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# ASYMPTOTIC AND OSCILLATORY BEHAVIOUR OF SOLUTIONS OF CERTAIN SECOND ORDER NEUTRAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH FORCING TERM 

SVATOSLAV STANĚK


#### Abstract

Sufficient conditions are obtained for the oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour of solutions of the equation


$$
\left[a(t)\left(x^{\prime}(h(t))-p(t) g\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)\right]^{\prime}+f\left(t, x\left(\alpha_{0}(t)\right), x^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}(t)\right)\right)=e(t),
$$

where $-1<\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t)<1$ and $h(t)>t$.

## 1. Introduction

Consider the second order neutral delay differential equation $\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}=\langle 0, \infty)\right.$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a(t)\left(x^{\prime}(h(t))-p(t) g\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)\right]^{\prime}+f\left(t, x\left(\alpha_{0}(t)\right), x^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}(t)\right)\right)=e(t), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\quad a, p, e \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; \mathbb{R}\right), \quad g \in C^{0}(\mathbb{R} ; \mathbb{R}), \quad f \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{2} ; \mathbb{R}\right)$, $h, \alpha_{i} \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \quad-1<\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t)=: \gamma<1, \quad h(t)>t \quad$ on $\quad \mathbb{R}_{+}$, $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{i}(t)=\infty \quad(i=0,1)$.

By a solution $x$ of (1) we mean a function $x \in C^{1}\left(\left\langle T_{x}, \infty\right) ; \mathbb{R}\right)$ for some $T_{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $a(t)\left(x^{\prime}(h(t))-p(t) g\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)$ is continuously differentiable on the interval $\left\langle T_{x}, \infty\right)$ and such that (1) is satisfied for all $t \geqq T_{x}, \alpha_{i}(t) \geqq T_{x}$, ( $i=0,1$ ).

As it is customary, a solution $x$ of (1) is called oscillatory, if it has arbitrarily large zeros; otherwise it is called non-oscillatory.
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## SVATOSLAV STANĚK

This paper was motivated by recent papers [3] and [5], where the authors give some criteria for the asymptotic and oscillatory behaviour of solutions of the delay differential equation

$$
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+q(t) f\left(x\left(\sigma_{1}(t)\right)\right) g\left(x^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{2}(t)\right)\right)=e(t)
$$

and the neutral delay differential equation

$$
\left[a(t)(x(t)-p x(t-\tau))^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+q(t) f(x(t-\sigma))=0
$$

where $0 \leqq p<1$ is a constant, respectively. The purpose of this paper is to present a new criterion for the oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour of solutions of (1), which extends results in [3].

We observe that the oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour of solutions for second order and higher order neutral and non-neutral delay differential equations has been studied in many papers, e.g. [1]- [14], [17] - [19].

## 2. Notation, lemmas

We denote by $h^{[n]}$ for any integer $n(\geqq 0)$ the function defined inductively by $h^{[0]}(t)=t$ and $h^{[n]}(t)=h \circ h^{[n-1]}(t)$ for $n>0$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. One can readily check that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h^{[n]}(t)=\infty$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$(see e.g. [15]) and for each $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$,

$$
\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\langle h^{[n]}\left(t_{0}\right), h^{[n+1]}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\rangle .
$$

We shall assume that the functions $a, g, f, e$ satisfy some of the following assumptions:
$\left(H_{1}\right)$ There exists $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} a(t)=: A>0 ;$
$\left(H_{2}\right) \quad g(z)-z$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}$ and $\frac{z_{1}-z_{2}}{g\left(z_{1}\right)-g\left(z_{2}\right)}>|\gamma|$ for all $z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$, $z_{1} \neq z_{2} ;$
$\left(H_{3}\right) \quad f(t, y, z) y \geqq 0$ for all $(t, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$, and $f(t, \cdot, z)$ is nondecreasing on $\mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $(t, z) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}$;
$\left(H_{4}\right) \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} e(s) \mathrm{d} s$ is convergent.
Lemma 1. Let $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a number, $z:\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}(z(h(t))-p(t) g(z(t)))(=: b)$ exists. If assumption $\left(H_{2}\right)$ is fulfilled and $z$ is locally bounded on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t)$ exists.

