## Mathematica Slovaca

## Anton Kundrík

Dual point-partition number of complementary graphs

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 40 (1990), No. 4, 367--374

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128996

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1990

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these Terms of use.


This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library http://project.dml.cz

# DUAL POINT-PARTITION NUMBER OF COMPLEMENTARY GRAPHS 

ANTON KUNDRİK


#### Abstract

Dual point-partition number of a graph $G$ with respect to a hereditary property $P$ is the maximum number of disjoint point-induced subgraphs contained in $G$ such that any subgraph does not have the property $P$. In this article, problems of the Nordhaus-Gaddum type for the dual point-partition number are investigated.


## Introduction

In this paper all graphs are finite, undirected, and without loops or multiple lines. The notation and the terminology follow [4]. The point set of a graph $G$ is denoted by $V(G)$, the line set of a graph $G$ is denoted by $E(G)$. The complement of a graph $G$ is denoted by $\bar{G}$. For a subset $V$ of $V(G)(E$ of $E(G))$, the symbol $\langle V\rangle(\langle E\rangle)$ denotes the subgraph of the graph $G$ induced by $V(E)$, respectively. The symbol $\{u, v\}$ means the line with endpoints $u, v$ and $N_{G}(u)=\{w \in V(G):\{u, w\} \in E(G)\}$ for an arbitrary point $u$ in the graph $G$. The maximum degree $\Delta(G)$ of a graph $G$ is defined as $\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v): v \in V(G)\right\}$. A graph $G$ is bipartite if its set of points $V(G)$ can be partitioned into two sets $U$, $W$ such that every line in $E(G)$ has one endpoint in $U$ and the other in $W$. We shall write $G=(U, W)$ accordingly. A subset $E$ of $E(G)$ is said to be independent if two arbitrary lines of $E$ are not adjacent. For any real $x$ we denote the lower and upper integer part of $x$ by $\lfloor x\rfloor$ and $\lceil x\rceil$, respectively. Let $\mathbb{Z}$ be the set of all integers and consider the closed interval with real endpoints $a, b$. Define $[a, b]$ as $\langle a, b\rangle \cap Z$. The symbol $\mathbb{N}$ means the set of all non-negative integers.

Let $\mathscr{G}$ denote the set of all graphs. Define as in [1] a subset $P$ of $\mathscr{G}$ to be a property if $K_{0}, K_{1} \in P ; P$ is hereditary if $G \in P, H \subset G$ implies $H \in P$ and nontrivial if $P \neq \mathscr{G}$. A graph $G$ has a property $P$ if $G \in P$. The dual point-partition number of a graph $G$ with respect to a special hereditary property $P$ (we shall denote this by $\bar{\chi}_{P}(G)$ ) was defined in [2] as the maximum number of disjoint

[^0]point-induced subgraphs contained in $G$ such that any subgraph does not have the property $P\left(\bar{\chi}_{P}(G)=0\right.$ if $\left.G \in P\right)$. Define a $\bar{P}$-partition of $V(G)$ as a partition $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{r}$ of $V(G)$ such that $\left\langle V_{i}\right\rangle \notin P$ for $i \in[1, r]$. Further we denote $\max \left\{m \in \mathbb{N}: K_{m+1} \in P\right\}$ by $c(P)$ for any nontrivial hereditary property $P$.
In this article we observe the following hereditary properties:
$O(k)=\{G$ : if $H$ is a connected subgraph of $G$, then $|V(H)|<k+2\}$,
$S(k)=\{G: \Delta(G)<k+1\}$,
$Q(k)=\{G$ : the length of any path in the graph $G$ is at most $k\}$.
In 1956 Nordhaus and Gaddum [6] proved the following famous result for chromatic number of a graph $G$ and of its complement $\bar{G}$ :
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \sqrt{n} & \leqq \chi(G)+\chi(\bar{G}) \leqq n+1 \\
n & \leqq \chi(G) \cdot \chi(\bar{G}) \leqq\left\lfloor(n+1)^{2} / 4\right\rfloor, \text { where }|V(G)|=n
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Since then the relations of some parameters between a graph and its complement are continuosly discussed, they are called Nordhaus-Gaddum problems (see [3, 5]). In this paper, Nordhaus-Gaddum problems are investigated for dual point-partition numbers. The upper and lower bounds for $\bar{\chi}_{P}(G)+\bar{\chi}_{P^{\prime}}(\bar{G})$, $\bar{\chi}_{P}(G) \cdot \bar{\chi}_{P^{\prime}}(\bar{G})$ are given, where $P, P^{\prime} \in\{O(k), Q(k), S(k)\}$.

