Jaroslav Ivančo Some results on the Hadwiger numbers of graphs

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 38 (1988), No. 3, 221--226

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/130180

# Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1988

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

# SOME RESULTS ON THE HADWIGER NUMBERS OF GRAPHS

## JAROSLAV IVANČO

# 1. Introduction

In the paper presented we prove some theorems generalizing the results in [4]. We also disprove two of Zelinka's conjectures.

We consider only finite undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. The concept of the Hadwiger number of a graph was defined in [2] (see also [4]), however, we use the equivalent definition introduced in [4].

Let G be a connected graph. Then a decomposition of its vertex set V(G) into nonempty subsets  $V_1, \ldots, V_m$  with the following properties

(i)  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} V_i = V(G)$ ,

(ii)  $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$  for any two integers *i*, *j* such that  $1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le m, i \ne j$ ,

(iii)  $V_i$  induces a connected subgraph of G for all i = 1, ..., m,

(iv)  $V_i \cup V_j$  induces a connected subgraph of G for all i = 1, ..., m and all j = 1, ..., m,

is called an H-decomposition of G.

The Hadwiger number  $\eta(G)$  of a connected graph is the maximal positive integer *m* such that there exists an *H*-decomposition of *G* into *m* subsets.

Other definitions not given here will be found in [1].

# **2.** Bounds on $\eta(G)$

In this section we establish strict bounds of  $\eta(G)$  depending on  $\omega(G)$  (i.e. the maximal number of vertices in a clique of G) and  $\alpha_0(G)$  (i.e. the vertex covering number of G).

Lemma 1. (B. Zelinka [4]) Let G' be a subgraph of the graph G. Then

 $\eta(G') \leq \eta(G).$ 

**Theorem 1.** Let G be a connected graph. Then

 $\omega(G) \leq \eta(G) \leq \min(1 + \alpha_0(G), \left[\frac{1}{2}(\omega(G) + |V(G)|)\right]).$ 

Proof. As the Hadwiger number of the complete graph with *n* vertices is equal to *n*, the inequality  $\omega(G) \leq \eta(G)$  follows evidently from Lemma 1.

Now let us assume that  $V_1, ..., V_{\eta(G)}$  is an *H*-decomposition of *G*.

Let C be a minimal vertex covering set of G, i.e.  $|C| = \alpha_0(G)$ . Then the set V(G) - C is an independent set of G. If  $\eta(G) > 1 + \alpha_0(G) = 1 + |C|$ , then there exist at least two sets  $V_i$ ,  $V_j$   $(i \neq j)$  of the H-decomposition of G such that  $V_i \cap C = V_j \cap C = \emptyset$ , i.e.  $V_i \cup V_j \subseteq V(G) - C$ . So  $V_i \cup V_j$  is independent and it does not induce a connected subgraph of G, which is a contradiction. Thus

$$\eta(G) \le 1 + \alpha_0(G). \tag{1}$$

Let *I* be a maximal subset of  $\{1, ..., \eta(G)\}$  such that  $|V_i| = 1$  for  $i \in I$ . Then  $\bigcup_{i \in I} V_i$  induces a complete subgraph of *G* with |I| vertices and hence  $|I| \leq \omega(G)$ . Since  $|V_i| \geq 2$  for  $j \in \{1, ..., \eta(G)\} - I$ , we get

$$|V(G)| = |V_1| + \dots + |V_{\eta(G)}| \ge |I| + 2(\eta(G) - |I|) \ge 2\eta(G) - \omega(G).$$

This implies

$$\eta(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\omega(G) + |V(G)|).$$

As  $\eta(G)$  is an integer, we may write

$$\eta(G) \le \left[\frac{1}{2}(\omega(G) + |V(G)|)\right].$$
(2)

Thus (1) and (2) give the desired upper bound on  $\eta(G)$ .

#### 3. The Hadwiger number of a complete multipartite graph

The complete k-partite graph is a graph whose vertices can be partitioned into k classes  $U_1, ..., U_k$  such that two vertices are adjacent if and only if they belong to distinct classes. If  $|U_i| = n_i$  for all i = 1, ..., k, then the complete k-partite graph is denoted by  $K(n_1, ..., n_k)$ .

B. Zelinka [4] determined the Hadwiger number of a complete bipartite graph. Now we determine the Hadwiger number of a complete k-partite graph for all  $k \ge 2$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $k \ge 2, 1 \le n_1 \le ... \le n_k$  be integers. For the complete k-partite graph  $K(n_1, ..., n_k)$  there holds

$$\eta(K(n_1, \dots, n_k)) = \min(1 + n_1 + \dots + n_{k-1}, [\frac{1}{2}(k + n_1 + \dots + n_k)]).$$
(3)

**Proof.** For  $n_{k-1} = 1$  we have  $\alpha_0(K(1, ..., 1, n_k)) = k - 1$  and  $\omega(K(1, ..., 1, n_k)) = k$  and thus by Theorem 1 we get

$$k \leq \eta(K(1, ..., 1, n_k)) \leq \min(1 + (k - 1), [\frac{1}{2}(k + (k - 1) + n_k)]) = k.$$

