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# ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES OF SOLUTIONS OF A SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION 

JÁN OHRISKA

## 1. Introduction

Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+p(t) u^{\alpha}(\tau(t))=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on some half-line $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$.
For this equation the following conditions are assumed to hold throughout the paper
(i) $p(t) \in \mathrm{C}_{\left.t_{0}, \infty\right)}, p(t)$ is nontrivial in every neighbourhood of infinity,
(ii) $\tau(t) \in \mathrm{C}_{\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)}, \tau(t) \leqq t, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t)=\infty$,
(iii) $\alpha=r / s$, where $r$ and $s$ are odd natural numbers.

We restrict our attention to solutions of (1) which exist on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ and are nontrivial in every neighbourhood of infinity. A solution is said to be oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros, otherwise it is said to be nonoscillatory.

It is well known (cf. [2]) that nonoscillatory solutions of equation (1) for $p(t) \geqq 0$ can be of the following three types:
a) $|u(t)| \rightarrow c, \quad u^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad(0<c)$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$,
b) $|u(t)| \rightarrow \infty, \quad u^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow c \quad(0<c)$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$,
c) $|u(t)| \rightarrow \infty, \quad u^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a nonoscillatory solution of (1) of the type a) and b) may be found in [2], [5]. For the existence of a nonoscillatory solution of (1) of the type c) we know only the necessary conditions (cf. [2]) like the conditions

$$
\int^{\infty} t p(t) \mathrm{d} t=\infty, \int^{\infty} \tau^{\alpha}(t) p(t) \mathrm{d} t<\infty \text { for the case } 0<\alpha<1
$$

and the conditions

$$
\int^{\infty} t^{a} p(t) \mathrm{d} t=\infty, \int^{\infty} \tau(t) p(t) \mathrm{d} t<\infty \text { for the case } \alpha>1
$$

These conditions (for the case $0<\alpha<1$ ) are contained in Theorem 3 of this paper and in Theorem 1 of [3].

Many authors have studied the asymptotic and oscillatory properties of equation (1). We shall consider the asymptotic properties of equation (1) for the special case $0<\alpha<1$ in part 2 and for the general case $\alpha>0$ in part 3 .

## 2. Asymptotic theorems for the case $0<\alpha<1$

We first mention the following
Definition 1. Let $\gamma(t)=\sup \left\{s \geqq t_{0} \mid \tau(s) \leqq t\right\}$ for $t \geqq t_{0}$.
From this definition we see that $t \leqq \gamma(t)$ and $\tau(\gamma(t))=t$. Another quality of function $\gamma(t)$ is contained in the following lemma (proved in [3]).

Lemma 1. For every $t$ such that $t_{0} \leqq t<\infty$, the value $\gamma(t)$ is finite.
Theorem 1. Supposte that $0<\alpha<1$ and $\int^{\infty} \tau^{\alpha}(t)|p(t)| \mathrm{d} t<\infty$. Then for every solution $u(t)$ of (1) there exists $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u^{\prime}(t)$.

Proof. The proof is obtained by modification of Belohorec [1]. Let us consider a solution $u(t)$ of (1) which satisfies the initial conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
u\left(t_{1}\right)=\varphi\left(t_{1}\right)\left(=u_{0}\right), u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}+0\right)=u_{1}, \\
u(\tau(t))=\varphi(\tau(t)) \text { for } \tau(t)<t_{1}\left(t_{1} \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), t_{1} \geqq 0\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\varphi(t)$ is an initial function and $u_{1}$ is a real number.
Integrating (1) twice from $t_{1}$ to $t\left(t \geqq t_{1}\right)$ we have

$$
u(t)=u_{0}+u_{1}\left(t-t_{1}\right)-\int_{t_{1}}^{t}(t-x) p(x) u^{\alpha}(\tau(x)) \mathrm{d} x
$$

and for $t-t_{1} \geqq 1$ we get

$$
|u(t)| \leqq\left(t-t_{1}\right)\left[\left|u_{0}\right|+\left|u_{1}\right|+\int_{t_{1}}^{t}|p(x)||u(\tau(x))|^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} x\right]
$$

