

Gerald Kuba

On the circle problem with general weight

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 51 (2001), No. 1, 45--57

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/130576>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 2001

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON THE CIRCLE PROBLEM WITH GENERAL WEIGHT

GERALD KUBA

(Communicated by Stanislav Jakubec)

ABSTRACT. Let $R(\omega; a, b, r)$ be the number of lattice points that lie within a circle with center (a, b) and radius r , each lattice point (x, y) counted with weight $\omega(x, y)$. In this article, which continues earlier research, an asymptotic evaluation of $R(\omega; a, b, r)$ is given where the error estimation is uniform in the three circle parameters a, b, r and the weight function ω .

1. Introduction and statement of results

For $a, b, r \in \mathbb{R}$, $r \geq 1$, consider the disc $D = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2 \leq r^2\}$, and for a real valued function ω with $D(a, b; r) := D \subset \text{dom } \omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ let

$$R(\omega; a, b; r) = \sum_{(x, y) \in D \cap \mathbb{Z}^2} \omega(x, y).$$

In the present paper we are going to study the asymptotic behaviour of the function $R(\omega; a, b; r)$. The error estimates of the expansion of $R(\omega; a, b; r)$ shall be uniform on the parameter domain $\{(a, b; r) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [1, \infty[: D(a, b; r) \subset \text{dom } \omega\}$.

In previous articles [5], [6] we have studied the special case that the function ω is a polynomial. Now we are going to present a general result and apply it to special weight functions. A first result is the following proposition.

PROPOSITION. Let $\omega : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and let $\omega(\cdot, y)$ be piecewise monotonic on $I_y := \{x : (x, y) \in D\}$ for all $y \in [b-r, b+r] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore assume that the function $F(y) := \int_{I_y} \omega(\xi, y) \, d\xi$ is piecewise monotonic

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11P21; Secondary 11N37.

Key words: lattice point, asymptotic evaluation.

on $b - r \leq y \leq b + r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R(\omega; a, b; r) &= \\ &= \iint_D \omega(x, y) \, d(x, y) + O\left(r^{\frac{46}{73}} (\log r)^{\frac{315}{146}} |\min_D \omega|\right) + O\left(r \left| \max_D \omega - \min_D \omega \right|\right). \end{aligned}$$

The O -constants are absolute.

Remark. The number of “pieces” of $\omega(\cdot, y)$, $F(\cdot)$ and all other piecewise monotonic functions occurring in this paper is assumed to be absolutely bounded throughout.

The second monotony-condition in the Proposition is rather technical and possibly hard to check in concrete situations. This problem can be facilitated and a better error estimate can be obtained if additional assumptions on ω are made as it is done in the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. Let $\omega: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1 -function and assume that $\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}(\cdot, y)$ and $\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y}(x, \cdot)$ are piecewise monotonic for all $y \in [b - r, b + r] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ and for all $x \in [a - r, a + r]$, respectively. Furthermore assume that the functions $B(\theta) := \omega(a + r \cos \theta, b + r \sin \theta)$ and $B_1(\theta) := (\tan \theta) \left(B(\theta) - \min_D \omega \right)$ are piecewise monotonic on $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \frac{3\pi}{2}$. Then (with absolute O -constants)

$$\begin{aligned} R(\omega; a, b; r) &= \iint_D \omega(x, y) \, d(x, y) + O\left(r^{\frac{46}{73}} (\log r)^{\frac{315}{146}} |\min_D \omega|\right) \\ &\quad + O\left(r^{\frac{2}{3}} \left| \max_D \omega - \min_D \omega \right|\right) + O\left(r \left(\max_D \left| \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} \right| + \max_D \left| \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y} \right| \right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial D = \{(a + r \cos \theta, b + r \sin \theta) : 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\}$ is the boundary of D .

In the special case that on the one hand the center (a, b) of the circle is always a lattice point and on the other hand the weight ω is *rotationally symmetric*, the error estimations of Theorem 1 can be improved in the following way.