Assume $P$ is a nontrivial hereditary property. The following assertions are obtained directly from preceding definitions:
$-\bar{\chi}_{P}\left(K_{n}\right)=\lfloor n /(c(P)+2)\rfloor$,

- if $H$ is a subgraph of a graph $G$, then $\bar{\chi}_{P}(H) \leqq \bar{\chi}_{P}(G)$,
- if $G$ is a graph with $n$ points, then $\bar{\chi}_{P}(G) \leqq\lfloor n /(c(P)+2)\rfloor$,
- if $k \in \mathbb{N}, P^{\prime} \in\{O(k), Q(k), S(k)\}$, then $P^{\prime}$ is a nontrivial hereditary property and $c\left(P^{\prime}\right)=k$. Let $k$ be a non-negative integer. It is easy to see that if $G$ is a graph, $P \in\{O(k), Q(k), S(k)\}, V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{r}$ is a $\bar{P}$-partition of $V(G)$, then there exists a $\bar{P}$-partition $W_{1}, W_{2}, \ldots, W_{r}$ of $V(G)$ such that $\left|W_{i}\right|=k+2$ for $i \in$ $\in[1, r-1]$.


## Preparatory Results

Lemma 1. Let $G=(U, W)$ be a bipartite graph with $2 n$ points, $n \geqq 3$, such that $|U|=|W|, \operatorname{deg}_{G}(u) \geqq\lceil n / 2\rceil$ for each point $u$ belonging to $U$ and $G \neq 2 K_{q, q}$ for any $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Then a path $P$ of length $n$ in $G$ exists.

Proof. Let $E$ be an independent set of lines in $G$ with maximal number of elements. Suppose that $U_{1} \subset U, W_{1} \subset W$ are the sets of points of $G$ such that $U_{1} \cup W_{1}=V(\langle E\rangle)$. If the set $U-U_{1}$ is empty, then we easily form the desired path. So we suppose that $U-U_{1} \neq \emptyset$. Consider a path $P^{\prime}$ in $G$ with maximal length, say $s$, such that the initial point of $P^{\prime}$ belongs to $U-U_{1}$ such that the
lines of $P^{\prime}$ are alternately not in and in $E$. Assume $s<n$. Let $V$ be the endpoint of $P^{\prime}$. Distinguish the possibilities:

1. The number $s$ is even. It is easy to see that a point $w \in W$ adjacent to v satisfying $\{w, v\} \notin P^{\prime}$ exists. Then the path $P^{\prime}$ may be extended, a contradiction.
2. The number $s$ is odd. Then the point v belongs to $W-W_{1}$. Hence define the set $E^{\prime}$ as $E-E\left(P^{\prime}\right) \cup E\left(P^{\prime}\right)-E$. Evidently, $E^{\prime}$ is the independence set of lines in $G$ and $\left|E^{\prime}\right|=|E|+1$, which contradicts with maximality of $E$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 2. Let $P, P^{\prime} \in\{Q(k), O(k), S(k)\}$. Then the following statements hold:
(1) if $G$ is a graph with $2 k+2$ points, $G \in P$, then $\bar{\chi}_{P^{\prime}}(\bar{G})=1$.
(2) if $G$ is a graph with $k+2$ points, $G \in O(k)$, then $\bar{\chi}_{O(k)}(\bar{G})=1$.

