

Štefan Černák

Lexicographic products of cyclically ordered groups

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 45 (1995), No. 1, 29--38

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/130473>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

*Dedicated to Professor Ján Jakubík
on the occasion of his 70th birthday*

LEXICOGRAPHIC PRODUCTS OF CYCLICALLY ORDERED GROUPS

ŠTEFAN ČERNÁK

(Communicated by Tibor Katriňák)

ABSTRACT. Refinements of lexicographic product decompositions of cyclically ordered groups and the cancellation in these decompositions are studied.

Basic results on cyclically ordered groups are due to L. Rieger [7] and S. Swierczkowski [8]. Further results in this field are obtained, e.g., in [4], [5], [9]–[11].

In this paper, the notion of a *cyclically ordered group* will be used in a more general sense. This notion will be applied in the same sense as in the papers [12]–[14] of S. D. Želeva.

J. Jakubík [6] introduced the notion of an *extended cyclic order*, defined the concepts of an *ec-group* (a group with an extended cyclic order), a *dc-group* and studied *direct product decompositions* of ec-groups.

In the present paper, it is defined and investigated a *lexicographic product* of cyclically ordered groups. It is shown that two finite lexicographic product decompositions of isomorphic cyclically ordered groups G and H ,

$$G = G_1 \circ G_2 \circ \cdots \circ G_n, \quad H = H_1 \circ H_2 \circ \cdots \circ H_m$$

have always isomorphic refinements, provided that all G_i , H_j ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) are dc-groups.

In particular, it is proved that if G_i , H_j ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) are *lc-groups*, then $m = n$, and G_i is isomorphic with H_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Further, the cancellation in lexicographic product decompositions of cyclically ordered groups is studied.

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 06F15.

Key words: Cyclically ordered group, Directed group, Lexicographic product, Refinement.

1. Preliminaries

For the sake of completeness, we recall some definitions concerning cyclically ordered groups (see Želeva [12]; our definition is more general than that applied by Rieger [7] and Fuchs [2]).

Let $(G, +)$ be a group, and let C be a ternary relation on G satisfying the following conditions:

- I. if $(x, y, z) \in C$, then $(z, y, x) \notin C$;
- II. if $(x, y, z) \in C$, then $(z, x, y) \in C$;
- III. if $(x, y, z) \in C$ and $(x, z, u) \in C$, then $(x, y, u) \in C$;
- IV. if $(x, y, z) \in C$, then $(a+x+b, a+y+b, a+z+b) \in C$ for each $a, b \in G$.

Then C is called a *cyclic order* on G and the triple $\mathbf{G} = (G, +, C)$ is said to be a *cyclically ordered group*.

If $x, y, z \in G$, $(x, y, z) \in C$, then using I and II we get that x, y, z are different elements of G .

Every subgroup of a cyclically ordered group is considered as cyclically ordered under the induced cyclic order.

An element x of a cyclically ordered group \mathbf{G} is called *isolated* (cf. [6]) if there are no elements $y, z \in G$ with the property $(x, y, z) \in C$. If every element of \mathbf{G} is isolated, then \mathbf{G} will be called *isolated*.

An *isomorphism* of cyclically ordered groups is defined in a natural way. The fact that cyclically ordered groups \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{H} are isomorphic will be written as $\mathbf{G} \simeq \mathbf{H}$.

We say that a cyclically ordered group \mathbf{G} is an *lc-group* (see [6]) if $\text{card } G > 2$, and if the following condition is fulfilled: whenever x, y, z are distinct elements of G , then either $(x, y, z) \in C$ or $(z, y, x) \in C$.

A cyclically ordered group \mathbf{G} will be called a *dc-group* if for each $x, y \in G$, $x \neq y$, there exists an element $z \in G$ such that either $(x, y, z) \in C$ or $(x, z, y) \in C$ (see [6]).

Let $(G, +, \leq)$ be a partially ordered group. For the basic definitions concerning *partially ordered (directed, linearly ordered) groups* we refer to L. Fuchs [2] and G. Birkhoff [1]. Define a ternary relation C_{\leq} on G in the following manner. For elements $x, y, z \in G$ we put $(x, y, z) \in C_{\leq}$ if and only if $x < y < z$ or $y < z < x$ or $z < x < y$. Then $(G, +, C_{\leq})$ is a cyclically ordered group.