Now for $t \geqq \gamma\left(t_{1}+1\right)$ the last inequality yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid u(\tau)) \mid \leqq \tau(t)\left[\left|u_{0}\right|+\left|u_{1}\right|+-\int_{t_{1}}^{t}|p(x)||u(\tau(x))|^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} x\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Raising both sides of the inequality (2) to the power $\alpha$ and multiplying by $|p(t)|$, we obtain

$$
\frac{|p(t)||u(\tau(t))|^{\alpha}}{\left[\left|u_{0}\right|+\left|u_{1}\right|+\int_{t_{1}}^{t}|p(x)||u(\tau(x))|^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} x\right]^{\alpha}} \leqq \tau^{\alpha}(t)|p(t)|
$$

Integrating the last inequality from $\gamma\left(t_{1}+1\right)$ to $t\left(t \geqq \gamma\left(t_{1}+1\right)\right)$ yields

$$
\left|u_{0}\right|+\left|u_{1}\right|+\int_{t_{1}}^{t}|p(x)||u(\tau(x))|^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} x \leqq\left[K_{1}+(1-\alpha) \int_{\gamma\left(t_{1}+1\right)}^{t} \tau^{\alpha}(x)|p(x)| \mathrm{d} x\right]^{1 /(1-\alpha)}
$$

where

$$
K_{1}=\left[\left|u_{0}\right|+\left|u_{1}\right|+\int_{t_{1}}^{\gamma\left(t_{1}+1\right)}|p(x)||u(\tau(x))|^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} x\right]^{1-\alpha}
$$

From this we have by (2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(\tau(t))| \leqq K \tau(t) \quad \text { for } \quad t \geqq \gamma\left(t_{1}+1\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
K=\left[K_{1}+(1-\alpha) \int_{\gamma\left(t_{1}+1\right)}^{\infty} \tau^{\alpha}(x)|p(x)| \mathrm{d} x\right]^{1 /(1-\alpha)}
$$

Finally, integrating (1) from $t_{2}$ to $t\left(\gamma\left(t_{1}+1\right) \leqq t_{2} \leqq t\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)=u^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)-\int_{t_{2}}^{t} p(x) u^{\alpha}(\tau(x)) \mathrm{d} x \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (3) we obtain

$$
\left|\int_{t_{2}}^{t} p(x) u^{\alpha}(\tau(x)) \mathrm{d} x\right| \leqq K^{\alpha} \int_{t_{2}}^{\infty} \tau^{\alpha}(x)|p(x)| \mathrm{d} x \quad \text { for } \quad t \geqq t_{2} .
$$

It follows from this that the integral $\int_{t_{2}}^{\infty} p(x) u^{\alpha}(\tau(x)) \mathrm{d} x$ exists. Futher by (4) the $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u^{\prime}(t)$ exists and our proof is completed.

Theorem 2. Suppose that $0<\alpha<1$ and $\int^{\infty} t \tau^{\alpha}(t)|p(t)| \mathrm{d} t<\infty$. Then any solution $u(t)$ of $(1)$ is of the form

$$
u(t)=c_{2} t+c_{1}+o(1)
$$

where $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are suitable constants.