Proof. Evidently, (2) holds. It is a routine matter to verify (1) for $P$, $P^{\prime} \in\{Q(k), O(k), S(k)\}$ satisfying $P \neq Q(k)$ or $P^{\prime} \neq Q(k)$. Now we prove that $G \in Q(k)$ implies $\bar{\chi}_{Q(k)}(\bar{G})=1$ for a graph $G$ with $2 k+2$ points. Use the induction on the number $k$. Evidently, Lemma 2 holds for $k=01$. Assume $\bar{\chi}_{Q(l)}(\bar{G})=1$ for arbitrary graph $G, G \in Q(l)$, having $2 l+2$ points, $l<k$. Consider the graph $G$ with $2 k+2$ points, $G \in Q(k)$. If a path of length $k$ in $G$ exists, then the graph $\bar{G}$ contains a path of length $k+1$ by Lemma 1 (the graph $\bar{G}$ contains a subgraph fulfilling the assumptions of Lemma 1). In the other case, remove two arbitrary different points from $G$ resulting in a graph $G^{\prime}$. The path of length at least $k$ in $\bar{G}^{\prime}$ exists by the induction hypothesis. Suppose the length of each path in $\bar{G}$ is less than $k+1$. Then the graph $G$ contains a path with length $k+1$ by Lemma 1 , which contradicts to $G \in Q(k)$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 3. Let $P, P^{\prime} \in\{O(k), Q(k), S(k)\}$, and let $G$ be a graph with $n$ points. Then the following statements hold:
(1) if $G \in P$, then $\bar{\chi}_{P^{\prime}}(\bar{G}) \geqslant\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor-1$,
(2) if $G \in O(k)$, then $\bar{\chi}_{O(k)}(\bar{G})=\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor$,
(3) if $G \in S(k), k \in\{0,1\}$, then $\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G})=\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor$,
(4) if $G \in S(2), n \neq 4,5$, then $\bar{\chi}_{S(2)}(\bar{G})=\lfloor n / 4\rfloor$.

Proof. We prove only the case (1). Analogously we can proceed the other cases. Use the induction on the number $n$. It is easy to see that (1) holds for $n \leqslant 2 k+3$. Now suppose that (1) holds for every graph $H$ with $m$ points, $m<n$, belonging to $P$. Consider a graph $G$ with $n$ points such that $G \in P$. Since $n \geqslant 2 k+4$, we can take a subset $W$ of $V(G)$ with $2 k+2$ points. By Lemma 2, we have a subset $U$ of $W$ with $k+2$ points such that $\langle\bar{U}\rangle \notin P^{\prime}$. Further consider the graph $G^{\prime}=G-U$. By induction hypothesis, we have $\bar{\chi}_{P^{\prime}}\left(\bar{G}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant\lfloor n\rfloor$ $/(k+2)\rfloor-2$. The fact $\bar{\chi}_{P^{\prime}}(\bar{G}) \geqslant \bar{\chi}_{P^{\prime}}\left(\bar{G}^{\prime}\right)+1$ concludes the proof. In the case (4), the induction starts from $n=9$. Considering all possibilities we can prove (4) for $n \in\{1,2,3,6,7,8\}$.

Corollary 1. If $G$ is a graph with $n$ points, $P, P^{\prime} \in\{S(k), Q(k), O(k)\}$, $\bar{\chi}_{P}(G)=1$, then
(1) $\bar{\chi}_{P^{\prime}}(\bar{G}) \geqslant\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor-2$,
(2) if $P=P^{\prime}=O(k)$, then $\bar{\chi}_{P^{\prime}}(\bar{G}) \geqslant\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor-1$,
(3) if $P=P^{\prime}=S(k), k \in\{0,1,2\}$, then $\bar{\chi}_{P^{\prime}}(\bar{G}) \geqslant\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor-1$.