Let A, B be subgroups of a partially ordered group $(G, +, \leq)$ such that the following conditions hold:

- (i) for each $g \in G$ there exist uniquely determined elements $a \in A, b \in B$ such that $g = a + b$;
- (ii) if $g_i = a_i + b_i$, $a_i \in A, b_i \in B$ ($i = 1, 2$), then $g_1 + g_2 = (a_1 + a_2) + (b_1 + b_2)$;

LEXICOGRAPHIC PRODUCTS OF CYCLICALLY ORDERED GROUPS

- (iii) for each $g \in G$, $g = a + b$, $a \in A$, $b \in B$, we have $g \geq 0$ if and only if $a > 0$, or $a = 0$ and $b \geq 0$.

Under these assumptions, we write $(G, +, \leq) = A \circ B$ and this equation is called a *lexicographic product decomposition* of a partially ordered group $(G, +, \leq)$.

2. Lexicographic product decompositions of cyclically ordered groups

Let $A = (A, +_1, C_1)$ and $B = (B, +_2, C_2)$ be cyclically ordered groups. We form the (*external*) *direct product* G of groups A and B . The operation $+$ on G is performed componentwise. Let $g_i \in G$, $g_i = (a_i, b_i)$, $a_i \in A$, $b_i \in B$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Define a ternary relation C on G as follows. We put

$$(g_1, g_2, g_3) \in C \iff (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in C_1 \quad \text{or} \quad a_1 = a_2 = a_3 \quad \text{and} \quad (b_1, b_2, b_3) \in C_2.$$

It can be easily verified that C is a cyclic order on G and $\mathbf{G} = (G, +, C)$ is a cyclically ordered group. Then \mathbf{G} is said to be a (*external*) *lexicographic product* of cyclically ordered groups A and B . We shall use the notation $\mathbf{G} = [A \circ B]$.

Put

$$A_1 = \{(a, 0) : a \in A\}, \quad B_1 = \{(0, b) : b \in B\}. \quad (*)$$

A_1 and B_1 are subgroups of G and $A \simeq A_1$, $B \simeq B_1$.

Assume that A and B are subgroups of a cyclically ordered group \mathbf{G} such that the conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied, and that, moreover, the following condition holds:

- (iii) if g_1, g_2, g_3 are distinct elements of G , $g_i = a_i + b_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), then $(g_1, g_2, g_3) \in C$ if and only if either $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in C$ or $a_1 = a_2 = a_3$ and $(b_1, b_2, b_3) \in C$.

Under these assumptions, we write $\mathbf{G} = A \circ B$. This equation is called a *lexicographic product decomposition* of \mathbf{G} with factors A and B .

If $\mathbf{G} = A \circ B$, then clearly the mapping $\varphi: [A \circ B] \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ defined by $\varphi((a, b)) = a + b$ is an isomorphism of $[A \circ B]$ onto \mathbf{G} .

Assume that $\mathbf{G} = A \circ B$. Denote by \bar{g} an element of the factor group G/B containing $g \in G$. Define a ternary relation \bar{C} on G/B by the following rule: for distinct elements $\bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2, \bar{g}_3$ of G/B we put $(\bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2, \bar{g}_3) \in \bar{C}$ if and only if there exist elements $g'_i \in G$, $g'_i \in \bar{g}_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) such that $(g'_1, g'_2, g'_3) \in C$.

Now, we intend to show that if $(\bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2, \bar{g}_3) \in \bar{C}$, then $(x, y, z) \in C$ for each $x \in \bar{g}_1$, $y \in \bar{g}_2$, $z \in \bar{g}_3$.

In fact, let $(\bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2, \bar{g}_3) \in \bar{C}$, $g_i = a_i + b_i$, $a_i \in A$, $b_i \in B$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Assume that $x \in \bar{g}_1$, $y \in \bar{g}_2$, $z \in \bar{g}_3$. Then $x = a_1 + b'_1$, $y = a_2 + b'_2$, $z = a_3 + b'_3$, $b'_i \in B$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). There are elements $g'_i \in \bar{g}_i$, $g'_i = a_i + b'_i$, $b'_i \in B$ such that $(g'_1, g'_2, g'_3) \in C$. This implies that either $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in C$ or $a_1 = a_2 = a_3$ and $(b'_1, b'_2, b'_3) \in C$. If $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in C$, then $(x, y, z) \in C$. If $a_1 = a_2 = a_3$, then $\bar{g}_1 = \bar{g}_2 = \bar{g}_3$, which is impossible.