Proof. The proof is obtained again by modification of Belohorec [1]. Let us suppose that

$$
\int^{\infty} t \tau^{\alpha}(t)|p(t)| \mathrm{d} t<\infty .
$$

Then according to Theorem 1 the $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u^{\prime}(t)$ exists. Denote it by $c_{2}$. Let $t_{1} \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$, $t_{1} \geqq 0$ such that for $t \geqq t_{1}$ the inequality (3) holds.
Integrating (1) from $s$ to $\infty\left(s \geqq t_{1}\right)$ and then from $t_{1}$ to $t\left(t \geqq t_{1}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
u(t)=c_{2} t+u\left(t_{1}\right)-c_{2} t_{1}+ \\
+\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty}\left(x-t_{1}\right) p(x) u^{\alpha}(\tau(x)) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{t}^{\infty}(t-x) p(x) u^{\alpha}(\tau(x)) \mathrm{d} x \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now, using (3) and the assumption of the theorem, we have

$$
\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(x-t_{1}\right) p(x) u^{\alpha}(\tau(x)) \mathrm{d} x\right| \leqq K^{\alpha} \int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} x \tau^{\alpha}(x)|p(x)| \mathrm{d} x<\infty, \quad t \geqq t_{1} .
$$

From this we see that $\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty}\left(x-t_{1}\right) p(x) u^{\alpha}(\tau(x)) \mathrm{d} x$ exists and that

$$
\int_{t}^{\infty}(t-x) p(x) u^{\alpha}(\tau(x)) \mathrm{d} x=o(1) .
$$

If we put

$$
c_{1}=u\left(t_{1}\right)-c_{2} t_{1}+\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty}\left(x-t_{1}\right) p(x) u^{\alpha}(\tau(x)) \mathrm{d} x,
$$

then from (5) we have

$$
u(t)=c_{2} t+c_{1}+o(1)
$$

and the theorem is proved.
We shall assume in the sequel that $p(t) \geqq 0$.
Theorem 3. Let $0<\alpha \leqq 1$ and $p(t) \geqq 0$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int^{\infty} t p(t) \mathrm{d} t<\infty . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then any nonoscillatory solution $u(t)$ of (1) is either bounded or of the form $u(t) \sim c t(c \neq 0)$.

Proof. The proof is obtained again by modification of Belohorec [1]. Let $u(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1). We may assume that $u(t)>0$ for $t \geqq t^{*} \geqq t_{0}$, since a parallel argument holds if $u(t)<0$. Then $u(\tau(t))>0, u \mid(t) \leqq 0, u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqq T \geqq t^{*}$, and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u^{\prime}(t) \geqq 0$ (cf. [4]).

Assume that $u(t)$ is an unbounded solution of (1). Then there exists $t_{1} \geqq T, t_{1}>0$ such that $u(t)>1$ for $t \geqq t_{1}$.

Let us take an arbitrary $\varepsilon$ such that $0<\varepsilon<1 / 6$. Then by the condition (6) we know that there exists $t_{2} \geqq T$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{2}}^{\infty} x p(x) \mathrm{d} x<\varepsilon . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t_{3}=\max \left\{t_{1}, t_{2}\right\}$. Integrating (1) from $s$ to $t\left(t \geqq s \geqq t_{3}\right)$ and then from $t_{3}$ to $t$ (with respect to $s$ ), we get

$$
u(t)=u\left(t_{3}\right)+\left(t-t_{3}\right) u^{\prime}(t)+\int_{t_{3}}^{t}\left(x-t_{3}\right) p(x) u^{\alpha}(\tau(x)) \mathrm{d} x
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<\frac{u\left(t_{3}\right)}{u(t)}+\frac{t u^{\prime}(t)}{u(t)}+\int_{t_{3}}^{t} x p(x) \mathrm{d} x \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $u(x)>1$ and $u^{\alpha}(\tau(x)) \leqq u^{\alpha}(x) \leqq u(x)$. Now it follows from (7) and (8) that

$$
1-\varepsilon<\frac{u\left(t_{3}\right)}{u(t)}+\frac{t u^{\prime}(t)}{u(t)}, \quad t \geqq t_{3}
$$

whence

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{t u^{\prime}(t)}{u(t)} \geqq 1-2 \varepsilon
$$

