Rastislav Jurga Some combinatorial properties of conics in the Hjelmslev plane

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 45 (1995), No. 3, 219--226

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128766

# Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Mathematica Slovaca © 1995 Mathematical Institute Slovak Academy of Sciences

Math. Slovaca, 45 (1995), No. 3, 219-226

# SOME COMBINATORIAL PROPERTIES OF CONICS IN THE HJELMSLEV PLANE

•

#### RASTISLAV JURGA

(Communicated by Oto Strauch)

ABSTRACT. We prove some combinatorial properties of conics in desarguesian Hjelmslev planes. The results generalize analogous properties of conics in finite projective geometries [4].

### 1. Introductory notes and definitions

By a special local ring we understand a finite commutative local ring R, the ideal I of divisors of zero of which is principal. Let g be a generator of I. The smallest integer  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $g^{\nu} = 0$  is called the *index of nilpotency* of R. We assume that R is not a field and that the characteristic of R is odd. The image of R under the canonical homomorphism  $\psi$  will be denoted by  $\overline{R}$ . The coordinatized Hjelmslev plane over R will be denoted by H(R).

A conic Q in H(R) is the set of all points whose coordinates  $x_i$  satisfy

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} a_{ij} x_i x_j = 0.$$
 (1)

In this paper, we shall assume that the conic Q is regular, i.e.,  $det[a_{ij}] \notin I$ .

Observe that in a suitable coordinate system the conic given by (1) satisfies the equation

$$ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0. (2)$$

It is known that in the projective plane over the skewfield  $\overline{R}$  a conic has exactly  $|\overline{R}|+1$  points. On the other hand, it can be shown that a conic in H(R) has exactly |R|+|I| points.

A line t intersecting the given conic Q at more than two points is said to be a *tangent* to Q. If t intersects Q at exactly two points, then t is said to be a secant. In all other cases, t is said to be a nonsecant.

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 05B25, 51D99.

Key words: special local ring, desarguesian Hjelmslev plane, conic, external (internal) point.

#### RASTISLAV JURGA

Observe that the intersecting points of a tangent are neighbouring.

In this paper, we will use the following algebraic result, which we state without proof.

## **THEOREM 1.1.** Let $d = d_1 g^{\alpha} \in R$ . Then

- 1. there is a square root of d in R if and only if  $\overline{d}_1$  is a square in  $\overline{R}$  and  $\alpha = 2\delta$ ;
- $2. \ \ if \ condition \ 1 \ is \ fulfilled, \ then \ d \ has$ 
  - a)  $2|\overline{R}|^{\delta}$  square roots if  $d_1 \neq 0$ ,
  - b)  $|\overline{R}|^{\lceil \frac{\nu}{2} \rceil}$  square roots if  $d_1 = d = 0$ .

### **2.** The line and the conic in H(R)

In this section, we prove several combinatorial properties of conics in the Hjelmslev plane H(R).

**THEOREM 2.1.** The number of tangents through each point of a conic is exactly |I|.

P r o o f. It is known that the number of lines passing through each point in H(R) is exactly |R| + |I|. Since a conic in H(R) has exactly |R| + |I| points, the number of secants passing through each point of the conic is  $|\overline{R}| \cdot |I| = |R|$  (lines connecting the given point with all nonneighbouring points of the conic). Then the number of tangents is |R| + |I| - |R| = |I|.

**THEOREM 2.2.** Let  $t: A_1x_1 + A_2x_2 + A_3x_3 = 0$  be a line and let  $Q: \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}x_ix_j$ 

= 0 be a conic. Then t is a tangent to Q if and only if

$$\chi = \det \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & A_1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & A_2 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & A_3 \\ A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = n^2, \qquad n \in I.$$
(3)

Proof.