THEOREM 2. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in [1, \infty[$, let ω be defined on $D(a, b; r)$ by $\omega(x, y) = f((x - a)^2 + (y - b)^2)$, where $f: [0, r^2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuously differentiable and piecewise monotonic. Then (with an absolute O -constant)

$$R(\omega; a, b; r) = \iint_D \omega(x, y) \, d(x, y) + O\left(r^{\frac{46}{73}} (\log r)^{\frac{315}{146}} \max_D |\omega|\right):$$

Remark. The assumption that f is piecewise monotonic is nearby since it follows from an assumption in Theorem 1. Actually, if $\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}(\cdot, y)$ is assumed to be piecewise monotonic for $y = b$, then $\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}(x, b) = 2(x - a)f'((x - a)^2)$ has only $O(1)$ points of zero and thus $f((x - a)^2) = f(0) + 2 \int_a^x (\xi - a)f'((\xi - a)^2) d\xi$ is piecewise monotonic on $a \leq x \leq a + r$, therefore $f(u)$ is piecewise monotonic on $0 \leq u \leq r^2$.

2. Applications

A natural application of Theorem 1 is one to weight functions F that are *generalized polynomials*, i.e.

$$F(x, y) = \sum_{(k,l) \in M} A_{k,l} x^{\alpha_k} y^{\beta_l},$$

where $M \subset \mathbb{N}^2$ is finite and the coefficients $A_{k,l}$ and the exponents α_k, β_l are arbitrary real numbers.

For the special case that $\alpha_k, \beta_l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, i.e. that $F \in \mathbb{R}[X, Y]$, we refer to [6], where a different approach leads to better results.

Since

$$R(F(x, y); a, b; r) = \sum_{(k,l) \in M} A_{k,l} R(x^{\alpha_k} y^{\beta_l}; a, b; r),$$

it is sufficient to consider $R(f; a, b; r)$, where $f(x, y) = x^\alpha y^\beta$ with arbitrary real α, β .

Since $R(x^\alpha y^\beta; a, b; r) = R(x^\beta y^\alpha; b, a; r)$, we may assume w.l.o.g. that $\alpha \geq \beta$. Furthermore, for the sake of simplicity we consider only the case that $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$.

The following corollary deals with the case that $\beta = 0$.

COROLLARY 1. *For arbitrary $\alpha > 0$ we have for $r \rightarrow \infty$ (uniformly in the region $a - r \geq 0$ if $\alpha \geq 1$, and uniformly in the region $a - r \geq 1$ if $\alpha < 1$),*

$$\sum_{\substack{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2 \leq r^2}} x^\alpha \sim \iint_{(x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2 \leq r^2} x^\alpha d(x, y).$$

More precisely,

$$\sum_{\substack{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2 \leq r^2}} x^\alpha = \iint_{(x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2 \leq r^2} x^\alpha d(x, y) + \Delta(a, r),$$

where $\Delta(a, r)$ can be estimated as follows.

(i) If $\alpha \geq 1$, then for $a \geq r$,

$$\Delta(a, r) \ll (a - r)^\alpha r^{\frac{46}{73}} (\log r)^{\frac{315}{146}} + a^{\alpha-1} r^{\frac{5}{3}} \ll r^{\frac{2}{3}} a^\alpha.$$

(ii) If $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$, then for $a \geq r + 1$,

$$\Delta(a, r) \ll (a - r)^\alpha r^{\frac{46}{73}} (\log r)^{\frac{315}{146}} + r^{\frac{2}{3}} \min \left\{ a^\alpha, \frac{r}{a^\alpha} \right\} + \frac{r}{(a - r)^{1-\alpha}}.$$

(iii) If $1/2 \leq \alpha < 1$, then for $a \geq r + 1$,

$$\Delta(a, r) \ll (a - r)^\alpha r^{\frac{46}{73}} (\log r)^{\frac{315}{146}} + \frac{r^{\frac{5}{3}}}{a^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{r}{(a - r)^{1-\alpha}}.$$

P r o o f. Theorem 1 yields (since $a + r \asymp a$)

$$\Delta(a, r) \ll (a - r)^\alpha r^{\frac{46}{73}} (\log r)^{\frac{315}{146}} + r^{\frac{2}{3}} T + C,$$

where $C = ra^{\alpha-1}$ if $\alpha \geq 1$, and $C = r(a - r)^{\alpha-1}$ if $0 < \alpha < 1$, and

$$T = (a + r)^\alpha - (a - r)^\alpha = 2\alpha r(a + \varepsilon r)^{\alpha-1} \quad (\varepsilon = \varepsilon(a, r) \in]-1, 1[).$$