From the last inequality we know that there exists $t_{4} \geqq t_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t u^{\prime}(t) \geqq(1-3 \varepsilon) u(t) \text { for } t \geqq t_{4} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (1) from $t_{4}$ to $t\left(t \geqq t_{4}\right)$, using (9) and the fact that $u^{\alpha}(\tau(x)) \leqq u(x)$, we see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1-3 \varepsilon)\left(u^{\prime}\left(t_{4}\right)-u^{\prime}(t)\right) \leqq \int_{t_{4}}^{t} x p(x) u^{\prime}(x) \mathrm{d} x \leqq \\
\leqq u^{\prime}\left(t_{4}\right) \int_{t_{4}}^{\infty} x p(x) \mathrm{d} x<\varepsilon u^{\prime}\left(t_{4}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

whence

$$
0<\frac{1-4 \varepsilon}{1-3 \varepsilon} u^{\prime}\left(t_{4}\right)<u^{\prime}(t)
$$

The last inequality implies $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u^{\prime}(t)=c>0$, i.e. $u(t) \sim c t$. The theorem is proved.

## 3. Asymptotic theorems for the case $\alpha>0$

In this section we shall state conditions which imply that a nonoscillatory solution of $(1)$ is one of the types $b), c$ ) or $a), c$ ).

Theorem 4. Let $\alpha>0$ and $p(t) \geqq 0$. Let either $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup t \int_{t}^{\infty} p(x) \mathrm{d} x=\infty$ or $\int^{\infty} t p(t) \mathrm{d} t=\infty$. Then for every nonoscillatory solution $u(t)$ of (1) the conditıon $\lim _{1 \rightarrow \infty}|u(t)|=\infty$ holds true.

Proof. Let $u(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1). We may assume that $u(t)>0$ and also $u(\tau(t))>0$ for $t \geqq T \geqq t_{0}$. Then $u(t) \leqq 0, u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqq T$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u^{\prime}(t) \geqq 0$.

Integrating (1) from $t_{1}$ to $t\left(\gamma(T) \leqq t_{1} \leqq t\right.$, we have

$$
u^{\prime}(t)-u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} p(x) u^{\alpha}(\tau(x)) \mathrm{d} x=0
$$

From this we see that there exists $\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} p(x) u^{\alpha}(\tau(x)) \mathrm{d} x$ and thus we can integrate (1) from $t$ to $\infty\left(t \geqq t_{1}\right)$. It follows that

$$
u^{\prime}(t) \geqq \int_{t}^{\infty} p(x) u^{\alpha}(\tau(x)) \mathrm{d} x .
$$

Integrating the last inequality from $t_{1}$ to $t\left(t \geqq t_{1}\right)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \geqq u\left(t_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(x-t_{1}\right) p(x) u^{\alpha}(\tau(x)) \mathrm{d} x+\left(t-t_{1}\right) \int_{t}^{\infty} p(x) u^{\alpha}(\tau(x)) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u(t)$ is an increasing function and $\tau(x) \geqq T$ for $x \geqq t_{1} \geqq \gamma(T)$, it is clear that (10) yields

$$
u(t) \geqq u\left(t_{1}\right)+u^{\prime x}(T)\left[\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(x-t_{1}\right) p(x) \mathrm{d} x+\left(t-t_{1}\right) \int_{t}^{\infty} p(x) \mathrm{d} x\right] .
$$

Since the functions $u(t)$ and $F(t)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(x-t_{1}\right) p(x) \mathrm{d} x$ are increasing, $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup u(t)$ $=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup F(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf F(t)$. Now from the last inequality we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) \geqq u\left(t_{1}\right)+u^{\alpha}(T)\left[\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty}\left(x-t_{1}\right) p(x) \mathrm{d} x+\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left(t-t_{1}\right) \int_{t}^{\infty} p(x) \mathrm{d} x\right] .
$$

This completes the proof.