Proof. Let assumption $\left(H_{2}\right)$ be fulfilled and let $z$ be locally bounded on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$. First we will prove $z$ is bounded on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Setting $u(t):=$
$z(h(t))-p(t) g(z(t))$ and $r(t):=u(t)+p(t)(g(z(t))-z(t))$ for $t \in\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)=b$ and since (cf. $\left.\left(H_{2}\right)\right) g(z(t))-z(t)$ is bounded on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$, we have $|r(t)| \leqq L$ for $t \geqq t_{0}$ with a positive constant $L$. Let $|p(t)| \leqq \varepsilon$ for $t \geqq t_{1}\left(\geqq t_{0}\right)$, where $|\gamma|<\varepsilon<1$. Using the equality

$$
z\left(h^{[2]}(t)\right)=r(h(t))+p(h(t)) r(t)+p(h(t)) p(t) z(t)
$$

we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
z\left(h^{[2 n]}(t)\right)= & r\left(h^{[2 n-1]}(t)\right)+p\left(h^{[2 n-1]}(t)\right) r\left(h^{[2 n-2]}(t)\right) \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{n-2}\left(r\left(h^{[2 k+1]}(t)\right)+p\left(h^{[2 k+1]}(t)\right) r\left(h^{[2 k]}(t)\right)\right) \prod_{j=2}^{2 n-1} p\left(h^{[j]}(t)\right) \\
& +z(t) \prod_{k=0}^{2 n-1} p\left(h^{[k]}(t)\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for $t \geqq t_{0}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geqq 2$. Hence

$$
\left|z\left(h^{[2 n]}(t)\right)\right| \leqq(1+\varepsilon) L+(1+\varepsilon) L \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varepsilon^{2(n-k-1)}+m \varepsilon^{2 n} \leqq \frac{L}{1-\varepsilon}+m
$$

for $t \in\left\langle t_{1}, h^{[2]}\left(t_{1}\right)\right\rangle, n \geqq 2$, where $m=\sup \left\{|z(t)| ; t_{1} \leqq t \leqq h^{[2]}\left(t_{1}\right)\right\}$ and consequently, $z$ is bounded on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$.

If $\gamma=0$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} z(h(t))=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}(u(t)+p(t) g(z(t)))=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)=b$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t)$ exists.

Let $\gamma \neq 0$ and let $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{t_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ be sequences of points in $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right), \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=$ $\infty=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha:=\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z\left(h^{[2]}\left(t_{n}\right)\right), \\
& \beta:=\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z\left(h^{[2]}\left(t_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the equality $z\left(h^{[2]}(t)\right)=u(h(t))+p(h(t)) g(u(t)+p(t) g(z(t)))$ and the fact that (cf. $\left.\left(H_{2}\right)\right) g$ is increasing on $\mathbb{R}$ (and then also $\gamma \cdot g(b+\gamma \cdot g(t))$ is increasing on $\mathbb{R}$ ) we obtain the following inequalities

$$
\alpha \leqq b+\gamma g(b+\gamma g(\alpha)), \quad \beta \geqq b+\gamma g(b+\gamma g(\beta))
$$

Then

$$
\alpha-\beta \leqq \gamma(g(b+\gamma g(\alpha))-g(b+\gamma g(\beta)))
$$

and if $\alpha \neq \beta, \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\gamma(g(b+\gamma g(\alpha))-g(b+\gamma g(\beta)))} \leqq 1$ which contradicts (cf. $\left.\left(H_{2}\right)\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\gamma(g(b+\gamma g(\alpha))-g(b+\gamma g(\beta)))} \\
& \quad=\frac{b+\gamma g(\alpha)-b-\gamma g(\beta)}{\gamma(g(b+\gamma g(\alpha))-g(b+\gamma g(\beta)))} \cdot \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\gamma(g(\alpha)-g(\beta))}>1
\end{aligned}
$$

Whence $\alpha=\beta$ that is $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t)$ exists.
Remark 1. From our Lemma 1 follow Lemma 1 in [16] and Lemma 1 in [20] (with $n=1$ ).

Lemma 2. Assume $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, c:\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded function and $z:\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such a function that $(u(t):=) a(t)(z(h(t))-p(t) g(z(t)))+c(t)$ is non-increasing on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)=-\infty$. If assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right)$ are fulfilled and $z$ is locally bounded on $\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t)=-\infty$.