Thus for $\alpha \geq 1$, $T \leq 2\alpha r(a + r)^{\alpha-1} \ll ra^{\alpha-1} \leq a^\alpha$. This proves (i). If $0 < \alpha < 1$, we compute

$$T = \frac{(a + r)^{2\alpha} - (a - r)^{2\alpha}}{(a + r)^\alpha + (a - r)^\alpha} \leq 4\alpha r \frac{(a + \varepsilon r)^{2\alpha-1}}{(a + r)^\alpha} \quad (|\varepsilon| < 1).$$

Thus we obtain

$$T \ll r(a + r)^{\alpha-1} \leq ra^{\alpha-1} \quad \text{if } \alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$T \ll r(a + r)^{-\alpha} \leq ra^{-\alpha} \quad \text{if } \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Of course, $T \ll a^\alpha$ is still true and this proves (ii) and (iii).

Finally, $\iint_D x^\alpha d(x, y) \geq a^\alpha r^2 \pi/2$, and this concludes the proof of Corollary 1. □

Now we consider the case that $\alpha \geq \beta > 0$.

COROLLARY 2. For $\alpha \geq \beta > 0$ we have for $r \rightarrow \infty$ (uniformly in the region $a \geq r + \delta(\alpha)$, $b \geq r + \delta(\beta)$, with $\delta(z) = 0$ for $z \geq 1$ and $\delta(z) = 1$ for $0 < z < 1$),

$$\sum_{\substack{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2 \leq r^2}} x^\alpha y^\beta \sim \iint_{(x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2 \leq r^2} x^\alpha y^\beta d(x, y).$$

More precisely,

$$\sum_{\substack{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2 \leq r^2}} x^\alpha y^\beta = \iint_{(x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2 \leq r^2} x^\alpha y^\beta d(x, y) + \Delta(a, b; r),$$

where $\Delta(a, b; r)$ can be estimated as follows.

(i) If $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$, then for $a, b \geq r$,

$$\Delta(a, b; r) \ll r^{\frac{46}{73}} (\log r)^{\frac{315}{146}} a^\alpha b^\beta + r^{\frac{5}{3}} (a^\alpha b^{\beta-1} + a^{\alpha-1} b^\beta).$$

(ii) If $1/2 \leq \beta \leq 1 \leq \alpha$, then for $a \geq r$ and $b \geq r + 1$,

$$\Delta(a, b; r) \ll r^{\frac{46}{73}} (\log r)^{\frac{315}{146}} a^\alpha b^\beta + r^{\frac{5}{3}} (a^\alpha b^{\beta-1} + a^{\alpha-1} b^\beta) + \frac{ra^\alpha}{(b-r)^{1-\beta}}.$$

(iii) If $1/2 \leq \beta \leq \alpha \leq 1$, then for $a, b \geq r + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(a, b; r) \ll \\ & \ll r^{\frac{46}{73}} (\log r)^{\frac{315}{146}} a^\alpha b^\beta + r^{\frac{5}{3}} (a^\alpha b^{\beta-1} + a^{\alpha-1} b^\beta) + r \left(\frac{a^\alpha}{(b-r)^{1-\beta}} + \frac{b^\beta}{(a-r)^{1-\alpha}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) If $0 < \beta \leq 1/2 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, then for $a, b \geq r + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(a, b; r) \ll \\ & \ll r^{\frac{46}{73}} (\log r)^{\frac{315}{146}} a^\alpha b^\beta + r^{\frac{5}{3}} \left(\frac{a^\alpha}{b^\beta} + a^{\alpha-1} b^\beta \right) + r \left(\frac{a^\alpha}{(b-r)^{1-\beta}} + \frac{b^\beta}{(a-r)^{1-\alpha}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

(v) If $0 < \beta \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$, then for $a, b \geq r + 1$,

$$\Delta(a, b; r) \ll r^{\frac{46}{73}} (\log r)^{\frac{315}{146}} a^\alpha b^\beta + r^{\frac{5}{3}} \left(\frac{a^\alpha}{b^\beta} + \frac{b^\beta}{a^\alpha} \right) + r \left(\frac{a^\alpha}{(b-r)^{1-\beta}} + \frac{b^\beta}{(a-r)^{1-\alpha}} \right).$$

Proof. For $f(x, y) = x^\alpha y^\beta$ let

$$A = \max_D f, \quad B = \min_D f, \quad C = \max_D \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right|, \quad D = \max_D \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right|.$$

