

Jan Slowak
Odd perfect numbers

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 49 (1999), No. 3, 253--254

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128884>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ODD PERFECT NUMBERS

JAN SLOWAK

ABSTRACT. Much work on odd perfect numbers has been done by Euler. He found that each odd perfect number can be written as a product of two terms of a particular form. In this article, one of these terms has been expanded further to give a new form to odd perfect numbers.

According to Euler, a prime decomposition of an odd perfect number n , i.e. one such that $\sigma(n) = 2n$ and $2 \nmid n$, must be of the form $n = p^{4\alpha+1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{2\alpha_i}$ with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $(p, p_i) = 1$. Here $p^{4\alpha+1}$ is called the Euler factor of n . For the proof see [1; p. 424]. Clearly, from $\sigma(n) = 2n$ it follows that $\frac{\sigma(p^{4\alpha+1})}{2} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \sigma(p_i^{2\alpha_i}) = p^{4\alpha+1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{2\alpha_i}$ and since $p \nmid \sigma(p^{4\alpha+1})$ we have $p^{4\alpha+1} \mid \prod_{i=1}^k \sigma(p_i^{2\alpha_i})$. The purpose of this note is to establish that $p^{4\alpha+1} < \prod_{i=1}^k \sigma(p_i^{2\alpha_i})$.

THEOREM. *An odd perfect number, must be of the form $n = p^{4\alpha+1} \cdot \frac{\sigma(p^{4\alpha+1})}{2} \cdot d$, where $d > 1$.*

Proof. Suppose, on the contrary, that $p^{4\alpha+1} = \prod_{i=1}^k \sigma(p_i^{2\alpha_i})$ or equivalently $\frac{\sigma(p^{4\alpha+1})}{2} = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{2\alpha_i}$. We investigate two different cases:

i) If $\alpha = 0$, then $k = 1$. Thus $p = \sigma(p_1^{2\alpha_1})$ and $\frac{1+p}{2} = p_1^{2\alpha_1}$ which is a contradiction.

ii) Let $\alpha > 0$. Since $\frac{\sigma(p^{4\alpha+1})}{2} = \sigma(p^{2\alpha}) \cdot \frac{1+p^{2\alpha+1}}{2}$ and $(\sigma(p^{2\alpha}), \frac{1+p^{2\alpha+1}}{2}) = 1$ we have $\sigma(p^{2\alpha}) = a^2$ and $\frac{1+p^{2\alpha+1}}{2} = b^2$. Moreover $\sigma(a^2) \cdot \sigma(b^2) = p^{4\alpha+1}$ and thus $\sigma(a^2) = p^{\delta_a}$ and $\sigma(b^2) = p^{\delta_b}$, for some positive integers δ_a and δ_b , $\delta_a + \delta_b = 4\alpha + 1$.

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 11A25.

Key words: odd perfect number, prime.

JAN SLOWAK

Using $\sigma(a^2) = p^{\delta_a}$ and $\sigma(p^{2\alpha}) = a^2$ we find that $\delta_a \geq 2\alpha + 1$, and using $\sigma(b^2) = p^{\delta_b}$ and $\frac{1+p^{2\alpha+1}}{2} = b^2$ we find that $\delta_b \geq 2\alpha + 1$.

The inequality $\delta_a + \delta_b \geq 4\alpha + 2$ gives a contradiction. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] REDMOND, D.: *Number Theory: An Introduction*. Monographs Textbooks Pure Appl. Math. 201, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1996.

Received June 3, 1996

Revised August 28, 1997

*Orionvägen 19
S-554 64 Jönköping
SWEDEN*