \text{ and } \quad \mu(x_1 \oplus v_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus v_m \oplus v_{m+1}) \geq t \,. \\ \text{Put } x_2 &= x_1 \oplus v_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus v_m \text{ and } z_2 = x_1 \oplus v_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus v_m \oplus v_{m+1}. \text{ Then} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &\leq x_2 \leq z_2 \leq z_1 \leq y\,, \\ \mu(x_2) &< t\,, \quad \mu(z_2) \geq t\,, \quad \mu(z_2 \odot x_2^*) = \mu(v_{m+1}) < \varepsilon_2\,. \end{aligned}$$

By this way we obtain two sequences  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty, \ (z_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of elements of  ${\mathcal M}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &\leq x_2 \leq \cdots \leq x_n \leq z_n \leq \cdots \leq z_2 \leq z_1 \leq y \,, \\ \mu(z_n \odot x_n^*) &< \varepsilon_n \,, \qquad n = 1, 2 \dots \,. \end{aligned}$$

Put  $x = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ . It is evident that  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $x \leq y$ . The proof will be complete by showing that  $\mu(x) = t$ . Conversely suppose that  $\mu(x) < t$ . Then we can put  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}(t - \mu(x)) > 0$ . By the property (ii) of the submeasure there exists  $\delta > 0$ such that for any  $w \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\mu(w) < \delta$ ,  $\mu(x \oplus w) \leq \mu(x) + \varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon_n \searrow 0$ , there exists  $n_0$  such that  $\mu(z_{n_0} \odot x_{n_0}^*) \leq \varepsilon_{n_0} < \delta$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \mu\big(z_{n_0}\big) &= \mu\big(x_{n_0} \oplus (z_{n_0} \odot x_{n_0}^*)\big) \le \mu\big(x \oplus (z_{n_0} \odot x_{n_0}^*)\big) \\ &\le \mu(x) + \varepsilon = \mu(x) + \frac{1}{2}\big(t - \mu(x)\big) < t \,, \end{split}$$

contrary to  $\mu(z_i) \ge t$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ . This entails that  $\mu(x) \ge t$ .

Take now  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By the property (ii) of Definition 1 there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\mu(x_{n_0} \oplus w) \leq \mu(x_{n_0}) + \varepsilon$ , whenever  $w \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mu(w) < \delta$ . Since

$$\mu(x \odot x_{n_0}^*) \le \mu(z_{n_0} \odot x_{n_0}^*) \le \varepsilon_{n_0} < \delta,$$

we have

$$\mu(x) = \mu\left(x_{n_0} \oplus (x \odot x_{n_0}^*)\right) \le \mu\left(x_{n_0}\right) + \varepsilon$$

But  $\mu(x_{n_0}) < t$ , which implies that  $\mu(x) < t + \varepsilon$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and so we can conclude that  $\mu(x) = t$ .

**PROPOSITION 8.** Any nonatomic submeasure on  $\mathcal{M}$  has the Darboux property.

Proof. This follows directly from Propositions 6 and 7.  $\Box$ 

It is evident that if  $\mu$  has the Darboux property, then  $\mu$  is nonatomic. Combining this fact with Proposition 8 we can conclude our assertions with the following theorem.

**THEOREM 9.** Let  $\mu$  be a submeasure on an MV-algebra. Then the nonatomicity, the Saks property and the Darboux property are equivalent properties of a submeasure  $\mu$ .

#### REFERENCES

- ČERNEK, P.: Product of submeasures, Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. 40-41 (1982), 301-308.
- [2] ČERNEK, P.: The least upper bound of the additive measures and integrals, Zb. Rad., Prir.-Math. 25 (1971), 21-24.
- [3] CHANG, C. C.: Algebraic analysis of many-valued logics, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1959), 467-490.
- [4] DOBRAKOV, I.: On submeasures I, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) 112 (1974), 5-35.
- [5] DVUREČENSKIJ, A.—PULMANNOVÁ, S.: New Trends in Quantum Structures, Cluwer Acad. Publ./Ister Science, Dordrecht/Bratislava, 2000.
- [6] HALMOS, P. R.: The range of a vector measure, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 54 (1948), 416-421.
- KLIMKIN, V. M.—SVISTULA, M. G.: The Darboux property of non-additive set functions, Mat. Sb. 192 (2001), 41-50. (Russian)
- [8] OLEJČEK, V.: Darboux property of finitely additive measure on  $\delta$ -ring, Math. Slovaca **27** (1997), 195–201.
- [9] RIEČAN, B.: On the Dobrakov submeasure on fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems (Submitted).
- [10] RIEČAN, B.—NEUBRUNN, T.: Integral, Measure, and Ordering, Kluwer Acad. Publ./ Ister Science, Dordrecht/Bratislava, 1997.

Received May 6, 2003 Revised November 13, 2003 Department of Mathematics Military Academy SK-031 19 Liptovský Mikuláš SLOVAK REPUBLIC

Mathematical Institute Slovak Academy of Sciences Štefánikova 49 SK-814 73 Bratislava SLOVAK REPUBLIC

*E-mail:* jureckova@valm.sk chovanec@valm.sk