Proof. The assumption $\bar{\chi}_{P}(G)=1$ implies the existence of $W, W \subset V(G)$, such that $|W|=k+2$ and $\langle W\rangle \notin P$. Denote $G^{\prime}=G-W$. Then $G^{\prime} \in P$ and $\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|=n-k-2$. Now we employ Lemma 3 to obtain the desired results. The proof is complete.

Lemma 4. If $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geqslant 2, G$ is a graph with $m .(k+2)$ points, $G \in S(k)$ and there exists $U \subset V(G)$ such that $|U|=m$ and $\bigcap_{u \in U} N_{G}(u)=\emptyset$, then $\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G})=m$.

Proof. We use the induction on the number $m$. It is easy to verify Lemma 4 for $m=2$. Let $m$ be at least 3. As the induction hypothesis assume $\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G})=l$ for each graph $G$ with $l .(k+2)$ points, $G \in S(k)$, for which there exists $W \subset V(G)$ with $l$ points, $l<m$, satisfying $\bigcap_{w \in W} N_{G}(w)=\emptyset$. Consider a graph $G$ with $m .(k+2)$ points such that $G \in S(k)$ and consider $U \subset V(G)$ with $m$ points satisfying $\bigcap_{u \in U} N_{G}(u)=\emptyset$. The assumption $G \in S(k)$ implies $w \in U$ with property $\left|N_{\bar{G}}(w)\right|>(m-1) .(k+2)$ exists. Denote the set $U-w$ by $U^{\prime}$ and denote $\bigcap_{u \in U^{\prime}} N_{G}(u)$ by $M$. Assume $|M|=s$. Notice that $0 \leqslant s \leqslant k$ and then $\left|N_{\bar{G}}(w)-(U \cup M)\right|>m(k+2)-2 k>k+1$. It follows from $\bigcap_{u \in U} N_{G}(u)=\emptyset$ that the fact $v \in M$ implies $\{w, v\} \in E(\bar{G})$. Consider a subset $V$ of $N_{\bar{G}}(w)-(U \cup M)$ such that $|V|=k+1-s$. Define the set $V_{m}$ as $V \cup\{w\} \cup M$. It is simple that $\left\langle V_{m}\right\rangle \notin S(k)$. Further denote $G-V_{m}$ by $G^{\prime}$. By the induction hypothesis it is $\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}\left(\bar{G}^{\prime}\right)=m-1$. Since $\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G}) \geqslant \bar{\chi}_{S(k)}\left(\bar{G}^{\prime}\right)+1$, the proof is concluded.

Lemma 5. If $G$ is a graph with $m .(k+2)$ points, $m \geqslant 2$, then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(G)=0=\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G})-m+1$,
(2) $\Delta(G) \leqslant k$ and if $U$ is a subset of $V(G)$ such that $|U|=m$, then $\bigcap_{u \in U} N_{G}(u) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Using Lemma 4 it is easy to prove that (2) follows from (1). Conversely, suppose that (2) holds. The equality $\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(G)=0$ follows immediately from $\Delta(G) \leqslant k$. We have $\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G}) \geqslant m-1$ by Lemma 3. To get the contradiction suppose $\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G})=m$. Let $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{m}$ be a $\overline{S(k)}$-partition of $V(G)$. Hence $v_{i} \in V_{i}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}_{\left\langle v_{i}\right\rangle}\left(v_{i}\right) \geqslant k+1$ exists for $i \in[1, m]$. Consider the set of points $U=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$. Then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} N_{G}\left(v_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$ by (2). Take any $x$ from $\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} N_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)$. The
line $\left\{v_{i}, x\right\}$ does not belong to $E(\bar{G})$ for $i \in[1, m]$. An index $j \in[1, m]$ such that $x \in V_{j}$ exists, too. Since $\overline{\left\langle V_{j}\right\rangle} \notin S(k),\left|V_{j}\right|=k+2$, it is clear that the line $\left\{v_{j}, x\right\}$ belongs to $E(\bar{G})$, a contradiction. The proof is complete.