Proof. Let assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right)$ be fulfilled and let $z$ be locally bounded on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Assume $a(t)>0$ for $t \geqq t_{1}\left(\geqq t_{0}\right)$ and for this $t$ define $r$ by $r(t)=(1 / a(t))(u(t)-b(t))$, where $b(t)=c(t)-a(t) p(t)(g(z(t))-z(t))$. Then $z(h(t))=r(t)+p(t) z(t), b$ is bounded on $\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right)$, say $|b(t)| \leqq B$ for $t \geqq t_{1}$, and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t)=-\infty$. Choose numbers $\varepsilon, t_{2},|\gamma|<\varepsilon<1, t_{2} \geqq t_{1}$ so that $|p(t)| \leqq \varepsilon, u(t)+B<0$ and

$$
\frac{(1+3 \varepsilon) A}{2(1+\varepsilon)} \leqq a(t) \leqq \frac{(3+\varepsilon) A}{2(1+\varepsilon)}
$$

for $t \geqq t_{2}$. Then

$$
\frac{2(1+\varepsilon)}{(1+3 \varepsilon) A}(u(t)-B) \leqq r(t) \leqq \frac{2(1+\varepsilon)}{(3+\varepsilon) A}(u(t)+B)
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& r\left(h^{[2 k+1]}(t)\right)+p\left(h^{[2 k+1]}(t)\right) r\left(h^{[2 k]}(t)\right) \\
& \quad \leqq \frac{2(1+\varepsilon)}{(3+\varepsilon) A}\left(u\left(h^{[2 k+1]}(t)\right)+B\right)-\varepsilon \frac{2(1+\varepsilon)}{(1+3 \varepsilon) A}\left(u\left(h^{[2 k]}(t)\right)-B\right)  \tag{3}\\
& \quad \leqq \frac{1-\varepsilon^{2}}{(3+\varepsilon) A} u\left(h^{[2 k+1]}(t)\right)+2 B / A
\end{align*}
$$

$\qquad$
for $t \geqq t_{2}$ and $k=0,1,2, \ldots$. Setting $m=\sup \left\{|z(t)| ; t_{2} \leqq t \leqq h^{[2]}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\}$ we have (cf. (2), (3))

$$
\begin{aligned}
z\left(h^{[2 n]}(t)\right) \leqq \frac{1-\varepsilon^{2}}{(3+\varepsilon) A} u & \left(h^{[2 n-1]}(t)\right)+2 B / A+\sum_{k=0}^{n-2}(2 B / A) \varepsilon^{2(n-k-1)}+m \\
& \leqq \frac{1-\varepsilon^{2}}{(3+\varepsilon) A} u\left(h^{[2 n-1]}(t)\right)+\left(2 B / A\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right)\right)+m
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \in\left\langle t_{2}, h^{[2]}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\rangle$ and $n \geqq 2$. Consequently, $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t)=-\infty$.

## 3. Results

Theorem 1. Suppose $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{4}\right)$ hold and for each $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon \neq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sign} \varepsilon \int_{0}^{\infty} f\left(s, \varepsilon \alpha_{0}(s), z \cdot \operatorname{sign} \varepsilon\right) \mathrm{d} s=\infty \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on $\langle | \varepsilon|, 2| \varepsilon\rangle$ with respect to $z$. Then every solution $x$ of (1) is either oscillatory or $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime}(t)=0$.

Proof. Let $x$ be a non-oscillatory solution of (1), say $x(t)>0$ for $t \geqq t_{1}(\geqq 0)$ and let $\alpha_{i}(t) \geqq t_{1}$ for $t \geqq t_{2}\left(\geqq t_{1}\right), i=0,1$. Then

$$
f\left(t, x\left(\alpha_{0}(t)\right), x^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}(t)\right)\right) \geqq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad t \geqq t_{2},
$$

hence

$$
\left[a(t)\left(x^{\prime}(h(t))-p(t) g\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)\right]^{\prime}-e(t) \leqq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad t \geqq t_{2}
$$

and

$$
a(t)\left(x^{\prime}(h(t))-p(t) g\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)-\int_{0}^{t} e(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

is a non-increasing function on $\left\langle t_{2}, \infty\right)$. Consequently, either