1. Assume that the conic Q satisfies, with respect to a given coordinate system, the equation  $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$ .

a) Let the line t: Ax + By + Cz = 0 be a tangent to the conic Q. We shall prove that  $\chi = n^2$ ,  $n \in I$ , holds true. Consider the intersection of the line t and the conic Q. Clearly, at least for one of the coefficients of the line t, say B, we have  $B \notin I$ . Then

$$f = \frac{-Ax - Cz}{B} \; ,$$

and hence

$$x^{2}(aB^{2} + bA^{2}) + 2ACbxz + z^{2}(bC^{2} + cB^{2}) = 0.$$
 (4)

### SOME COMBINATORIAL PROPERTIES OF CONICS IN THE HJELMSLEV PLANE

Since the line t is a tangent to the conic Q, the discriminant of (4) is a singular square, i.e.,

$$\Delta = -4B^{2}(bcA^{2} + acB^{2} + abC^{2}) = n'^{2}$$

On the other hand, the determinant  $\chi$  is equal to  $-A^2bc - B^2ac - C^2ab$ . Thus

$$bcA^2 + acB^2 + abC^2 = \frac{{n'}^2}{4B^2}$$
,

and hence  $\chi$  is a singular square.

b) Let

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & A \\ 0 & b & 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 & c & C \\ A & B & C & 0 \end{bmatrix} = n^2, \qquad n \in I.$$
(5)

We show that the line t: Ax + By + Cz = 0 is a tangent to the conic  $Q: ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$ . Arranging (5) we get

$$-A^{2}bc - B^{2}ac - C^{2}ab = n^{2}.$$
 (6)

From Ax + By + Cz = 0, since  $B \notin I$ , we have

$$y=\frac{-Ax-Cz}{B},$$

and substituting into the equation of the conic we obtain

$$x^{2}(aB^{2}+bA^{2})+2ACbxz+z^{2}(bC^{2}+cB^{2})=0.$$

The discriminant of the last equation is

$$\Delta = (2ACb)^2 - 4(aB^2 + bA^2) \cdot (bC^2 + cB^2),$$

and hence, in view of (4),

$$\Delta = 4B^2 {n'}^2$$

2. Given  $Q: \sum a_{ij}x_ix_j = 0$ , where  $\mathbf{M} = [a_{ij}]$  is the corresponding matrix, let  $p: A_1x_1 + A_2x_2 + A_3x_3 = 0$  be a line. It is known that there is a coordinate system with respect to which the equation of the conic is  $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$ . Denote by **P** the transition matrix between the coordinate systems in question. Then the matrix of the conic Q is  $\mathbf{P'MP} = \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}$  and the equation of the line p is  $\widetilde{A}x + \widetilde{B}y + \widetilde{C}z = 0$ . Put  $(\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}, \widetilde{C}) = \widetilde{\mathbf{N}}$ ,  $(A, B, C) = \mathbf{N}$ . Consider the matrix

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{N}' \\ \mathbf{N} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Clearly, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}' & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{D} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}' & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{N}' \\ \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{O} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}' \mathbf{M} \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{P}' \mathbf{N}' \\ \mathbf{N} \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{O} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{N}' \\ \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{O} \end{bmatrix} = \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}.$$
(7)

From the first part of the proof it follows that  $det[\mathbf{D}] = n^2$ . From (7), we immediately have

$$\det[\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}] = \det[\mathbf{P}]^2 \cdot \det[\mathbf{D}],$$

where  $det[\mathbf{P}] \notin I$ , and

$$\det[\mathbf{D}] = \frac{1}{\det[\mathbf{P}]^2} \cdot \det[\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}] \in I$$
.

In what follows, by a zero tangent we will understand the polar of a point which lies on the conic. We shall show that a zero tangent is also a tangent in the sense of §1.

**THEOREM 2.3.** The line Ax + By + Cz = 0 is a zero tangent to the conic  $Q: ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$  if and only if

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & A \\ 0 & b & 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 & c & C \\ A & B & C & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Proof.

1. Let  $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$  be a conic and let  $ax_1x + by_1y + cz_1z = 0$  be the equation of the zero tangent at the point  $T = [x_1, y_1, z_1]$ . Let us calculate the corresponding determinant. Then

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & A \\ 0 & b & 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 & c & C \\ A & B & C & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \det \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & ax_1 \\ 0 & b & 0 & by_1 \\ 0 & 0 & c & cz_1 \\ ax_1 & by_1 & cz_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= a(-cB^2y^2 - bC^2z_1^2) - ax_1ax_1bc = -abc(ax_1^2 + by_1^2 + cz_1^2) = 0$$

because the point T lies on the conic Q'.