It is easy to verify that $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H} = (G/H, +, \bar{C})$ is a cyclically ordered group.

2.1. LEMMA. *Let $\mathbf{G} = A \circ B$. Then $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B} \simeq A$.*

P r o o f. Let $\bar{g} \in G/B$, $g = a + b$, $a \in A$, $b \in B$. The mapping $\varphi: G/B \rightarrow A$, defined by $\varphi(\bar{g}) = a$, is an isomorphism of the group G/B onto A .

Let \bar{C} be a cyclic order on \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B} as above and let $\bar{g}_i \in G/B$, where $g_i = a_i + b_i$, $a_i \in A$, $b_i \in B$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Now we show that $(\bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2, \bar{g}_3) \in \bar{C}$ if and only if $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in C$.

Let $(g_1, \bar{g}_2, \bar{g}_3) \in \bar{C}$. Then $(g_1, g_2, g_3) \in C$ is valid. Since a_1, a_2, a_3 are distinct elements, we get $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in C$. The converse is analogous.

We conclude that φ is an isomorphism of \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B} onto A .

2.2. LEMMA. *Let $\mathbf{G} = A \circ B$. Then \mathbf{G} is a dc-group if and only if A and B are d -groups.*

P r o o f. Let \mathbf{G} be a dc-group. Assume that $a_1, a_2 \in A$, $a_1 \neq a_2$. Choose an arbitrary element $b \in B$. Therefore elements $g_1, g_2 \in G$, $g_1 = a_1 + b$, $g_2 = a_2 + b$ are distinct and there exists $g_3 \in G$, $g_3 = a_3 + b_3$, $a_3 \in A$, $b_3 \in B$ such that either $(g_1, g_2, g_3) \in C$ or $(g_1, g_3, g_2) \in C$. Hence either $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in C$ or $(a_1, a_3, a_2) \in C$. Now assume that $b_1, b_2 \in B$, $b_1 \neq b_2$. Choose $a \in A$ arbitrarily. Then $g_1 = a + b_1$, $g_2 = a + b_2$ are distinct elements of G . There is an element $g_3 \in G$, $g_3 = a_3 + b_3$ such that either $(g_1, g_2, g_3) \in C$ or $(g_1, g_3, g_2) \in C$. Therefore $a_3 = a$, and either $(b_1, b_2, b_3) \in C$ or $(b_1, b_3, b_2) \in C$. We conclude that A and B are dc-groups.

Conversely, let A and B be dc-groups. Assume that $g_1, g_2 \in G$, $g_1 \neq g_2$, $g_1 = a_1 + b_1$, $g_2 = a_2 + b_2$, $a_1, a_2 \in A$, $b_1, b_2 \in B$. Suppose $a_1 \neq a_2$. Then there exists $a_3 \in A$ such that either $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in C$ or $(a_1, a_3, a_2) \in C$, which implies that $(g_1, g_2, a_3) \in C$ or $(g_1, a_3, g_2) \in C$.

Next suppose that $a_1 = a_2$. Since $b_1 \neq b_2$, there exists $b_3 \in B$ such that either $(b_1, b_2, b_3) \in C$ or $(b_1, b_3, b_2) \in C$. Hence either $(g_1, g_2, a_1 + b_3) \in C$ or $(g_1, a_1 + b_3, g_2) \in C$. Therefore \mathbf{G} is a dc-group.

3. Refinements of lexicographic product decompositions

This section deals with *refinements* of finite lexicographic product decompositions of a cyclically ordered group G . Further the cancellation in lexicographic product decompositions of cyclically ordered groups is investigated. Related questions have been studied for partially ordered groupoids in [3]. [3; Example 3.7] is applied. Also the procedure concerning the operation $+$, which is applied in the proofs of 3.4 and 3.9, is analogous to that dealt with in [3].

3.1. LEMMA. *Let $G = (A \circ B) \circ D$. Then $G = A \circ (B \circ D)$.*

P r o o f. Denote

- (1) $E = A \circ B$,
- (2) $F = B \circ D$.

Then

- (3) $G = E \circ D$.