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\{a(t)\left(x^{\prime}(h(t))-p(t) g\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)-\int_{0}^{t} e(s) \mathrm{d} s\right\}=-\infty
$$

or $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} a(t)\left(x^{\prime}(h(t))-p(t) g\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)$ is finite. From Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 (with $\left.z=x^{\prime}, c(t)=-\int_{0}^{t} e(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)$ we infer either $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime}(t)=-\infty$ which contradicts

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)>0 \quad \text { for } \quad t \geqq t_{1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime}(t)$ is finite, say $d$.
If $d<0$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=-\infty$ which contradicts (5). Let $d>0$. Then there exists a $t_{3}\left(\geqq t_{2}\right)$ so that

$$
3 d / 4 \leqq x^{\prime}(t) \leqq 5 d / 4 \quad \text { for } \quad t \geqq t_{3}
$$

and $x(t) \geqq x\left(t_{3}\right)+3 d\left(t-t_{3}\right) / 4$ for $t \geqq t_{3}$. Hence $x(t) \geqq \varepsilon t$ for $t \geqq t_{4}\left(\geqq t_{3}\right)$ and $\varepsilon=5 d / 8$, which implies $x\left(\alpha_{0}(t)\right) \geqq \varepsilon \alpha_{0}(t)$ for $t \geqq t_{5}\left(\geqq t_{4}\right)$, where $t_{5}$ is a number with $\alpha_{i}(t) \geqq t_{4}$ for $t \geqq t_{5}(i=0,1)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(t, x\left(\alpha_{0}(t)\right), x^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}(t)\right)\right) \geqq f\left(t, \varepsilon \alpha_{0}(t), x^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}(t)\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \\
& {\left[a(t)\left(x^{\prime}(h(t))-p(t) g\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)\right]^{\prime} \leqq e(t)-f\left(t, \varepsilon \alpha_{0}(t), x^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}(t)\right)\right) \quad \text { for } \quad t \geqq t_{5}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varepsilon \leqq x^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}(t)\right) \leqq 2 \varepsilon$ for $t \geqq t_{5}$ using assumption (4) we get

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} a(t)\left(x^{\prime}(h(t))-p(t) g\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)=-\infty
$$

which contradicts

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(x^{\prime}(h(t))-p(t) g\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)=d-\gamma \dot{g}(d) \quad \text { and }\left(H_{1}\right)
$$

For the case $x(t)<0$ on a ray the proof is similar and therefore it is omitted.
Remark 2. Let $f(t, y, z)=q(t) k(y) m(z)$ for $(t, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$ with continuous functions $q, k, m$. If $q(t) \geqq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}_{+}, k(y) y \geqq 0$ for $y \in \mathbb{R}, k$ is non-decreasing on $\mathbb{R}, m(z)>0$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}-\{0\}$ and

$$
\operatorname{sign} \varepsilon \int_{0}^{\infty} q(t) k\left(\varepsilon \alpha_{0}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t=\infty
$$

for each $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon \neq 0$, then the statement of Theorem 1 holds.

Remark 3. The result of Theorem 1 can be extended to the equation of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[a(t)\left(x^{\prime}(h(t))-p(t) g\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)\right]^{\prime}} \\
& \quad+f\left(t, x(t), x\left(\alpha_{0}(t)\right), \ldots, x\left(\alpha_{n}(t)\right), x^{\prime}(t), x^{\prime}\left(\beta_{0}(t)\right), \ldots, x^{\prime}\left(\beta_{m}(t)\right)\right)=e(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

The following examples show if at least one of the assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{4}\right)$, (4) and $-1<\gamma<1$ is violated then the conclusion of Theorem 1 is false.

Example 1. Consider the neutral differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{e}^{-t}\left(x^{\prime}(t+1)+\mathrm{e}^{-1} x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right]^{\prime}+\frac{x(t)}{1+x^{\prime 2}(2 t)}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{t}}{1+\mathrm{e}^{4 t}} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

All assumptions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled except $\left(H_{1}\right)$. Equation (6) has a solution $x(t)=\mathrm{e}^{t}$.

Example 2. Consider the neutral differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{\prime}(t+1)-2 e^{1-2 t} x^{3}(t)\right)^{\prime}+x(t+1)=0 . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The assumptions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled except $\left(H_{2}\right)$. Equation (7) has a solution $x(t)=\mathrm{e}^{t}$.