Furthermore let $T = (a+r)^\alpha(b+r)^\beta - (a-r)^\alpha(b-r)^\beta$. We have $A \leq (a+r)^\alpha(b+r)^\beta$, $(a-r)^\alpha(b-r)^\beta \leq B \leq (a+r)^\alpha(b+r)^\beta$, thus $A - B \leq T$, and $C \leq \alpha(a+r)^{\alpha-1}(b+r)^\beta$ for $\alpha \geq 1$, $C \leq \alpha(a-r)^{\alpha-1}(b+r)^\beta$ for $\alpha < 1$, $D \leq \beta(a+r)^\alpha(b+r)^{\beta-1}$ for $\beta \geq 1$, $D \leq \beta(a+r)^\alpha(b-r)^{\beta-1}$ for $\beta < 1$. Furthermore

$$T = 2r(\alpha(a+\varepsilon r)^{\alpha-1}(b+\varepsilon r)^\beta + \beta(a+\varepsilon r)^\alpha(b+\varepsilon r)^{\beta-1}) \quad (|\varepsilon| < 1),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{(a+r)^{2\alpha}(b+r)^{2\beta} - (a-r)^{2\alpha}(b-r)^{2\beta}}{(a+r)^\alpha(b+r)^\beta + (a-r)^\alpha(b-r)^\beta} \\ &\ll ra^{-\alpha}b^{-\beta}((a+\varepsilon r)^{2\alpha-1}(b+\varepsilon r)^{2\beta} + (a+\varepsilon r)^{2\alpha}(b+\varepsilon r)^{2\beta-1}) \quad (|\varepsilon| < 1). \end{aligned}$$

Now it is straightforward to check clauses (i) to (v) and this proves Corollary 2. \square

We conclude this section with an example where ω is defined on \mathbb{R}^2 , but ω is not a polynomial.

COROLLARY 3. *Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$ be fixed. Then for $r \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2 \leq r^2}} |x|^\alpha |y|^\beta \\ &= \iint_{(x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2 \leq r^2} |x|^\alpha |y|^\beta d(x,y) + O(r^{\alpha+\beta+\frac{2}{3}}) + O(r^{\alpha+1}) + O(r^{\beta+1}) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in $a, b \ll r$.

Proof. Again we may assume w.l.o.g. that $\alpha \geq \beta$. For $\omega(x,y) = |x|^\alpha |y|^\beta$ there is no problem with the conditions of Theorem 1 if $\alpha, \beta > 1$. Otherwise, we consider the weight

$$\omega_1(x,y) = \begin{cases} |x|^\alpha g(y) & \text{if } \alpha > 1 \geq \beta > 0, \\ f(x)g(y) & \text{if } 1 > \alpha \geq \beta > 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^\alpha & \text{if } |x| \geq 1, \\ \frac{\alpha}{2}x^2 + 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} & \text{if } |x| \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad g(y) = \begin{cases} |y|^\beta & \text{if } |y| \geq 1, \\ \frac{\beta}{2}y^2 + 1 - \frac{\beta}{2} & \text{if } |y| \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then ω_1 is continuously differentiable (and fulfills all the other conditions of Theorem 1, too) and $\iint_D \omega_1 = \iint_D \omega + O(r^{\alpha+1}) + O(r^{\beta+1})$ and $\sum_D \omega_1 = \sum_D \omega + O(r^{\alpha+1}) + O(r^{\beta+1})$. We have $0 \leq \min_D \omega_1 \ll 1$, $0 \leq \max_D \omega \leq r^\alpha r^\beta$, and

$$\max_D \left| \frac{\partial \omega_1}{\partial x} \right| + \max_D \left| \frac{\partial \omega_1}{\partial y} \right| \ll r^{\alpha+\beta-1} + r^{\alpha-1} + r^{\beta-1} + 1.$$

This proves Corollary 3. □

Remark. If the center coordinates a, b are not bounded by r , then results similar to Corollary 1 and Corollary 2 can be obtained. On the other hand, if we substitute $x^\alpha y^\beta$ by $\omega_1(x, y)$ from above, Corollary 1 and Corollary 2 can be adapted in a way that the error estimates are uniform in $a, b \geq r$. Specifically, the asymptotic equivalence between the double sum and the double integral is always uniform in the region $a, b \geq r$.

3. Preparation of the proof Some lemmata

Let the rounding error functions ψ and ψ_1 be defined by

$$\psi(z) = z - [z] - \frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_1(z) = \begin{cases} \psi(z) & \iff z \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ 1/2 & \iff z \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases} \quad (z \in \mathbb{R})$$

throughout the paper. ($[\]$ are the Gauss brackets.)

