

Martin Šenkyřík

An existence theorem for a third-order three-point boundary value problem without growth restrictions

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 42 (1992), No. 4, 465--469

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/129379>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1992

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

AN EXISTENCE THEOREM FOR A THIRD-ORDER THREE-POINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM WITHOUT GROWTH RESTRICTIONS

MARTIN ŠENKYŘÍK

ABSTRACT. In the paper there is proved an existence theorem for solutions u of the third-order nonlinear differential equation $u''' = f(t, u, u', u'')$ satisfying $u'(0) = u'(1) = u(\eta) = 0$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ without growth restrictions on f .

1. Introduction

Rodriguez and Tineo [2] have proved an existence theorem for the Dirichlet problem $u'' = f(t, u, u')$, $u(0) = u(1) = 0$ without requiring growth restrictions on f under the assumption that f is continuous.

In this paper there are found some conditions for the existence of solutions of the third-order boundary value problem (BVP)

$$u''' = f(t, u, u', u''), \quad (1)$$

$$u'(0) = u'(1) = u(\eta) = 0, \quad 0 \leq \eta \leq 1, \quad (2)$$

where f satisfies only the local Carathéodory conditions on $(0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^3$. This problem models the static deflection of a three-layered elastic beam. Since the method used in this paper is very similar to that used by Rodriguez and Tineo [2], we also do not require any growth restrictions on f .

In [3] there is proved an existence theorem for BVP (1), (2) which requires a growth condition on f only in a neighbourhood of either 0 or 1.

2. Definitions and notations

Let $D' = ((0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. We say that $f: D' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the *local Carathéodory conditions on D'* ($f \in \text{Car}_{\text{loc}}(D')$) if $f(\cdot, x, y, z): (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 34B10, 34B15.

Key words: Boundary value problem, Mawhin's continuation theorem, Arzela-Ascoli lemma.

on $(0, 1)$ for each $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$, $f(t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot): \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous for a.e. $t \in (0, 1)$ and $\sup\{|f(t, x, y, z)|: |x| + |y| + |z| \leq \rho\}$ is Lebesgue integrable for any $\rho \in (0, +\infty)$.

A function $u \in AC^2(0, 1)$ satisfying (1) for a.e. $t \in (0, 1)$ and fulfilling (2) will be called a solution of BVP (1), (2), where $AC^2(0, 1) = \{x: x \text{ is a real function with one real argument and } x'' \text{ is absolutely continuous on } [0, 1]\}$.

$X = \{x \in C^2(0, 1), x'(0) = x'(1) = x(\eta) = 0\}$, where $C^2(0, 1) = \{x: x \text{ is a real function with one real argument and } x'' \text{ is continuous}\}$.

In the whole paper we shall assume that $f \in \text{Car}_{\text{loc}}(D')$.

3. The main result

First we state a general existence theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let $f^* \in \text{Car}_{\text{loc}}((0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, 1))$ and let there exist an open bounded set $D \subset X$ such that for any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ each solution $u_\lambda \in X$ of the equation*

$$u''' = \lambda f^*(t, u, u', u'', \lambda) \tag{3}$$

satisfies

$$u_\lambda \notin \delta D \quad (\delta D \text{ is the boundary of } D)$$

and let $0 \in D$.

Then for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ the equation (3) has at least one solution in $\text{cl } D$ ($\text{cl } D$ is the closure of D).

P r o o f. The theorem follows from Mawhin's continuation theorem [1, Theorem IV.1, p. 27].

LEMMA 1. *Let $u \in X$ and $c_1 \leq u'' \leq c_2$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$, where $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $c_1 < 0 < c_2$. Then the inequalities*

$$|u'(t)| < M \quad \text{and} \quad |u(t)| < ML \quad \text{for every } t \in [0, 1], \tag{4}$$

where $M = c_1 c_2 (c_1 - c_2)^{-1}$, $L = \max\{\eta, 1 - \eta\}$, are valid.