Example 1. The hypotheses of Theorem 4 are satisfied for the equation

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{t^{2}} u^{7 / 3}\left(t^{3 / 7}\right)=0
$$

This equation has a nonoscillatory solution $u(t)=t^{1 / 2}$. Analogously the hypotheses of Theorem 4 are satisfied for the equation

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+\frac{3}{16} \frac{1}{t^{59 / 40}} u^{3 / 5}\left(t^{1 / 2}\right)=0
$$

This equation has a nonoscillatory solution $u(t)=t^{3 / 4}$.
Theorem 5. Let $\alpha>0$ and $p(t) \geqq 0$. Let there exist a number $\beta \leqq 1$ such that the function $P(t)=p(t) \tau^{\alpha}(t) t^{\beta}$ is nondecreasing (for all sufficiently large $t$ ). Then for every nonoscillatory solution $u(t)$ of (1) the condition $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u^{\prime}(t)=0$ holds true.

Proof. Let $u(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1). As before we may assume that $u(t)>0$ and also $u(\tau(t))>0$ for $t \geqq T \geqq t_{0}$. Then $u^{\prime \prime}(t) \leqq 0, u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqq T$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u^{\prime}(t) \geqq 0$.

Suppose that the assertion of the theorem is not valid. Then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u^{\prime}(t)=b>0$ and $u^{\prime}(t) \geqq b$ for $t \geqq T$. It follows from this that $u(t)-u(T) \geqq b(t-T)$, respectively

$$
u(t) \geqq \frac{b}{2} t \quad \text { for } \quad t \geqq 2 T .
$$

This means that for $t \geqq \gamma(2 T)$ we have

$$
u(\tau(t)) \geqq \frac{b}{2} \tau(t)
$$

and also

$$
-u^{\prime \prime}(t)=p(t) u^{\alpha}(\tau(t)) \geqq\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{\alpha} p(t) \tau^{\alpha}(t)
$$

Let us choose $t_{1} \geqq \gamma(2 T)$ such that $P\left(t_{1}\right) \neq 0$ (it is clear that then $P\left(t_{1}\right)>0$ ). Integrating the last inequality from $t_{1}$ to $t\left(t \geqq t_{1}\right)$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-u^{\prime}(t) \geqq\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} p(x) \tau^{\alpha}(x) \mathrm{d} x= \\
= & \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} P(x) x^{-\beta} \mathrm{d} x \geqq\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{\alpha} P\left(t_{1}\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{t} x^{-\beta} \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t) \leqq u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{\alpha} P\left(t_{1}\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{t} x^{-\beta} \mathrm{d} x \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function on the right-hand side of (11) is decreasing and tends to $-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. From this we see by (11) that there exists a value $t_{2} \geqq t_{1}$ such that $u^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \geqq t_{2}$, which yields a contradiction and completes the proof of the theorem.

Example 2. Consider the equation

$$
u(t)+\frac{2^{7 / 10}}{4} \frac{1}{t^{115}} u^{7 / 5}\left(\frac{1}{2} t\right)=0
$$

The function $P(t)=p(t) \tau^{\alpha}(t) t^{\beta}$ is nondecreasing if $\beta=9 / 10$. This equation has a nonoscillatory solution $u(t)=t^{1 / 2}$.
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АСИМПТОТИЧЕСКИЕ СВОЙСТВА РЕШЕНИЙ НЕЛИНЕЙНОГО ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛЬНОГО УРАВНЕНИЯ ВТОРОГО ПОРЯДКА С ЗАПАЗДЫВАЮЩИМ АРГУМЕНТОМ

## Ян Огриска

Резюме
В работе рассматривается дифференциальное уравнение

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+p(t) u^{\alpha}(\tau(t))=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Предполагается, что

$$
p(t) \in C_{\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)}, \tau(t) \in C_{\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)}, \tau(t) \leqq t, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t)=\infty .
$$

В предлагаемой статье сформулированы аналоги некоторых теорем Ш. Белогорца для нелинейного дифференциального уравнения (1) в случае $0<\alpha<1$, и приведены некоторые результаты, касающиеся асимптотического поведения решений уравнения (1) для $\alpha>0$.