2. Let

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & A \\ 0 & b & 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 & c & C \\ A & B & C & 0 \end{bmatrix} = A^2 b c + B^2 a c + C^2 A b = 0$$

By Theorem 2.1, if  $A^{2}bc + B^{2}ac + C^{2}ab = 0$ , then the line Ax + By + Cz = 0 is a tangent to the conic Q.

Let G be the set of all triples (A, B, C) of elements of R such that

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & A \\ 0 & b & 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 & c & C \\ A & B & C & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

and let G(0) be the set of all zero tangents to Q. Evidently,  $G(0) \subset G$ . Thus a triple (A, B, C) belongs to G if and only if we have

$$Q': A^2bc + B^2ac + C^2ab = 0.$$
 (8)

Let Q' denote the conic determined by (8) in variables A, B, C. Then the number |Q'| of points of Q' (the cardinality of Q') satisfies

$$|G| = |Q'| = (|\overline{R}| + 1)|I|.$$

Since  $G(0) \subset G$ , and for the cardinality of G(0) we have

$$G(0) = |Q| = \left( |\overline{R}| + 1 \right) |I|,$$

it follows that G(0) = G.

**COROLLARY 2.1.** The number of all zero tangents to a given conic equals |R| + |I|.

The next theorem gives the number of all points a conic and its tangent have in common.

**THEOREM 2.4.** Let t be a tangent to a conic Q. Then:

- 1. if t is a zero tangent, then Q and t have in common  $|\overline{R}|^{|\frac{p}{2}|}$  points;
- 2. if t is not a zero tangent, then there is a number  $\delta(t)$ ,  $0 \le \delta(t) \le \left|\frac{\nu}{2}\right|$ , such that Q and t have in common  $2|\overline{R}|^{\delta(t)}$  points.

Proof. Consider a conic  $Q: ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$  and a line t: Ax + By + Cz = 0. According to Theorem 2.1, t is a tangent to Q if and only if

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & A \\ 0 & b & 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 & c & C \\ A & B & C & 0 \end{bmatrix} = n^2,$$

i.e.,  $-A^2bc - B^2ac - C^2ab = n^2$ .

One of the coefficients of t, say B, is regular, i.e.,  $B \notin I$ .

Then

$$y = \frac{-Ax - Cz}{B}$$

Substituting y into Q we get

$$x^{2}(aB^{2} + bA^{2}) + 2ACbxz + z^{2}(bC^{2} + cB^{2}) = 0, \qquad (9)$$

and the discriminant of (9) is given by

$$\Delta = 4B^2 n^2 \,. \tag{10}$$

The assertion now follows directly from Theorem 1.1.

R e m a r k 2.1. In case  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ , the number  $\delta(t)$  can be calculated directly. It would be interesting to determine  $\delta(t)$  in general. Among nonsecants, there are distinguished ones, which we will call *imaginary tangents*.

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $Q: \sum a_{ij}x_ix_j = 0$  be a conic, and let t: Ax + By + Cz = 0 be a line. If the determinant (3) is a singular square, then t is said to be an *imaginary tangent*.

Observe that an imaginary tangent is mapped by the canonical map to a tangent in the projective plane  $\Pi(|\overline{R}|)$ .

The relationship between the classification of lines with respect to a given conic and the determinant (3) is described by the next theorem.

**THEOREM 2.5.** Let t: Ax+By+Cz = 0 be a line and let  $Q: ax^2+by^2+cz^2 = 0$  be a conic. Then:

- 1. t is a tangent to Q if and only if the determinant (3) is a singular square;
- 2. t is an imaginary tangent to Q if and only if the determinant (3) is a singular nonsquare;
- 3. t is a secant to Q if and only if the determinant (3) is a regular square;
- 4. t is a nonsecant to Q if and only if the determinant (3) is a nonsquare.

Proof. The intersection of t and Q is given by the following equation:

$$x^{2}(B^{2}a + A^{2}b) + 2ACbxz + z^{2}(bC^{2} + cB^{2}) = 0$$
.

The mutual position of t and Q depends on its discriminant

$$D = 4B^{2}(-abC^{2} - acB^{2} - bcA^{2}) = 4B^{2}\chi.$$

The assertion is now a straightforward consequence.

The proof of the next auxiliary statement can be found e.g. in [3].