P r o o f. From the equalities $u'(t) = \int_0^t u''(s) ds$, $-u'(t) = \int_t^1 u''(s) ds$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 t &\leq u'(t) \leq c_2 t, \\ c_2(1 - t) &\geq -u'(t) \geq c_1(1 - t) \quad \text{for every } t \in (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Since u'' is continuous we obtain from the last two inequalities and from (2) the inequalities (4). The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 2. *Let there exist $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $f(t, x, y, z) < 0$ for a.e. $t \in (0, 1)$ and for every $x \in (-ML, ML)$, $y \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$, $z \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. Let u be a solution of (1), (2) such that $u'(t) \geq -\varepsilon$, $c_1 \leq u''(t) \leq c_2$ for every $t \in (0, 1)$, where $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c_1 < 0 < c_2$. Then $u'(t) > 0$ for $t \in (0, 1)$ and $u''(1) < 0 < u''(0)$.*

Proof. Let u be a solution of (1), (2) satisfying the assumptions of Lemma 2 and $u'(t_0) = 0$, where $t_0 \in [0, 1]$. If $u''(t_0) = 0$, then there exists $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta > 0$ such that $|u''(t)| < \varepsilon$ and $|u'(t)| < \varepsilon$ for $t \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta)$ and we obtain

$$\int_{t_0}^t f(s, u, u', u'') ds = u''(t) < 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta).$$

Thus under the assumption that $u''(t_0) \leq 0$ there exists $t_1 \in (t_0, 1)$ such that $u'(t_1) < 0$, $\min\{u'(t), t_0 \leq t \leq 1\} = u'(t_1)$ and $u''(t_1) = 0$. Further there exists $\delta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $u'(t) \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, $u''(t) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, for $t \in (t_1, t_1 + \delta)$ and by integrating (1) from t_1 to t , where $t \in (t_1, t_1 + \delta)$, we obtain $u''(t) < 0$ for $t \in (t_1, t_1 + \delta)$; but $u'(t_1) = \min\{u'(t), t_0 \leq t \leq 1\}$, and this contradiction proves that $u''(t_0) > 0$ if $t_0 \in [0, 1)$ and $u'(t_0) = 0$. Since $u''(0) > 0$ there exists $t_2 \in (0, 1]$ such that $u'(t) > 0$ for $t \in (0, t_2)$, $u'(t_2) = 0$, $u''(t_2) \leq 0$ and by (the part of) the proof above, $t_2 = 1$. If $u''(1) = 0$, then there exists $\delta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta_2 > 0$ such that $u''(t) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, $u'(t) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ for $t \in (1 - \delta_2, 1)$ and by integrating (1) from t to 1 for $t \in (1 - \delta_2, 1)$ we obtain $-u''(t) < 0$ for $t \in (1 - \delta_2, 1)$. On the other hand $u'(t) > 0$ for $t \in (0, 1)$ and this contradiction completes the proof of Lemma 2.

LEMMA 3. *Let there exist $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $c_1 < 0 < c_2$ such that*

$$\liminf_{z \rightarrow c_1} f(t, x, y, z) > 0, \quad \liminf_{z \rightarrow c_2} f(t, x, y, z) > 0$$

uniformly for $x \in (-ML, ML)$, $y \in [0, M)$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Further let u be a solution of (1), (2), $u'(t) > 0$ for $t \in (0, 1)$, $u''(1) < 0 < u''(0)$ and $c_1 \leq u''(t) \leq c_2$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. Then $c_1 < u''(t) < c_2$ for $t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Let us suppose that $u''(t_1) = c_2$, where $t_1 \in [0, 1]$, then $t_1 < 1$ since $u''(1) < 0$. From the properties of f there follows the existence of $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta > 0$ such that $f(t, u, u', u'') > 0$ for a.e. $t \in (t_1, t_1 + \delta)$. By integrating (1) from t_1 to t where $t \in (t_1, t_1 + \delta)$ we obtain $u''(t) > c_2$ for $t \in (t_1, t_1 + \delta)$ and this contradiction proves that $u''(t) < c_2$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. Analogously $c_1 < u''(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ and the proof of Lemma 3 is complete.