Hence

$$\eta(K(1, ..., 1, n_k)) = \min(1 + (k - 1), [\frac{1}{2}(k + (k - 1) + n_k)])$$

Now, let us assume that  $K(m_1, ..., m_k)$   $(1 \le m_1 \le ... \le m_k)$  is a complete k-partite graph with the minimal possible number of vertices such that (3) does not hold. Then  $m_{k-1} \ge 2$ , hence  $K(m_1, ..., m_k) - \{u, v\}$  (for vertices u, v belonging to classes of cardinalities  $m_{k-1}$  and  $m_k$  respectively) is again a complete k-partite graph, i.e.  $K(m_1, ..., m_{k-1} - 1, m_k - 1)$ . This graph contains less vertices than  $K(m_1, ..., m_k)$ , therefore we may determine its Hadwiger number by (3). Two cases must be distinguished.

Case 1. If k = 2 or k > 2 and  $m_k > m_{k-2}$ , then

$$\eta(K(m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} - 1, m_k - 1)) = \min(1 + m_1 + \dots + (m_{k-1} - 1), [\frac{1}{2}(k + m_1 + \dots + (m_{k-1} - 1) + (m_k - 1))]) = \min(1 + m_1 + \dots + m_{k-1}, [\frac{1}{2}(k + m_1 + \dots + m_k)]) - 1.$$
(4)

Case 2. If k > 2 and  $m_k = m_{k-2}$ , then

$$\eta(K(m_1, ..., m_{k-1} - 1, m_k - 1)) = \min(1 + m_1 + ... + m_{k-3} + (m_{k-1} - 1) + (m_k - 1), [\frac{1}{2}(k + m_1 + ... + m_{k-2} + (m_{k-1} - 1) + (m_k - 1))]) = \min(m_1 + ... + m_{k-1}, [\frac{1}{2}(k + m_1 + ... + m_k)]) - 1.$$
(5)

However, in this case  $m_{k-2} = m_k = m_{k-1} \ge 2$  and hence

$$m_1 + \ldots + m_{k-2} + 2 + (m_{k-1} + m_k - 2m_k) > k.$$

This implies

$$2 + 2(m_1 + \ldots + m_k) - 2m_k > k + m_1 + \ldots + m_k$$

Hence

$$1 + m_1 + \ldots + m_{k-1} > \frac{1}{2}(k + m_1 + \ldots + m_k) \ge [\frac{1}{2}(k + m_1 + \ldots + m_k)].$$

As all numbers appearing in the relation are integers, we have

$$m_1 + \ldots + m_{k-1} \ge [\frac{1}{2}(k + m_1 + \ldots + m_k)].$$
 (6)

By (5) and (6) we get

$$\eta(K(m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} - 1, m_k - 1)) = \min(1 + m_1 + \dots + m_{k-1}, \frac{1}{2}(k + m_1 + \dots + m_k)) - 1.$$
(7)

223

If  $V_1, ..., V_\eta$  is an *H*-decomposition of  $K(m_1, ..., m_k) - \{u, v\}$ , then  $V_1, ..., V_\eta$ ,  $\{u, v\}$  is evidently an *H*-decomposition of  $K(m_1, ..., m_k)$ . Thus

$$\eta(K(m_1, ..., m_k)) \ge 1 + \eta(K(m_1, ..., m_k) - \{u, v\}) =$$
  
= 1 + \eta(K(m\_1, ..., m\_{k-1} - 1, m\_k - 1)).

So by (4) and (7) we get

 $\eta(K(m_1, ..., m_k)) \ge \min(1 + m_1 + ... + m_{k-1}, [\frac{1}{2}(k + m_1 + ... + m_k)]).$ (8)

Since  $\omega(K(m_1, ..., m_k)) = k$  and  $\alpha_0(K(m_1, ..., m_k)) = m_1 + ... + m_{k-1}$ , by Theorem 1 we have

 $\eta(K(m_1, ..., m_k)) \leq \min(1 + m_1 + ... + m_{k-1}, [\frac{1}{2}(k + m_1 + ... + m_k)]).$ (9)

(8) and (9) result in a contradiction to our assumption, which completes the proof.

Remark 1. By Theorem 2 the complete multipartite graphs (graphs K(1, ..., ..., 1, n)) attain the upper (lower, respectively) bound in Theorem 1. Thus these inequalities cannot be improved in general.

Remark 2. V. G. Vizing [2] suggested the study of the function  $\lambda_k(n)$  which denotes the maximal possible number of edges of a graph with *n* vertices and with the Hadwiger number *k*. A. A. Zykov [5] and B. Zelinka [3] proved that  $\lambda_k(n) = (k-1)n - \binom{k}{2}$  for  $k \leq 4$ ,  $n \geq k$ . B. Zelinka [3] conjectured that this equality is true for any two positive integers *n*, *k*, where  $n \geq k$ . By Theorem 2 it is easy to prove that the complete *m*-partite graphs K(2, 2, ..., ..., 2) (for  $m \geq 7$ ) are counter-examples to Zelinka's conjecture.