Lemma 6. If $k \geqslant 3, m \geqslant k$, $G$ is a graph with $m$. $(k+2)$ points, $G \in S(k)$, then $\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G})=m$.

Proof. Again we know that $\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G}) \geqslant m-1$ by Lemma 3. Assume $\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G})=m-1$. Consider two different points $x, y$ of $V(G)$. Let $\left|N_{G}(x) \cap N_{G}(y)\right|$ be equal $j$. Lemma 5 implies:
(1) every point of $\left(V(G)\right.$ must be adjacent to some point of $N_{G}(x) \cap N_{G}(y)$,
(2) $j \geqslant m-1$.

We can obtain the inequality $j \geqslant 2$ by $m \geqslant k \geqslant 3$ and by (2). Let $s$ denote the number of lines joining a point of $V(G)$ and a point of $N_{G}(x) \cap N_{G}(y)$. By (1), the inequality $s \geqslant m(k+2)-j / 2$ holds. On the other hand the maximum number of points of $G$ which may be adjacent to points of $N_{G}(x) \cap N_{G}(y)$ is $j(k-2)+2$. Hence $j(k-2) \geqslant m(k+2)-2-j / 2$. The fact $G \in S(k)$ implies $j \leqslant k$. Then $k(k-2) \geqslant m(k+2)-2-k / 2$ which is impossible. So $\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G})=$ $=m$ and the proof is complete.

Corollary 2. If $G$ is a graph with $n$ points, $n \geqslant k .(k+2), k \geqslant 3, G \in S(k)$, then $\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G})=\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor$.

Corollary 3. If $G$ is a graph with $n$ points, $n \geqslant(k+1) .(k+2), k \geqslant 3$, $\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(G)=1$, then $\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G}) \geqslant\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor-1$.

## Bounds

Theorem 1. If $G$ is a graph with n points, $P, P^{\prime} \in\{O(k), Q(k), S(k)\}$, then
(1) $\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor \leqslant \bar{\chi}_{O(k)}(G)+\bar{\chi}_{O(k)}(\bar{G})$,
(2) $\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor-1 \leqslant \bar{\chi}_{P}(G)+\bar{\chi}_{P^{\prime}}(\bar{G})$,
(3) $\bar{\chi}_{P}(G)+\bar{\chi}_{P^{\prime}}(\bar{G}) \leqslant 2 .\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor$,
(4) if $k \geqslant 3,0 \notin\left\{\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(G)\right\} \cup\left\{\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G})\right\},[1,\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor-k] \cap\left\{\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(G)\right.$, $\left.\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G})\right\} \neq \emptyset$ or $n \geqslant 2 k .(k+2)$, then

$$
\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor \leqslant \bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(G)+\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G})
$$

(5) if $k \in\{0,1,2\}$ and $k \neq 2$ or $n \notin\{4,5\}$, then

$$
\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor \leqslant \bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(G)+\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G})
$$

