

Andrzej Schinzel

A class of polynomials

*Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 41 (1991), No. 3, 295--298

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/129216>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1991

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## A CLASS OF POLYNOMIALS

ANDRZEJ SCHINZEL

**ABSTRACT.** We characterize the polynomials  $\varphi(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$  such that for any  $f(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$  from inclusion  $\{f(a); a = k, k + 1, \dots\} \subset \{\varphi(b); b = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$  follows  $f(x) = \varphi(h(x))$  for some  $h(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ .

Call a polynomial  $\varphi(x)$  *good* if it has the following property:

*For every polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$  such that for every sufficiently large integer  $a \in \mathbf{Z}$  there is  $b \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $f(a) = \varphi(b)$  there is a polynomial  $h(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$  such that  $f(x) = \varphi(h(x))$ .*

I. K o r e c suggested to study good polynomials in connection with his results concerning palindromic squares in [1].

In this note we prove the following criterion:

**Theorem.** *A polynomial  $\varphi \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$  is good if and only if  $\varphi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \notin \mathbf{Z}[x]$  for all  $m > 1$ .*

To prove this result we need the

**Lemma.** *Let for a polynomial  $F$  with algebraic coefficients  $C(F)$  denote the content of  $F$ , i.e. the ideal generated by the coefficients of  $F$ . If  $p \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ ,  $q \in \mathbf{Q}[x]$  and  $p(0) = 0$ , then*

$$C(q(p)) \mid C(q)C(p)^{\deg q}.$$

**Proof.** We have

$$q(x) = q_0 \prod_{i=1}^{\deg q} (x - \varrho_i),$$

and by the generalized Gauss lemma

$$C(q) = (q_0) \prod_{i=1}^{\deg q} C(x - \varrho_i) = (q_0) \prod_{i=1}^{\deg q} (1, \varrho_i).$$

AMS Subject Classification (1985): Primary 11C08. Secondary 11R09.  
Key words: Polynomials, Hilbert's irreducibility theorem

Similarly

$$C(q(p)) = (q_0) \prod_{i=1}^{\deg q} C(p(x) - \varrho_i) = (q_0) \prod_{i=1}^{\deg q} (C(p), \varrho_i),$$

and since  $C(p)$  is integral, the lemma follows.  $\blacksquare$

**Proof of the Theorem.** We shall prove first that the condition is necessary. If for an  $m > 1$   $\varphi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ , we have

$$f(x) = \varphi\left((m-1)! \binom{x}{m}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}[x].$$

Also for every  $x^* \in \mathbf{Z}$  there exists a  $y^* \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that

$$f(x^*) = \varphi(y^*).$$

If, however, we had  $f(x) = \varphi(g(x))$ ,  $g \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ , it would follow that

$$\varphi\left((m-1)! \binom{x}{m}\right) = \varphi(g(x)),$$

which gives a contradiction, since the leading coefficient of the left hand side is smaller than the leading coefficient of the right hand side.

In order to prove that the condition is sufficient, let  $a$  be the leading coefficient of  $\varphi$  and assume, that for an  $f \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$  we have  $f(x^*) = \varphi(y^*)$  for every  $x^* \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $x \geq K$  and a suitable  $y^* \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Let

$$\varphi(y) - f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n F_i(x, y), \tag{1}$$

where the polynomials  $F_i \in \mathbf{Z}[x, y]$  are irreducible and  $F_i$  viewed as a polynomial in  $y$  has the leading coefficient  $a_i(x)$ . Clearly

$$a = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i(x),$$

hence  $a_i(x) \in \mathbf{Z}$  for all  $i \leq n$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} F_i(y) &= a_i y - h_i(x) && \text{for } i \leq m, \\ \deg_y F_i &> 1 && \text{for } i > m. \end{aligned}$$

By Hilbert's irreducibility theorem there exists an integer  $t^*$  such that  $at^* \geq K$ ,  $F_i(at^*, y)$  is irreducible for all  $i > m$  and hence

$$F_i(at^*, y) = 0$$

has no rational root. Since by the assumption

$$\varphi(y^*) - f(at^*) = 0 \quad \text{for a } y^* \in \mathbf{Z},$$

by (1) there is a  $j \leq m$  such that

$$F_j(at^*, y^*) = 0,$$

which gives

$$a_j y^* - h_j(at^*) = 0,$$

and since  $a_j | a$

$$h_j(0) \equiv h_j(at^*) \equiv 0 \pmod{a_j}. \quad (2)$$

Let

$$C(h_j(x) - h_j(0)) = (c),$$

and take in the lemma

$$p(x) = \frac{h_j(x) - h_j(0)}{(c, a_j)}, \quad q(x) = \varphi \left( \frac{x}{a_j / (c, a_j)} + \frac{h_j(0)}{a_j} \right).$$

We obtain

$$C(q(p)) | C(q)C(p)^{\deg q} = C(q) \cdot \left( \frac{c}{(c, a_j)} \right)^{\deg q},$$

and since by (1)  $q(p) = f \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$

$$C(q) \cdot \left( \frac{c}{(c, a_j)} \right)^{\deg q} \subset \mathbf{Z}.$$

However by (2)

$$C(q) \cdot \left( \frac{a_j}{(c, a_j)} \right)^{\deg q} \subset \mathbf{Z},$$

and since

$$\left( \frac{c}{(c, a_j)}, \frac{a_j}{(c, a_j)} \right) = 1$$

the two inclusion give

$$C(q) \subset \mathbf{Z};$$

$$q \in \mathbb{Z}[x], \quad \varphi \left( \frac{x}{a_j/(c, a_j)} \right) = q(x - h_j(0)(c, a_j)) \in \mathbb{Z}[x].$$

By the condition on  $\varphi$ :

$$|a_j|/(c, a_j) = 1,$$

hence  $a_j|c$  and by (2)

$$\frac{h_j(x)}{a_j} = \frac{h_j(x) - h_j(0)}{a_j} + \frac{h_j(0)}{a_j} \in \mathbb{Z}[x].$$

Since by (1)

$$f(x) = \varphi \left( \frac{h_j(x)}{a_j} \right),$$

the proof is complete. ■

#### REFERENCES

- [1] KOREC, I.: Palindromic squares for various number system bases. (To appear.)

Received November 29, 1989

*Instytut Matematyczny PAN  
P. O. Box 137  
00950 Warszawa  
Poland*