We have to show that $G = A \circ F$. From associativity of the direct product decomposition of groups it follows that every element $g \in G$ can be uniquely expressed in the form $g = a + f$, $a \in A$, $f \in F$. Let $g_i \in G$, $g_i = a_i + f_i$, $a_i \in A$, $f_i \in F$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). We have only to show that $(g_1, g_2, g_3) \in C$ if and only if either $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in C$ or $a_1 = a_2 = a_3$ and $(f_1, f_2, f_3) \in C$.

In view of (2), we get $f_i = b_i + d_i$, $b_i \in B$, $d_i \in D$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). By (3), (2) and (1), we obtain $g_i = a_i + f_i = a_i + (b_i + d_i) = (a_i + b_i) + d_i = e_i + d_i$, where $a_i + b_i = e_i \in E$.

Assume that $(g_1, g_2, g_3) \in C$. With respect to (3), we obtain $(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in C$ or $e_1 = e_2 = e_3$, and $(d_1, d_2, d_3) \in C$.

Suppose that $(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in C$. According to (1), we have $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in C$ or $a_1 = a_2 = a_3$, and $(b_1, b_2, b_3) \in C$. Then (2) implies $(f_1, f_2, f_3) \in C$.

Let $e_1 = e_2 = e_3$ and $(d_1, d_2, d_3) \in C$. By (1), we get $a_1 = a_2 = a_3$ and $b_1 = b_2 = b_3$. Then (2) yields $(f_1, f_2, f_3) \in C$.

The converse is analogous. Therefore $G = A \circ F$ is valid. □

In an analogous way, we can show that from $G = A \circ (B \circ D)$ it follows $G = (A \circ B) \circ D$.

3.2. Remark. According to 3.1, brackets can be omitted, and we can write $A \circ B \circ D$ instead of $(A \circ B) \circ D$. This result can be generalized by induction and we need not use brackets in expressions of the form $G_1 \circ G_2 \circ \cdots \circ G_n$.

Now we can define a lexicographic product decomposition with a finite number of factors.

Let G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n be subgroups of G such that $G = G_1 \circ G_2 \circ \cdots \circ G_n$. Such an expression of G is called a lexicographic product decomposition of G with factors G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n .

3.3. LEMMA. *Let*

- (i) $G = A \circ B$,
- (ii) $G = D \circ E$,

and let B and E be dc-groups. Then either $B \subseteq E$ or $E \subseteq B$.

Proof. Assume that $E \not\subseteq B$. Then there exists $e \in E$, $e \notin B$. Because E is a dc-group and $e \neq 0$, there exists $e' \in E$ such that either $(0, e', e) \in C$ or $(0, e, e') \in C$. Suppose that

$$(1) \quad (0, e', e) \in C.$$

The case $(0, e, e') \in C$ is analogous. Therefore $e' \notin B$. Indeed, if $e' \in B$, then (i) implies that elements 0 , e' , e are uniquely expressed in the form $0 = 0 + 0$, $e' = 0 + e'$, $e = a_1 + b_1$, $a_1 \in A$, $b_1 \in B$. Whence (1) yields that $a_1 = 0$, and so $e \in B$, which gives a contradiction. Let $e' = a' + b'$, $a' \in A$, $b' \in B$. Since $a_1 \neq 0$, using (1) we get

$$(2) \quad (0, a', a_1) \in C.$$

Assume that $b \in B$, $b = d_1 + e_1$, $d_1 \in D$, $e_1 \in E$. From (i) and (2), we infer that $(b, e', e) \in C$. Then using (ii) we get $d_1 = 0$, and so $b \in E$. We have proved that $B \subseteq E$. \square

Let $G = A \circ B$, $X \subseteq G$. Denote

$$X(A)(A \circ B) = \{a \in A : \text{there exist } x \in X, b \in B, x = a + b\}.$$

$X(B)(A \circ B)$ is defined analogously.

3.4. LEMMA. *Let*

- (i) $G = A \circ B$,
- (ii) $G = D \circ E$,

and let $E \subseteq B$. Then $B = (B \cap D) \circ E$ and $B \cap D = B(D)(D \circ E)$.