## 4. The Hadwiger number of the Cartesian product of two stars

The Cartesian product  $G_1 \times G_2$  of the graphs  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  is the graph whose vertex set is the set of all ordered pairs  $[u_1, u_2]$ , where  $u_1$  is a vertex of  $G_1$  and  $u_2$  is a vertex of  $G_2$  and in which the vertices  $[u_1, u_2]$ ,  $[v_1, v_2]$  are adjacent if and only if either  $u_1 = v_1$  and the vertices  $u_2$ ,  $v_2$  are adjacent in  $G_2$ , or  $u_2 = v_2$  and the vertices  $u_1$ ,  $v_1$  are adjacent in  $G_1$ .

B. Zelinka [4] proved the following theorem: Let  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  be two finite connected graphs, let  $G_1 \times G_2$  be their Cartesian product. Then  $\eta(G_1 \times G_2) \ge$  $\ge \eta(G_1) + \eta(G_2) - 1$ . He also conjectured that the equality always holds in this relation.

In this part we determine the Hadwiger number of the Cartesian product of two stars which will disprove Zelinka's conjecture. First we prove

**Lemma 2.** Let G and G' be connected homeomorphic graphs. Then

$$\eta(G) = \eta(G').$$

Proof. Evidently, it is sufficient to consider only an elementary subdivision. Hence let G be a connected graph and uv be its edge. Let G' be a graph which we obtain from G by deleting the edge uv and adding the vertex w and the edges uw and vw.

Let  $U_1, ..., U_{\eta(G')}$  be an *H*-decomposition of *G'* and without loss of generality let  $w \in U_1$ . If  $|U_1| \ge 1$ , then  $U_1 - \{w\}, U_2, ..., U_{\eta(G')}$  is an *H*-decomposition of *G*. Thus

$$\eta(G) \ge \eta(G'). \tag{10}$$

If  $|U_1| = 1$ , then by (iv)  $\eta(G') \leq 3$ . If  $\eta(G') \leq 2$ , then

$$\eta(G) \ge 2 \ge \eta(G'),\tag{11}$$

because  $\{u, v\}$  induces a complete subgraph of G. If  $\eta(G') = 3$ , then G' contains a cycle, because the Hadwiger number of any tree is equal to 2. Since a subdivision does not give rise to a new cycle, the graph G must contain a cycle C. By Lemma 1

$$\eta(G) \ge \eta(C) = 3 = \eta(G'),\tag{12}$$

because the Hadwiger number of any cycle is equal to 3.

Let  $V_1, ..., V_{\eta(G)}$  be an *H*-decomposition of *G* and without loss of generality let  $v \in V_1$ . Then  $V_1 \cup \{w\}, V_2, ..., V_{\eta(G)}$  is an *H*-decomposition of *G'* and so

$$\eta(G') \ge \eta(G). \tag{13}$$

The assertion follows already from (10), (11), (12) and (13).

**Theorem 3.** Let  $K_{1,n}$  and  $K_{1,m}$  be stars, let  $K_{1,n} \times K_{1,m}$  be their Cartesian product. Then

$$\eta(K_{1,n} \times K_{1,m}) = 2 + \min(n, m).$$

Proof. It can be easily seen that the graphs  $K_{1,n} \times K_{1,m}$  and K(1, n, m) are homeomorphic. By Lemma 2 we have

$$\eta(K_{1, n} \times K_{1, m}) = \eta(K(1, n, m)).$$

By Theorem 2 we get the assertion of Theorem 3.

Remark 3. As  $\eta(K_{1,r}) = 2$ , the Cartesian product  $K_{1,n} \times K_{1,m}$  (for  $n \ge 2$ ,  $m \ge 2$ ) is a counter-example to Zelinka's conjecture.

225

#### REFERENCES

- [1] HARARY, F.: Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969.
- [2] ВИЗИНГ, В. Т.: Некоторые нерешенные задачи в теории графов, Успехи мат. наук, 23, 1968, 117—134.
- [3] ZELINKA, B.: On some graph-theoretical problems of V. G. Vizing, Čas. pěstov. matem., 98, 1973, 56-66.
- [4] ZELINKA, B.: Hadwiger numbers of finite graphs. Math. Slov., 26, 1976, No 1, 23-30.
- [5] ЗЫКОВ, А. А.: О количестве ребер графа с числом Хадвигера на более 4, Прикладная математика и программирование, вып. 7, Кишинев, 1972, 52—55.

Recieved November 14, 1986

Katedra geometrie a algebry Prírodovedecká fakulta UPJŠ Jesenná 5, 041 54 Košice

## НЕКОТОРЫЕ РЕЗУЛЬТАТЫ О ЧИСЛАХ ХАДВИГЕРА ГРАФОВ

Jaroslav Ivančo

#### Резюме

В статье исследовано число Хадвигера графа. Показаны некоторые оценки для числа Хадвигера графа. Также определены числа Хадвигера полного k-дольного графа и декартова произведения двух звезд. На основании этих результатов опровергнуты две гипотезы, которые высказал Зелинка [3, 4].