Proof. The case (3) is evident. Further we prove the case (1). The proof of the cases (2), (5) is similar. Suppose $\bar{\chi}_{O(k)}(G)=0$. Lemma 3 gives
$\bar{\chi}_{O(k)}(\bar{G})=\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor$ implying the desired result. Suppose $\bar{\chi}_{O(k)}(G)=p>0$. Consider an $\overline{O(k)}$-partition $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{p}$ of the point set of the graph $G$ such that $\left|V_{j}\right|=k+2$ for $i \in[1, p-1]$. Since $\bar{\chi}_{O(k)}\left(\left\langle V_{p}\right\rangle\right)=1$, we have $\bar{\chi}_{O(k)}\left(\left\langle V_{p}\right\rangle\right) \geqslant$ $\geqslant\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor-p$ by Corollary 1. Then $\bar{\chi}_{O(k)}(\bar{G}) \geqslant\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor-p$, which completes the proof of (1). Now we prove (4). Assume $\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(G)=p, p \in[1$, $\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor-k]$. Consider a $\overline{S(k)}$-partition $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{p}$ of $V(G)$ satisfying $\left|V_{i}\right|=k+2$ for $i \in[1, p-1]$. Then $\left|V_{p}\right| \geqslant(k+1) \cdot(k+2)$. As $\bar{\chi}_{s(k)}\left(\left\langle V_{p}\right\rangle\right)=1$, we obtain $\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}\left(\overline{\left\langle V_{p}\right\rangle}\right) \geqslant\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor-p$ by Corollary 3 . The inequality $\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G}) \geqslant$ $\geqslant \bar{\chi}_{S(k)}\left(\left\langle V_{p}\right\rangle\right)$ implies the desired result. Hence assume $\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(G)=p, \bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G})=q$, $p \geqslant\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor-k, q \geqslant\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor-k$. If follows from $n \geqslant 2 k .(k+2)$ that $p+q \geqslant\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor$. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

Theorem 2. If $G$ is a graph with $n$ points, $P, P^{\prime} \in\{O(k), Q(k), S(k)\}$ and $0 \notin\left\{\bar{\chi}_{P}(G)\right\} \cup\left\{\bar{\chi}_{P^{\prime}}(\bar{G})\right\}$, then
(1) $\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor-1 \leqq \bar{\chi}_{O(k)}(G) \cdot \bar{\chi}_{O(k)}(\bar{G})$,
(2) $\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor-2 \leqq \bar{\chi}_{P}(G) \cdot \bar{\chi}_{P^{\prime}}(\bar{G})$,
(3) $\bar{\chi}_{P}(G) \cdot \bar{\chi}_{P}(\bar{G}) \leqq(\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor)^{2}$,
(4) if $k \geqq 3,[1,\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor-k] \cap\left\{\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(G), \bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G})\right\} \neq \emptyset$ or $n \geqq 2 k .(k+2)$, then $\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor-1 \leqq \bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(G) \cdot \bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G})$,
(5) if $k \in\{0,1,2\}$, then $\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor-1 \leqq \bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(G) \cdot \bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G})$.

Proof. The case (3) is evident. We now verify the case (1) only. The proof of the other cases is similar. Assume $\bar{\chi}_{O(k)}(G)=r,\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor=a$. We have found $\bar{\chi}_{O(k)}(\bar{G}) \geqq a-r$ according to Theorem 1 and $\bar{\chi}_{O(k)}(\bar{G}) \geqq 1$ on the other hand. So $\bar{\chi}_{O(k)}(\bar{G}) \geqq \max \{a-r, 1\}$ for $r \in[1, a]$. Then $\bar{\chi}_{O(k)}(G) \cdot \bar{\chi}_{O(k)}(\bar{G}) \geqq$ $\geqq \max \left\{a . r-r^{2}, r\right\}$ where $r \in[1, a]$. Hence $\bar{\chi}_{O(k)}(G) \cdot \bar{\chi}_{O(k)}(\bar{G}) \geqq \min \max _{r \in[1 . a]}\{a . r-$ $\left.-r^{2}, r\right\}=a-1$. The proof is complete.

Theorem 3. If $k \in \mathbb{N} ; P, P^{\prime} \in\{O(k), Q(k), S(k)\}$, then there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a graph $G$ with $n$ points such that the sum $\bar{\chi}_{P}(G)+\bar{\chi}_{P}(\bar{G})$ attains the corresponding bounds of Theorem 1.