**LEMMA 2.1.** Let R be a special local ring, and let  $\nu$  be the index of nilpotency of R. Then the number W of singular squares in R is given by

$$W = 1 + \frac{|\overline{R}|^{\nu-1} - |\overline{R}|}{2(|\overline{R}| + 1)} \qquad \text{for} \quad \nu = 2k, \qquad (11)$$

and

$$W = 1 + \frac{|\overline{R}|^{\nu-1} - 1}{2(|\overline{R}| + 1)} \qquad otherwise.$$
(12)

Let  $n \in I$ . The set of all points  $[x, y, z] \in H(R)$  such that

$$ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = r^2n$$
,  $r \in R - I$  for fixed  $n$  (13)

is said to be a quasiconic Q(n).

Clearly, Q(0) is a conic, and, moreover, Q(0) = Q. Let the symbol [n] designate the set  $\{z \in R; z = r^2n, r \notin I\}$ .

**LEMMA 2.2.** For all  $n \in I$  we have  $|Q(n)| = |Q| \cdot |[n]|$ .

Proof. Let  $P = [x_1, y_1, z_1]$  be a point of Q(0). Then  $ax_1^2 + by_1^2 + cz_1^2 = 0$ . Assume that, e.g.,  $x_1 \notin I$ . Hence  $P = [1, y_1, z_1]$ . Under the canonical map, P is mapped onto  $\overline{P} = [1, \overline{y}_1, \overline{z}_1]$ . We prove that for each  $r \in R - I$  there is a unique triple  $(1, y_1, \widetilde{z}_1)$  such that  $a + by_1^2 + c\widetilde{z}_1^2 = r^2n$ . Consequently,  $[1, y_1, \widetilde{z}_1]$  is a point of the quasiconic Q(n), and

$$\left[1, \overline{y}_1, \overline{\widetilde{z}}_1\right] = \left[1, \overline{y}_1, \overline{z}_1\right]. \tag{14}$$

Consider the equation

$$a + by_1^2 + cz_1^2 = r^2 n \,. \tag{15}$$

Since the equation  $\overline{a} + \overline{b}\overline{y}_1^2 + \overline{cz}_1^2 = 0$  has two solutions in  $\overline{R}$ , the equation (15) has two solutions as well. One of them is clearly  $[1, y_1, \tilde{z}_1]$  and it will be mapped into (14). Each triple  $(1, y_1, \tilde{z}_1)$  determines a point of Q(n). For different  $r^2n$  the corresponding triples are different, too. Hence to each point of Q(0) there correspond exactly [n] points of the quasiconic Q(n).

**THEOREM 2.6.** To each conic in Hjelmslev plane there are exactly

$$(|R|+|I|)W$$

tangents, where W is the number of singular squares in R.

Proof. According to Theorem 2.1, a line Ax + By + Cz = 0 is a tangent of the conic  $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$  if and only if

$$A^{2}bc + B^{2}ac + C^{2}ab = -n^{2}, \qquad n \in I.$$
 (16)

### RASTISLAV JURGA

Evidently, a triple (A, B, C) satisfies (16) if and only if [A, B, C] is a point of the quasiconic

$$-A^{2}bc - B^{2}ac - C^{2}ab = R^{2}n^{2}.$$
 (17)

By Lemma 2.2, for a fixed  $n \in I$ , the quasiconic (17) has exactly  $|[n^2]|(|R|+|I|)$  points. For each  $n^2 \in I$ , the number of points of (17) is  $(|R|+|I|) \sum |[n^2]|$ . But  $\sum |[n^2]| = W$ , and the assertion follows.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] BOSE, R. C.—CHAKRAVARTI, I. M.: Hermitian Varieties in a Finite Projective Space PG  $N, q^2$ . Lecture Notes, Chapel Hill., N.C..
- [2] CHVÁL, V.: Some Properties of Quadratics in Hjelmslev Plane (Slovak). Technical Report I-4-2/9a, PF UPJŠ, Košice, 1975.
- [3] JURGA, R.: Some Properties of Quadratics in Hjelmslev Plane. Thesis, PHF EU, Košice, 1990.
- [4] PRIMROSE, E. J. F.: Quadrics in finite geometries, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 47 (1951), 299–304.

Received February 18, 1993 Revised June 3, 1993 Department of Applied Mathematics Faculty of Business Administration Košice University of Economics Bratislava Tajovského 11 SK-041 30 Košice SLOVAKIA