LEMMA 1. (Abelian summation) *For arbitrary $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}$, $P \leq Q$ and $g, h: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,*

$$\sum_{k=P}^Q g(k)h(k) = g(Q) \sum_{k=P}^Q h(k) + \sum_{l=P}^{Q-1} (g(l) - g(l+1)) \sum_{k=P}^l h(k).$$

LEMMA 2. (Euler summation formula, cf. [2], [4]) *For every real valued continuous and piecewise monotonic function f on $[\alpha, \beta] \subset \mathbb{R}$,*

(i)

$$\sum_{\alpha \leq k \leq \beta} f(k) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(t) dt + O\left(\max_{\alpha \leq t \leq \beta} |f(t)|\right).$$

If f is continuous on $[\alpha, \beta]$ and continuously differentiable on $] \alpha, \beta[$, then

(ii)

$$\sum_{\alpha \leq k \leq \beta} f(k) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(t) dt + \psi_1(\alpha)f(\alpha) - \psi(\beta)f(\beta) + \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \psi(t)f'(t) dt.$$

LEMMA 3. (van der Corput, cf. [1], [4]) *Let f be a real valued function, twice continuously differentiable on $[\alpha, \beta] \subset \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, let f'' be monotonic and nonzero on $[\alpha, \beta]$. Then for $\varphi \in \{\psi, \psi_1\}$,*

$$\sum_{\alpha \leq k \leq \beta} \varphi(f(k)) \ll \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |f''(t)|^{\frac{1}{3}} dt + |f''(\alpha)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} + |f''(\beta)|^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

where the \ll -constant is absolute.

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of the second mean value theorem.

LEMMA 4. *Let f be a real valued function, continuous and piecewise monotonic on $[\alpha, \beta] \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then for $\varphi \in \{\psi, \psi_1\}$,*

$$\left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \varphi(t) f(t) dt \right| \leq \frac{c}{4} \max_{\alpha \leq t \leq \beta} |f(t)|,$$

where c is the number of monotonic pieces of f .

4. Proof of the Proposition and Theorem 1

We write

$$R(\omega; a, b; r) = \sum_{b-r \leq y \leq b+r} \sum_{\alpha(y) \leq x \leq \beta(y)} g(x, y) + \left(\min_D \omega \right) (\#(D \cap \mathbb{Z}^2)),$$

where

$$\alpha(y) = a - \sqrt{r^2 - (y - b)^2}, \quad \beta(y) = a + \sqrt{r^2 - (y - b)^2},$$

and

$$g(x, y) = \omega(x, y) - \min_D \omega.$$

Note that $(\alpha(y), y), (\beta(y), y) \in \partial D$ for all $y \in [b - r, b + r]$.

It is well known that (with an absolute O -constant)

$$\#(D(a, b; r) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2) = r^2 \pi + O\left(r^{\frac{46}{73}} (\log r)^{\frac{315}{146}}\right).$$

This deep result was proved by Huxley in 1993. A proof can be found in [3; Theorem 18.3.2].

Now we apply twice Lemma 2(i) to the above double sum and, since $\max |g| = |\max \omega - \min \omega|$ and $\iint_D d(x, y) = r^2 \pi$ this proves the Proposition.

In order to prove Theorem 1 we apply Lemma 2(ii) and compute

$$\sum \sum g = \sum_{b-r \leq y \leq b+r} \left(\int_{\alpha(y)}^{\beta(y)} g(x, y) dx + \int_{\alpha(y)}^{\beta(y)} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}(\xi, y) \psi(\xi) d\xi \right) + S_1 - S_2,$$

where

$$S_1 = \sum_{b-r \leq y \leq b+r} g(\alpha(y), y) \psi_1(\alpha(y)) \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = \sum_{b-r \leq y \leq b+r} g(\beta(y), y) \psi(\beta(y)).$$

First we estimate the sums S_1 and S_2 . For $l \in [b-r, b+r] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$\Psi_1(l) = \sum_{b-r \leq y \leq l} \psi_1 \left(a - \sqrt{r^2 - (y-b)^2} \right).$$

Then, by Lemma 1,

$$S_1 = g(\alpha([b+r]), [b+r]) \Psi_1([b+r]) + \sum_{b-r \leq l \leq b+r-1} (g(\alpha(l), l) - g(\alpha(l+1), l+1)) \Psi_1(l).$$