Example 3. Consider the neutral differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[(3 / 4)\left(x^{\prime}(t+2 \pi)+(1 / 3) x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right]^{\prime}+\frac{(x(t)-2)\left(1+x^{\prime 2}(t)\right)}{1+\cos ^{2} t}=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied except $\left(H_{3}\right)$. Equation (8) has a solution $x(t)=2-\sin t$.

Example 4. The neutral differential equation

$$
\left[(2 / 3)\left(x^{\prime}(t+2 \pi)+(1 / 2) x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right]^{\prime}+\frac{x(t)\left(1+x^{\prime 2}(t)\right)}{(2-\sin t)\left(1+\cos ^{2} t\right)}=1+\sin t
$$

fulfils all assumptions of Theorem 1 except $\left(H_{4}\right)$ and admits a solution $x(t)=2-\sin t$.

Example 5. Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t+1)+\frac{x(t+1)}{t^{2}\left(t+\mathrm{e}^{-t}\right)}=t^{-2}+\mathrm{e}^{-t} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

All assumptions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled except (4). Equation (9) has a solution $x(t)=t-1+\mathrm{e}^{1-t}$.

Example 6. Consider the neutral differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{\prime}(t+\ln 3)-4 x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+(1 / \mathrm{e}) x(t+1)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

All assumptions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled except $-1<\gamma<1$. Equation (10) has a solution $x(t)=\mathrm{e}^{t}$.

The following example shows that under the assumptions of Theorem 1 there exists an equation having a non-oscillatory solution $x$ with $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime}(t)=0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) \neq 0$.

Example 7. The neutral differential equation

$$
x^{\prime \prime}(t+\ln 2)-(1 / 2) x^{\prime \prime}(t)+\left(1 / t^{2}\right) x\left(t^{2}\right)=t^{-2}\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{-t^{2}}\right)
$$

admits a solution $x(t)=1+\mathrm{e}^{-t}$.
Our results can be extended to the neutral differential equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a(t)\left(x^{\prime}\left(h^{[2]}(t)\right)+(\alpha+\beta) x^{\prime}(h(t))+\alpha \beta x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right]^{\prime}+f\left(t, x\left(\alpha_{0}(t)\right), x^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}(t)\right)\right)=e(t) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, h, \alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, f, e$ are as in equation (1) and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$.
By a solution (11) we mean a $C^{1}$-function $x$ on an interval $\left\langle T_{x}, \infty\right)$ $\left(T_{x} \geqq 0\right), a(t)\left(x^{\prime}\left(h^{[2]}(t)\right)+(\alpha+\beta) x^{\prime}(h(t))+\alpha \beta x^{\prime}(t)\right)$ is continuously differentiable on $\left\langle T_{x}, \infty\right)$ and (11) is satisfied for all $t \geqq T_{x}, \alpha_{i}(t) \geqq T_{x}(i=0,1)$.

THEOREM 2. Let assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{3}\right),\left(H_{4}\right)$, (4) and $|\beta|<1$ be satisfied. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
-1<\alpha \leqq 0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\alpha<1, \quad h \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \quad h^{\prime \prime}(t) \geqq 0 \quad \text { on } \quad \mathbb{R}_{+} \quad \text { and } \quad \liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} h^{\prime}(t)>0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every solution $x$ of (11) is either oscillatory or $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime}(t)=0$.
Proof. Let $x$ be a non-oscillatory solution of (11), say $x(t)<0$ for $t \geqq t_{1}(\geqq 0)$ and let $\alpha_{i}(t) \geqq t_{1}$, for $t \geqq t_{2}\left(\geqq t_{1}\right), i=0,1$. Then

$$
f\left(t, x\left(\alpha_{0}(t)\right), x^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}(t)\right)\right) \leqq 0 \quad \text { on } \quad\left\langle t_{2}, \infty\right)
$$

and setting $r(t):=x^{\prime}(h(t))+\alpha x^{\prime}(t)$ for $t \geqq t_{1}$ we have

$$
[a(t)(r(h(t))+\beta r(t))]^{\prime}-e(t) \geqq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad t \geqq t_{2}
$$

Therefore $u(t):=a(t)(r(h(t))+\beta r(t))-\int_{0}^{t} e(s) \mathrm{d} s$ is a non-decreasing function on $\left(t_{2}, \infty\right)$, and consequently either $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)=\infty$ and then by Lemma 2 $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t)=\infty$ or $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)$ is finite and then by Lemma $1 \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t)=: c$ is finite too.