THEOREM 2. *Let there exist $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $c_1 < 0 < c_2$ such that*

$$\liminf_{z \rightarrow c_1} f(t, x, y, z) \geq 0, \quad \liminf_{z \rightarrow c_2} f(t, x, y, z) \geq 0$$

uniformly for $(t, x, y) \in [0, 1] \times (-ML, ML) \times [0, M]$. Further let

$$\limsup_{(y,z) \rightarrow (0,0)} f(t, x, y, z) \leq 0$$

uniformly for $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times (-ML, ML)$. Then BVP (1), (2) has a solution u satisfying

$$-ML < u(t) < ML, \quad 0 \leq u'(t) < M, \quad c_1 \leq u''(t) \leq c_2 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1].$$

P r o o f. By the Tietze-Urysohn lemma there exists a continuous function $g: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ such that $g(0, 0) = -1$ and $g(y, c_i) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$, $y \in [0, M]$. Let us put

$$f_n(t, x, y, z) = f(t, x, y, z) + n^{-1}g(y, z) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then we obtain that

$$\limsup_{(y,z) \rightarrow (0,0)} f_n(t, x, y, z) \leq -n^{-1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

uniformly for $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times (-ML, ML)$ and

$$\liminf_{z \rightarrow c_1} f_n(t, x, y, z) \geq n^{-1}, \quad \liminf_{z \rightarrow c_2} f_n(t, x, y, z) \geq n^{-1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

uniformly for $(t, x, y) \in [0, 1] \times (-ML, ML) \times [0, M]$. For every fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\varepsilon_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 > \varepsilon_n > 0$ such that $f_n(t, x, y, z) < 0$ for a.e. $t \in (0, 1)$ and for every $x \in (-ML, ML)$, $y \in [-\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_n]$, $z \in (-\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_n)$. Put $U_n = \{x \in X: -ML < x(t) < ML, -\varepsilon_n < x'(t) < M, c_1 < x''(t) < c_2, \text{ for } t \in [0, 1]\}$. From Lemmas 1–3 it follows that BVP

$$u''' = \lambda f_n(t, u, u', u''),$$

with conditions (2) has no solutions in δU_n for $\lambda > 0$. By Theorem 1 BVP

$$u''' = f_n(t, u, u', u'') \tag{5}$$

with conditions (2) has a solution $u_n \in \text{cl}U_n$. It can be easily seen that the sequences $(u_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, $(u'_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ are uniformly bounded and equi-continuous on $[0, 1]$ and that the sequence $(u''_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is uniformly bounded on $[0, 1]$. From (4) and by the theory of the Lebesgue integral we get that the sequence $(u''_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is equi-continuous on $[0, 1]$. By the Arzela-Ascoli lemma without loss of generality, we may suppose that all the three sequences are uniformly converging on $[0, 1]$. By the Lebesgue theorem and by (5) the function $u(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(t)$ on $[0, 1]$ is a solution of (1), (2) and fulfils the assertion of Theorem 2. The theorem is proved.

AN EXISTENCE THEOREM FOR A ... BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM ...

REFERENCES

- [1] GAINES, R. E.—MAWHIN, J. L.: *Coincidence Degree and Nonlinear Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1977.
- [2] RODRIGUEZ, A.—TINEO, A.: *Existence theorems for the Dirichlet problem without growth restrictions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **135** (1988), 1–7.
- [3] ŠENKYŘÍK, M.: *On a third-order three-point regular boundary value problem*, Acta Univ. Palack. Olomouc. Fac. Rerum Natur. Math. **XXX** (1991), 75–86.

Received February 15, 1991

*Department of Mathematical Analysis
Palacký University
Tomkova 38
771 46 Olomouc
Czechoslovakia*