Proof. We put $F = B(D)(D \circ E)$. First we will show that $F \subseteq B \cap D$. Choose any element $f \in F$. Then $f \in D$ and there exist $b \in B$, $e \in E$ such that $b = f + e$. With respect to (i), elements e and f can be uniquely expressed in the forms $e = 0 + e$, $f = a_1 + b_1$, $a_1 \in A$, $b_1 \in B$. The group operation is performed componentwise, thus $b = f + e = (a_1 + 0) + (e + b_1) = a_1 + (e + b_1)$. Since $b \in B$, we obtain $a_1 = 0$. Hence $f \in B$.

Now we intend to show that $B \cap D \subseteq F$. Assume that $g \in B \cap D$. Since $g \in B$, $g \in D$, $g = g + 0$, in view of (ii), we get $g \in F$.

We have proved that $F = B \cap D$.

It remains to show that $B = F \circ E$. It is clear that E and F are subgroups of B . According to (ii), for each element $b \in B$ there exist uniquely determined elements $d \in D$, $e \in E$ satisfying the equation $b = d + e$. Hence $d \in F$. Let

$b_i \in B$, $b_i = f_i + e_i$, $f_i \in F$, $e_i \in E$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Again according to (ii), we get that $(b_1, b_2, b_3) \in C$ if and only if either $(f_1, f_2, f_3) \in C$ or $f_1 = f_2 = f_3$ and $(b_1, b_2, b_3) \in C$. Therefore $B = F \circ E$. \square

3.5. THEOREM. *Let $G = A \circ B$, $G = A \circ D$, and let B and D be dc-groups. Then $B = D$.*

P r o o f. In view of 3.3, we obtain either $B \subseteq D$ or $D \subseteq B$. Suppose that $B \subseteq D$. With respect to 3.4, we have $D = (A \cap D) \circ B$. Since $A \cap D = \{0\}$, $D = B$ holds true. If $D \subseteq B$, the proof is analogous. \square

3.6. R e m a r k. Let $G = A \circ B$, $G = D \circ B$, and let B be a dc-group. Then $A = D$ need not be true in general.

3.7. E x a m p l e. Let $(Z, +, \leq)$ be the additive group of all integers with the natural linear order, $Z = (Z, +, C_{\leq})$, and let $G = [Z \circ Z]$. It suffices to put $A = \{(a, 0) : a \in Z\}$, $B = \{(0, b) : b \in Z\}$ and $D = \{(a, b) : a, b \in Z, a = b\}$.

However, the following assertion is valid.

3.8. THEOREM. *Let*

- (i) $G = A \circ B$,
- (ii) $G = D \circ B$.

Then $A \simeq D$.

P r o o f. By the assumptions and 2.1, we get $G/B \simeq A$, and $G/B \simeq D$. Hence $A \simeq D$. \square

Let

$$(1) \quad G = G_1 \circ G_2 \circ \cdots \circ G_n,$$

and let $G_i = G_{i1} \circ G_{i2} \circ \cdots \circ G_{im(i)}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Applying (1) we get

$$(2) \quad G = G_{11} \circ G_{12} \circ \cdots \circ G_{nm(n)}.$$

The lexicographic product decomposition (2) of G is said to be a *refinement of the lexicographic product decomposition* (1).

Let

$$(3) \quad H = H_1 \circ H_2 \circ \cdots \circ H_m.$$

We say that the lexicographic decompositions (1) and (3) are *isomorphic* whenever $m = n$ and $G_i \simeq H_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$).

3.9. THEOREM. *Let G , H , G_i , H_j ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) be dc-groups such that $G \simeq H$. Suppose (1) and (3). Then the lexicographic product decompositions (1) and (3) possess isomorphic refinements.*

P r o o f. We proceed by induction with respect to $m+n$. We have $m+n \geq 2$. For $m+n = 2$ the assertion is evident. Assume that $m+n > 2$. Let φ be

an isomorphism of \mathbf{G} onto \mathbf{H} and let $\varphi(G_i) = G'_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Then $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{G}'_1 \circ \mathbf{G}'_2 \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{G}'_n$. By 3.3, we obtain that $G'_n \subseteq H_m$ or $H_m \subseteq G'_n$. Suppose that $G'_n \subseteq H_m$ (the case $H_m \subseteq G'_n$ is analogous). By 3.4, we get $\mathbf{H}_m = (\mathbf{H}_m \cap \mathbf{G}'_1 \circ \mathbf{G}'_2 \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{G}'_{n-1}) \circ \mathbf{G}'_n$. If we put $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{H}_m \cap \mathbf{G}'_1 \circ \mathbf{G}'_2 \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{G}'_{n-1}$, then $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_1 \circ \mathbf{H}_2 \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{H}_{m-1} \circ \mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{G}'_n$. With respect to 3.8, we get $\mathbf{G}'_1 \circ \mathbf{G}'_2 \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{G}'_{n-1} \simeq \mathbf{H}_1 \circ \mathbf{H}_2 \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{H}_{m-1} \circ \mathbf{A}$. An application of the induction hypothesis completes the proof.