Let $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(x^{\prime}(h(t))+\alpha x^{\prime}(t)\right)=\infty$. If $-1<\alpha \leqq 0$, we have

$$
x^{\prime}\left(h^{[n]}(t)\right)=r\left(h^{[n-1]}(t)\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} r\left(h^{[k]}(t)\right)|\alpha|^{n-k-1}+x^{\prime}(t)|\alpha|^{n}
$$

for $t \geqq t_{1}$ and $n \geqq 2$, hence $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime}(t)=\infty$ which contradicts

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)<0 \quad \text { for } \quad t \geqq t_{1} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If assumption (13) is satisfied and $h^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqq t_{2}$, then

$$
\int_{t_{2}}^{t} r(s) \mathrm{d} s=\int_{t_{2}}^{t} x^{\prime}(h(s)) \mathrm{d} s+\alpha\left(x(t)-x\left(t_{2}\right)\right) \leqq \int_{t_{2}}^{t} x^{\prime}(h(s)) \mathrm{d} s-\alpha x\left(t_{2}\right)
$$

and therefore

$$
\int_{t_{2}}^{\infty} x^{\prime}(h(s)) \mathrm{d} s=\infty
$$

which contradicts

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{i_{2}}^{t} x^{\prime}(h(s)) \mathrm{d} s & =\frac{1}{h^{\prime}(t)} x(h(t))-\frac{1}{h^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)} x\left(h\left(t_{2}\right)\right)+\int_{t_{2}}^{t} \frac{h^{\prime \prime}(s) x(h(s))}{h^{\prime 2}(s)} \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leqq-\frac{x\left(h\left(t_{2}\right)\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)} \quad \text { for } \quad t \geqq t_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(x^{\prime}(h(t))+\alpha x^{\prime}(t)\right)=c$. By Lemma 1 there exists $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime}(t)=: d$. Due to (14), $d \leqq 0$. If $d<0$, then there exists a $t_{3}\left(\geqq t_{2}\right)$ so that

$$
(5 / 4) d \leqq x^{\prime}(t) \leqq(3 / 4) d \quad \text { for } \quad t \geqq t_{3}
$$
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and $x(t) \leqq x\left(t_{3}\right)+(3 / 4) d\left(t-t_{3}\right)$ for $t \geqq t_{3}$. Hence $x(t) \leqq \varepsilon t$ for $\varepsilon=(5 / 8) d$ and $t \geqq t_{4}\left(\geqq t_{3}\right)$. If $t_{5}\left(\geqq t_{4}\right)$ is such a number that $\alpha_{i}(t) \geqq t_{4}$ on $\left\langle t_{5}, \infty\right)$ for $i=0,1$, then

$$
f\left(t, x\left(\alpha_{0}(t)\right), x^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}(t)\right)\right) \leqq f\left(t, \varepsilon \alpha_{0}(t), x^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}(t)\right)\right)
$$

and
$\left[a(t)\left(x^{\prime}\left(h^{[2]}(t)\right)+(\alpha+\beta) x^{\prime}(h(t))+\alpha \beta x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right]^{\prime} \geqq e(t)-f\left(t, \varepsilon \alpha_{0}(t), x^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}(t)\right)\right)$
for $t \geqq t_{5}$. Since $\varepsilon \geqq x^{\prime}(t) \geqq 2 \varepsilon$ for $t \geqq t_{5}$, using assumption (4) we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} a(t)\left[x^{\prime}\left(h^{[2]}(t)\right)+(\alpha+\beta) x^{\prime}(h(t))+\alpha \beta x^{\prime}(t)\right]=\infty
$$

which contradicts $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left[x^{\prime}\left(h^{[2]}(t)\right)+(\alpha+\beta) x^{\prime}(h(t))+\alpha \beta x^{\prime}(t)\right]=d(1+\alpha+\beta+\alpha \beta) .
$$
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