Proof. By Theorem 1, introduce the best lower and upper bounds of $\bar{\chi}_{P}(G)+\bar{\chi}_{P^{\prime}}(\bar{G})$ for $P, P^{\prime} \in\{O(k), Q(k), S(k)\}, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Distinguish the following possibilities:

1. Suppose $k \in \mathbb{N} ; P, P^{\prime} \in\{O(k), S(k), Q(k)\}$. The corresponding upper bound is given in Theorem 1.3. Define $n=2 l(k+2)$ for an arbitrary $l \in \mathbb{N}, G=2 l K_{k+2}$.
2. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}, P=P^{\prime}=O(k)$. The lower bound is introduced in Theorem 1.1. $K_{n}$ is the desired graph for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
3. Assume $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geqq 2, P \in\{O(k), Q(k), S(k)\}, P^{\prime}=Q(k)$. The lower bound is determined by Theorem 1.2. The number $n$ is defined as $l(k+2)$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $l \geqq 2$ and $G=\bar{H}_{(l-1)(k+2)+k+1}$.
4. If $k \in\{0,1\} ; P, P^{\prime}$ are as in 3., then $n$ and $G$ are defined as in 2, because $O(k)=Q(k)=S(k)$.
5. Suppose $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geqq 3, P=P^{\prime}=S(k)$. The lower bound is given in Theorem 1.2. Show that $\mathscr{S}(k)=\left\{G: \bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(G)+\bar{\chi}_{s(k)}(\bar{G})=\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor-1\right\}$ is finite. Assume to get a contradiction that $\mathscr{S}(k)$ is infinite. By Theorem 1.4 the following condition holds:
$0 \in\left\{\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(G)\right\} \cup\left\{\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G})\right\}$ or $\left(n<2 k(k+2)\right.$ and $\left.\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(H)>\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor-k\right)$ for $H \in\{G, \bar{G}\})$. Hence $\quad \mathscr{S}(k)=\mathscr{S}_{1}(k) \cup \mathscr{S}_{2}(k) \quad$ where $\quad \mathscr{S}_{1}(k)=\{G: G \in \mathscr{S}(k)$, $\left.\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(G)=0\right\}, \mathscr{S}_{2}(k)=\mathscr{S}(k)-\mathscr{S}_{1}(k)$. If $G \in \mathscr{L}_{2}(k)$, then $|V(G)|<2 k(k+2)$. Then $\mathscr{S}_{1}(k)$ is infinite. Then a graph $G$ with at least $(k+1)(k+2)$ points belonging to $\mathscr{S}_{1}(k)$ exists. Consider $G^{\prime} \subset G$ with $m(k+2)$ points for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m>k$. It is clear that $G^{\prime} \in S(k)$. Hence by Lemma 4 we have:

$$
\left(\forall U \subset V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(|U|=m \rightarrow \bigcap_{u \in U} N_{G^{\prime}}(u) \neq \emptyset\right.
$$

Consider $U$ a subset of $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ with $m$ elements. Then there is $w \in N_{G^{\prime}}(u)$ which implies $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(w)>k$. It is a contradiction and $\mathscr{S}(k)$ is finite. The graph $2 K_{k}$ belongs to $\mathscr{S}(k)$. It is the open problem to charakterize the set $\mathscr{S}(k)$.
6. Let $k \in\{0,1,2\}, P=P^{\prime}=S(k)$. The lower bound is given in Theorem 1.5. The number $n$ and the graph $G$ are defined as in 2 (in the case $k=2, n \in$ $\left.\in\{4,5\} \bar{\chi}_{S(k)}\left(C_{n}\right)+\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}\left(\bar{C}_{n}\right)=0=\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor-1\right)$.
7. Suppose $k \in \mathbb{N}, P=O(k), P^{\prime}=S(k)$. The lower bound is given in Theorem 1.2. The number $n$ is defined as $2 k$ and $G=2 k_{k}$. Denote $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}(k)=$ $=\left\{G: \bar{\chi}_{O(k)}(G)+\bar{\chi}_{S(k)}(\bar{G})=\lfloor n /(k+2)\rfloor-1\right\}$. The characterization of $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}(k)$ is an open problem.
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