4. lc-groups, directed groups and dc-groups

Now the previous results will be applied to lc-groups. Evidently, every lc-group is a dc-group.

4.1. LEMMA. *Let $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{B}$. If \mathbf{D} is an lc-subgroup of \mathbf{G} , then either $B \cap D = \{0\}$ or $D \subseteq B$.*

Proof. Assume that $B \cap D \neq \{0\}$. Then there exists $d_1 \in B$, $d_1 \in D$, and $d_1 \neq 0$. Let $d \in D$, $d \neq 0$ and $d \neq d_1$. Therefore either $(0, d, d_1) \in C$ or $(0, d_1, d) \in C$. Suppose that $(0, d, d_1) \in C$ (the case $(0, d_1, d) \in C$ is analogous). From the assumption $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{B}$, it follows that elements d and d_1 can be uniquely written in the form $d = a + b$, $a \in A$, $b \in B$, $d_1 = 0 + d_1$. Whence we obtain $a = 0$, and so $d \in B$. Thus $D \subseteq B$.

4.2. THEOREM. *Let*

- (i) $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{B}$,
- (ii) $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{D} \circ \mathbf{E}$.

If \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{E} are lc-groups, then $B = E$.

Proof. From 3.3, it follows that either $E \subseteq B$ or $B \subseteq E$. Let $E \subseteq B$. Hence $B \cap E = E \neq \{0\}$. Since \mathbf{B} is an lc-group and (ii) is satisfied, by 4.1, we have $B \subseteq E$. We conclude that $E = B$. In the case $B \subseteq E$, the proof is analogous.]

Let \mathbf{G} be a cyclically ordered group. If $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{B}$ implies that $\text{card } A = 1$ or $\text{card } B = 1$, then \mathbf{G} is said to be *lexicographically indecomposable*.

4.3. LEMMA. *Let \mathbf{G} be an lc-group. Then \mathbf{G} is lexicographically indecomposable.*

Proof. By way of contradiction, assume that there exist subgroups A and B of \mathbf{G} with $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{B}$, and $\text{card } A > 1$, $\text{card } B > 1$. Then we can find elements $a \in A$, $a \neq 0$, $b \in B$, $b \neq 0$ and thus $a \neq b$. We have $(0, a, b) \in C$ or $(b, a, 0) \in C$. Elements 0 , a , b are uniquely expressed in the following forms: $0 = 0 + 0$, $a = a + 0$, $b = 0 + b$. Hence $a = 0$, a contradiction. □

From 4.3 and 3.9, it immediately follows

4.4. THEOREM. *Let G, H be cyclically ordered groups such that $G \simeq H$, and let all G_i, H_j ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) be lc-groups. Suppose that*

$$G = G_1 \circ G_2 \circ \dots \circ G_n, \quad H = H_1 \circ H_2 \circ \dots \circ H_m.$$

Then $m = n$ and $G_i \simeq H_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$).

In the next, we investigate relations between a directed group $(G, +, \leq)$ and a cyclically ordered group $(G, +, C_{\leq})$.

The definitions of a linearly ordered group (directed group) and an lc-group (dc-group) are similar. If $(G, +, \leq)$, $G \neq \{0\}$ is a linearly ordered group, then $(G, +, C_{\leq})$ is an lc-group.

On the other hand, if $(G, +, \leq)$ is a directed group which is not linearly ordered, then a cyclically ordered group $(G, +, C_{\leq})$ fails to be a dc-group.

4.5. LEMMA. *Let $(G, +, \leq)$ be a partially ordered group, and let $G = (G, +, C_{\leq})$ be a cyclically ordered group. If $G = A \circ B$, $\text{card } A > 1$, $\text{card } B > 1$, then either A or B is isolated.*

Proof. Assume that A is not isolated. Then there are elements $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in A$ with $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in C$. Hence $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$ or $a_2 < a_3 < a_1$ or $a_3 < a_1 < a_2$. Therefore there is $a \in A$, $a > 0$.