Since ω is piecewise monotonic on the boundary of D , $g(\alpha(\cdot), \cdot)$ is piecewise monotonic, too, and $g(\alpha(l), l) - g(\alpha(l+1), l+1)$ changes its sign only $O(1)$ times. Thus

$$S_1 \ll \left(\max_{\partial D} |g| \right) \left(\max_{b-r \leq y \leq b+r} |\Psi_1(l)| \right).$$

Now we apply van der Corput's Method (Lemma 3) on $\Psi_1(l)$ for every l and obtain $\Psi_1(l) \ll r^{2/3}$ uniformly in l , and this yields

$$S_1 \ll r^{2/3} \left(\max_{\partial D} |g| \right) = r^{2/3} \left| \max_D \omega - \min_D \omega \right|.$$

The sum S_2 can be treated analogously and the same estimate is obtained. Now we concentrate on the integrals. By Lemma 4 we have

$$\sum_{b-r \leq y \leq b+r} \int_{\alpha(y)}^{\beta(y)} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}(\xi, y) \psi(\xi) d\xi \ll r \max_D \left| \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} \right|.$$

By Lemma 2(ii),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{b-r \leq y \leq b+r} \int_{\alpha(y)}^{\beta(y)} g(x, y) dx \\ &= \iint_D g(x, y) d(x, y) + \int_{b-r}^{b+r} \left(\frac{d}{dy} \int_{\alpha(y)}^{\beta(y)} g(x, y) dx \right) \psi(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

since $\alpha(b \pm r) = a = \beta(b \pm r)$. Now for $b - r < y < b + r$,

$$\frac{d}{dy} \int_{\alpha(y)}^{\beta(y)} g(x, y) dx = \int_{\alpha(y)}^{\beta(y)} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y}(x, y) dx - \frac{y - b}{\sqrt{r^2 - (y - b)^2}} (g(\alpha(y), y) + g(\beta(y), y)).$$

We have, by Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{b-r}^{b+r} \int_{\alpha(y)}^{\beta(y)} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y}(x, y) \psi(y) dx dy \\ &= \int_{a-r}^{a+r} \int_{b-\sqrt{r^2-(x-a)^2}}^{b+\sqrt{r^2-(x-a)^2}} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y}(x, y) \psi(y) dy dx \ll r \max_D \left| \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore we state that

$$\int_{b-r}^{b+r} \frac{y - b}{\sqrt{r^2 - (y - b)^2}} (g(\alpha(y), y) + g(\beta(y), y)) \psi(y) dy \ll \sqrt{r} \max_{\partial D} |g|.$$

In order to verify this we divide the integral in three parts,

$$\int_{b-r}^{b+r} \dots dy = \int_{b-r}^{b-r+1} \dots dy + \int_{b-r+1}^{b+r-1} \dots dy + \int_{b+r-1}^{b+r} \dots dy.$$

The absolute value of the first and of the third integral, respectively, is

$$\leq 2 \left(\max_{\partial D} |g| \right) \int_{b+r-1}^{b+r} \frac{y - b}{\sqrt{r^2 - (y - b)^2}} dy = 2 \left(\max_{\partial D} |g| \right) \sqrt{2r - 1}.$$

The second integral is, by Lemma 4,

$$\ll \left(\max_{b-r+1 \leq y \leq b+r-1} \left| \frac{y - b}{\sqrt{r^2 - (y - b)^2}} \right| \right) \left(\max_{\partial D} |g| \right) \ll \sqrt{r} \max_{\partial D} |g|,$$

provided that

$$\frac{y - b}{\sqrt{r^2 - (y - b)^2}} g(\alpha(y), y) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{y - b}{\sqrt{r^2 - (y - b)^2}} g(\beta(y), y)$$

are both piecewise monotonic on $b - r + 1 \leq y \leq b + r - 1$.

But exactly this is the assumption on the function B_1 in Theorem 1, since for $\theta = \arcsin\left(\frac{y-b}{r}\right)$,

$$\frac{y-b}{\sqrt{r^2 - (y-b)^2}} = \tan \theta = -\tan(2\pi - \theta)$$

and

$$(\beta(y), y) = (a + r \cos \theta, b + r \sin \theta)$$

and

$$(\alpha(y), y) = (a + r \cos(2\pi - \theta), b + r \sin(2\pi - \theta)).$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

5. Proof and application of Theorem 2

Let $\omega(x, y) = f((x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2)$. Since $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can write

$$R(\omega(x, y), a, b; r) = R(f(x^2 + y^2), 0, 0; r) = f(0) + \sum_{1 \leq n \leq r^2} f(n)r(n),$$

where, as usual, $r(n) = \#\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = n\}$.

