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Andrzej Walendziak

(Communicated by Anatolij Dvurečenskij)


#### Abstract

Some systems of axioms defining a $B$-algebra are given with a proof of the independence of the axioms. In addition, we obtain a simplified axiomatization of commutative $B$-algebras.


## 1. Introduction

$B$-algebras have been introduced by J. Neggers and H. S. Kim in [4]. They defined a $B$-algebra as an algebra $(A, *, 0)$ of type $(2,0)$ satisfying the following axioms:

A1. $x * x=0$,
A2. $x * 0=x$,
A3. $(x * y) * z=x *(z *(0 * y))$.
We will denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the class of all $B$-algebras. In [1], J. R. Cho and H. S. Kim proved that every $B$-algebra is a quasigroup. M. Kondo and Y. B. Jun [3] showed that the class $\mathcal{B}$ is equivalent in one sense to the class of groups. In [2], Y. B. Jun, E. H. R oh and H. S. Kim introduced the notion of $B H$-algebras, which is a generalization of $B C H / B C I / B C K$-algebras. Moreover, $\mathcal{B}$ is a proper subclass of the class of $B H$-algebras (cf. [4; Lemma 2.9]). For another useful generalization of $B$-algebras see [6].

## 2. Some axiomatizations of $B$-algebras

THEOREM 2.1. Let $(A,-,+, 0)$ be an algebra of type $(2,2,0)$ satisfying the following axioms:

B1. $x-x=0$,
B2. $x-0=x$,
B3. $(x-y)-z=x-(z+y)$,
B4. $x+y=x-(0-y)$.
Then $(A,-, 0)$ is a $B$-algebra.

Conversely, if $(A,-, 0) \in \mathcal{B}$, and if we define $x+y$ by $x *(0 * y)$, then $(A,-,+, 0)$ obeys the equations $\mathrm{B} 1-\mathrm{B} 4$.

Proof. Straightforward.
In [6], J. Neggers and H. S. Kim introduced the notion of $\beta$-algebras. They defined a $\beta$-algebra as an algebra $(A,-,+, 0)$ of type $(2,2,0)$ that obeys $\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{~B} 3$, and the following axiom:

$$
(0-x)+x=0 .
$$

It is easy to verify that if an algebra $(A,-,+, 0)$ satisfies $\mathrm{B} 1-\mathrm{B} 4$, then it is a $\beta$-algebra.
Theorem 2.2. Let $\mathbf{A}=(A, *, 0)$ be an algebra of type $(2,0)$. Then $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if $\mathbf{A}$ obeys the laws:

C1. $x * x=0$,
C2. $0 *(0 * x)=x$,
C3. $(x * z) *(y * z)=x * y$.
Proof. Suppose that $\mathbf{A}$ is a $B$-algebra. For each $x \in A$ we have $0 *(0 * x)$ $=x($ see $[4 ;$ Lemma 2.9]). Consequently, C2 is valid in A. By A3 we obtain

$$
(x * z) *(y * z)=x *[(y * z) *(0 * z)]=x *[y *((0 * z) *(0 * z))] .
$$

Hence applying A1 and A2 we get C3.
Conversely, assume that C1-C3 hold in A. Then we have

$$
x=0 *(0 * x)=(x * x) *(0 * x)=x * 0
$$

From this and from C3 we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x * y) *(0 * y)=x \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (1) with C3 we get

$$
x *(z *(0 * y))=[(x * y) *(0 * y)] *[z *(0 * y)]=(x * y) * z
$$

i.e., A3 holds. Therefore $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{B}$.

LEMMA 2.3. Let $(A, *, 0)$ be an algebra of type $(2,0)$ obeying the following laws:

D1. $x * x=0$,
D2. $x *\{[(0 * y) * z] *[(0 * x) * z]\}=y$.
Then:
(i) $x * 0=x$,
(ii) $0 *(0 * x)=x$,
(iii) $0 * x=0 * y \Longrightarrow x=y$,
(iv) $(x * y) *(0 * y)=x$,
(v) $x * y=0 *(y * x)$.

## Proof.

(i): To obtain (i), substitute $x$ for $y$ in D2 and then use D1.
(ii): Substituting $x=0, y=x$, and $z=0, \mathrm{D} 2$ becomes

$$
0 *\{[(0 * x) * 0] *[(0 * 0) * 0]\}=x
$$

Applying (i) we obtain (ii).
(iii) follows from (ii).
(iv): Let $a, b \in A$. Using D2 with $x=0, y=0 * a, z=b$ we have

$$
0 *\{[(0 *(0 * a)) * b] *[(0 * 0) * b]\}=0 * a
$$

Hence applying (i) and (ii) we conclude that

$$
0 *[(a * b) *(0 * b)]=0 * a
$$

That $(a * b) *(0 * b)=a$ follows from (iii).
(v): Let $a, b \in A$. Substituting $x=a, y=0 *(b * a), z=0$ in D2 we deduce that

$$
a *\{[(0 *(0 *(b * a))) * 0] *[(0 * a) * 0]\}=0 *(b * a)
$$

Then $a *[(b * a) *(0 * a)]=0 *(b * a)$. By (iv), $a * b=0 *(b * a)$, verifying (v).