We have to prove that B is isolated. Suppose (by way of contradiction) that B is not isolated. In an analogous way we prove that there is an element $b \in B$, $b > 0$, and so $0 < a < a + b$. Whence $(0, a, a + b) \in C_{\leq}$. From this we infer that $a = 0$, which is a contradiction. \square

4.6. THEOREM. *Let $(G, +, \leq)$ be a directed group and let G be as in 4.5. Then B is isolated.*

Proof. With respect to 4.5, it suffices to show that A is not isolated. Since $\text{card } A > 1$, there exists $a \in A$, $a \neq 0$.

Since G is directed, there are $x, y \in G$ with $0 < x < y$, $a < x < y$.

Then

- (1) $(0, x, y) \in C_{\leq}$,
- (2) $(a, x, y) \in C_{\leq}$.

Let $x = a_1 + b_1$, $y = a_2 + b_2$, $a_i \in A$, $b_i \in B$ ($i = 1, 2$). Suppose that $a = a_1$. By (2), $a = a_1 = a_2$. Then (1) implies that $a_2 = a_1 = 0$, which contradicts the fact that $a \neq 0$. Therefore $a \neq a_1$. Then from (2) it follows that $(a, a_1, a_2) \in C_{\leq}$. \square

In 4.5 and 4.6, G is not a dc-group. There exists a cyclically ordered group K which is not a dc-group such that $K = G_1 \circ H_1$, and neither G_1 nor H_1 is isolated.

It is easy to verify that 4.5 and 4.6 imply the following assertion.

4.7. THEOREM. *Let $(G, +, \leq)$ be a partially ordered (directed) group, and let $\mathbf{G} = (G, +, C_{\leq})$ be a cyclically ordered group. If $(G, +, \leq) = A \circ B$, $\text{card } A > 1$, $\text{card } B > 1$, then $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{B}$ if and only if either \mathbf{A} or \mathbf{B} is isolated (\mathbf{B} is isolated).*

REFERENCES

- [1] BIRKHOFF, G.: *Lattice Theory* (3rd edition), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1967.
- [2] FUCHS, L.: *Partially Ordered Algebraic Systems*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1963.
- [3] JAKUBÍK, J.: *Lexicographic products of partially ordered groupoids* (Russian), Czechoslovak Math. J. **14** (1964), 281–305.
- [4] JAKUBÍK, J.—PRINGEROVÁ, G.: *Representation of cyclically ordered groups*, Časopis Pěst. Mat. **113** (1988), 184–196.
- [5] JAKUBÍK, J.—PRINGEROVÁ, G.: *Radical classes of cyclically ordered groups*, Math Slovaca **38** (1988), 255–268.
- [6] JAKUBÍK, J.: *On extended cyclic orders*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **44** (1994), 661–675.
- [7] RIEGER, L.: *On ordered and cyclically ordered groups I; II; III* (Czech), Věstník Král Českého Spol. Nauk (1946; 1947; 1948), 1–31; 1–33; 1–26.
- [8] SWIERCZOWSKI, S.: *On cyclically ordered groups*, Fund. Math. **47** (1959), 161–166.
- [9] ZABARINA, A. J.: *On a theory of cyclically ordered groups* (Russian), Mat. Zametki **31** (1982), 3–12.
- [10] ZABARINA, A. J.: *On linear and cyclic orders in a group* (Russian), Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **26** (1985), 204–207.
- [11] ZABARINA, A. J.—PESTOV, G. G.: *On a theorem of Swierczkowski* (Russian), Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **25** (1984), 46–53.
- [12] ŽELEVA, S. D.: *On cyclically ordered groups* (Russian), Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **17** (1976), 1046–1051.
- [13] ŽELEVA, S. D.: *Half-homogeneous cyclically ordered groups* (Russian), Godishnik Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Prilozhna Mat. **17** (1981), 123–136.
- [14] ŽELEVA, S. D.: *Cyclically and T-like ordered groups* (Russian), Godishnik Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Prilozhna Mat. **17** (1981), 137–149.

Received November 13, 1992

Revised April 2, 1993

*Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Civil Engineering
Technical University
Vysokoškolská 4
SK – 042 02 Košice
Slovakia*