By

$$\sum_{n \leq T} r(n) = \#\left(D(0, 0; \sqrt{T}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2\right) = \pi T + O\left(T^{\frac{23}{73}} (\log T)^{\frac{315}{146}}\right)$$

and Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq n \leq r^2} f(n)r(n) \\ &= f([r^2]) \sum_{1 \leq n \leq r^2} r(n) + \sum_{1 \leq l \leq r^2-1} (f(l) - f(l+1)) \sum_{k=1}^l r(k) \\ &= f([r^2])[r^2]\pi + \pi \sum_{l=1}^{[r^2]-1} (lf(l) - (l+1)f(l+1) + f(l+1)) \\ & \quad + O\left(r^{\frac{46}{73}} (\log r)^{\frac{315}{146}} f([r^2])\right) + O\left(r^{\frac{46}{73}} (\log r)^{\frac{315}{146}} \sum_{1 \leq l \leq r^2-1} |f(l) - f(l+1)|\right) \\ &= \pi \sum_{1 \leq n \leq r^2} f(n) + O\left(r^{\frac{46}{73}} (\log r)^{\frac{315}{146}} \max_{u \leq r^2} |f(u)|\right), \end{aligned}$$

since f is piecewise monotonic.

Now, by the Euler summation formula,

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq r^2} f(n) = \sum_{0 < n \leq r^2} f(n) = \int_0^{r^2} f(u) \, du - \frac{1}{2}f(0) + \psi(r^2)f(r^2) + \int_0^{r^2} f'(u)\psi(u) \, du.$$

Since f' has only $O(1)$ points of zero, we obtain

$$\int_0^{r^2} f'(u)\psi(u) \, du \ll \max_{u \leq r^2} |f(u)|.$$

Furthermore,

$$\pi \int_0^{r^2} f(u) \, du = 2\pi \int_0^r f(\rho^2)\rho \, d\rho = \iint_D \omega(x, y) \, d(x, y),$$

and this completes the proof of Theorem 2.

We conclude this section with a formula that combines the number π with the functions $\log n$, $r(n)$, and Dirichlet's divisor function $d(n)$. ($d(n)$ is the number of positive divisors of the natural number n .)

By applying Theorem 2 (with $a = b = 0$) to the weight

$$\omega(x, y) = \begin{cases} \log(x^2 + y^2) & \text{if } x^2 + y^2 \geq 1, \\ x^2 + y^2 - 1 & \text{if } x^2 + y^2 \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq t} (\log n)r(n) = \pi t \log t - \pi t + O(t^{23/73+\epsilon}) \quad (t \rightarrow \infty).$$

Furthermore, it is well known that (cf. [2])

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq t} d(n) = t \log t + O(t) \quad (t \rightarrow \infty).$$

Thus the quotient of the main terms of the two expansions is exactly π and this yields a nice formula we conclude this article with.

FORMULA.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{1 \leq n \leq t} (\log n)r(n)}{\sum_{1 \leq n \leq t} d(n)} = \pi.$$

ON THE CIRCLE PROBLEM WITH GENERAL WEIGHT

REFERENCES

- [1] VAN DER CORPUT, J. G.: *Zahlentheoretische Abschätzungen mit Anwendungen auf Gitterpunktsprobleme*, Math. Z. **17** (1923), 250–259.
- [2] FRICKER, F.: *Einführung in die Gitterpunktlehre*, Birkhaeuser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Stuttgart, 1982.
- [3] HUXLEY, M. N.: *Area, Lattice Points and Exponential Sums*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1996.
- [4] KRÄTZEL, E.: *Lattice Points*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1988.
- [5] KUBA, G.: *On the circle problem with linear weight*, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hamburg **68** (1998), 1–8.
- [6] KUBA, G.: *On the circle problem with polynomial weight*, Math. Slovaca **49** (1999), 263–272.

Received June 17, 1999

*Institut für Mathematik u. a. St.
Universität für Bodenkultur
Gregor Mendel-Straße 33
A-1180 Wien
AUSTRIA
E-mail: kuba@edv1.boku.ac.at*