Theorem 2.4. An algebra $\mathbf{A}=(A, *, 0)$ of type $(2,0)$ is a $B$-algebra if and only if the equations D1 and D2 are valid in $\mathbf{A}$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{A}$ satisfy D1 and D2. C1 holds in $\mathbf{A}$ by D1. From Lemma 2.3 (iii) we conclude that $\mathbf{A}$ obeys C2. If we let $x=a * c, y=a * b$ and $z=0 * a$ in D 2 , then we have

$$
(a * c) *\{[(0 *(a * b)) *(0 * a)] *[(0 *(a * c)) *(0 * a)]\}=a * b
$$

By Lemma 2.3,

$$
(0 *(a * b)) *(0 * a)=(b * a) *(0 * a)=b
$$

and similarly, $(0 *(a * c)) *(0 * a)=c$. Consequently,

$$
(a * c) *(b * c)=a * b
$$

This shows that $\mathbf{A}$ also satisfies C 3 . Then $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{B}$ by Theorem 2.2.
For the converse, suppose that $\mathbf{A}$ is a $B$-algebra. Obviously D1 is valid in $\mathbf{A}$. From Theorem 2.2 we see that C3 holds in $\mathbf{A}$, and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
[(0 * y) * z] *[(0 * x) * z]=(0 * y) *(0 * x) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
x *\{[(0 * y) * z] *[(0 * x) * z]\} & =x *[(0 * y) *(0 * x)] \\
& =(x * x) *(0 * y) \\
& =0 *(0 * y) &  \tag{byA1}\\
& =y &
\end{array}
$$

proving D2. The proof is finished.
Following J. Neggers and H. S. Kim [4] (see also [1]) we give:
DEFINITION 2.5. A $B$-algebra $(A, *, 0)$ is said to be 0 -commutative if $a *(0 * b)$ $=b *(0 * a)$ for all $a, b \in A$.

In [1], J. R. Cho and H. S. Kim showed that a $B$-algebra $\mathbf{A}=(A, *, 0)$ is 0 -commutative if and only if the equation
$\mathrm{C} 2^{\prime} y *(y * x)=x$
holds in $\mathbf{A}$.
From this and from Theorem 2.2 we have:
COROLLARY 2.6. An algebra $(A, *, 0)$ of type $(2,0)$ is a 0 -commutative $B$-algebra if and only if it obeys the laws $\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 2{ }^{\prime}$, and C 3 .

## 3. Proof of the independence of the axioms

The independence of the axioms A1, A2, and A3 was proved by J. Neggers and H. S. Kim in [4].

THEOREM 3.1. The axioms B1-B4 are independent, i.e., none of them can be deduced from the others.

Proof. We are going to give some examples of algebras in which only three of the axioms hold.

Let $A=\{0,1\}$. Define binary operations $\ominus$ and $\oplus$ on $A$ as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
x \ominus y=x & \text { for all } & x, y \in A \\
x \oplus y=0 & \text { for all } & x, y \in A .
\end{array}
$$

Then $(A, \ominus, \ominus, 0)$ fulfils the axioms $\mathrm{B} 2-\mathrm{B} 4$, but not B 1 , since $1 \ominus 1=1 \neq 0$. (Independence of B1.)

It is easily seen that $(A, \oplus, \oplus, 0)$ satisfies $\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{~B} 3$, and B 4 , but not B 2 (independence of B2).

Now we define the binary operations - and + on $A$ by the following table.

| $x$ | $y$ | $x-y$ | $x+y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

The equations $\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{~B} 2$, and B 4 are valid in $(A,-,+, 0)$, but B 3 does not hold because $(1-1)-0=0$, while $1-(0+1)=1$. (Independence of B 3 .)

Finally, let $\mathbf{A}=(A,-,+, 0)$ be the algebra, where - is given in the above table and + is defined by

$$
x+y= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x=y=0  \tag{3}\\ 1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Obviously, B1-B3 hold in A, while B4 does not (independence of B4).

## Theorem 3.2. The system of axioms $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 3$ is independent.

Proof. Let $A=\{0,1\}$. We use the table below in order to define $*, *$, and $\%$.

| $x$ | $y$ | $x * y$ | $x \circledast y$ | $x * y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

We can see that the algebra $(A, *, 0)$ satisfies $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$, but not C 1 . The axioms C 1 and C 3 hold in $(A, \circledast, 0)$, while C 2 does not. It is evident that $(A, *, 0)$ obeys C 1 and C 2 . The axiom C3 does not hold because $(0 * 1) *(1 * 1)=0$, while $0 * 1=1$ 。

Remark 3.3. It is easy to see that the axiom system D1-D2 of $B$-algebras is independent.
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